Internet Engineering Task Force Internet-Draft Intended status: Experimental Expires: December 26, 2019

D. Wessels P. Barber M. Weinberg Verisign W. Kumari Google W. Hardaker USC/ISI June 24, 2019

Message Digest for DNS Zones draft-ietf-dnsop-dns-zone-digest-00

Abstract

This document describes an experimental protocol and new DNS Resource Record that can be used to provide a message digest over DNS zone data. The ZONEMD Resource Record conveys the message digest data in the zone itself. When a zone publisher includes an ZONEMD record, recipients can verify the zone contents for accuracy and completeness. This provides assurance that received zone data matches published data, regardless of how the zone data has been transmitted and received.

ZONEMD is not designed to replace DNSSEC. Whereas DNSSEC protects individual RRSets (DNS data with fine granularity), ZONEMD protects protects a zone's data as a whole, whether consumed by authoritative name servers, recursive name servers, or any other applications.

As specified at this time, ZONEMD is not designed for use in large, dynamic zones due to the time and resources required for digest calculation. The ZONEMD record described in this document includes fields reserved for future work to support large, dynamic zones.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

Wessels, et al. Expires December 26, 2019

time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 26, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction	<u>3</u>
<u>1.1</u> . Motivation	
<u>1.2</u> . Design Overview	<u>5</u>
<u>1.3</u> . Use Cases	<u>6</u>
<u>1.3.1</u> . Root Zone	<u>6</u>
<u>1.3.2</u> . Providers, Secondaries, and Anycast	<u>6</u>
<u>1.3.3</u> . Response Policy Zones	<u>7</u>
<u>1.3.4</u> . Centralized Zone Data Service	<u>7</u>
<u>1.3.5</u> . General Purpose Comparison Check	<u>7</u>
<u>1.4</u> . Requirements Language	<u>7</u>
$\underline{2}$. The ZONEMD Resource Record	<u>7</u>
2.1. ZONEMD RDATA Wire Format	<u>8</u>
<u>2.1.1</u> . The Serial Field	<u>8</u>
2.1.2. The Digest Type Field	<u>8</u>
<u>2.1.3</u> . The Reserved Field	<u>8</u>
<u>2.1.4</u> . The Digest Field	<u>9</u>
2.2. ZONEMD Presentation Format	<u>9</u>
<u>2.3</u> . ZONEMD Example	<u>9</u>
<u>3</u> . Calculating the Digest	<u>9</u>
<u>3.1</u> . Canonical Format and Ordering	<u>9</u>
<u>3.1.1</u> . Order of RRSets Having the Same Owner Name	<u>10</u>
<u>3.1.2</u> . Duplicate RRs	<u>10</u>
3.2. Add ZONEMD Placeholder	<u>10</u>
<u>3.3</u> . Optionally Sign the Zone	<u>10</u>
<u>3.4</u> . Calculate the Digest	<u>11</u>
<u>3.4.1</u> . Inclusion/Exclusion Rules	<u>11</u>

<u>3.5</u> . Update ZONEMD RR	<u>11</u>
<u>4</u> . Verifying Zone Message Digest	<u>12</u>
<u>4.1</u> . Verifying Multiple Digests	<u>13</u>
5. Scope of Experimentation	<u>13</u>
<u>6</u> . IANA Considerations	<u>14</u>
<u>6.1</u> . ZONEMD RRtype	<u>14</u>
<u>6.2</u> . ZONEMD Digest Type	<u>14</u>
<u>7</u> . Security Considerations	<u>14</u>
7.1. Attacks Against the Zone Digest	<u>14</u>
7.2. Attacks Utilizing the Zone Digest	<u>15</u>
<u>8</u> . Privacy Considerations	<u>15</u>
<u>9</u> . Acknowledgments	<u>15</u>
<u>10</u> . Implementation Status	<u>15</u>
<u>10.1</u> . Authors' Implementation	<u>15</u>
<u>10.2</u> . Shane Kerr's Implementation	<u>16</u>
<u>11</u> . Change Log	<u>16</u>
<u>12</u> . References	<u>19</u>
<u>12.1</u> . Normative References	<u>19</u>
<u>12.2</u> . Informative References	<u>19</u>
Appendix A. Example Zones With Digests	<u>22</u>
A.1. Simple EXAMPLE Zone	<u>22</u>
A.2. Complex EXAMPLE Zone	<u>22</u>
A.3. EXAMPLE Zone with multiple digests	<u>23</u>
A.4. The URI.ARPA Zone	<u>24</u>
A.5. The ROOT-SERVERS.NET Zone	<u>27</u>
Authors' Addresses	<u>29</u>

1. Introduction

In the DNS, a zone is the collection of authoritative resource records (RRs) sharing a common origin ([RFC7719]). Zones are often stored as files on disk in the so-called master file format [RFC1034]. Zones are generally distributed among name servers using the AXFR [RFC5936], and IXFR [RFC1995] protocols. Zone files can also be distributed outside of the DNS, with such protocols as FTP, HTTP, rsync, and even via email. Currently there is no standard way to verify the authenticity of a stand-alone zone.

This document introduces a new RR type that serves as a cryptographic message digest of the data in a zone. It allows a receiver of the zone to verify the zone's authenticity, especially when used in combination with DNSSEC. This technique makes the message digest a part of the zone itself, allowing verification the zone as a whole, no matter how it is transmitted. Furthermore, the digest is based on the wire format of zone data. Thus, it is independent of presentation format, such as changes in whitespace, capitalization, and comments.

DNSSEC provides three strong security guarantees relevant to this protocol:

- 1. whether or not to expect DNSSEC records in the zone,
- 2. whether or not to expect a ZONEMD record in a signed zone, and
- whether or not the ZONEMD record has been altered since it was signed.

This specification is OPTIONAL to implement by both publishers and consumers of zone data.

<u>1.1</u>. Motivation

The motivation for this protocol enhancement is the desire for the ability to verify the authenticity of a stand-alone zone, regardless of how it is transmitted. A consumer of zone data should be able to verify that the data is as-published by the zone operator.

One approach to preventing data tampering and corruption is to secure the distribution channel. The DNS has a number of features that can already be used for channel security. Perhaps the most widely used is DNS transaction signatures (TSIG [RFC2845]). TSIG uses shared secret keys and a message digest to protect individual query and response messages. It is generally used to authenticate and validate UPDATE [RFC2136], AXFR [RFC5936], and IXFR [RFC1995] messages.

DNS Request and Transaction Signatures (SIG(0) [RFC2931]) is another protocol extension designed to authenticate individual DNS transactions. Whereas SIG records were originally designed to cover specific RR types, SIG(0) is used to sign an entire DNS message. Unlike TSIG, SIG(0) uses public key cryptography rather than shared secrets.

