Distributed Mobility Management [dmm]   C. Perkins
Internet-Draft   Futurewei
Intended status: Standards Track   V. Devarapalli
Expires: September 19, 2018   Vasona Networks
                                                          March 18, 2018

MN Identifier Types for RFC 4283 Mobile Node Identifier Option
draft-ietf-dmm-4283mnids-08.txt

Abstract

Additional Identifier Type Numbers are defined for use with the Mobile Node Identifier Option for MIPv6 (RFC 4283).

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

The Mobile Node Identifier Option for MIPv6 [RFC4283] has proved to be a popular design tool for providing identifiers for mobile nodes during authentication procedures with AAA protocols such as Diameter [RFC3588]. To date, only a single type of identifier has been specified, namely the MN NAI. Other types of identifiers are in common use, and even referenced in RFC 4283. In this document, we propose adding some basic types that are defined in various telecommunications standards, including types for IMSI [ThreeGPP-IDS], P-TMSI [ThreeGPP-IDS], IMEI [ThreeGPP-IDS], and GUTI [ThreeGPP-IDS]. In addition, we specify the IPv6 address itself and IEEE MAC-layer addresses as mobile node identifiers. Defining identifiers that are tied to the physical elements of the device (

MAC address etc.) help in deployment of Mobile IP because in many cases such identifiers are the most natural means for uniquely identifying the device, and will avoid additional look-up steps that might be needed if other identifiers were used.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. New Mobile Node Identifier Types

The following types of identifiers are commonly used to identify mobile nodes. For each type, references are provided with full details on the format of the type of identifer.

Mobile Node Identifier Description

Identifier Type	Description	Reference
IPv6 Address		[RFC4291]
IMSI	International Mobile Subscriber Identity	[ThreeGPP-IDS]
P-TMSI	Packet-Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity	[ThreeGPP-IDS]
GUTI	Globally Unique Temporary ID	[ThreeGPP-IDS]
EUI-48 address	48-bit Extended Unique Identifier	[IEEE802]
EUI-64 address	64-bit Extended Unique Identifier-64 bit	[IEEE802]
DUID	DHCPv6 Unique Identifier	[RFC3315]

Table 1

4. Descriptions of MNID types

In this section descriptions for the various MNID types are provided.

4.1. Description of the IPv6 address type

The IPv6 address [RFC4291] is encoded as a 16 octet string containing a full IPv6 address which has been assigned to the mobile node. The IPv6 address MUST be a unicast routable IPv6 address. Multicast

addresses, link-local addresses, and the unspecified IPv6 address MUST NOT be used. IPv6 Unique Local Addresses (ULAs) MAY be used, as long as any security operations making use of the ULA also take into account the domain in which the ULA is guaranteed to be unique.

4.2. Description of the IMSI MNID type

The International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) [ThreeGPP-IDS] is at most 15 decimal digits (i.e., digits from 0 through 9). The IMSI MUST be encoded as a string of octets in network order (i.e., high-to-low for all digits), where each digit occupies 4 bits. If needed for full octet size, the last digit MUST be padded with 0xf. For example an example IMSI 123456123456789 would be encoded as follows:

```
0x12, 0x34, 0x56, 0x12, 0x34, 0x56, 0x78, 0x9f
```

4.3. Description of the EUI-48 address type

The IEEE EUI-48 address [IEEE802-eui48] is encoded as 6 octets containing the IEEE EUI-48 address.

4.4. Description of the EUI-64 address type

The IEEE EUI-64 address [IEEE802-eui64] is encoded as 8 octets containing the full IEEE EUI-64 address.

4.5. Description of the DUID type

The DUID is the DHCPv6 Unique Identifier (DUID) [RFC3315]. There are various types of DUID, which are distinguished by an initial two-octet type field. Clients and servers MUST treat DUIDs as opaque values and MUST only compare DUIDs for equality.

5. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any security mechanisms, and does not have any impact on existing security mechanisms.

Mobile Node Identifiers such as those described in this document are considered to be private information. If used in the MNID extension as defined in [RFC4283], the packet including the MNID extension MUST be encrypted so that no personal information or trackable identifiers is inadvertently disclosed to passive observers. Operators can potentially apply IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP) [RFC4303], in transport mode, with confidentiality and integrity protection for protecting the identity and location information in Mobile IPv6 signaling messages.

Some MNIDs contain sensitive identifiers which, as used in protocols specified by other SDOs, are only used for signaling during initial network entry. In such protocols, subsequent exchanges then rely on a temporary identifier allocated during the initial network entry. Managing the association between long-lived and temporary identifiers is outside the scope of this document.

6. IANA Considerations

The new mobile node identifier types defined in the document should be assigned values from the "Mobile Node Identifier Option Subtypes" registry. The following values should be assigned.

