

INTERNET-DRAFT
OBSOLETES [RFC 2137](#)
Expires: February 1999

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd
Transfinite Systems Company
August 1998

Secure Domain Name System (DNS) Dynamic Update

Status of This Document

This draft, file name [draft-ietf-dnssec-update2-00.txt](#), is intended to become a Proposed Standard RFC obsoleting [RFC 2137](#). Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the DNS security mailing list <dns-security@tis.com> or the author.

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months. Internet-Drafts may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is not appropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as a ``working draft'' or ``work in progress.''

To view the entire list of current Internet-Drafts, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), ftp.nordu.net (Northern Europe), ftp.nis.garr.it (Southern Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ftp.ietf.org (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

Abstract

Revised Domain Name System (DNS) protocol extensions to authenticate the data in DNS and provide key distribution services have been defined in [draft-ietf-dnssec-secext2](#)-.txt, which obsoletes the original DNS security protocol definition in [RFC 2065](#). In addition, symmetric key DNS transaction signatures have been defined in [draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig](#)-.txt. Secure DNS Dynamic Update operations were also been defined [[RFC 2137](#)] in connection [RFC 2065](#). This document updates secure dynamic update in light of [draft-ietf-dnssec-secext2](#)-.txt and [draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig](#)-.txt. It describes how to use digital signatures covering requests and data to secure updates and restrict updates to those authorized to perform them as indicated by the updater's possession of cryptographic keys.

Table of Contents

Status of This Document.....	1
Abstract.....	2
Table of Contents.....	3
1 . Introduction.....	4
1.1 . Overview of DNS Dynamic Update.....	4
1.2 . Overview of Public Key DNS Security.....	4
1.3 Overview of Secret Key DNS Security.....	5
2 . Two Basic Modes.....	6
2.1 . Mode A.....	6
2.2 . Mode B.....	7
3 . Keys.....	8
3.1 . Update Keys.....	8
3.1.1 . Public Update Key Name Scope.....	8
3.1.2 . Public Update Key Class Scope.....	8
3.1.3 . Public Update Key Signatory Field.....	9
3.2 . Zone Keys and Update Modes.....	10
3.3 . Wildcard Public Key Punch Through.....	11
4 . Update Signatures.....	13
4.1 . Update Request Signatures.....	13
4.2 . Update Data Signatures.....	13
5 . Security Considerations.....	14
6 . IANA Considerations.....	14
References.....	15
Author's Address.....	15
Expiration and File Name.....	15

1. Introduction

Dynamic update operations have been defined for the Domain Name System (DNS) in [RFC 2136](#) but that RFC does not include a description of security for those updates. Public key means of securing DNS data and transactions and using it for public key distribution were defined in [RFC 2065](#) which has been updated by [draft-ietf-dnssec-sexect2](#)-.txt, and secret key means of securing DNS transactions are defined in [draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig](#)-.txt.

This document provides techniques based on the updated DNS security RFC [draft-ietf-dnssec-sexect2](#)-.txt and [draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig](#)-.txt to authenticate DNS updates of secure zones. (Secret key signatures could be used to authenticate updates on non-secured DNS zones. That case is not considered in this document.)

Familiarity with the DNS system [RFC 1034, 1035] is assumed. Familiarity with the DNS security and dynamic update will be helpful.

1.1. Overview of DNS Dynamic Update

DNS dynamic update defines a new DNS opcode, new DNS request and response structure if that opcode is used, and new error codes. An update can specify complex combinations of deletion and insertion (with or without pre-existence testing) of resource records (RRs) with one or more owner names; however, all testing and changes for any particular DNS update request are restricted to a single zone. Updates occur at the primary server for a zone.

The primary server for a dynamic zone must increment the zone SOA serial number when an update occurs or the next time the SOA is retrieved if one or more updates have occurred since the previous SOA retrieval and the updates themselves did not update the SOA.

