

DNSEXT
Internet-Draft
Expires: August 28, 2006

M. Stapp
Cisco Systems, Inc.
T. Lemon
Nominum, Inc.
A. Gustafsson
Araneus Information Systems Oy
February 24, 2006

A DNS RR for Encoding DHCP Information (DHCID RR)
<[draft-ietf-dnsext-dhcid-rr-11.txt](#)>

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 28, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

It is possible for DHCP clients to attempt to update the same DNS FQDN or attempt to update a DNS FQDN that has been added to the DNS for another purpose as they obtain DHCP leases. Whether the DHCP server or the clients themselves perform the DNS updates, conflicts can arise. To resolve such conflicts, "Resolution of DNS Name

Conflicts" [1] proposes storing client identifiers in the DNS to unambiguously associate domain names with the DHCP clients to which they refer. This memo defines a distinct RR type for this purpose for use by DHCP clients and servers, the "DHCID" RR.

Table of Contents

1.	Terminology	3
2.	Introduction	3
3.	The DHCID RR	3
3.1.	DHCID RDATA format	3
3.2.	DHCID Presentation Format	4
3.3.	The DHCID RR Identifier Type Codes	4
3.4.	The DHCID RR Digest Type Code	4
3.5.	Computation of the RDATA	5
3.6.	Examples	6
3.6.1.	Example 1	6
3.6.2.	Example 2	6
3.6.3.	Example 3	7
4.	Use of the DHCID RR	7
5.	Updater Behavior	7
6.	Security Considerations	7
7.	IANA Considerations	8
8.	Acknowledgements	8
9.	References	9
9.1.	Normative References	9
9.2.	Informative References	9
	Authors' Addresses	10
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	11

1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [2].

2. Introduction

A set of procedures to allow DHCP [6] [9] clients and servers to automatically update the DNS ([RFC 1034](#) [3], [RFC 1035](#) [4]) is proposed in "Resolution of DNS Name Conflicts" [1].

Conflicts can arise if multiple DHCP clients wish to use the same DNS name or a DHCP client attempts to use a name added for another purpose. To resolve such conflicts, "Resolution of DNS Name Conflicts" [1] proposes storing client identifiers in the DNS to unambiguously associate domain names with the DHCP clients using them. In the interest of clarity, it is preferable for this DHCP information to use a distinct RR type. This memo defines a distinct RR for this purpose for use by DHCP clients or servers, the "DHCID" RR.

In order to obscure potentially sensitive client identifying information, the data stored is the result of a one-way SHA-256 hash computation. The hash includes information from the DHCP client's message as well as the domain name itself, so that the data stored in the DHCID RR will be dependent on both the client identification used in the DHCP protocol interaction and the domain name. This means that the DHCID RDATA will vary if a single client is associated over time with more than one name. This makes it difficult to 'track' a client as it is associated with various domain names.

3. The DHCID RR

The DHCID RR is defined with mnemonic DHCID and type code [TBD]. The DHCID RR is only defined in the IN class. DHCID RRs cause no additional section processing. The DHCID RR is not a singleton type.

3.1. DHCID RDATA format

The RDATA section of a DHCID RR in transmission contains RDLENGTH octets of binary data. The format of this data and its interpretation by DHCP servers and clients are described below.

DNS software should consider the RDATA section to be opaque. DHCP clients or servers use the DHCID RR to associate a DHCP client's

identity with a DNS name, so that multiple DHCP clients and servers may deterministically perform dynamic DNS updates to the same zone. From the updater's perspective, the DHCID resource record RDATA consists of a 2-octet identifier type, in network byte order, followed by a 1-octet digest type, followed by one or more octets representing the actual identifier:

< 2 octets >	Identifier type code
< 1 octet >	Digest type code
< n octets >	Digest (length depends on digest type)

3.2. DHCID Presentation Format

In DNS master files, the RDATA is represented as a single block in base 64 encoding identical to that used for representing binary data in [RFC 2535](#) [7]. The data may be divided up into any number of white space separated substrings, down to single base 64 digits, which are concatenated to form the complete RDATA. These substrings can span lines using the standard parentheses.

3.3. The DHCID RR Identifier Type Codes

The DHCID RR Identifier Type Code specifies what data from the DHCP client's request was used as input into the hash function. The identifier type codes are defined in a registry maintained by IANA, as specified in [Section 7](#). The initial list of assigned values for the identifier type code is:

0x0000 = htype, chaddr from a DHCPv4 client's DHCPREQUEST [6].
0x0001 = The data portion from a DHCPv4 client's Client Identifier option [8].
0x0002 = The client's DUID (i.e., the data portion of a DHCPv6 client's Client Identifier option [9] or the DUID field from a DHCPv4 client's Client Identifier option [11]).

