

Email Address Internationalization (EAI)	K. Fujiwara, Ed.	
Internet-Draft	JPRS	
Intended status: Experimental	September 17, 2008	
Expires: March 21, 2009		

[TOC](#)

Downgrading mechanism for Email Address Internationalization draft-ietf-eai-downgrade-09.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 21, 2009.

Abstract

Traditional mail systems handle only ASCII characters in SMTP envelope and mail header fields. The Email Address Internationalization (UTF8SMTP) extension allows UTF-8 characters in SMTP envelope and mail header fields. To avoid rejecting internationalized Email messages when a server in the delivery path does not support the UTF8SMTP extension, some sort of converting mechanism is required. This document describes a downgrading mechanism for Email Address Internationalization. Note that this is a way to downgrade, not tunnel. There is no associated up-conversion mechanism, although internationalized email clients might use original internationalized addresses or other data when displaying or replying to downgraded messages.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction
2.	Terminology
3.	New header fields definition
3.1.	Envelope information preservation headers
3.2.	Address header field preservation headers
3.3.	Unknown header fields preservation headers
4.	SMTP Downgrading
4.1.	Path element downgrading
4.2.	ORCPT downgrading
5.	Email header fields downgrading
5.1.	Downgrading method for each header field
6.	MIME body part headers downgrading
7.	Security considerations
8.	Implementation notes
8.1.	Trivial downgrading
8.2.	7bit transport consideration
9.	IANA Considerations
10.	Acknowledgements
11.	Change History
11.1.	draft-yoneya-ima-downgrade: Version 00
11.2.	draft-yoneya-ima-downgrade: Version 01
11.3.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 00
11.4.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 01
11.5.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 02
11.6.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 03
11.7.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 04
11.8.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 05
11.9.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 06
11.10.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 07
11.11.	draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 08
12.	Normative References
Appendix A.	Examples
A.1.	Downgrading example 1
A.2.	Downgrading example 2
§	Authors' Addresses
§	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements

1. Introduction

[TOC](#)

Traditional mail systems which are defined by [\[RFC2821\]](#) (Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," April 2001.) and [\[RFC2822\]](#) (Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format," April 2001.) allow ASCII characters in SMTP envelope and mail header field values. The UTF8SMTP extension

[\[RFC4952\]](#) (Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.), [\[RFC5335\]](#) (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.) and [\[RFC5336\]](#) (Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.) allows UTF-8 characters in SMTP envelope and mail header field values.

If an envelope address or header field contains non-ASCII characters, the message cannot be delivered unless every system in the delivery path supports UTF8SMTP. This document describes a downgrading mechanism to avoid rejection of such messages when a server which does not support the UTF8SMTP extension is encountered. Downgrading mechanism converts envelope and header fields to an all-ASCII representation.

[\[RFC5335\]](#) (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.) allows UTF-8 characters to be used in mail header fields and MIME header fields. The downgrading mechanism specified here converts mail header fields and MIME header fields to ASCII.

This document does not change any protocols except by defining new header fields. It describes the conversion method from the internationalized email envelopes/messages which are defined in [\[RFC4952\]](#) (Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.) [\[RFC5335\]](#) (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.) [\[RFC5336\]](#) (Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.) to the traditional email envelopes/messages which are defined in [\[RFC2821\]](#) (Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," April 2001.) [\[RFC2822\]](#) (Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format," April 2001.).

[\[RFC5336\]](#) (Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.) section 2.2 defines when downgrading occurs. If the SMTP client has an UTF8SMTP envelope or an internationalized message and the SMTP server doesn't support the UTF8SMTP SMTP extension, then the SMTP client MUST NOT send a UTF8SMTP envelope or an internationalized message to the SMTP server. The section shows 4 choices. The fourth choice is downgrading, as described here.

Downgrading may be implemented in MUAs, MSAs, MTAs which act as the SMTP client, or in MDAs, POP servers, IMAP servers which store or offer UTF8SMTP envelopes or internationalized messages to non-UTF8SMTP compliant systems which include message stores.

This document tries to define the downgrading process clearly and it preserves the original information as much as possible.

Downgrading in UTF8SMTP consists of the following four parts:

- *New header fields definition

- *SMTP downgrading

- *Email header fields downgrading

*MIME header fields downgrading

In [Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#), many header fields starting with "Downgraded-" are introduced. They preserve the original envelope information and the original header fields.