1.2. Overview of Public Key DNS Security

DNS security authenticates data in the DNS by also storing digital signatures in the DNS as SIG resource records (RRs). A SIG RR provides a digital signature on the set of all RRs with the same owner name and class as the SIG and whose type is the type covered by the SIG. The SIG RR cryptographically binds the covered RR set to the signer, signature inception and expiration date, etc. There are one or more keys associated with every secure zone and all data in the secure zone is signed either by a zone key or by a dynamic update key tracing its authority to a zone key.

DNS security also defines transaction SIGs and request SIGs.

Transaction SIGs appear at the end of a response. They authenticate the response and bind it to the corresponding request using the key of the host where the responding DNS server is.

Request SIGs appear at the end of a request and authenticate the request with the key of the submitting entity.

DNS security also permits the storage of public keys in the DNS via KEY RRs. These KEY RRs are also, of course, authenticated by SIG RRs. KEY RRs for zones may be stored in their superzone and/or their authoritative subzone servers so that the secure DNS tree of zones can be traversed by a security aware resolver.

1.3 Overview of Secret Key DNS Security

[draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig](#)-.txt provides a means for two processes that share a secret key to authenticate DNS requests and responses sent between them by appending TSIG digital signature RRs to those requests and responses. Secret key digital signatures are generally much faster to calculate and verify than public key digital signatures. In addition, the need, in general, to cache KEY RRs and perform the KEY-SIG chain verifications is avoided.

However, the cost for this speed and simplicity in TSIG use is the requirement to securely achieve key distribution or agreement between the communicating processes and to achieve agreement as to the authority represented by a correct TSIG on a requested using a particular key.

2. Two Basic Modes

A dynamic secure zone is any secure DNS zone that

- (1) has a zone KEY RR signatory field indicates that updates are implemented and either
- (2a) contains one or more KEY RRs that can authorize dynamic updates, i.e., entity or user KEY RRs with the signatory field non-zero, or
- (2b) has a primary server with one or more secret keys configured to authorize updates requests and shared with one or more update requesters.

Note: 2a and 2b can both be true for a zone.

There are two basic modes of dynamic secure zone which relate to the update strategy, mode A and mode B. A summary comparison table is given below and then each mode is described.

SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC SECURE ZONE MODES

CRITERIA:	MODE A	MODE B
Definition:	Zone Key Off line	Zone Key On line
Server Workload	Medium	High
Key Restrictions	Fine grain	Coarse grain
Dynamic Data Temporality	Transient	Permanent
Dynamic Key Rollover	No	Yes

NOTE: The Mode A / Mode B distinction only effects the validation and performance of update requests. It has no effect on retrievals.

2.1. Mode A

For mode A, the zone owner private key and static zone master file are kept off-line for maximum security of the static zone contents.

As a consequence, any dynamically added or changed RRs are signed in the secure zone by their authorizing dynamic update key and they are backed up, along with this SIG RR, in a separate online dynamic master file. In this type of zone, server computation is generally reduced since the server need only check signatures on the update data and request, which have already been signed by the updater

(generally a much faster operation than signing data) and update the

NXT RRs which need to be changed, if any. Because the dynamically added RRs retain their update KEY signed SIG, finer grained control of updates can be implemented via the KEY RR signatory field (unique name restriction and weak update key restriction). Because dynamic data is only stored in the online dynamic master file and only authenticated by dynamic keys which expire, updates are transient in nature. Key rollover for an entity that can authorize dynamic updates is more cumbersome since the authority of their key must be traceable to a zone key and so, in general, they must securely communicate a new key to the zone authority for manual transfer to the off line static master file. NOTE: for this mode the zone SOA and NXT RRs must be signed by a dynamic update key, which will be an end entity key with an owner name of the zone name, and that private key must be kept on line so that the SOA and NXTs can be changed for updates.