The Transport Layer Security protocol suite is also designed to provide channel security. One can easily imagine the distribution of zones over HTTPS-enabled web servers, as well as DNS-over-HTTPS [dns-over-https], and perhaps even a future version of DNS-over-TLS ([RFC7858]).

Unfortunately, the protections provided by these channel security techniques are (in practice) ephemeral and are not retained after the data transfer is complete. They can ensure that the client receives the data from the expected server, and that the data sent by the server is not modified during transmission. However, they do not guarantee that the server transmits the data as originally published, and do not provide any methods to verify data that is read after

transmission is complete. For example, a name server loading saved zone data upon restart cannot guarantee that the on-disk data has not been modified. For these reasons, it is preferable to secure the data itself.

Why not simply rely on DNSSEC, which provides certain data security guarantees? Certainly for zones that are signed, a recipient could validate all of the signed RRSets. Additionally, denial-of-existence records can prove that RRSets have not been added or removed. However, not all RRSets in a zone are signed. The design of DNSSEC stipulates that delegations (non-apex NS records) are not signed, and neither are any glue records. Thus, changes to delegation and glue records cannot be detected by DNSSEC alone. Furthermore, zones that employ NSEC3 with opt-out are susceptible to the removal or addition of names between the signed nodes. Whereas DNSSEC is primarily designed to protect consumers of DNS response messages, this protocol is designed to protect consumers of zones.

There are existing tools and protocols that provide data security, such as OpenPGP [<u>RFC4880</u>] and S/MIME [<u>RFC3851</u>]. In fact, the internic.net site publishes PGP signatures along side the root zone and other files available there. However, this is a detached signature with no strong association to the corresponding zone file other than its timestamp. Non-detached signatures are, of course, possible, but these necessarily change the format of the file being distributed. That is, a zone signed with OpenPGP or S/MIME no longer looks like a DNS zone and could not directly be loaded into a name server. Once loaded the signature data is lost, so it does not survive further propagation.

It seems the desire for data security in DNS zones was envisioned as far back as 1997. [RFC2065] is an obsoleted specification of the first generation DNSSEC Security Extensions. It describes a zone transfer signature, aka AXFR SIG, which is similar to the technique proposed by this document. That is, it proposes ordering all (signed) RRSets in a zone, hashing their contents, and then signing the zone hash. The AXFR SIG is described only for use during zone transfers. It did not postulate the need to validate zone data distributed outside of the DNS. Furthermore, its successor, [RFC2535], omits the AXFR SIG, while at the same time introducing an IXFR SIG.

<u>1.2</u>. Design Overview

This document introduces a new Resource Record type designed to convey a message digest of the content of a zone. The digest is calculated at the time of zone publication. Ideally the zone is signed with DNSSEC to guarantee that any modifications of the digest

can be detected. The procedures for digest calculation and DNSSEC signing are similar (i.e., both require the same ordering of RRs) and can be done in parallel.

The zone digest is designed to be used on zones that are relatively stable and have infrequent updates. As currently specified, the digest is re-calculated over the entire zone content each time. This specification does not provide an efficient mechanism for incremental updates of zone data. It does, however, reserve a field in the ZONEMD record for future work to support incremental zone digest algorithms (e.g. using Merkle trees).

It is expected that verification of a zone digest would be implemented in name server software. That is, a name server can verify the zone data it was given and refuse to serve a zone which fails verification. For signed zones, the name server needs a trust anchor to perform DNSSEC validation. For signed non-root zones, the name server may need to send queries to validate a chain-of-trust. Digest verification could also be performed externally.

<u>1.3</u>. Use Cases

1.3.1. Root Zone

The root zone [InterNIC] is one of the most widely distributed DNS zone on the Internet, served by 930 separate instances [RootServers] at the time of this writing. Additionally, many organizations configure their own name servers to serve the root zone locally. Reasons for doing so include privacy and reduced access time. [RFC7706] describes one, but not the only, way to do this. As the root zone spreads beyond its traditional deployment boundaries, the need for verification of the completeness of the zone contents becomes increasingly important.

<u>1.3.2</u>. Providers, Secondaries, and Anycast

Since its very early days, the developers of the DNS recognized the importance of secondary name servers and service diversity. However, they may not have anticipated the complexity of modern DNS service provisioning which can include multiple third-party providers and hundreds of anycast instances. Instead of a simple primary-tosecondary zone distribution system, today it is possible to have multiple levels, multiple parties, and multiple protocols involved in the distribution of zone data. This complexity introduces new places for problems to arise. The zone digest protects the integrity of data that flows through such systems.

<u>1.3.3</u>. Response Policy Zones

DNS Response Policy Zones is "a method of expressing DNS response policy information inside specially constructed DNS zones..." [RPZ]. A number of companies provide RPZ feeds, which can be consumed by name server and firewall products. Since these are zones, AXFR is often, but not necessarily used for transmission. While RPZ zones can certainly be signed with DNSSEC, the data is not queried directly, and would not be subject to DNSSEC validation.

<u>1.3.4</u>. Centralized Zone Data Service

ICANN operates the Centralized Zone Data Service [CZDS], which is a repository of top-level domain zone files. Users request access to the system, and to individual zones, and are then able to download zone data for certain uses. Adding a zone digest to these would provide CZDS users with assurances that the data has not been modified. Note that ZONEMD could be added to CZDS zone data independently of the zone served by production name servers.

<u>1.3.5</u>. General Purpose Comparison Check

Since the zone digest does not depend on presentation format, it could be used to compare multiple copies of a zone received from different sources, or copies generated by different processes.

<u>1.4</u>. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>BCP</u> <u>14</u> [<u>RFC2119</u>] [<u>RFC8174</u>] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. The ZONEMD Resource Record

This section describes the ZONEMD Resource Record, including its fields, wire format, and presentation format. The Type value for the ZONEMD RR is 63. The ZONEMD RR is class independent. The RDATA of the resource record consists of four fields: Serial, Digest Type, Reserved, and Digest.

This specification utilizes ZONEMD RRs located at the zone apex. Non-apex ZONEMD RRs are not forbidden, but have no meaning in this specification.

A zone MAY contain multiple ZONEMD RRs to support algorithm agility [<u>RFC7696</u>] and rollovers. Each ZONEMD RR MUST specify a unique Digest

Type. It is RECOMMENDED that a zone include only one ZONEMD RR, unless the zone publisher is in the process of transitioning to a new Digest Type.

2.1. ZONEMD RDATA Wire Format

The ZONEMD RDATA wire format is encoded as follows:

<u>2.1.1</u>. The Serial Field

The Serial field is a 32-bit unsigned integer in network order. It is equal to the serial number from the zone's SOA record ([RFC1035] section 3.3.13) for which the message digest was generated.

