DNS Extensions working group	J. Jansen	
Internet-Draft	NLnet Labs	
Intended status: Standards Track	April 11, 2008	
Expires: October 13, 2008		

TOC

Use of SHA-2 algorithms with RSA in DNSKEY and RRSIG Resource Records for DNSSEC
draft-ietf-dnsext-dnssec-rsasha256-04

Status of this Memo

Abstract

This document describes how to produce RSA/SHA-256 and RSA/SHA-512 DNSKEY and RRSIG resource records for use in the Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC, RFC 4033, RFC 4034, and RFC 4035).

Table of Contents

---

1. Introduction

TOC

The Domain Name System (DNS) is the global hierarchical distributed database for Internet Addressing. The DNS has been extended to use cryptographic keys and digital signatures for the verification of the integrity of its data. RFC 4033 [RFC4033] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, “DNS Security Introduction and Requirements,” March 2005.), RFC 4034 [RFC4034] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, “Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions,” March 2005.), and RFC 4035 [RFC4035] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, “Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions,” March 2005.) describe these DNS Security Extensions, called DNSSEC.

RFC 4034 describes how to store DNSKEY and RRSIG resource records, and specifies a list of cryptographic algorithms to use. This document extends that list with the algorithms RSA/SHA-256 and RSA/SHA-512, and specifies how to store DNSKEY data and how to produce RRSIG resource records with these hash algorithms.

Familiarity with DNSSEC, RSA [SCHNEIER-1996] (Schneier, B., “Applied Cryptography Second Edition: protocols, algorithms, and source code in C,” 1996.) and the SHA-2 [FIPS.180-2.2002] (National Institute of Standards and Technology, “Secure Hash Standard,” August 2002.) family of algorithms is assumed in this document.

To refer to both SHA-256 and SHA-512, this document will use the name SHA-2. This is done to improve readability. When a part of text is specific for either SHA-256 or SHA-512, their specific names are used. The same goes for RSA/SHA-256 and RSA/SHA-512, which will be grouped using the name RSA/SHA-2.

2. DNSKEY Resource Records

TOC

The format of the DNSKEY RR can be found in RFC 4034 [RFC4034] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, “Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions,” March 2005.) and RFC 3110 [RFC3110] (Eastlake, D., “RSA/SHA-1 SIGs and RSA KEYs in the Domain Name System (DNS),” May 2001.).

2.1. RSA/SHA-256 DNSKEY Resource Records

TOC

RSA public keys for use with RSA/SHA-256 are stored in DNSKEY resource records (RRs) with the algorithm number {TBA1}.
The key size for RSA/SHA-256 keys MUST NOT be less than 512 bits, and MUST NOT be more than 4096 bits.

2.2. RSA/SHA-512 DNSKEY Resource Records

TOC

RSA public keys for use with RSA/SHA-512 are stored in DNSKEY resource records (RRs) with the algorithm number {TBA2}.
The key size for RSA/SHA-512 keys MUST NOT be less than 1024 bits, and MUST NOT be more than 4096 bits.

3. RRSIG Resource Records

TOC

The value of the signature field in the RRSIG RR follow the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 signature scheme, and is calculated as follows. The values for the RDATA fields that precede the signature data are specified in RFC 4034 [RFC4034] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, “Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions,” March 2005.).
hash = SHA-XXX(data)
Where XXX is either 256 or 512, depending on the algorithm used.
signature = (00 | 01 | FF* | 00 | prefix | hash) ** e (mod n)
Where SHA-XXX is the message digest algorithm as specified in FIPS PUB 180-2 [FIPS.180-2.2002] (National Institute of Standards and Technology, “Secure Hash Standard,” August 2002.), "|" is concatenation, "00", "01", "FF" and "00" are fixed octets of corresponding hexadecimal value, "e" is the private exponent of the

signing RSA key, and "n" is the public modulus of the signing key. The FF octet MUST be repeated the maximum number of times so that the total length of the signature equals the length of the modulus of the signer's public key ("n"). "data" is the data of the resource record set that is signed, as specified in RFC 4034 [RFC4034] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions," March 2005.).
The "prefix" is intended to make the use of standard cryptographic libraries easier. These specifications are taken directly from the specification of EMSA-PKCS1-v1_5 encoding in PKCS #1 v2.1 section 9.2 [RFC3447] (Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1," February 2003.). The prefixes for the different algorithms are specified below.