2.2. Mode B

For mode B, the zone owner private key and master file are kept on-line at the zone primary server. When authenticated updates succeed, SIGs under the zone key for the resulting data (as well as possible NXT and SOA changes) are calculated and these SIG (and possible SOA/NXT) changes are entered into the zone and the unified on-line master file.

As a consequence, this mode generally requires more computational effort on the part of the server as it computes zone data signatures in addition to verifying the signatures on requests. Because signing generally takes more effort than verification, these signatures generally will take more effort to calculate than it would take to verify the data signatures required in Mode A. Because the zone key is used to sign all the zone data, the information as to who originated the current state of dynamic RR sets and even that data is the result of a dynamic update as opposed to coming from an original master file, is lost, making unavailable the fine grain control of some values of the KEY RR signatory field. In addition, the incorporation of the updates into the primary master file and their authentication by the zone key makes them permanent in nature. Maintaining the zone key on-line also means that dynamic update keys which are signed by the zone key can be dynamically updated in real time since the zone key is available to dynamically sign new values.

3. Keys

Dynamic update requests depend on update keys as described in [section 3.1](#) below. In addition, the zone secure dynamic update mode and availability of some options is indicated in the zone KEY(s). Finally, a special rule is used in searching for KEYS to validate updates as described in [section 3.3](#).

3.1. Update Keys

All update requests to a secure zone must include signature(s) by one or more private or secret keys that together can authorize that update. In order for the Domain Name System (DNS) server executing the update request to confirm this (1) any secret keys must be known to it, along with the authority represented by the secret key, and (2) any private key or keys must have the corresponding public key or keys available to and authenticatable by that server as specially flagged KEY Resource Records (RRs).

The scope of authority of any secret keys is as configured at the Server. Methods of describing and configuring such authority are not discussed in this document.

The scope of authority of public update keys is indicated by their KEY RR owner name, class, and signatory field flags as described below. In addition, such KEY RRs MUST be entity or user keys and not have the authentication use prohibited bit on.

All parts of the actual update MUST be within the scope/authority of at least one of the keys used for a request SIG or TSIG on the update request as described in [section 4](#).

3.1.1. Public Update Key Name Scope

The owner name of any update authorizing KEY RR must (1) be the same as the owner name of any RRs being added or deleted or (2) a wildcard name including within its extended scope (see [section 3.3](#)) the name of any RRs being added or deleted and those RRs must be in the same zone.

3.1.2. Public Update Key Class Scope

The class of any update authorizing KEY RR must be the same as the

class of any RR's being added or deleted.

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 8]

3.1.3. Public Update Key Signatory Field

The four bit "signatory field" (see [draft-ietf-dnssec-secext2](#)-* .txt) of any update authorizing KEY RR must be non-zero. The bits have the meanings described below for non-zone keys (see [section 3.2](#) for zone type keys).

UPDATE KEY RR SIGNATORY FIELD BITS

0	1	2	3
+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+
zone	strong	unique	general
+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+

Bit 0, zone control - If nonzero, this key is authorized to attach, detach, and move zones by creating and deleting NS, glue A, and zone KEY RR(s). If zero, the key can not authorize any update that would effect such RRs. This bit is meaningful for both type A and type B dynamic secure zones. An update attempting to add an NS or zone KEY RR to a node (i.e., make the node a delegation point) is illegal if there are any deeper nodes in the zone.

NOTE: do not confuse the "zone" signatory field bit with the "zone" key type bit.

Bit 1, strong update - If zero, the key can only authorize updates where any existing RRs of the same owner and class are authenticated by a SIG using the same key. If nonzero, this key is authorized to add and delete RRs even if there are other RRs with the same owner name and class that are authenticated by a SIG signed with a different dynamic update KEY. This bit is meaningful only for type A dynamic zones that have a zone KEY advertising that the feature is available. It is ignored in type B dynamic zones.

Keeping this bit zero on multiple KEY RRs with the same or nested wild card owner names permits multiple entities to exist that can create and delete names but can not effect RRs with different owner names from any they created. In effect, this creates two levels of dynamic update key, strong and weak, where weak keys are prohibited from interfering with each other but a strong key can interfere with any weak keys or other strong keys.