The zone's serial number is included here in order to make DNS response messages of type ZONEMD meaningful. Without the serial number, a stand-alone ZONEMD digest has no association to any particular instance of a zone.

2.1.2. The Digest Type Field

The Digest Type field is an 8-bit unsigned integer that identifies the algorithm used to construct the digest.

At the time of this writing, SHA384, with value 1, is the only standardized Digest Type defined for ZONEMD records. The Digest Type registry is further described in <u>Section 6</u>.

Digest Type values 240-254 are allocated for Private Use as described in [<u>RFC8126</u>].

2.1.3. The Reserved Field

The Reserved field is an 8-bit unsigned integer, which is always set to zero. This field is reserved for future work to support efficient incremental updates.

2.1.4. The Digest Field

The Digest field is a variable-length sequence of octets containing the message digest. The Digest field MUST NOT be empty. <u>Section 3</u> describes how to calculate the digest for a zone. <u>Section 4</u> describes how to use the digest to verify the contents of a zone.

2.2. ZONEMD Presentation Format

The presentation format of the RDATA portion is as follows:

The Serial field MUST be represented as an unsigned decimal integer.

The Digest Type field MUST be represented as an unsigned decimal integer.

The Reserved field MUST be represented as an unsigned decimal integer set to zero.

The Digest MUST be represented as a sequence of case-insensitive hexadecimal digits. Whitespace is allowed within the hexadecimal text.

2.3. ZONEMD Example

The following example shows a ZONEMD RR.

example.com. 86400 IN ZONEMD 2018031500 1 0 (FEBE3D4CE2EC2FFA4BA99D46CD69D6D29711E55217057BEE 7EB1A7B641A47BA7FED2DD5B97AE499FAFA4F22C6BD647DE)

3. Calculating the Digest

3.1. Canonical Format and Ordering

Calculation of the zone digest REQUIRES the RRs in a zone to be processed in a consistent format and ordering. Correct ordering of the zone depends on (1) ordering of owner names in the zone, (2) ordering of RRSets with the same owner name, and (3) ordering of RRs within an RRSet.

This specification adopts DNSSEC's canonical ordering for names (<u>Section 6.1 of [RFC4034]</u>), and canonical ordering for RRs within an RRSet (<u>Section 6.3 of [RFC4034]</u>). It also adopts DNSSEC's canonical RR form (<u>Section 6.2 of [RFC4034]</u>). However, since DNSSEC does not define a canonical ordering for RRSets having the same owner name, that ordering is defined here.

3.1.1. Order of RRSets Having the Same Owner Name

For the purposes of calculating the zone digest, RRSets having the same owner name MUST be numerically ordered, in ascending order, by their numeric RR TYPE.

<u>3.1.2</u>. Duplicate RRs

As stated in <u>Section 5 of [RFC2181]</u>, it is meaningless for a zone to have multiple RRs with equal owner name, class, type, and RDATA. In the interest of consistency and interoperability, such duplicate RRs MUST NOT be included in the calculation of a zone digest.

3.2. Add ZONEMD Placeholder

In preparation for calculating the zone digest, any existing ZONEMD records at the zone apex MUST first be deleted.

Prior to calculation of the digest, and prior to signing with DNSSEC, a placeholder ZONEMD record MUST be added to the zone apex. This serves two purposes: (1) it allows the digest to cover the Serial, Digest Type, and Reserved field values, and (2) ensures that appropriate denial-of-existence (NSEC, NSEC3) records are created if the zone is signed with DNSSEC.

It is RECOMMENDED that the TTL of the ZONEMD record match the TTL of the SOA.

In the placeholder record, the Serial field MUST be set to the current SOA Serial. The Digest Type field MUST be set to the value for the chosen digest algorithm. The Reserved field MUST be set to zero. The Digest field MUST be set to all zeroes and of length appropriate for the chosen digest algorithm.

If multiple digests are to be published in the zone, e.g., during an algorithm rollover, there MUST be one placeholder record for each Digest Type.

3.3. Optionally Sign the Zone

Following addition of placeholder records, the zone MAY be signed with DNSSEC. Note that when the digest calculation is complete, and the ZONEMD record is updated, the signature(s) for the ZONEMD RRSet MUST be recalculated and updated as well. Therefore, the signer is not required to calculate a signature over the placeholder record at this step in the process, but it is harmless to do so.

Internet-Draft

DNS Zone Digest

<u>3.4</u>. Calculate the Digest

The zone digest is calculated by concatenating the canonical on-thewire form (without name compression) of all RRs in the zone, in the order described above, subject to the inclusion/exclusion rules described below, and then applying the digest algorithm:

digest = digest_algorithm(RR(1) | RR(2) | RR(3) | ...)

where "|" denotes concatenation, and

RR(i) = owner | type | class | TTL | RDATA length | RDATA

3.4.1. Inclusion/Exclusion Rules

When calculating the digest, the following inclusion/exclusion rules apply:

- o All records in the zone, including glue records, MUST be included.
- o Occluded data ([RFC5936] Section 3.5) MUST be included.
- o Duplicate RRs with equal owner, class, type, and RDATA MUST NOT be included.
- o The placeholder ZONEMD RR(s) MUST be included.
- o If the zone is signed, DNSSEC RRs MUST be included, except:
- o The RRSIG covering ZONEMD MUST NOT be included because the RRSIG will be updated after all digests have been calculated.

3.5. Update ZONEMD RR

Once the zone digest has been calculated, its value is then copied to the Digest field of the ZONEMD record.

If the zone is signed with DNSSEC, the appropriate RRSIG records covering the ZONEMD RRSet MUST then be added or updated. Because the ZONEMD placeholder was added prior to signing, the zone will already have the appropriate denial-of-existence (NSEC, NSEC3) records.

Some implementations of incremental DNSSEC signing might update the zone's serial number for each resigning. However, to preserve the calculated digest, generation of the ZONEMD signature at this time MUST NOT also result in a change of the SOA serial number.