3.1. RSA/SHA-256 RRSIG Resource Records

TOC

RSA/SHA-256 signatures are stored in the DNS using RRSIG resource records (RRs) with algorithm number {TBA1}.
The prefix is the ASN.1 BER SHA-256 algorithm designator prefix as specified in PKCS #1 v2.1 [RFC3447] (Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1," February 2003.):
hex 30 31 30 0d 06 09 60 86 48 01 65 03 04 02 01 05 00 04 20

3.2. RSA/SHA-512 RRSIG Resource Records

TOC

RSA/SHA-512 signatures are stored in the DNS using RRSIG resource records (RRs) with algorithm number {TBA2}.
The prefix is the ASN.1 BER SHA-512 algorithm designator prefix as specified in PKCS #1 v2.1 [RFC3447] (Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1," February 2003.):
hex 30 51 30 0d 06 09 60 86 48 01 65 03 04 02 03 05 00 04 40

---

4. Deployment Considerations

TOC

TOC

4.1. Key Sizes

Apart from prohibiting RSA/SHA-512 signatures smaller than 1024 bytes, this document will not specify what size of keys to use. That is an operational issue and depends largely on the environment and intended use. Some good starting points for more information might be DNSSEC Operational Practises [RFC4641] (Kolkman, O. and R. Gieben, "DNSSEC Operational Practices," September 2006.), section 3.5, and NIST SP 800-57 Part 1 [NIST800-57-part1] (Barker, E., Barker, W., Burr, W., Polk, W., and M. Smid, "Recommendations for Key Management Part 1: General," March 2007.) and Part 3 [NIST800-57-part3] (Barker, E., Barker, W., Burr, W., Jones, A., Polk, W., Smid, M., and S. Rose, "Recommendations for Key Management Part 3: Application-Specific Key Guidance," March 2007.).

4.2. Signature Sizes

TOC

In this family of signing algorithms, the size of signatures is related to the size of the key, and not the hashing algorithm used in the signing process. Therefore, RRSIG resource records produced with RSA/SHA256 or RSA/SHA512 shall have the same size as those produced with RSA/SHA1, if the keys have the same length.

---

5. Implementation Considerations

TOC

5.1. Support for SHA-1 and SHA-2 signatures

TOC

DNSSEC aware implementations SHOULD be able to support RRSIG resource records with the RSA/SHA-2 algorithms.
If both RSA/SHA-2 and RSA/SHA-1 RRSIG resource records are available for a certain RRset, with a secure path to their keys, the validator SHOULD ignore the SHA-1 signature. If the RSA/SHA-2 signature does not verify the data, and the RSA/SHA-1 signature does, the validator SHOULD mark the data with the security status from the RSA/SHA-2 signature.

TOC

5.2. Support for NSEC3 denial of existence

Implementations that have support for RSA/SHA-2 MUST also have support for NSEC3 denial of existence, as specified in RFC 5155 [RFC5155] (Laurie, B., Sisson, G., Arends, R., and D. Blacka, "DNS Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence," March 2008.).

---

6. IANA Considerations

TOC

IANA has not yet assigned an algorithm number for RSA/SHA-256 and RSA/SHA-512.
The algorithm list from RFC 4034 Appendix A.1 [RFC4034] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions," March 2005.) is extended with the following entries:

Value	Algorithm	[Mnemonic]	Zone Signing	References	Status
{TBA1}	RSA/SHA-256	RSASHA256	y	{this memo}	OPTIONAL
{TBA2}	RSA/SHA-512	RSASHA512	y	{this memo}	OPTIONAL