The SMTP downgrading is described in [Section 4 \(SMTP Downgrading\)](#). It generates ASCII only envelope information from an UTF8SMTP envelope.

The Email header fields downgrading is described in [Section 5 \(Email header fields downgrading\)](#). It generates ASCII only header fields.

The MIME header fields are expanded in [\[RFC5335\] \(Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.\)](#). The MIME header fields downgrading is described in [Section 6 \(MIME body part headers downgrading\)](#). It generates ASCII only MIME header fields.

2. Terminology

[TOC](#)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [\[RFC2119\] \(Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.\)](#).

All specialized terms used in this specification are defined in the EAI overview [\[RFC4952\] \(Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.\)](#) or in [\[RFC2821\] \(Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," April 2001.\)](#) [\[RFC2822\] \(Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format," April 2001.\)](#), MIME documents [\[RFC2045\] \(Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions \(MIME\) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," November 1996.\)](#) [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) [\[RFC2183\] \(Troost, R., Dorner, S., and K. Moore, "Communicating Presentation Information in Internet Messages: The Content-Disposition Header Field," August 1997.\)](#) [\[RFC2231\] \(Freed, N. and K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," November 1997.\)](#). The terms "ASCII address", "internationalized email address", "non-ASCII address", "i18mail address", "UTF8SMTP", "message" and "mailing list" are used with the definitions from [\[RFC4952\] \(Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.\)](#) document.

This document depends on [\[RFC5335\] \(Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.\)](#), [\[RFC5336\] \(Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.\)](#), and [\[RFC5337\] \(Newman, C. and A. Melnikov, "Internationalized Delivery](#)

[Status and Disposition Notifications," September 2008.](#)). Key words used in these document are used in this document, too.

The term "non-ASCII" is an UTF-8 string which contains at least one non-ASCII character.

An "UTF8SMTP envelope" has Email originator/recipient addresses expanded by [\[RFC5336\]](#) (Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.) and [\[RFC5337\]](#) (Newman, C. and A. Melnikov, "Internationalized Delivery Status and Disposition Notifications," September 2008.).

An "UTF8SMTP message" is Email messages expanded by [\[RFC5335\]](#) (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.).

3. New header fields definition

[TOC](#)

New header fields starting with "Downgraded-" are defined here to preserve those original envelope and header values which contain UTF-8 characters. During downgrading, one new "Downgraded-" header field is added for each original envelope or header field which cannot be passed as-is to a server which does not support UTF8SMTP. The original envelope or header field is removed or rewritten. Only those envelope and header fields which contain non-ASCII characters are affected. The result of this process is a message which is compliant with existing email specifications [\[RFC2821\]](#) (Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," April 2001.) and [\[RFC2822\]](#) (Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format," April 2001.). The original internationalized information can be retrieved by examining the "Downgraded-" header fields which were added. Even though the information is not lost, the original message cannot be perfectly reconstructed. Hence, downgrading is a one-way process. However, an internationalized client might use the information in the "Downgraded-" header fields when processing a downgraded message, for example, such as displaying or composing a reply.

3.1. Envelope information preservation headers

[TOC](#)

Two headers "Downgraded-Mail-From:" and "Downgraded-Rcpt-To:" are defined to preserve SMTP envelope downgraded information. SMTP envelope downgraded information consists of the original non-ASCII address and the downgraded all-ASCII address. The header field syntax is specified as follows:

```
fields      =/ downgradedmailfrom / downgradedrcptto
downgradedmailfrom = "Downgraded-Mail-From:" [FWS] "<" uPath ">" 1*[FWS]
                  "<" Mailbox ">" [FWS] CRLF
downgradedrcptto = "Downgraded-Rcpt-To:" [FWS] "<" uPath ">" 1*[FWS]
                  "<" Mailbox ">" [FWS] CRLF
```

Original non-ASCII address <uPath> is defined in [\[RFC5336\] \(Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.\)](#); it is treated as unstructured in this header and it is encoded according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#). <Mailbox> is defined in [\[RFC2821\] \(Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," April 2001.\)](#), section 4.1.2.