4. Verifying Zone Message Digest

The recipient of a zone that has a message digest record can verify the zone by calculating the digest as follows:

- The verifier SHOULD first determine whether or not to expect DNSSEC records in the zone. This can be done by examining locally configured trust anchors, or querying for (and validating) DS RRs in the parent zone. For zones that are provably insecure, digest validation continues at step 4 below.
- 2. For zones that are provably secure, the existence of the apex ZONEMD record MUST be verified. If the ZONEMD record provably does not exist, digest verification cannot be done. If the ZONEMD record does provably exist, but is not found in the zone, digest verification MUST NOT be considered successful.
- 3. For zones that are provably secure, the SOA and ZONEMD RRSets MUST have valid signatures, chaining up to a trust anchor. If DNSSEC validation of the SOA or ZONEMD records fails, digest verification MUST NOT be considered successful.
- 4. If the zone contains more than one apex ZONEMD RR, digest verification MUST NOT be considered successful.
- 5. The SOA Serial field MUST exactly match the ZONEMD Serial field. If the fields to not match, digest verification MUST NOT be considered successful.
- The ZONEMD Digest Type field MUST be checked. If the verifier does not support the given digest type, it SHOULD report that the zone digest could not be verified due to an unsupported algorithm.
- 7. The Reserved field MUST be checked. If the Reserved field value is not zero, verification MUST NOT be considered successful.
- 8. The received Digest Type and Digest values are copied to a temporary location.
- 9. The ZONEMD RR's RDATA is reset to the placeholder values described in <u>Section 3.2</u>.
- 10. The zone digest is computed over the zone data as described in <u>Section 3.4</u>.
- 11. The calculated digest is compared to the received digest stored in the temporary location. If the two digest values match,

verification is considered successful. Otherwise, verification MUST NOT be considered successful.

12. The ZONEMD RR's RDATA is reset to the received Digest Type and Digest stored in the temporary location. Thus, any downstream clients can similarly verify the zone.

<u>4.1</u>. Verifying Multiple Digests

If multiple digests are present in the zone, e.g., during an algorithm rollover, at least one of the recipient's supported Digest Type algorithms MUST verify the zone.

It is RECOMMENDED that implementations maintain a (possibly configurable) list of supported Digest Type algorithms ranked from most to least preferred. It is further RECOMMENDED that recipients use only their most preferred algorithm that is present in the zone for digest verification.

As a matter of local policy, the recipient MAY require that all supported and present Digest Type algorithms verify the zone.

5. Scope of Experimentation

This memo is published as an Experimental RFC. The purpose of the experimental period is to provide the community time to analyze and evaluate the methods defined in this document, particularly with regard to the wide variety of DNS zones in use on the Internet.

Additionally, the ZONEMD record defined in this document includes a Reserved field in the form of an 8-bit integer. The authors have a particular future use in mind for this field, namely to support efficient digests in large, dynamic zones. We intend to conduct future experiments using Merkle trees of varying depth. The choice of tree depth can be encoded in this reserved field. We expect values for tree depth to range from 0 to 10, requiring at most four bits of this field. This leaves another four bits available for other future uses, if absolutely necessary.

The duration of the experiment is expected to be no less than two years from the publication of this document. If the experiment is successful, it is expected that the findings of the experiment will result in an updated document for Standards Track approval.

6. IANA Considerations

<u>6.1</u>. ZONEMD RRtype

This document defines a new DNS RR type, ZONEMD, whose value 63 has been allocated by IANA from the "Resource Record (RR) TYPEs" subregistry of the "Domain Name System (DNS) Parameters" registry:

Type: ZONEMD

Value: 63

Meaning: Message Digest Over Zone Data

Reference: This document

6.2. ZONEMD Digest Type

This document asks IANA to create a new "ZONEMD Digest Types" registry with initial contents as follows:

Value	Description	Status	Reference
1	SHA384	Mandatory	[<u>RFC6605</u>]
240-254	Private Use		[<u>RFC8126</u>]

Table 1: ZONEMD Digest Types

Security Considerations

7.1. Attacks Against the Zone Digest

The zone digest allows the receiver to verify that the zone contents haven't been modified since the zone was generated/published. Verification is strongest when the zone is also signed with DNSSEC. An attacker, whose goal is to modify zone content before it is used by the victim, may consider a number of different approaches.

The attacker might perform a downgrade attack to an unsigned zone. This is why <u>Section 4</u> RECOMMENDS that the verifier determine whether or not to expect DNSSEC signatures for the zone in step 1.

The attacker might perform a downgrade attack by removing the ZONEMD record. This is why <u>Section 4</u> REQUIRES that the verifier checks DNSSEC denial-of-existence proofs in step 2.

The attacker might alter the Digest Type or Digest fields of the ZONEMD record. Such modifications are detectable only with DNSSEC validation.

7.2. Attacks Utilizing the Zone Digest

Nothing in this specification prevents clients from making, and servers from responding to, ZONEMD queries. One might consider how well ZONEMD responses could be used in a distributed denial-ofservice amplification attack.

The ZONEMD RR is moderately sized, much like the DS RR. A single ZONEMD RR contributes approximately 40 to 65 octets to a DNS response, for currently defined digest types. Certainly other query types result in larger amplification effects (i.e., DNSKEY).

<u>8</u>. Privacy Considerations

This specification has no impacts on user privacy.

9. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank David Blacka, Scott Hollenbeck, and Rick Wilhelm for providing feedback on early drafts of this document. Additionally, they thank Joe Abley, Mark Andrews, Olafur Gudmundsson, Paul Hoffman, Evan Hunt, Shumon Huque, Tatuya Jinmei, Burt Kaliski, Shane Kerr, Matt Larson, John Levine, Ed Lewis, Matt Pounsett, Mukund Sivaraman, Petr Spacek, Ondrej Sury, Florian Weimer, Tim Wicinksi, Paul Wouters, and other members of the dnsop working group for their input.

10. Implementation Status

<u>10.1</u>. Authors' Implementation

The authors have an open source implementation in C, using the ldns library [<u>ldns-zone-digest</u>]. This implementation is able to perform the following functions:

- o Read an input zone and output a zone with the ZONEMD placeholder.
- o Compute zone digest over signed zone and update the ZONEMD record.
- o Re-compute DNSSEC signature over the ZONEMD record.
- o Verify the zone digest from an input zone.

This implementation does not:

- o Perform DNSSEC validation of the ZONEMD record.
- o Support the Gost digest algorithm.
- o Output the ZONEMD record in its defined presentation format.

10.2. Shane Kerr's Implementation

Shane Kerr wrote an implementation of this specification during the IETF 102 hackathon [ZoneDigestHackathon]. This implementation is in Python and is able to perform the following functions:

- o Read an input zone and a output zone with ZONEMD record.
- o Verify the zone digest from an input zone.
- o Output the ZONEMD record in its defined presentation format.
- o Generate Gost digests.

This implementation does not:

- o Re-compute DNSSEC signature over the ZONEMD record.
- o Perform DNSSEC validation of the ZONEMD record.

<u>11</u>. Change Log

RFC Editor: Please remove this section.

This section lists substantial changes to the document as it is being worked on.

From -00 to -01:

- o Removed requirement to sort by RR CLASS.
- o Added Kumari and Hardaker as coauthors.
- o Added Change Log section.
- o Minor clarifications and grammatical edits.

From -01 to -02:

- o Emphasize desire for data security over channel security.
- o Expanded motivation into its own subsection.