Bit 2, unique name update - This bit is useful only if the owner name is a wildcard. (Any dynamic update KEY with a non-wildcard name is, in effect, a unique name update key.) If zero, this key is authorized to add and updates RRs for any number of names within

its wildcard scope. If nonzero, this key is authorized to add

and update RRs for only a single owner name. If there already exist RRs with one or more names signed by this key, they may be updated but no new name created until the number of existing names is reduced to zero. This bit is meaningful only for mode A dynamic zones that have a zone KEY advertising that the feature is available. It is ignored in mode B dynamic zones.

This bit can be used to restrict a KEY from flooding a zone with new names. In conjunction with a local administratively imposed limit on the number of dynamic RRs with a particular name, it can completely restrict a KEY from flooding a zone with RRs.

Bit 3, general update - The general update signatory field bit has no special meaning. If the other three bits are all zero, it must be one so that the field is non-zero to designate that the key is an update key. The meaning of all values of the signatory field with the general bit on and one or more other signatory field bits on is reserved.

All the signatory bit update authorizations described above only apply if the update is within the name and class scope as per sections [3.1.1](#) and [3.1.2](#).

[3.2](#). Zone Keys and Update Modes

Zone type keys are automatically authorized to sign anything in their zone, of course, regardless of the value of their signatory field. For zone keys, the signatory field bits have different means than they they do for update keys, as shown below. The signatory field MUST be zero if dynamic update is not supported for a secure zone and MUST be non-zero if it is.

ZONE KEY RR SIGNATORY FIELD BITS			
0	1	2	3
+-----+-----+-----+-----+			
mode	strong	unique	general
+-----+-----+-----+-----+			

Bit 0, mode - This bit indicates the update mode for this zone. Zero indicates mode A while a one indicates mode B.

Bit 1, strong update - If nonzero, this indicates that the "strong" key feature described in [section 3.1.3](#) above is implemented and enabled for this secure zone. If zero, the feature is not available and all update keys are treated as strong. Has no

effect if the zone is a mode B secure update zone.

Bit 2, unique name update - If nonzero, this indicates that the "unique name" feature described in [section 3.1.3](#) above is implemented and enabled for this secure zone. If zero, this feature is not available and no wildcard update key is treated as restricted to a single name. Has no effect if the zone is a mode B secure update zone.

Bit 3, general - This bit has no special meaning. If dynamic update for a zone is supported and the other bits in the zone key signatory field are zero, it must be a one. The meaning of zone keys where the signatory field has the general bit and one or more other bits on is reserved.

If there are multiple zone KEY RRs with non-zero signatory fields and zone policy is in transition, they might have different signatory field values. In that case, strong and unique name restrictions MUST be enforced as long as there is a non-expired zone key being advertised that indicates mode A with the strong or unique name bit on respectively. Mode B updates (i.e., no data signatures) MUST be supported as long as there is a non-expired zone key that indicates mode B. Mode A or mode ambiguous updates may be treated as mode B updates at server option if non-expired zone keys indicate that both are supported.

A server that will be executing update operations on a zone, that is, the primary master server, MUST NOT advertize a zone key that will attract requests for a mode or features that it can not support.

[3.3. Wildcard Public Key Punch Through](#)

Just as a zone key is valid throughout the entire zone, public update keys with wildcard names are valid throughout their extended scope, within the zone. That is, they remain valid for any name that would match them, even existing specific names within their apparent scope.