3.2. Address header field preservation headers

[TOC](#)

The address header fields preservation headers are defined to preserve the original header field. Their value field holds the original header field value. Any original header field value is treated as an <unstructured> and it MUST be encoded according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'. The header field syntax is specified as follows:

```
fields      =/ known-downgraded-headers ":" unstructured CRLF
known-downgraded-headers = "Downgraded-" original-headers
original-headers = "From" / "To" / "Cc" / "Bcc" /
                  "Sender" / "Reply-To" /
                  "Resent-From" / "Resent-Sender" /
                  "Resent-To" / "Resent-Cc" / "Return-Path"
```

Preserving a header field in a downgraded header field is defined as:

1. Generate new downgraded header field whose value is the original header field value.
 2. Encode the generated header according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'.
-

3.3. Unknown header fields preservation headers

[TOC](#)

The unknown header fields preservation headers are defined to encapsulate those original header field which contains non-ASCII characters and are not otherwise provided for in the this specification. The encapsulation header field name is the concatenation of "Downgraded-" and the original name. The value field holds the original header field value.

Any original header field value is treated as an unstructured value and it MUST be encoded according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'.

The header field syntax is specified as follows:

```
fields      =/ unknown-downgraded-headers ":" unstructued CRLF
unknown-downgraded-headers = "Downgraded-" original-header-field-name
original-header-field-name = field-name

field-name   =      1*ftext

ftext        =      %d33-57 /          ; Any character except
                        %d59-126        ; controls, SP, and
                        ; ":".
```

Encapsulating a header field in a "Downgraded-" header field is defined as:

1. Generate new "Downgraded-" header whose value is the original header field value.
2. Encode the generated header field value according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'. To preserve space characters, the whole header field value which include space characters SHOULD be encoded.
3. Remove the original header field.

4. SMTP Downgrading

[TOC](#)

Target of downgrading elements in SMTP envelope are below:

*<reverse-path> of MAIL FROM command

*<forward-path> of RCPT TO command

*ORCPT parameter of RCPT TO command

4.1. Path element downgrading

[TOC](#)

Downgrading the <path> of MAIL FROM and RCPT TO commands uses ALT-ADDRESS parameter defined in [\[RFC5336\]](#) (Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.). A SMTP command is downgradable if the <path> contains non-ASCII address and the command has an ALT-ADDRESS parameter which specifies an ASCII address. Since only non-ASCII addresses are downgradable, specifying an ALT-ADDRESS value for an all-ASCII address is invalid for use with this specification, and no interpretation is assigned to it. This restriction allows for future extension of the specification even though no such extensions are currently anticipated.

Note that even if no downgrading is performed on the envelope, message header fields and message body MIME header fields that contain non-ASCII characters MUST be downgraded. This is described in [Section 5 \(Email header fields downgrading\)](#) and [Section 6 \(MIME body part headers downgrading\)](#).

When downgrading, replace each <path> which contains non-ASCII mail address with its specified alternative ASCII address and preserve the original information using "Downgraded-Mail-From" and "Downgraded-Rcpt-To" header fields as defined in [Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#). Before replacing, decode the ALT-ADDRESS parameter value because it is encoded as xtext [\[RFC3461\]](#) (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," January 2003.).

To avoid disclosing recipient addresses, the downgrading process MUST NOT add "Downgraded-Rcpt-To:" header if the SMTP downgrading targets multiple recipients. See [Section 7 \(Security considerations\)](#) for more detail.

As a result of the recipient address downgrading, the domain part of the recipient address prior to downgrading might be different from the domain part of the new recipient address. If the result of address resolution for the domain part of the new recipient address contains the server at the connection destination of the SMTP session for the recipient address prior to downgrading, the SMTP connection is valid for the new recipient address. Otherwise, the downgrading process MUST NOT send the downgraded message to the new recipient address via the connection and MUST try to send the downgraded message to the new recipient address.

4.2. ORCPT downgrading

[TOC](#)

The "RCPT TO" command can have an ORCPT parameter if the DSN extension [\[RFC3461\] \(Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol \(SMTP\) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications \(DSNs\)," January 2003.\)](#) is supported. If the ORCPT parameter contains an "utf-8" address and the address contains non-ASCII characters, the ORCPT parameter MUST be converted to utf-8-addr-xtext form or utf-8-addr-unitext form which are described in [\[RFC5337\] \(Newman, C. and A. Melnikov, "Internationalized Delivery Status and Disposition Notifications," September 2008.\)](#).