- o Removed discussion topic whether or not to include serial in ZONEMD.
- Clarified that a zone's NS records always sort before the SOA record.
- o Clarified that all records in the zone must are digested, except as specified in the exclusion rules.
- o Added for discussion out-of-zone and occluded records.
- o Clarified that update of ZONEMD signature must not cause a serial number change.
- o Added persons to acknowledgments.

From -02 to -03:

- o Added recommendation to set ZONEMD TTL to SOA TTL.
- o Clarified that digest input uses uncompressed names.
- o Updated Implementations section.
- o Changed intended status from Standards Track to Experimental and added Scope of Experiment section.
- o Updated Motivation, Introduction, and Design Overview sections in response to working group discussion.
- Gave ZONEMD digest types their own status, separate from DS digest types. Request IANA to create a registry.
- o Added Reserved field for future work supporting dynamic updates.
- o Be more rigorous about having just ONE ZONEMD record in the zone.
- o Expanded use cases.

From -03 to -04:

- o Added an appendix with example zones and digests.
- o Clarified that only apex ZONEMD RRs shall be processed.

From -04 to -05:

o Made SHA384 the only supported ZONEMD digest type.

- o Disassociated ZONEMD digest types from DS digest types.
- o Updates to Introduction based on list feedback.
- o Changed "zone file" to "zone" everywhere.
- o Restored text about why ZONEMD has a Serial field.
- Clarified ordering of RRSets having same owner to be numerically ascending.
- o Clarified that all duplicate RRs (not just SOA) must be suppressed in digest calculation.
- o Clarified that the Reserved field must be set to zero and checked for zero in verification.
- o Clarified that occluded data must be included.
- Clarified procedure for verification, using temporary location for received digest.
- o Explained why Reserved field is 8-bits.
- o IANA Considerations section now more specific.
- o Added complex zone to examples.
- 0

```
From -05 to -06:
```

o RR type code 63 was assigned to ZONEMD by IANA.

From -06 to -07:

- o Fixed mistakes in ZONEMD examples.
- o Added private use Digest Type values 240-254.
- o Clarified that Digest field must not be empty.
- From -07 to <u>draft-ietf-dnsop-dns-zone-digest-00</u>:
- o Adopted by dnsop.
- o Clarified further that non-apex ZONEMD RRs have no meaning.

- o Changed "provably [un]signed" to "provably [in]secure".
- o Allow multiple ZONEMD RRs to support algorithm agility/rollovers.
- o Describe verification when there are multiple ZONEMD RRs.

<u>12</u>. References

<u>12.1</u>. Normative References

- [RFC1034] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names concepts and facilities", STD 13, <u>RFC 1034</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC1034, November 1987, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1034</u>>.
- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names implementation and specification", STD 13, <u>RFC 1035</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC1035, November 1987, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1035</u>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.
- [RFC2181] Elz, R. and R. Bush, "Clarifications to the DNS Specification", <u>RFC 2181</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2181, July 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2181</u>>.
- [RFC4034] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions", <u>RFC 4034</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4034, March 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4034>.
- [RFC6605] Hoffman, P. and W. Wijngaards, "Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) for DNSSEC", <u>RFC 6605</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6605, April 2012, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6605</u>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in <u>RFC</u> 2119 Key Words", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 8174</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</u>>.

<u>12.2</u>. Informative References

[CZDS] Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers, "Centralized Zone Data Service", October 2018, <<u>https://czds.icann.org/</u>>.

[dns-over-https]

Hoffman, P. and P. McManus, "DNS Queries over HTTPS (DOH)", <u>draft-ietf-doh-dns-over-https-12</u> (work in progress), June 2018, <<u>https://tools.ietf.org/html/</u> draft-ietf-doh-dns-over-https-12>.

[InterNIC]

ICANN, "InterNIC FTP site", May 2018, <<u>ftp://ftp.internic.net/domain/</u>>.

- [ldns-zone-digest]
 Verisign, "Implementation of Message Digests for DNS Zones
 using the ldns library", July 2018,
 <<u>https://github.com/verisign/ldns-zone-digest</u>>.
- [RFC1995] Ohta, M., "Incremental Zone Transfer in DNS", <u>RFC 1995</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC1995, August 1996, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1995</u>>.
- [RFC2065] Eastlake 3rd, D. and C. Kaufman, "Domain Name System Security Extensions", <u>RFC 2065</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2065, January 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2065</u>>.
- [RFC2136] Vixie, P., Ed., Thomson, S., Rekhter, Y., and J. Bound, "Dynamic Updates in the Domain Name System (DNS UPDATE)", <u>RFC 2136</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2136, April 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2136>.
- [RFC2535] Eastlake 3rd, D., "Domain Name System Security Extensions", <u>RFC 2535</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2535, March 1999, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2535</u>>.
- [RFC2845] Vixie, P., Gudmundsson, O., Eastlake 3rd, D., and B. Wellington, "Secret Key Transaction Authentication for DNS (TSIG)", <u>RFC 2845</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2845, May 2000, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2845>.
- [RFC2931] Eastlake 3rd, D., "DNS Request and Transaction Signatures (SIG(0)s)", <u>RFC 2931</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2931, September 2000, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2931</u>>.
- [RFC3851] Ramsdell, B., Ed., "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.1 Message Specification", <u>RFC 3851</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC3851, July 2004, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3851</u>>.

- [RFC4880] Callas, J., Donnerhacke, L., Finney, H., Shaw, D., and R. Thayer, "OpenPGP Message Format", <u>RFC 4880</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4880, November 2007, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4880</u>>.
- [RFC5936] Lewis, E. and A. Hoenes, Ed., "DNS Zone Transfer Protocol (AXFR)", <u>RFC 5936</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5936, June 2010, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5936</u>>.
- [RFC7696] Housley, R., "Guidelines for Cryptographic Algorithm Agility and Selecting Mandatory-to-Implement Algorithms", <u>BCP 201</u>, <u>RFC 7696</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7696, November 2015, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7696</u>>.
- [RFC7706] Kumari, W. and P. Hoffman, "Decreasing Access Time to Root Servers by Running One on Loopback", <u>RFC 7706</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7706, November 2015, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7706</u>>.
- [RFC7719] Hoffman, P., Sullivan, A., and K. Fujiwara, "DNS Terminology", <u>RFC 7719</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7719, December 2015, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7719</u>>.
- [RFC7858] Hu, Z., Zhu, L., Heidemann, J., Mankin, A., Wessels, D., and P. Hoffman, "Specification for DNS over Transport Layer Security (TLS)", <u>RFC 7858</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7858, May 2016, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7858</u>>.
- [RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", <u>BCP 26</u>, <u>RFC 8126</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126</u>>.
- [RootServers]
 - Root Server Operators, "Root Server Technical Operations", July 2018, <<u>https://www.root-servers.org/</u>>.
- [RPZ] Vixie, P. and V. Schryver, "DNS Response Policy Zones (RPZ)", draft-vixie-dnsop-dns-rpz-00 (work in progress), June 2018, <<u>https://tools.ietf.org/html/</u> draft-vixie-dnsop-dns-rpz-00>.