New Mobile Node Identifier Types

Identifier Type	Identifier Type Number
IPv6 Address	2
IMSI	3
P-TMSI	4
EUI-48 address	5
EUI-64 address	6
GUTI	7
DUID-LLT	8
DUID-EN	9
DUID-LL	10
DUID-UUID	11
	12-15 reserved
	16-255 unassigned

Table 2

See Section 4 for additional information about the identifier types. Future new assignments are to be made only after Expert Review [RFC8126]. The expert must ascertain that the identifier type allows unique identification of the mobile device; since all MNIDs require encryption there is no additional privacy exposure attendent to the use of new types.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge Hakima Chaouchi, Tatuya Jinmei, Jouni Korhonen, Sri Gundavelli, Suresh Krishnan, Dapeng Liu, Dale Worley, Joseph Salowey, Linda Dunbar, and Mirja Kuehlewind for their helpful comments.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC3315] Droms, R., Ed., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", RFC 3315, DOI 10.17487/RFC3315, July 2003, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3315>.

[RFC4283] Patel, A., Leung, K., Khalil, M., Akhtar, H., and K. Chowdhury, "Mobile Node Identifier Option for Mobile IPv6 (MIPv6)", RFC 4283, DOI 10.17487/RFC4283, November 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4283>.

[RFC4291] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", RFC 4291, DOI 10.17487/RFC4291, February 2006, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4291>.

[RFC4303] Kent, S., "IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4303, DOI 10.17487/RFC4303, December 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4303>.

[RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>.

8.2. Informative References

[EANUCCGS]
EAN International and the Uniform Code Council, "General EAN.UCC Specifications Version 5.0", Jan 2004.

[EPC-Tag-Data]
EPCglobal Inc., "EPC(TM) Generation 1 Tag Data Standards Version 1.1 Rev.1.27 http://www.gs1.org/gsmp/kc/epcglobal/tds/tds_1_1_rev_1_27-standard-20050510.pdf", January 2005.

[IEEE802] IEEE, "IEEE Std 802: IEEE Standards for Local and Metropolitan Networks: Overview and Architecture", 2001.

[IEEE802-eui48]
IEEE, "Guidelines for 48-Bit Global Identifier (EUI-48) https://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/tut/eui48.pdf", 2001.

[IEEE802-eui64]
IEEE, "Guidelines for 64-Bit Global Identifier (EUI-64) https://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/tut/eui.pdf64", 2001.

[RFC3588] Calhoun, P., Loughney, J., Guttman, E., Zorn, G., and J. Arkko, "Diameter Base Protocol", RFC 3588, DOI 10.17487/RFC3588, September 2003, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3588>.

[RFID-DoD-spec]
Department of Defense, "United States Department of Defense Suppliers Passive RFID Information Guide (Version 15.0)", January 2010.

[RFID-framework]
Institut National des Telecommunication, ""Heterogeneous RFID framework design, analysis and evaluation"", July 2012.

[ThreeGPP-IDS]
3rd Generation Partnership Project, "3GPP Technical Specification 23.003 V8.4.0: Technical Specification Group Core Network and Terminals; Numbering, addressing and identification (Release 8)", March 2009.

[TRACK-IoT]
IPv6.com, ""Heterogeneous IoT Network : TRACK-IoT"", March 2012.

[Using-RFID-IPv6]
IPv6.com, ""Using RFID & IPv6"", September 2006.

Appendix A. RFID types

The material in this non-normative appendix was originally composed for inclusion in the main body of the specification, but was moved into an appendix because there was insufficient support for allocating RFID types at this time. It was observed that RFID-based mobile devices may create privacy exposures unless confidentiality is assured for signaling. A specification for eliminating unauthorized RFID tracking based on layer-2 addresses would be helpful.

Much of the following text is due to contributions from Hakima Chaouchi. For an overview and some initial suggestions about using RFID with IPv6 on mobile devices, see [Using-RFID-IPv6].

In the context of IoT and industry 4.0 vertical domain, efficient inventory and tracking items is of major interest, and RFID technology is the identification technology in the hardware design of many such items.

The "TRACKIOT: Heterogeneous IoT control" project ([TRACK-IoT], [RFID-framework]) explored Mobile IPv6 as a mobility management protocol for RFID-based mobile devices.

1. Passive RFID tags (that have no processing resources) need to be handled by the gateway (likely also the RFID Reader), which is then the end point of the mobility protocol. It is also the point where the CoA will be created based on some combination such as the RFID tag and the prefix of that gateway. The point here is to offer the possibility to passive RFID items to get an IPv6 address and take advantage of the mobility framework to follow the mobile device (passive tag on the item). One example scenario that has been proposed, showing the need for mobility management of passive RFID items, would be pieces of art tagged with passive tags that need to be monitored while transported.
2. Using active RFID tags (where processing resource is available on the tag), the end point of the mobility protocol can be pushed up to the RFID Active tag. We name it also an identification sensor. Use cases include active RFID tags for traceability of cold food respect during mobility (transport) of food. Mobility of cars equiped with active RFID tags that we already use for toll payement can be added with mobility management.