0x0003 - 0xffff = Available to be assigned by IANA.

0xffff = RESERVED

3.4. The DHCID RR Digest Type Code

The DHCID RR Digest Type Code is an identifier for the digest algorithm used. The digest is calculated over an identifier and the canonical FQDN as described in the next section.

The digest type codes are defined in a registry maintained by IANA, as specified in [Section 7](#). The initial list of assigned values for the digest type codes is: value 0 is reserved and value 1 is SHA-256.

Reserving other types requires IETF standards action. Defining new values will also require IETF standards action to document how DNS updaters are to deal with multiple digest types.

3.5. Computation of the RDATA

The DHCID RDATA is formed by concatenating the 2-octet identifier type code with variable-length data.

The RDATA for all type codes other than 0xffff, which is reserved for future expansion, is formed by concatenating the 2-octet identifier type code, the 1-octet digest type code, and the digest value (32 octets for SHA-256).

`< identifier-type > < digest-type > < digest >`

The input to the digest hash function is defined to be:

`digest = SHA-256(< identifier > < FQDN >)`

The FQDN is represented in the buffer in unambiguous canonical form as described in [RFC 2535](#) [7], section 8.1. The identifier type code and the identifier are related as specified in [Section 3.3](#): the identifier type code describes the source of the identifier.

A DHCPv4 updater uses the 0x0002 type code if a Client Identifier option is present in the DHCPv4 messages and it is encoded as specified in [11]. Otherwise, the updater uses 0x0001 if a Client Identifier option is present and 0x0000 if not.

A DHCPv6 updater always uses the 0x0002 type code.

When the updater is using the Client's DUID (either from a DHCPv6 Client Identifier option or from a portion of the DHCPv4 Client Identifier option encoded as specified in [11]), the first two octets of the DHCID RR MUST be 0x0002, in network byte order. The third octet is the digest type code (1 for SHA-256). The rest of the DHCID RR MUST contain the results of computing the SHA-256 hash across the octets of the DUID followed by the FQDN.

When the updater is using the DHCPv4 Client Identifier option sent by the client in its DHCPREQUEST message, the first two octets of the DHCID RR MUST be 0x0001, in network byte order. The third octet is the digest type code (1 for SHA-256). The rest of the DHCID RR MUST contain the results of computing the SHA-256 hash across the payload of the option, followed by the FQDN. The payload of the option consists of the octets of the option following the option code and length.

When the updater is using the client's link-layer address as the identifier, the first two octets of the DHCID RDATA MUST be zero. The third octet is the digest type code (1 for SHA-256). To generate the rest of the resource record, the updater computes a one-way hash using the SHA-256 algorithm across a buffer containing the client's network hardware type, link-layer address, and the FQDN data. Specifically, the first octet of the buffer contains the network hardware type as it appeared in the DHCP 'htype' field of the client's DHCPREQUEST message. All of the significant octets of the 'chaddr' field in the client's DHCPREQUEST message follow, in the same order in which the octets appear in the DHCPREQUEST message. The number of significant octets in the 'chaddr' field is specified in the 'hlen' field of the DHCPREQUEST message. The FQDN data, as specified above, follows.

3.6. Examples

RFC-Editor Note: Contact Bernie Volz for the DHCID RR RDATA encodings for the 3 examples below.

3.6.1. Example 1

A DHCP server allocating the IPv4 address 10.0.0.1 to a client with Ethernet MAC address 01:02:03:04:05:06 using domain name "client.example.com" uses the client's link-layer address to identify the client. The DHCID RDATA is composed by setting the two type octets to zero, the 1-octet digest type to 1 for SHA-256, and performing an SHA-256 hash computation across a buffer containing the Ethernet MAC type octet, 0x01, the six octets of MAC address, and the domain name (represented as specified in [Section 3.5](#)).

```
client.example.com.  A      10.0.0.1
client.example.com.  DHCID  XXX - to be provided
```

3.6.2. Example 2

A DHCP server allocates the IPv4 address 10.0.12.99 to a client which included the DHCP client-identifier option data 01:07:08:09:0a:0b:0c in its DHCP request. The server updates the name "chi.example.com" on the client's behalf, and uses the DHCP client identifier option data as input in forming a DHCID RR. The DHCID RDATA is formed by setting the two type octets to the value 0x0001, the 1-octet digest type to 1 for SHA-256, and performing a SHA-256 hash computation across a buffer containing the seven octets from the client-id option and the FQDN (represented as specified in [Section 3.5](#)).

```
chi.example.com.    A      10.0.12.99
chi.example.com.    DHCID  XXX - to be provided
```