5. Email header fields downgrading

[TOC](#)

This section defines the conversion method to ASCII for each header field which may contain non-ASCII characters.

[\[RFC5335\] \(Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.\)](#) expands Received: header fields, [\[RFC2822\] \(Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format," April 2001.\)](#) ABNF elements <mailbox>, <word>, <comment>, <unstructured>, [\[RFC2045\] \(Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions \(MIME\) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," November 1996.\)](#) ABNF element <value>.

Header field downgrading is defined below for each ABNF element. Downgrading an unknown header field is also defined as ENCAPSULATION downgrading. Converting the header field terminates when no non-ASCII characters remain in the header field.

RECEIVED downgrading: If the header field name is "Received:" and the FOR clause contains a non-ASCII addresses, remove the FOR clause from the header field. The other part does not contain non-ASCII values.

UNSTRUCTURED downgrading: If the header field has an <unstructured> field which contains non-ASCII characters, encode the field according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'. To preserve space characters, the whole header field value which include space characters SHOULD be encoded.

WORD downgrading: If the header field has any <word> fields which contains non-ASCII characters, encode the fields according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail](#)

[Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'.

COMMENT downgrading: If the header field has any <comment> fields which contains non-ASCII characters, encode the fields according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'.

MIME-VALUE downgrading: If the header field has any <value> elements defined by [\[RFC2045\] \(Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions \(MIME\) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," November 1996.\)](#) and the elements contain non-ASCII characters, encode the <value> elements by [\[RFC2231\] \(Freed, N. and K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," November 1997.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8' and the Language information empty. If the <value> element is <quoted-string> and it contains <CFWS> outside the DQUOTE, remove the <CFWS> before this conversion.

DISPLAY-NAME downgrading: If the header field has any <mailbox> elements and they have <display-name> elements which contain non-ASCII characters, encode the <display-name> elements according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#) with charset='UTF-8'.

MAILBOX downgrading: The <mailbox> elements have no equivalent format for non-ASCII addresses. If the header field has any <mailbox> elements which contain non-ASCII characters, preserve the header field in each Address header field preservation header defined in [Section 3.2 \(Address header field preservation headers\)](#), and rewrite each <mailbox> element to ASCII only format. The <mailbox> element which contains non-ASCII characters is one of three formats.

```
*[ Display-name ] "<" Utf8-addr-spec 1*FCS "<" Addr-spec
">"
```

Rewrite it as

```
[ Display-name ] "<" Addr-spec ">"
```

```
*[ Display-name ] "<" Utf8-addr-spec ">"
```

```
*Utf8-addr-spec
```

Rewrite both as

```
[ Display-name ] "Internationalized Address " Encoded-word  
" Removed;";
```

where the <Encoded-word> is the original <Utf8-addr-spec> encoded according to [\[RFC2047\] \(Moore, K., "MIME \(Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions\) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.\)](#).

ENCAPSULATION downgrading: if the header field contains non-ASCII characters and for which no rule is given above, encapsulate it in a Downgraded header field described in [Section 3.3 \(Unknown header fields preservation headers\)](#) as a last resort.

5.1. Downgrading method for each header field

[TOC](#)

Header fields are listed in [\[RFC4021\] \(Klyne, G. and J. Palme, "Registration of Mail and MIME Header Fields," March 2005.\)](#). This section describes the downgrading method for each header field. If the whole mail header field does not contain non-ASCII characters, email header field downgrading is not required. Each header field's downgrading method is described below.

*Address header fields which contain <mailbox>s

From:
Sender:
Reply-To:
To: If the header field contains <mailbox> elements
Cc: which contains non-ASCII addresses, preserve the header field in a downgraded header before the conversion. Then
Bcc:
Resent-From: perform COMMENT downgrading, DISPLAY-NAME
Resent-Sender: downgrading and MAILBOX downgrading.
Resent-To:
Resent-Cc:
* **Return-Path:** Downgrading Non-ASCII in comments

Date:
Message-ID:
In-Reply-To:
References:
Resent-Date:
Resent-Message-ID:

MIME-Version:

Content-ID:

These header fields do not contain non-ASCII characters except in comments. If the header contains UTF-8 characters in comments, perform COMMENT downgrading.