One major effort of connecting IETF efforts to the EPCGlobal (RFID standardisation) led to the ONS (DNS version applied for RFID logical names and page information retrieval). Attempts have tried to connect IPv6 on the address space to RFID identifier format. Other initiatives started working on gateways to map tag identifiers with IPv6 addresses and build signaling protocols for the application level. For instance tracking of mobile items equipped with a tag can be triggered remotely by a remote correspondent node until a visiting area where a mobile item equipped with an RFID tag is located. An RFID reader will be added with an IPv6 to RFID tag translation. One option is to build a Home IPv6 address of that tagged item by using the prefix of the Home agent combined with the tag RFID identifier of the mobile item; as the tag ID is unique, the home IPv6 address of that item will be also unique. Then the visiting RFID reader will compose the IPV6 care of address of the tagged mobile item by combining the prefix of the RFID reader with the tag ID of the item).

MIPv6 can then provide normally the mobility management of that RFID tagged item. A different useful example of tagged items involves items of a factory that can be tracked while they are transported, especially for real time localisation and tracking of precious items transported without GPS. An automotive car manufacturer can assign IPv6 addresses corresponding to RFID tagged cars or mechanical car parts, and build a tracking dataset of the mobility not only of the cars, but also of the mechanical pieces.

The Tag Data standard promoted by Electronic Product Code(TM) (abbreviated EPC) [EPC-Tag-Data] supports several encoding systems or schemes, which are commonly used in RFID (radio-frequency identification) applications, including

- o RFID-GID (Global Identifier),
- o RFID-SGTIN (Serialized Global Trade Item Number),
- o RFID-SSCC (Serial Shipping Container),
- o RFID-SGLN (Global Location Number),
- o RFID-GRAI (Global Returnable Asset Identifier),
- o RFID-DOD (Department of Defense ID), and
- o RFID-GIAI (Global Individual Asset Identifier).

For each RFID scheme except GID, there are three representations:

- o a 64-bit binary representation (for example, SGLN-64) (except for GID)
- o a 96-bit binary representation (SGLN-96)
- o a representation as a URI

The URI representation for the RFID is actually a URN. The EPC document has the following language:

> All categories of URIs are represented as Uniform Reference Names (URNs) as defined by [RFC2141], where the URN Namespace is epc.

The following list includes the above RFID types.

Mobile Node RFID Identifier Description

Identifier Type	Description	Reference
RFID-SGTIN-64	64-bit Serialized Global Trade Item Number	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SSCC-64	64-bit Serial Shipping Container	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SGLN-64	64-bit Serialized Global Location Number	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-GRAI-64	64-bit Global Returnable Asset Identifier	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-DOD-64	64-bit Department of Defense ID	[RFID-DoD-spec]
RFID-GIAI-64	64-bit Global Individual Asset Identifier	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-GID-96	96-bit Global Identifier	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SGTIN-96	96-bit Serialized Global Trade Item Number	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SSCC-96	96-bit Serial Shipping Container	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SGLN-96	96-bit Serialized Global Location Number	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-GRAI-96	96-bit Global Returnable Asset Identifier	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-DOD-96	96-bit Department of Defense ID	[RFID-DoD-spec]
RFID-GIAI-96	96-bit Global Individual Asset Identifier	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-GID-URI	Global Identifier represented as URI	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SGTIN-URI	Serialized Global Trade Item Number represented as URI	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SSCC-URI	Serial Shipping Container represented as URI	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-SGLN-URI	Global Location Number represented as URI	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-GRAI-URI	Global Returnable Asset Identifier represented as URI	[EPC-Tag-Data]
RFID-DOD-URI	Department of Defense ID represented as URI	[RFID-DoD-spec]
RFID-GIAI-URI	Global Individual Asset Identifier represented as URI	[EPC-Tag-Data]

Table 3

A.1. Description of the RFID types

The General Identifier (GID) that is used with RFID is composed of three fields - the General Manager Number, Object Class and Serial Number. The General Manager Number identifies an organizational entity that is responsible for maintaining the numbers in subsequent fields. GID encodings include a fourth field, the header, to guarantee uniqueness in the namespace defined by EPC.

Some of the RFID types depend on the Global Trade Item Number (GTIN) code defined in the General EAN.UCC Specifications [EANUCCGS]. A GTIN identifies a particular class of object, such as a particular kind of product or SKU.