---

7. Security Considerations

TOC

7.1. SHA-1 versus SHA-2 Considerations for RRSIG Resource Records

TOC

Users of DNSSEC are encouraged to deploy SHA-2 as soon as software implementations allow for it. SHA-2 is widely believed to be more resilient to attack than SHA-1, and confidence in SHA-1's strength is being eroded by recently-announced attacks. Regardless of whether or not the attacks on SHA-1 will affect DNSSEC, it is believed (at the time of this writing) that SHA-2 is the better choice for use in DNSSEC records.
SHA-2 is considered sufficiently strong for the immediate future, but predictions about future development in cryptography and cryptanalysis are beyond the scope of this document.
The signature scheme RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 is chosen to match the one used for RSA/SHA-1 signatures. This should ease implementation of the new hashing algorithms in DNSSEC software.

7.2. Signature Type Downgrade Attacks

TOC

Since each RRset MUST be signed with each algorithm present in the DNSKEY RRset at the zone apex (see [RFC4035] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions," March 2005.) Section 2.2), a malicious party cannot filter out the RSA/SHA-2 RRSIG, and force the validator to use the RSA/SHA-1 signature if both are present in the zone. Together with the implementation considerations from Section 5 (Implementation Considerations) of this document, this provides resilience against algorithm downgrade attacks, if the validator supports RSA/SHA-2.

8. Acknowledgments

TOC

This document is a minor extension to RFC 4034 [RFC4034] (Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions," March 2005.). Also, we try to follow the documents RFC 3110 [RFC3110] (Eastlake, D., "RSA/SHA-1 SIGs and RSA KEYs in the Domain Name System (DNS)," May 2001.) and RFC 4509 [RFC4509] (Hardaker, W., "Use of SHA-256 in DNSSEC Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Records (RRs)," May 2006.) for consistency. The authors of and contributors to these documents are gratefully acknowledged for their hard work.
The following people provided additional feedback and text: Jaap Akkerhuis, Roy Arends, Rob Austein, Miek Gieben, Alfred Hoenes, Michael St. Johns, Scott Rose and Wouter Wijngaards.

9. References

TOC

9.1. Normative References

TOC

[RFC4033]	Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements," RFC 4033, March 2005 (TXT).
[RFC4034]	Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions," RFC 4034, March 2005 (TXT).

[RFC4035]	Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions," RFC 4035, March 2005 (TXT).
[RFC3447]	Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1," RFC 3447, February 2003 (TXT).
[FIPS. 180-2.2002]	National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard," FIPS PUB 180-2, August 2002.
[RFC3110]	Eastlake, D., "RSA/SHA-1 SIGs and RSA KEYs in the Domain Name System (DNS)," RFC 3110, May 2001 (TXT).
[RFC5155]	Laurie, B., Sisson, G., Arends, R., and D. Blacka, "DNS Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence," RFC 5155, March 2008 (TXT).

9.2. Informative References

TOC

[SCHNEIER-1996]	Schneier, B., "Applied Cryptography Second Edition: protocols, algorithms, and source code in C," Wiley and Sons , ISBN 0-471-11709-9, 1996.
[RFC4509]	Hardaker, W., "Use of SHA-256 in DNSSEC Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Records (RRs)," RFC 4509, May 2006 (TXT).
[RFC4641]	Kolkman, O. and R. Gieben, "DNSSEC Operational Practices," RFC 4641, September 2006 (TXT).
[NIST800-57-part1]	Barker, E., Barker, W., Burr, W., Polk, W., and M. Smid, "Recommendations for Key Management Part 1: General," NIST SP 800-57 Part 1, March 2007.
[NIST800-57-part3]	Barker, E., Barker, W., Burr, W., Jones, A., Polk, W., Smid, M., and S. Rose, "Recommendations for Key Management Part 3: Application-Specific Key Guidance," NIST SP 800-57 Part 3, March 2007.

Author's Address

TOC

	Jelte Jansen
	NLnet Labs
	Kruislaan 419
	Amsterdam 1098VA
	NL
Email:	jelte@NLnetLabs.nl
URI:	http://www.nlnetlabs.nl/

Full Copyright Statement

TOC

Intellectual Property