[ZoneDigestHackathon]

Kerr, S., "Prototype implementation of ZONEMD for the IETF 102 hackathon in Python", July 2018, <<u>https://github.com/shane-kerr/ZoneDigestHackathon</u>>.

Appendix A. Example Zones With Digests

This appendix contains example zones with accurate ZONEMD records. These can be used to verify an implementation of the zone digest protocol.

A.1. Simple EXAMPLE Zone

Here, the EXAMPLE zone contains an SOA record, NS and glue records, and a ZONEMD record.

example.	86400	IN	SOA	ns1 admin 2018031900 (
				1800 900 604800 86400)
	86400	IN	NS	ns1
	86400	IN	NS	ns2
	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 1 0 (
				379ec2587d4fff35
				0062b9385a641476
				6f9c028e8cf09d8a
				7965537a68a2f149
				4e1c151f8cf6be05
				5bef4f27e6a87b13)
ns1	3600	IN	А	127.0.0.1
ns2	3600	IN	AAAA	::1

A.2. Complex EXAMPLE Zone

Here, the EXAMPLE zone contains duplicate RRs, and an occluded RR, and one out-of-zone RR.

Internet-Draft			DNS Zon	June 2019	
example.	86400	IN	S0A	ns1 admin 2018031900 (1800 900 604800 86400)	
	86400	IN	NS	ns1	
	86400	IN	NS	ns2	
	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 1 0 (c36e77eafdb7f3f6 dcfac8bca1121e17 2a7b57db2c88409a 5c3d9247ba72b759 6c735c1a76fc817a ad5c834f5a4bce16)	
ns1	3600	IN	А	127.0.0.1	
ns2 occluded.sub sub duplicate duplicate foo.test. non-apex	3600 7200 300 300 555 900	IN IN IN IN IN	NS TXT	<pre>::1 "I'm occluded but must be ns1 "I must be digested just "I must be digested just "out-of-zone data must be 2018031900 1 0 (616c6c6f77656420 6275742069676e6f 7265642e20616c6c 6f77656420627574 2069676e6f726564 2e20616c6c6f7765)</pre>	once" once"

A.3. EXAMPLE Zone with multiple digests

Here, the EXAMPLE zone contains multiple ZONEMD records. Since only one Digest Type is defined at this time (SHA384), this example utilizes additional ZONEMD records with Digest Type values in the private range (240-254). These additional private-range digests are not verifiable, but note that their other fields (Serial, Reserved, Digest Type) are included in the calculation of all ZONEMD digests.

example.	86400	IN	SOA	ns1 admin 2018031900 (
				1800 900 604800 86400)
example.	86400	IN	NS	ns1.example.
example.	86400	IN	NS	ns2.example.
example.	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 1 0 (
				c0218e8eeb4f0275
				d54c0e5ce7791f4d
				23742b4756708d50
				d7121a11d434baa8
				f869ebbb071a4bbb
				0457c87870bc8cdd)
example.	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 240 0 (
				e2d523f654b9422a
				96c5a8f44607bbee)
example.	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 241 0 (
				5732dd91240611f8
				314adb6b4769bdd2)
example.	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 242 0 (
				7c32e06779315c7d
				81ba8c72f5cf9116
				496b6395)
example.	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 243 0 (
				183770af4a629f80
				2e674e305b8d0d11
				3dfe0837)
example.	86400	IN	ZONEMD	2018031900 244 0 (
				e1846540e33a9e41
				89792d18d5d131f6
_				05fc283e)
ns1.example.	3600	IN	A	127.0.0.1
ns2.example.	86400	IN	TXT	"This example has multiple digests"
ns2.example.	3600	IN	AAAA	::1

A.4. The URI.ARPA Zone

The URI.ARPA zone retrieved 2018-10-21.

; <<>> DiG 9.9.4 <<>> @lax.xfr.dns.icann.org uri.arpa axfr ; (2 servers found) ;; global options: +cmd IN uri.arpa. 3600 SOA sns.dns.icann.org. (noc.dns.icann.org. 2018100702 10800 3600 1209600 3600) uri.arpa. 3600 RRSIG NSEC 8 2 3600 (ΙN 20181028142623 20181007205525 47155 uri.arpa. eEC4w/oXLR1Epwgv4MBiDtSBsXhqrJVvJWUpbX8XpetAvD35bxwNCUTi /pAJVUXefegWeiriD2rkTgCBCMmn7YQIm3gdR+HjY/+o3BXNQnz97f+e HAE9EDDzoNVfL1PyV/2fde9tDeUuAGVVwmD399NGq9jWYMRpyri2kysr q/g=) uri.arpa. 86400 ΙN RRSIG NS 8 2 86400 (