*Trace header fields

Received:

perform COMMENT downgrading and perform RECEIVED downgrading.

*MIME Content header fields

Content-Type:

Content-Disposition:

Perform MIME-VALUE downgrading.

*Non-ASCII in <unstructured>

Subject:

Comments:

Content-Description:

Perform UNSTRUCTURED downgrading.

*Non-ASCII in <unstructured> or <phrase>

Keywords:

Perform WORD downgrading.

*Other header fields

All other header fields which contains non-ASCII characters are user-defined, missing from this draft or future defined header fields. Perform ENCAPSULATION downgrading as a last resort.

Any List-* header field containing non-ASCII characters will be turned into Downgraded-List-* header fields.

6. MIME body part headers downgrading

[TOC](#)

MIME body part header fields may contain non-ASCII characters [\[RFC5335\]](#) (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.). This section defines the conversion method to ASCII only header fields for each MIME header field which contains non-ASCII characters. Parse the message body's MIME structure for all levels and check each MIME header field whether it contains non-ASCII characters. If the header field contains non-ASCII characters in the header value, the header is a target of the MIME body part headers downgrading. Each MIME header field's downgrading method is described below. COMMENT downgrading, MIME-VALUE downgrading, UNSTRUCTURED downgrading are described in [Section 5 \(Email header fields downgrading\)](#).

Content-ID: The Content-ID: header does not contain non-ASCII characters except in comments. If the header contains UTF-8 characters in comments, perform COMMENT downgrading.

Content-Type: Perform MIME-VALUE downgrading.

Content-Disposition:

Content-Description: Perform UNSTRUCTURED downgrading.

7. Security considerations

[TOC](#)

*A Downgraded message's header fields contain ASCII characters only. But they still contain MIME encapsulated header fields which contains non-ASCII UTF-8 characters. Furthermore, the body part may contain UTF-8 characters. Implementations parsing Internet messages need to accept UTF-8 body parts and UTF-8 header fields which are MIME encoded.

*Rewriting headers increases the opportunities for undetected spoofing.

*Addresses that do not appear in the message headers may appear in the RCPT commands to an SMTP server for a number of reasons. Copying information from the Envelope into headers risks inadvertent information disclosure (see [\[RFC2821\] \(Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," April 2001.\)](#) and [Section 4 \(SMTP Downgrading\)](#)).

*The techniques described here invalidates methods that depend on digital signatures over the envelope or any part of the message which includes the top-level header or body part headers. Depending on the specific message being downgraded, DKIM especially, but also possibly S/MIME, PGP, and similar techniques are all likely to break.

*Many gateways and servers on the Internet will discard headers with which they are not familiar. To the extent to which the downgrade procedures depend on new headers (e.g., "Downgraded-") to avoid information loss, the risk of having those headers dropped and its implications must be identified. In particular, if the Downgraded headers are dropped, there is no possibility of reconstructing the original information at any point (before, during, or after delivery).

See "Security considerations" section in [\[RFC4952\] \(Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.\)](#) for more discussion.

8. Implementation notes

[TOC](#)

8.1. Trivial downgrading

[TOC](#)

Downgrading is an alternative to avoid the rejection of messages which require UTF8SMTP support by a server which does not provide this. Implementing the full specification of this document is desirable, but a partial implementation is also possible. If a partial downgrading implementation confronts an unsupported downgrading target, the implementation MUST NOT send the message to a server which does not support UTF8SMTP. Instead, it MUST reject the message or generate a notification of non-deliverability.

A partial downgrading, Trivial downgrading is discussed. It does not support non-ASCII addresses in SMTP envelope and address header fields, unknown header fields downgrading, the MIME body part headers downgrading. It supports

- *some simple header fields downgrading: Subject

- *comments and display name downgrading: From, To, Cc

- *trace header field downgrading: Received

Otherwise, the downgrading fails.

Trivial downgrading targets mail messages which are generated by UTF8SMTP aware MUAs and contain non-ASCII characters in comments, display names, unstructured parts without using non-ASCII E-mail addresses. This mail message does not contain non-ASCII E-mail addresses in the SMTP Envelope and its header fields. But it is not deliverable via a UTF8SMTP un-aware SMTP server. Implementing full specification downgrading may be hard, but trivial downgrading saves mail messages without using non-ASCII addresses.

