

Non-Terminal DNS Name Redirection
<[draft-ietf-dnsind-dname-03.txt](#)>

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#). Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

To view the list Internet-Draft Shadow Directories, see <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

[1.](#) Introduction

This document defines a new DNS Resource Record called ``DNAME'', which provides the capability to map an entire subtree of the DNS name space to another domain. It differs from the CNAME record which maps a single node of the name space.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[KWORD\]](#).

[2.](#) Motivation

This Resource Record and its processing rules were conceived as a solution to the problem of maintaining address-to-name mappings in a context of network renumbering. Without the DNAME mechanism, an authoritative DNS server for the address-to-name mappings of some network must be reconfigured when that network is renumbered. With DNAME, the zone can be constructed so that it needs no modification when renumbered. DNAME can also be useful in other situations, such as when an organizational unit is renamed.

Internet Draft

Non-Terminal Nicknames

March 21, 1999

[3.](#) The DNAME Resource Record

The DNAME RR has mnemonic DNAME and type code 39 (decimal).

DNAME has the following format:

```
<owner> <ttl> <class> DNAME <target>
```

The format is not class-sensitive. All fields are required. The RDATA field <target> is a <domain-name> [\[DNSIS\]](#).

The DNAME RR causes type NS additional section processing.

The effect of the DNAME record is the substitution of the record's <target> for its <owner> as a suffix of a domain name. A "no-descendants" limitation governs the use of DNAMEs in a zone file:

If a DNAME RR is present at a node N, there may be other data at N (except a CNAME or another DNAME), but there MUST be no data at any descendant of N. This restriction applies only to records of the same class as the DNAME record.

This rule assures predictable results when a DNAME record is cached by a server which is not authoritative for the record's zone. It MUST be enforced when authoritative zone data is loaded. Together with the rules for DNS zone authority [\[DNSCLR\]](#) it implies that DNAME and NS records can only coexist at the top of a zone which has only one node.

The compression scheme of [\[DNSIS\]](#) MUST NOT be applied to the RDATA portion of a DNAME record unless the sending server has some way of knowing that the receiver understands the DNAME record format. Signalling such understanding is expected to be the subject of future DNS Extensions.

Naming loops can be created with DNAME records or a combination of DNAME and CNAME records, just as they can with CNAME records alone. Resolvers, including resolvers embedded in DNS servers, MUST limit the resources they devote to any query. Implementors should note, however, that fairly lengthy chains of DNAME records may be valid.

[4.](#) Query Processing

To exploit the DNAME mechanism the name resolution algorithms [[DNSCF](#)] must be modified slightly for both servers and resolvers.

Both modified algorithms incorporate the operation of making a

substitution on a name (either QNAME or SNAME) under control of a DNAME record. This operation will be referred to as "the DNAME substitution".

[4.1.](#) Processing by Servers

For a server performing non-recursive service steps 3.c and 4 of [section 4.3.2](#) [[DNSCF](#)] are changed to check for a DNAME record before checking for a wildcard ("*") label, and to return certain DNAME records from zone data and the cache.

DNS clients sending Extended DNS [[EDNS0](#)] queries with Version 0 or non-extended queries are presumed not to understand the semantics of the DNAME record, so a server which implements this specification, when answering a non-extended query, SHOULD synthesize a CNAME record for each DNAME record encountered during query processing to help the client reach the correct DNS data. The behavior of clients and servers under Extended DNS versions greater than 0 will be specified when those versions are defined.

The synthesized CNAME RR, if provided, MUST have

- The same CLASS as the QCLASS of the query,

- TTL equal to zero,

- An <owner> equal to the QNAME in effect at the moment the DNAME RR was encountered, and

- An RDATA field containing the new QNAME formed by the action of the DNAME substitution.

If the server has the appropriate key on-line [[DNSSEC](#), [SECDYN](#)], it MAY generate and return a SIG RR for the synthesized CNAME RR.

The revised server algorithm is:

1. Set or clear the value of recursion available in the response depending on whether the name server is willing to provide recursive service. If recursive service is available and requested via the RD bit in the query, go to step 5, otherwise step 2.
2. Search the available zones for the zone which is the nearest ancestor to QNAME. If such a zone is found, go to step 3, otherwise step 4.