The EPC encoding scheme for SGTIN permits the direct embedding of EAN.UCC System standard GTIN and Serial Number codes on EPC tags. In all cases, the check digit is not encoded. Two encoding schemes are specified, SGTIN-64 (64 bits) and SGTIN-96 (96 bits).

The Serial Shipping Container Code (SSCC) is defined by the EAN.UCC Specifications. Unlike the GTIN, the SSCC is already intended for assignment to individual objects and therefore does not require additional fields to serve as an EPC pure identity. Two encoding schemes are specified, SSCC-64 (64 bits) and SSCC-96 (96 bits).

The Global Location Number (GLN) is defined by the EAN.UCC Specifications. A GLN can represent either a discrete, unique physical location such as a warehouse slot, or an aggregate physical location such as an entire warehouse. In addition, a GLN can represent a logical entity that performs a business function such as placing an order. The Serialized Global Location Number (SGLN) includes the Company Prefix, Location Reference, and Serial Number.

The Global Returnable Asset Identifier (GRAI) is defined by the General EAN.UCC Specifications. Unlike the GTIN, the GRAI is already intended for assignment to individual objects and therefore does not require any additional fields to serve as an EPC pure identity. The GRAI includes the Company Prefix, Asset Type, and Serial Number.

The Global Individual Asset Identifier (GIAI) is defined by the General EAN.UCC Specifications. Unlike the GTIN, the GIAI is already intended for assignment to individual objects and therefore does not require any additional fields to serve as an EPC pure identity. The GRAI includes the Company Prefix, and Individual Asset Reference.

The DoD Construct identifier is defined by the United States Department of Defense (DoD). This tag data construct may be used to

encode tags for shipping goods to the DoD by a supplier who has already been assigned a CAGE (Commercial and Government Entity) code.

A.1.1. Description of the RFID-SGTIN-64 type

The RFID-SGTIN-64 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGTIN-64 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value (additional data that is used for fast filtering and pre-selection), Company Prefix Index, Item Reference, and Serial Number. Only a limited number of Company Prefixes can be represented in the 64-bit tag.

A.1.2. Description of the RFID-SGTIN-96 type

The RFID-SGTIN-96 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGTIN-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition (an indication of where the subsequent Company Prefix and Item Reference numbers are divided), Company Prefix Index, Item Reference, and Serial Number.

A.1.3. Description of the RFID-SSCC-64 type

The RFID-SSCC-64 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SSCC-64 includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, and Serial Reference. Only a limited number of Company Prefixes can be represented in the 64-bit tag.

A.1.4. Description of the RFID-SSCC-96 type

The RFID-SSCC-96 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SSCC-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, and Serial Reference, as well as 24 bits that remain Unallocated and must be zero.

A.1.5. Description of the RFID-SGLN-64 type

The RFID-SGLN-64 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGLN-64 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, Location Reference, and Serial Number.

A.1.6. Description of the RFID-SGLN-96 type

The RFID-SGLN-96 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGLN-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, Location Reference, and Serial Number.

A.1.7. Description of the RFID-GRAI-64 type

The RFID-GRAI-64 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GRAI-64 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, Asset Type, and Serial Number.

A.1.8. Description of the RFID-GRAI-96 type

The RFID-GRAI-96 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GRAI-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, Asset Type, and Serial Number.

A.1.9. Description of the RFID-GIAI-64 type

The RFID-GIAI-64 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GIAI-64 includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, and Individual Asset Reference.

A.1.10. Description of the RFID-GIAI-96 type

The RFID-GIAI-96 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GIAI-96 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, and Individual Asset Reference.

A.1.11. Description of the RFID-DoD-64 type

The RFID-DoD-64 type is encoded as specified in [RFID-DoD-spec]. The DoD-64 type includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Government Managed Identifier, and Serial Number.

A.1.12. Description of the RFID-DoD-96 type

The RFID-DoD-96 type is encoded as specified in [RFID-DoD-spec]. The DoD-96 type includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Government Managed Identifier, and Serial Number.

A.1.13. Description of the RFID URI types

In some cases, it is desirable to encode in URI form a specific encoding of an RFID tag. For example, an application may prefer a URI representation for report preparation. Applications that wish to manipulate any additional data fields on tags may need some representation other than the pure identity forms.

For this purpose, the fields as represented the previous sections are associated with specified fields in the various URI types. For instance, the URI may have fields such as CompanyPrefix,

ItemReference, or SerialNumber. For details and encoding specifics, consult [EPC-Tag-Data].

Authors' Addresses

Charles E. Perkins
Futurewei Inc.
2330 Central Expressway
Santa Clara, CA 95050
USA

Phone: +1-408-330-4586
Email: charliep@computer.org

Vijay Devarapalli
Vasona Networks
2900 Lakeside Drive, Suite 180
Santa Clara, CA 95054
USA

Email: dvijay@gmail.com