8.2. 7bit transport consideration

[TOC](#)

The SMTP client may encounter a SMTP server which does not support the 8BITMIME SMTP extension [\[RFC1652\] \(Klensin, J., Freed, N., Rose, M., Stefferud, E., and D. Crocker, "SMTP Service Extension for 8bit-MIMEtransport," July 1994.\)](#). The server does not support "8bit" or "binary" data. Implementers need to consider converting "8bit" data to "base64" or "quoted-printable" encoded form and adjust the "Content-Transfer-Encoding" header field accordingly. If the body contains multiple MIME parts, this conversion must be performed for each MIME part according to [\[RFC2045\] \(Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions \(MIME\) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," November 1996.\)](#).

9. IANA Considerations

[TOC](#)

IANA is requested to register the following header fields in the Permanent Message Header Field Repository, in accordance with the procedures set out in [\[RFC3864\] \(Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, "Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields," September 2004.\)](#).

Header field name:

Downgraded-Mail-From

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Rcpt-To

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-From

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Sender

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s):

This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-To

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Cc

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Reply-To

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Bcc

Applicable protocol:

mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Resent-From

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Resent-To

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Resent-Cc

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Resent-Sender

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Header field name: Downgraded-Return-Path

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

Furthermore, IANA is requested to reserve all the field names that start with "Downgraded-" for unknown header fields downgrading described in [Section 3.3 \(Unknown header fields preservation headers\)](#), in accordance with the procedures set out in [\[RFC3864\] \(Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, "Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields," September 2004.\)](#).

Header field name: Names which starts by "Downgraded-"

Applicable protocol: mail

Status: experimental

Author/change controller: IETF

Specification document(s): This document ([Section 3 \(New header fields definition\)](#))

10. Acknowledgements

[TOC](#)

Significant comments and suggestions were received from John Klensin, Harald Alvestrand, Chris Newman, Randall Gellens, Charles Lindsey, Marcos Sanz, Alexey Melnikov, Frank Ellermann, Edward Lewis, S. Moonesamy and JET members.

11. Change History

[TOC](#)

This section is used for tracking the update of this document. Will be removed after finalize.

11.1. draft-yoneya-ima-downgrade: Version 00

[TOC](#)

- *Initial version

- *Followed draft-yeh-ima-utf8headers-00 and draft-yao-smtpext-00

11.2. draft-yoneya-ima-downgrade: Version 01

[TOC](#)

- *Document structure was changed

- *Followed draft-yeh-ima-utf8headers-01 and draft-yao-smtpext-02

- *Downgrading requirements were added

- *SMTP DATA encapsulation method was proposed

- *Downgrading examples was provided

11.3. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 00

[TOC](#)

- *Followed draft-yeh-ima-utf8headers-01 and draft-ietf-eai-smtpext-00

- *No header downgrading method was proposed

*Header encapsulation method was proposed

11.4. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 01

[TOC](#)

*Followed draft-ietf-eai-utf8headers-00

*Header conversion and encapsulation method was merged

*Header conversion method was defined in detail

11.5. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 02

[TOC](#)

*Followed draft-ietf-eai-utf8headers-01 and draft-ietf-eai-smtpext-01

*Specification about algorithmic generated address is removed

*No header downgrading method was removed

*SMTP DATA encapsulation method was removed

11.6. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 03

[TOC](#)

*Followed draft-ietf-eai-utf8headers-03 and draft-ietf-eai-smtpext-03

*Downgraded: and Envelope-Downgraded: headers definition was added

*Mail header fields downgrading method was refined

*Examples in Appendix A were refined

11.7. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 04

[TOC](#)

*Followed draft-ietf-eai-utf8headers-06, draft-ietf-eai-smtpext-07 and draft-ietf-eai-dsn-02

- *Downgrading requirements and conditions were moved to Introduction.
 - *Descriptions about upgrading were removed.
 - *SPF and DKIM discussion were removed.
 - *Added many header fields downgrading.
 - *Allow address literal rewriting without alternate ASCII address in header fields.
 - *Added MIME body part headers downgrading.
 - *Added ORCPT downgrading.
-

11.8. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 05

[TOC](#)

- *fixed examples
 - ALT-ADDRESS parameter mistake
 - RFC2047(x) notation was changed to encoded-word format
 - *Added implementation consideration section and trivial downgrading
 - *Downgraded: and Envelope-Downgraded: headers are separated for each original headers.
 - *Removed list-* header fields downgrading
 - *Changed the way of writing the header field downgrading section
-

11.9. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 06

[TOC](#)

- *Moved decoding downgraded messages as a separate document
- *Added a text to UNSTRUCTURED downgrading
- *Added "replacing SMTP connection" if necessary to SMTP downgrading.