3.6.3. Example 3

A DHCP server allocates the IPv6 address 2000::1234:5678 to a client which included the DHCPv6 client-identifier option data 00:01:00:06:41:2d:f1:66:01:02:03:04:05:06 in its DHCPv6 request. The server updates the name "chi6.example.com" on the client's behalf, and uses the DHCP client identifier option data as input in forming a DHCID RR. The DHCID RDATA is formed by setting the two type octets to the value 0x0002, the 1-octet digest type to 1 for SHA-256, and performing a SHA-256 hash computation across a buffer containing the 14 octets from the client-id option and the FQDN (represented as specified in [Section 3.5](#)).

```
chi6.example.com.      AAAA      2000::1234:5678
chi6.example.com.      DHCID     XXX - to be provided
```

4. Use of the DHCID RR

This RR MUST NOT be used for any purpose other than that detailed in "Resolution of DNS Name Conflicts" [[1](#)]. Although this RR contains data that is opaque to DNS servers, the data must be consistent across all entities that update and interpret this record. Therefore, new data formats may only be defined through actions of the DHC Working Group, as a result of revising [[1](#)].

5. Updater Behavior

The data in the DHCID RR allows updaters to determine whether more than one DHCP client desires to use a particular FQDN. This allows site administrators to establish policy about DNS updates. The DHCID RR does not establish any policy itself.

Updaters use data from a DHCP client's request and the domain name that the client desires to use to compute a client identity hash, and then compare that hash to the data in any DHCID RRs on the name that they wish to associate with the client's IP address. If an updater discovers DHCID RRs whose RDATA does not match the client identity that they have computed, the updater SHOULD conclude that a different client is currently associated with the name in question. The updater SHOULD then proceed according to the site's administrative policy. That policy might dictate that a different name be selected, or it might permit the updater to continue.

6. Security Considerations

The DHCID record as such does not introduce any new security problems into the DNS. In order to obscure the client's identity information, a one-way hash is used. And, in order to make it difficult to 'track' a client by examining the names associated with a particular hash value, the FQDN is included in the hash computation. Thus, the RDATA is dependent on both the DHCP client identification data and on each FQDN associated with the client.

However, it should be noted that an attacker that has some knowledge, such as of MAC addresses commonly used in DHCP client identification data, may be able to discover the client's DHCP identify by using a brute-force attack. Even without any additional knowledge, the number of unknown bits used in computing the hash is typically only 48 to 80.

Administrators should be wary of permitting unsecured DNS updates to zones, whether or not they are exposed to the global Internet. Both DHCP clients and servers SHOULD use some form of update authentication (e.g., TSIG [[10](#)]) when performing DNS updates.

7. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to allocate a DNS RR type number for the DHCID record type.

This specification defines a new number-space for the 2-octet identifier type codes associated with the DHCID RR. IANA is requested to establish a registry of the values for this number-space. Three initial values are assigned in [Section 3.3](#), and the value 0xFFFF is reserved for future use. New DHCID RR identifier type codes are assigned through Standards Action, as defined in [RFC 2434](#) [[5](#)].

This specification defines a new number-space for the 1-octet digest type codes associated with the DHCID RR. IANA is requested to establish a registry of the values for this number-space. Two initial values are assigned in [Section 3.4](#). New DHCID RR digest type codes are assigned through Standards Action, as defined in [RFC 2434](#) [[5](#)].

8. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Harald Alvestrand, Ralph Droms, Olafur Gudmundsson, Sam Hartman, Josh Littlefield, Pekka Savola, and especially Bernie Volz for their review and suggestions.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [1] Stapp, M. and B. Volz, "Resolution of DNS Name Conflicts Among DHCP Clients ([draft-ietf-dhc-dns-resolution](#)-*)", February 2006.
- [2] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [3] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.
- [4] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), November 1987.
- [5] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 2434](#), October 1998.

9.2. Informative References

- [6] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), March 1997.
- [7] Eastlake, D., "Domain Name System Security Extensions", [RFC 2535](#), March 1999.
- [8] Alexander, S. and R. Droms, "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extensions", [RFC 2132](#), March 1997.
- [9] Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.
- [10] Vixie, P., Gudmundsson, O., Eastlake, D., and B. Wellington, "Secret Key Transaction Authentication for DNS (TSIG)", [RFC 2845](#), May 2000.
- [11] Lemon, T. and B. Sommerfeld, "Node-specific Client Identifiers for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol Version Four (DHCPv4)", [RFC 4361](#), February 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Mark Stapp
Cisco Systems, Inc.
1414 Massachusetts Ave.
Boxborough, MA 01719
USA

Phone: 978.936.1535
Email: mjs@cisco.com

Ted Lemon
Nominum, Inc.
950 Charter St.
Redwood City, CA 94063
USA

Email: mellon@nominum.com

Andreas Gustafsson
Araneus Information Systems Oy
Ulappakatu 1
02320 Espoo
Finland

Email: gson@araneus.fi

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