20181028172020 20181007175821 47155 uri.arpa. ATyV2A2A8ZoggC+68u4GuP5M0UuR+2rr3eW0kEU55zAHld/7FiBx14ln 4byJYy7NudUwlMOEXajqFZE7DV18PpcvrP3HeeGaVzKqaWj+aus0jbKF Bsvs2b1qDZemBfkz/IfAhUTJKnto0vSUicJKfItu0GjyYNJCz2CqEuGD Wxc=) 600 RRSIG MX 8 2 600 (uri.arpa. IΝ 20181028170556 20181007175821 47155 uri.arpa. e7/r3KXDohX1lyVavetFF0bp8fB8aXT76HnN9KCQDxSnSghNM83UQV0t lTtD8JVeN1mCvcNFZpagwIgB7XhTtm6Beur/m5ES+4uSnVeS6Q66HBZK A3mR95IpevuVIZvvJ+GcCAQpBo6KR0DYvJ/c/ZG6sfYWkZ7qg/Em5/+3 4UI=) uri.arpa. 3600 IN RRSIG DNSKEY 8 2 3600 (20181028152832 20181007175821 15796 uri.arpa. nzpbnh00qsgBBP8St28pLvPEQ3wZAUdEBuUwil+rtjjWlYYiqjPxZ286 XF4Rq1usfV5x71jZz5Iqsw0aQgia91ylodFpLuXD6FTGs2nXGhNKkg1V chHgtwj70mXU72GefVgo8TxrFYzxuEFP5ZTP92t97FVWVVyyFd86sbbR 6DZj3uA2wEvqBVLECgJLrMQ9Yy7MueJl3UA4h4E6z02JY9Yp0W9woq0B dgkkwYTwzogyYffPmGAJG91RJ2h6cHtFjEZe2MnaY2glgniZ0WT9vXXd uFPm0KD9U77Ac+ZtctAF9tsZwSdAoL365E2L1usZbA+K0BnPPqGFJRJk 5R0A1w==) 3600 uri.arpa. IΝ RRSIG DNSKEY 8 2 3600 (20181028152832 20181007175821 55480 uri.arpa. lWtQV/5szQjkXmbcD47/+rOW8kJPksRFHlzxxmzt906+DBYyfrH6uq5X nHvrUlQ06M12uhqDeL+bDFVgqSpNy+42/0aZvaK3J8EzPZVBHPJykKMV 63T83aAiJrAyHz0aEdmzLCpalqcEE2ImzlLHSafManRfJL8Yuv+JDZFj 2WDWfEcUuwkmIZWX11zxp+DxwzyUlRl7x4+ok5iKZWIg5UnBAf6B8T75 WnXzlhCw3F2pXI0a5LYq71L3Tp/xhjN6Yy9jGlIRf5BjB59X2zra3a2R PkI09SSnuEwHyF1mDaV5BmQrLGRnCjvwXA7ho2m+vv4SP5dUdXf+GTeA 1HeBfw==) uri.arpa. 3600 IN RRSIG SOA 8 2 3600 (20181029114753 20181008222815 47155 uri.arpa. qn8yBNoHDjGdT79U2Wu9IIahoS0YPOgYP8lG+qwPcrZ1BwGiHywuoUa2 Mx6BWZlg+HDyaxj2iOmox+IIqoUHhXUb07IUkJFlgrOKCgAR2twDHrXu 9BU0Hy9SoV16wYm3kBTEPyxW5FFm8vcdnKAF7sxSY8BbaYNpRIEjDx4A JUc=) uri.arpa. 3600 IΝ NSEC ftp.uri.arpa. NS SOA (MX RRSIG NSEC DNSKEY) NS 86400 uri.arpa. IΝ a.iana-servers.net. ΙN NS uri.arpa. 86400 b.iana-servers.net. uri.arpa. 86400 ΙN NS c.iana-servers.net. uri.arpa. 86400 NS ns2.lacnic.net. ΙN uri.arpa. 86400 IΝ NS sec3.apnic.net. uri.arpa. 600 IΝ MX 10 pechora.icann.org. uri.arpa. 3600 IΝ DNSKEY 256 3 8 (AwEAAcBi7tSart2J599zbYWspMNGN70IBWb4zigy0YH9MTB/VCz6WyUK uXunwiJJbbQ3bcLqTLWEw134B6cTMHrZpjTAb5WAwg4XcWUu8mdcPTiL Bl6qVRlRD0WiFCTzuYUfkwsh1Rbr7rvrxSQhF5rh71zSpwV5jjjp65Wx SdJjlH0B)

uri.arpa. 3600 IN DNSKEY 257 3 8 (AwEAAbNVv6ulgRd031MtAehz7j3ALRjwZglWesnzvllQl/+hBRZr9QoY c02I+Dk04Q1NKxox4DUIxj8SxP03GwDu0FR9q2/CFi200mZjafbdYtWc

3zSdBbi3q0cwCIx7GuG9eqlL+pg7mdk9dgdNZfHwB0LnqTD8ebLPsr0/ Id7kBaigY0fMlZnh2fp+2h600JZHtY0DK1UlssyB5PKsE0tVzo5s6zo9 iXKe5u+8WTMaGDY49vG80JPAKE7ezMiH/NZcUMiE0PRZ8D3foq2dYuS5 ym+vA83Z7v8A+Rwh4UGnjxKB8zmr803V0ASAmHz/gwH5Vb0nH+L0bwFt 13wpbp+Wpm8=) uri.arpa. 3600 IΝ DNSKEY 257 3 8 (AwEAAbwnFTakCvaUKsXji4mgmxZUJi1IygbnGahbkmFEa0L16J+TchKR wcgzVfsxUGa2MmeA4hgkAooC3uy+tTmoMsgy8uq/JAj24DjiHzd46LfD FK/qMidVqFpYSHeq2Vv5ojkuIsx4oe4KsafGWYNOczKZqH5loGjN2aJG mrIm++XCphOskqCsQY165MIzuXffzJyx1Auts+ecAIiVeqRaqQfr8LRU 7wIsLxinXirprtQrbor+EtvlHp9qXE6ARTZDzf4jvsNpKvLFZtmxzFf3 e/UJz5eHjpwDSiZL7xE8aE1o1nGfPtJx9ZnB3bapltaJ5wY+5X0CKgY0 xmJVvNQlwdE=)

ftp.uri.arpa. 3600 IN RRSIG NSEC 8 3 3600 (
 20181028080856 20181007175821 47155 uri.arpa.
 HClGAqPxzkYkAT7Q/QNtQeB6YrkP6EP0ef+9Qo5/2zngwAewXEAQiyF9
 jD1USJiroM11QqBS3v3aIdW/LXORs4Ez3hLcKN01cKHs0uWAqzmE+BPP
 Arfh8N95jqh/q6vpaB9UtMkQ53tM2fYU1Gsz0LN0knxbHgDHAh2axMGH lqM=)

ftp.uri.arpa. 604800 IN RRSIG NAPTR 8 3 604800 (
 20181028103644 20181007205525 47155 uri.arpa.
 WoLi+vZzkxaoLr2IGZnwkRvcDf6KxiWQd1WZP/U+AWnV+7MiqsWPZaf0
 9toRErerGoFOiOASNxZjBGJrRgjmavOM9U+LZSconP9zrNFd4dIu6kp5
 YxlQJ0uH0vx1ZHFCj6lAt1ACUIw04ZhMydTmi27c8MzEOMepvn7iH7r7 k7k=)

ftp.uri.arpa. 3600 IN NSEC http.uri.arpa. NAPTR (RRSIG NSEC)

ftp.uri.arpa. 604800 IN NAPTR 0 0 "" "" (
 "!^ftp://([^:/?#]*).*\$!\\1!i" .)

http.uri.arpa. 3600 IN RRSIG NSEC 8 3 3600 (
 20181029010647 20181007175821 47155 uri.arpa.
 U03NntQ73LHWpfLmUK8nMsqkwVs0GW2KdsyuHYAjqQSZvKbtmbv7HBmE
 H1+Ii3Z+wtfdMZBy5aC/6sHdx69BfZJs16xumycMlAy6325DKTQbIMN+
 ift9GrKBC7cgCd2msF/uzSrYxxg4MJQzBPvlkwXnY3b7eJSlIXisBIn7 3b8=)

http.uri.arpa. 604800 IN RRSIG NAPTR 8 3 604800 (
 20181029011815 20181007205525 47155 uri.arpa.
 T7mRrdag+WSmG+n22mtBSQ/0Y3v+rdDnfQV90LN5Fq32N5K2iYFajF7F
 Tp56o0znytfcL4fHrq0E0wRc9NW0CCUec9C7Wa1gJQcllEvgoAM+L6f0
 RsEjWq6+9jvlLKMXQv0xQuMX17338uoD/xiAFQSnDbiQKxwWMqVAimv5 7Zs=)