*fixed examples

11.10. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 07

[TOC](#)

*Fixed some typos

*Added a text about 7bit transport

11.11. draft-ietf-eai-downgrade: Version 08

[TOC](#)

*Comments from the working group last call (wording)

12. Normative References

[TOC](#)

[RFC1652]	Klensin, J., Freed, N., Rose, M., Stefferud, E., and D. Crocker , "SMTP Service Extension for 8bit-MIMEtransport," RFC 1652, July 1994 (TXT).
[RFC2045]	Freed, N. and N. Borenstein , "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," RFC 2045, November 1996 (TXT).
[RFC2047]	Moore, K. , "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," RFC 2047, November 1996 (TXT , HTML , XML).
[RFC2119]	Bradner, S. , "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT , HTML , XML).
[RFC2183]	Troost, R. , Dorner, S. , and K. Moore , "Communicating Presentation Information in Internet Messages: The Content-Disposition Header Field," RFC 2183, August 1997 (TXT , HTML , XML).
[RFC2231]	Freed, N. and K. Moore , "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," RFC 2231, November 1997 (TXT , HTML , XML).
[RFC2821]	Klensin, J. , "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," RFC 2821, April 2001 (TXT).
[RFC2822]	Resnick, P. , "Internet Message Format," RFC 2822, April 2001 (TXT).
[RFC3461]	

	Moore, K., " Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs) ," RFC 3461, January 2003 (TXT).
[RFC3864]	Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, " Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields ," BCP 90, RFC 3864, September 2004 (TXT).
[RFC4021]	Klyne, G. and J. Palme, " Registration of Mail and MIME Header Fields ," RFC 4021, March 2005 (TXT).
[RFC4952]	Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, " Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email ," RFC 4952, July 2007 (TXT).
[RFC5335]	Abel, Y., " Internationalized Email Headers ," RFC 5335, September 2008 (TXT).
[RFC5336]	Yao, J. and W. Mao, " SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses ," RFC 5336, September 2008 (TXT).
[RFC5337]	Newman, C. and A. Melnikov, " Internationalized Delivery Status and Disposition Notifications ," RFC 5337, September 2008 (TXT).

Appendix A. Examples

[TOC](#)

A.1. Downgrading example 1

[TOC](#)

This section shows an SMTP Downgrading example. Consider a mail message where:

*The sender address is "NON-ASCII-local@example.com" which is a non-ASCII address. Its ASCII alternative is "ASCII-local@example.com" and its display-name is "DISPLAY-local".

*The "To:" address is "NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net" which is a non-ASCII address. Its ASCII alternative is "ASCII-remote1@example.net" and its display-name is "DISPLAY-remote1".

*The "Cc:" address is a non-ASCII address "NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org" without alternative ASCII address. Its display-name is "DISPLAY-remote2".

*Three display-names contain non-ASCII characters.

*The Subject header is "NON-ASCII-SUBJECT" which contains non-ASCII characters.

*Assuming the "To:" recipient's MTA (example.net) does not support UTF8SMTP.

*assuming the "Cc:" recipient's MTA (example.org) supports UTF8SMTP.

The example SMTP envelope/message is shown in [Figure 1 \(Original envelope/message \(example 1\)\)](#). In this example, the "To:" recipient's session is the focus.

```
MAIL FROM: <NON-ASCII-local@example.com>
          ALT-ADDRESS=ASCII-local@example.com
RCPT TO:  <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net>
          ALT-ADDRESS=ASCII-remote1@example.net
RCPT TO:  <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>
-----
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT
From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com
      <ASCII-local@example.com>>
To: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net
   <ASCII-remote1@example.net>>
Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>
Date: DATE

MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 1: Original envelope/message (example 1)

In this example, there are two SMTP recipients, one is "To:", the other is "Cc:". The SMTP downgrading treats To: session downgrading. [Figure 2 \(SMTP Downgraded envelope/message \(example 1\)\)](#) shows SMTP downgraded example.