(If this were not so, then whenever a name within a wildcard scope was created by dynamic update using a wildcard named public update key for authorization, it would be necessary to first create a copy of the KEY RR with this name, because otherwise the existence of the more specific name would hide the authorizing KEY RR and would make later updates impossible. An updater could create such a KEY RR but could not zone sign it with their authorizing signer. They would have to sign it with the same key using the wildcard name as signer. (This would create update KEYS signed by update KEYS which was permitted in [RFC 2065](#) but, for simplicity, is prohibit in [draft-ietf-dnssec-secext2](#)-.txt which requires all KEYS to be signed by zone keys.) Thus in creating, for example, one hundred type A RRs

authorized by a *.1.1.1.in-addr.arpa KEY RR, without key punch

through 100 As, 100 KEys, and 200 SIGs would have to be created as opposed to merely 100 As and 100 SIGs with wildcard key punch through.)

4. Update Signatures

Two kinds of signatures can appear in updates. Request signatures, which are always required, cover the entire request and authenticate the DNS header, including opcode, counts, etc., as well as the data. Data signatures, on the other hand, appear only among the RRs to be added and are only required for mode A operation. These two types of signatures are described further below.

4.1. Update Request Signatures

An update can effect multiple owner names in a zone. It may be that these different names are covered by different public or secret dynamic update keys. For every owner name effected, the updater must know a private or secret key valid to authorize updates for that name (and the zone's class) and must prove this by appending request SIG and/or TSIG RRs under each such key.

Request signatures occur in the Additional Information section. As specified in [draft-ietf-dnssec-secext2-*.txt](#), a public request signature is a SIG RR occurring at the end of a request with a type covered field of zero. As specified in [draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig-*.txt](#), a secret key request signature is a TSIG RR occurring at the end of the request. Each request SIG or TSIG signs the entire request, including DNS header, but excluding any other request signatures and with the ARCOUNT in the DNS header set to what it would be without the request signatures.

4.2. Update Data Signatures

Mode A dynamic secure zones require that the update requester provide SIG RRs that will authenticate the after-update state of all RR sets that are changed by the update and are non-empty after the update. These SIG RRs appear in the request as RRs to be added and the request must delete any previous data SIG RRs that are invalidated by the request.

In Mode B dynamic secure zones, all zone data is authenticated by zone key SIG RRs. In this case, data signatures need not be included with the update. A prospective updater can determine which mode an updatable secure zone is using by examining the signatory field bits of the zone KEY RR or RRs (see [section 3.2](#)).

5. Security Considerations

Any secure zone permitting dynamic updates is inherently less secure than a static secure zone maintained off line as recommended in [draft-ietf-dnssec-secops](#)-.txt. If nothing else, secure dynamic update requires on line change to and re-signing of the zone SOA resource record (RR) to increase the SOA serial number. This means that compromise of the primary server host could lead to arbitrary serial number changes.

Isolation of dynamic RRs to separate zones from those holding most static RRs can limit the damage that could occur from breach of a dynamic zone's security.

6. IANA Considerations

Allocations of values of the KEY RR Signatory field described herein as "reserved" requires an IETF consensus.

References

[RFC1035] - Domain Names - Implementation and Specifications, P. Mockapetris, November 1987.

[RFC1034] - Domain Names - Concepts and Facilities, P. Mockapetris, November 1987.

[RFC2065] - Domain Name System Security Extensions. D. Eastlake, 3rd, C. Kaufman. January 1997

[RFC2136] - Dynamic Updates in the Domain Name System (DNS UPDATE). P. Vixie, Ed., S. Thomson, Y. Rekhter, J. Bound. April 1997.

[RFC2137] - Secure Domain Name System Dynamic Update. D. Eastlake. April 1997.

[draft-ietf-dnsind-tsig](#)/*.txt

[draft-ietf-dnssec-secext2](#)/*.txt.

[draft-ietf-dnssec-secops](#)/*.txt.

Author's Address

Donald E. Eastlake, 3rd
Transfinite Systems Company
318 Acton Street
Carlisle, MA 01741 USA

Telephone: +1 978-287-4877
 +1 978-371-7148 (fax)
email: dee3@torque.pothole.com

Expiration and File Name

This draft expires February 1999.

Its file name is [draft-ietf-dnssec-update2-00.txt](#).