"!^http://([^:/?#]*).*\$!\\1!i" .)

mailto.uri.arpa. 3600 IN RRSIG NSEC 8 3 3600 (
 20181028110727 20181007175821 47155 uri.arpa.
 GvxzVL85rEukwGqtuLxek9ipwjBMfT0FIEyJ7afC8HxVMs6mfFa/nEM/
 IdFvvFg+lcYoJSQYuSAVYF13xPbgrxVSLK125QutCFMdC/YjuZEnq5cl
 fQciMRD7R3+znZfm8d8u/snLV9w4D+lTBZrJJUBe1Efc8vum5vvV7819 ZoY=)
mailto.uri.arpa. 604800 IN RRSIG NAPTR 8 3 604800 (
 20181028141825 20181007205525 47155 uri.arpa.

MaADUgc3fc5v++M0YmqjGk3jBdfIA5RuP62hUSlPsFZ04k37erjIGCfF j+g84yc+QgbSde0PQHsz19fE/+SU5ZXiS9YdcbzSZxp2erFpZ0Tchrpg 916T4vx6i59scodjb0l6bDyZ+mtIPrc1w6b4hUy0UTsDQoAJYxdfEuMg Vy4=) mailto.uri.arpa. 3600 IΝ NSEC urn.uri.arpa. NAPTR (RRSIG NSEC) 0 0 "" "" (mailto.uri.arpa. 604800 IN NAPTR "!^mailto:(.*)@(.*)\$!\\2!i" .) urn.uri.arpa. 3600 ΙN RRSIG NSEC 8 3 3600 (20181028123243 20181007175821 47155 uri.arpa. Hqsw4Deops108uWyELGe6hpR/0EqCnTHvahlwiQkHh05CSEQrbhmFAWe UOkmGAdTEYrSz+skLRQuITRMwzyFf4oUkZihGyhZyzHbcxWfuDc/Pd/9 DS156gdeBwy1evn5wBTms8yWQVkNtphbJH395gRqZuaJs3LD/qTyJ5Dp LvA=) urn.uri.arpa. 604800 IN RRSIG NAPTR 8 3 604800 (20181029071816 20181007205525 47155 uri.arpa. ALIZD0vBqAQQt40GQ0Efaj80CyE9xSRJRdyvyn/H/wZVXFRFKrQYrLAS D/K7q6CMT0xTRCu2J8yes63WJiaJEdnh+dscXzZkm0g4n5PsgZbkvUSW BiGtxvz5jNncM0xVbkjbtByrvJQA01cU1mnlDKe1FmVB1uLpVdA9Ib4J hMU=) urn.uri.arpa. 3600 ΙN NSEC uri.arpa. NAPTR RRSIG (NSEC) 0 0 "" "" (urn.uri.arpa. NAPTR 604800 IN "/urn:([^:]+)/\\1/i" .) uri.arpa. 3600 ΙN S0A sns.dns.icann.org. (noc.dns.icann.org. 2018100702 10800 3600 1209600 3600) ;; Query time: 66 msec ;; SERVER: 192.0.32.132#53(192.0.32.132) ;; WHEN: Sun Oct 21 20:39:28 UTC 2018 ;; XFR size: 34 records (messages 1, bytes 3941) uri.arpa. 3600 IN ZONEMD 2018100702 1 0 (e4de6ed36e5d95706756932fae3ecbc6aeb76e16ce486a5553c7e4 c9974d03323e7cd39ccc5e70e797179633f4007bad)

A.5. The ROOT-SERVERS.NET Zone

The ROOT-SERVERS.NET zone retreived 2018-10-21.

root convore not	2600000		a root corvers pot (
root-servers.net.	3600000]					
• •			00 14400 7200 1209600 3600000)			
root-servers.net.	3600000 1		a.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		b.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000 1		c.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		d.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		e.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		f.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		g.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		h.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		i.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		j.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		k.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		1.root-servers.net.			
root-servers.net.	3600000]		m.root-servers.net.			
a.root-servers.net.	3600000]		A 2001:503:ba3e::2:30			
a.root-servers.net.	3600000]		198.41.0.4			
b.root-servers.net.	3600000]		20 mail.isi.edu.			
b.root-servers.net.	3600000]					
b.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN A	199.9.14.201			
c.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN AAA	A 2001:500:2::c			
c.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	192.33.4.12			
d.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:500:2d::d			
d.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	199.7.91.13			
e.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:500:a8::e			
e.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN A	192.203.230.10			
f.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:500:2f::f			
f.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	192.5.5.241			
g.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN AAA	A 2001:500:12::d0d			
g.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	192.112.36.4			
h.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:500:1::53			
h.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	198.97.190.53			
i.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN MX	10 mx.i.root-servers.org.			
i.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:7fe::53			
i.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	192.36.148.17			
j.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:503:c27::2:30			
j.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN A	192.58.128.30			
k.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN AAA	A 2001:7fd::1			
k.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	193.0.14.129			
l.root-servers.net.	3600000]	IN AAA	A 2001:500:9f::42			
l.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	199.7.83.42			
m.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN AAA	A 2001:dc3::35			
m.root-servers.net.	3600000	IN A	202.12.27.33			
root-servers.net.	3600000	IN SOA	a.root-servers.net. (
nstld.verisign-grs.com. 2018091100 14400 7200 1209600 3600000)						
root-servers.net. 3600000 IN ZONEMD 2018091100 1 0 (
0c1839d86088062868c1ed79aed6a301dc7b08b02ba2f67cbc62edd4a0						
291f4132b8840da47ddab4401cc9088d04a14a)						

291f4132b8840da47ddab4401cc9088d04a14a)

Authors' Addresses

Duane Wessels Verisign 12061 Bluemont Way Reston, VA 20190

Phone: +1 703 948-3200 Email: dwessels@verisign.com URI: <u>http://verisign.com</u>

Piet Barber Verisign 12061 Bluemont Way Reston, VA 20190

Phone: +1 703 948-3200 Email: pbarber@verisign.com URI: <u>http://verisign.com</u>

Matt Weinberg Verisign 12061 Bluemont Way Reston, VA 20190

Phone: +1 703 948-3200 Email: mweinberg@verisign.com URI: <u>http://verisign.com</u>

Warren Kumari Google 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, CA 94043

Email: warren@kumari.net

Wes Hardaker USC/ISI P.O. Box 382 Davis, CA 95617

Email: ietf@hardakers.net