```
MAIL FROM: <ASCII-local@example.com>
RCPT TO: <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
-----
Downgraded-Mail-From: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-local@example.com>_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>?=
Downgraded-Rcpt-To: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net>_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-remote1@example.net>?=
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT
From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com
<ASCII-local@example.com>>
To: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net
<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>
Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>
Date: DATE

MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 2: SMTP Downgraded envelope/message (example 1)

After SMTP downgrading, header fields downgrading is performed. Final downgraded message is shown in [Figure 3 \(Downgraded message \(example 1\)\)](#). Return-Path header will be added by the final destination MTA.

```
Return-Path: <ASCII-local@example.com>
Downgraded-Mail-From: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-local@example.com>_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>?=
Downgraded-Rcpt-To: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net>_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-remote1@example.net>?=
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: =?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-SUBJECT?=
From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local?= <ASCII-local@example.com>
Downgraded-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local_<NON-ASCII-local@example.com_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1?= <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Downgraded-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Cc: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote2?= Internationalized address
=?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org?= removed;;
Downgraded-Cc: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote2_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>?=
Date: DATE
```

MAIL_BODY

Figure 3: Downgraded message (example 1)

A.2. Downgrading example 2

[TOC](#)

In many cases, the sender wants to use non-ASCII address and the recipient is a traditional mail user. The SMTP server handing mail for the recipient and/or the recipient's MUA does not support UTF8SMTP extension. Consider a mail message where:

*The sender address is "NON-ASCII-local@example.com" which is a non-ASCII address. Its ASCII alternative is "ASCII-local@example.com". It has a display-name "DISPLAY-local" which contains non-ASCII characters.

*The "To:" address is "ASCII-remote1@example.net" which is ASCII only. It has a display-name "DISPLAY-remote1" which contains non-ASCII characters.

*The "Subject:" header is "NON-ASCII-SUBJECT" which contains non-ASCII characters.

The second example envelope/message is shown in [Figure 4 \(Original message \(example 2\)\)](#).

```
MAIL From: <NON-ASCII-local@example.com>
      ALT-ADDRESS=ASCII-local@example.com
RCPT TO: <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
-----
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT
From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com
      <ASCII-local@example.com>>
To: DISPLAY-remote1 <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Date: DATE

MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 4: Original message (example 2)

In this example, SMTP session is downgradable. [Figure 5 \(SMTP Downgraded envelope/message \(example 2\)\)](#) shows SMTP downgraded envelope/message.

```
MAIL From: <ASCII-local@example.com>
RCPT TO: <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
-----
Downgraded-Mail-From: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-local@example.com>_?=
=?UTF8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>?=
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT
From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com>
<ASCII-local@example.com>
To: DISPLAY-remote1 <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Date: DATE

MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 5: SMTP Downgraded envelope/message (example 2)

After SMTP downgrading, header fields downgrading is performed. The downgraded example is shown in [Figure 6 \(Downgraded message \(example 2\)\)](#).

```
Return-Path: <ASCII-local@example.com>
Downgraded-Mail-From: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-local@example.com>_?=
=?UTF8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>?=
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: =?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-SUBJECT?=
Downgraded-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local_<NON-ASCII-local@example.com>_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local?= <ASCII-local@example.com>
To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1?= <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Date: DATE

MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 6: Downgraded message (example 2)

Authors' Addresses

[TOC](#)

	Kazunori Fujiwara (editor)
	JPRS
	Chiyoda First Bldg. East 13F, 3-8-1 Nishi-Kanda
	Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0065
	Japan
Phone:	+81 3 5215 8451
Email:	fujiwara@jprs.co.jp
	Yoshiro YONEYA (editor)
	JPRS
	Chiyoda First Bldg. East 13F, 3-8-1 Nishi-Kanda
	Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0065
	Japan
Phone:	+81 3 5215 8451
Email:	yone@jprs.co.jp

Full Copyright Statement

[TOC](#)

Copyright © The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.