Expires September 26, 1999

Crawford

[Page 3]

Internet Draft

Non-Terminal Nicknames

March 21, 1999

3. Start matching down, label by label, in the zone. The matching process can terminate several ways:
 - a. If the whole of QNAME is matched, we have found the node.

If the data at the node is a CNAME, and QTYPE doesn't match CNAME, copy the CNAME RR into the answer section of the response, change QNAME to the canonical name in the CNAME RR, and go back to step 1.

Otherwise, copy all RRs which match QTYPE into the answer section and go to step 6.
 - b. If a match would take us out of the authoritative data, we have a referral. This happens when we encounter a node with NS RRs marking cuts along the bottom of a zone.

Copy the NS RRs for the subzone into the authority section of the reply. Put whatever addresses are available into the additional section, using glue RRs if the addresses are not available from authoritative data or the cache. Go to step 4.
 - c. If at some label, a match is impossible (i.e., the corresponding label does not exist), look to see whether the last label matched has a DNAME record.

If a DNAME record exists at that point, copy that record into the answer section. If substitution of its <target>

for its <owner> in QNAME would overflow the legal size for a <domain-name>, set RCODE to YXDOMAIN [[DNSUPD](#)] and exit; otherwise perform the substitution and continue. If the query was not extended [[EDNS0](#)] with a Version indicating understanding of the DNAME record, the server SHOULD synthesize a CNAME record as described above and include it in the answer section. Go back to step 1.

If there was no DNAME record, look to see if the "*" label exists.

If the "*" label does not exist, check whether the name we are looking for is the original QNAME in the query or a name we have followed due to a CNAME. If the name is original, set an authoritative name error in the response and exit. Otherwise just exit.

If the "*" label does exist, match RRs at that node against QTYPE. If any match, copy them into the answer section, but

set the owner of the RR to be QNAME, and not the node with the "*" label. Go to step 6.

4. Start matching down in the cache. If QNAME is found in the cache, copy all RRs attached to it that match QTYPE into the answer section. If QNAME is not found in the cache but a DNAME record is present at an ancestor of QNAME, copy that DNAME record into the answer section. If there was no delegation from authoritative data, look for the best one from the cache, and put it in the authority section. Go to step 6.
5. Use the local resolver or a copy of its algorithm (see resolver section of this memo) to answer the query. Store the results, including any intermediate CNAMEs and DNAMEs, in the answer section of the response.
6. Using local data only, attempt to add other RRs which may be useful to the additional section of the query. Exit.

Note that there will be at most one ancestor with a DNAME as described in step 4 unless some zone's data is in violation of the no-descendants limitation in [section 3](#). An implementation might

take advantage of this limitation by stopping the search of step 3c or step 4 when a DNAME record is encountered.

4.2. Processing by Resolvers

A resolver or a server providing recursive service must be modified to treat a DNAME as somewhat analogous to a CNAME. The resolver algorithm of [DNSCF] [section 5.3.3](#) is modified to renumber step 4.d as 4.e and insert a new 4.d. The complete algorithm becomes:

1. See if the answer is in local information, and if so return it to the client.
2. Find the best servers to ask.
3. Send them queries until one returns a response.
4. Analyze the response, either:
 - a. if the response answers the question or contains a name error, cache the data as well as returning it back to the client.
 - b. if the response contains a better delegation to other servers, cache the delegation information, and go to step 2.

- c. if the response shows a CNAME and that is not the answer itself, cache the CNAME, change the SNAME to the canonical name in the CNAME RR and go to step 1.
- d. if the response shows a DNAME and that is not the answer itself, cache the DNAME. If substitution of the DNAME's <target> for its <owner> in the SNAME would overflow the legal size for a <domain-name>, return an implementation-dependent error to the application; otherwise perform the substitution and go to step 1.
- e. if the response shows a server failure or other bizarre contents, delete the server from the SLIST and go back to step 3.

A resolver or recursive server which understands DNAME records but sends non-extended queries MUST augment step 4.c by deleting from the reply any CNAME records which have an <owner> which is a subdomain of the <owner> of any DNAME record in the response.

[5.](#) Examples of Use

[5.1.](#) Organizational Renaming

If an organization with domain name FROBOZZ.EXAMPLE became part of an organization with domain name ACME.EXAMPLE, it might ease transition by placing information such as this in its old zone.

```
frobozz.example.  DNAME    frobozz-division.acme.example.  
                  MX       10      mailhub.acme.example.
```

The response to an extended recursive query for `www.frobozz.example` would contain, in the answer section, the DNAME record shown above and the relevant RRs for `www.frobozz-division.acme.example`.

[5.2.](#) Classless Delegation of Shorter Prefixes

The classless scheme for `in-addr.arpa` delegation [[INADDR](#)] can be extended to prefixes shorter than 24 bits by use of the DNAME record. For example, the prefix `192.0.8.0/22` can be delegated by the following records.

```
$ORIGIN 0.192.in-addr.arpa.  
8/22    NS      ns.slash-22-holder.example.  
8       DNAME    8.8/22  
9       DNAME    9.8/22  
10      DNAME    10.8/22  
11      DNAME    11.8/22
```

A typical entry in the resulting reverse zone for some host with address 192.0.9.33 might be

```
$ORIGIN 8/22.0.192.in-addr.arpa.  
33.9 PTR somehost.slash-22-holder.example.
```

The same advisory remarks concerning the choice of the "/" character apply here as in [[INADDR](#)].

[5.3.](#) Network Renumbering Support

If IPv4 network renumbering were common, maintenance of address space delegation could be simplified by using DNAME records instead of NS records to delegate.

```
$ORIGIN new-style.in-addr.arpa.  
189.190 DNAME in-addr.example.net.  
  
$ORIGIN in-addr.example.net.  
188 DNAME in-addr.customer.example.  
  
$ORIGIN in-addr.customer.example.  
1 PTR www.customer.example.  
2 PTR mailhub.customer.example.  
; etc ...
```

This would allow the address space 190.189.0.0/16 assigned to the ISP "example.net" to be changed without the necessity of altering the zone files describing the use of that space by the ISP and its customers.

Renumbering IPv4 networks is currently so arduous a task that updating the DNS is only a small part of the labor, so this scheme may have a low value. But it is hoped that in IPv6 the renumbering task will be quite different and the DNAME mechanism may play a useful part.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

This document defines a new DNS Resource Record type with the mnemonic DNAME and type code 39 (decimal). The naming/numbering space is defined in [[DNSIS](#)]. This name and number have already been registered with the IANA.

[7.](#) Security Considerations

The DNAME record is similar to the CNAME record with regard to the consequences of insertion of a spoofed record into a DNS server or resolver, differing in that the DNAME's effect covers a whole subtree of the name space. The facilities of [[DNSSEC](#)] are available to authenticate this record type.

[8.](#) References

- [DNSCF] P.V. Mockapetris, "Domain names - concepts and facilities", [RFC 1034](#).
- [DNSCLR] R. Elz, R. Bush, "Clarifications to the DNS Specification", [RFC 2181](#).
- [DNSIS] P.V. Mockapetris, "Domain names - implementation and specification", [RFC 1035](#).
- [DNSSEC] D. Eastlake, 3rd, C. Kaufman, "Domain Name System Security Extensions", [RFC 2065](#).
- [DNSUPD] P. Vixie, Ed., S. Thomson, Y. Rekhter, J. Bound, "Dynamic Updates in the Domain Name System", [RFC 2136](#).
- [EDNS0] P. Vixie, "Extensions mechanisms for DNS (EDNS0)", Currently [draft-dnsind-edns0-01.txt](#).
- [INADDR] H. Eidnes, G. de Groot, P. Vixie, "Classless IN-ADDR.ARPA delegation", [RFC 2317](#).
- [KWORD] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," [RFC 2119](#).
- [SECDYN] D. Eastlake, 3rd, "Secure Domain Name System Dynamic Update", [RFC 2137](#).

[9.](#) Author's Address

Matt Crawford
Fermilab MS 368
PO Box 500
Batavia, IL 60510
USA

Phone: +1 630 840-3461

EMail: crawdad@fnal.gov

Expires September 26, 1999

Crawford

[Page 9]