Fmail Address	
Internationalization	K. Fujiwara
(EAI)	JPRS
Internet-Draft	B. Leiba
Intended status: Experimental	Huawei Technologies
Expires: June 4, 2010	December 01, 2009

TOC

Displaying Downgraded Messages for Email Address Internationalization draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display-03.txt

#### Abstract

This document describes a method for displaying downgraded messages which originally contained internationalized E-mail addresses or internationalized header fields.

#### Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 4, 2010.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as

described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Terminology
- 3. Converting downgraded message headers for display
  - 3.1. Considerations
  - 3.2. The process
- 3.2.1. No reconstruction of the Envelope Information Preservation Header Fields
- 3.2.2. Reconstructing the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields
  - 3.2.3. The Unknown Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields
- 4. Security considerations
- 5. IANA Considerations
- <u>6.</u> Acknowledgements
- 7. Change History
  - 7.1. draft-fujiwara-eai-downgraded-display: Version 00
  - 7.2. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 00
  - 7.3. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 01
  - <u>7.4.</u> draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 02
  - 7.5. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 03
- 8. References
  - 8.1. Normative References
  - <u>8.2.</u> Informative References
- <u>Appendix A.</u> Examples
  - A.1. Displaying example
- § Authors' Addresses

## 1. Introduction TOC

The Email Address Internationalization (UTF8SMTP) extension document set [RFC4952] (Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.) [RFC5336] (Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," September 2008.) [RFC5335] (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.) [RFC5337] (Newman, C. and A. Melnikov, "Internationalized Delivery Status and Disposition Notifications," September 2008.) expands Email address structure, syntax and Email header format. To avoid rejection of internationalized Email messages, the downgrading mechanism [RFC5504] (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization,"

March 2009.) converts an internationalized message to a traditional Email message when a server in the delivery path does not support the UTF8SMTP extension. The downgraded message is a traditional Email message, except the message has "Downgraded-" header fields.

A perfect reverse-function of the downgrading does not exist because the encoding defined in [RFC2047] (Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.) is not exactly reversible and Received header field downgrading may remove FOR clause information. The restoration of the downgraded message such as MUAs or IMAP servers. This document describes the restoration methods for displaying downgraded messages in MUAs.

2. Terminology TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119] (Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.).

Specialized terms used in this specification are defined in the EAI overview [RFC4952] (Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.) or in [RFC5321] (Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol," October 2008.)[RFC5322] (Resnick, P., Ed., "Internet Message Format," October 2008.), MIME documents [RFC2045] (Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," November 1996.) [RFC2047] (Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.) [RFC2183] (Troost, R., Dorner, S., and K. Moore, "Communicating Presentation Information in Internet Messages: The Content-Disposition Header Field, " August 1997.) [RFC2231] (Freed, N. and K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," November 1997.). This document depends on [RFC5335] (Abel, Y., "Internationalized Email Headers," September 2008.) and [RFC5504] (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization," March 2009.). Key words used in these document are used in this document, too.

The term "MIME decode" is used for both "encoded-word" decoding defined by [RFC2047] (Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions)

Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text,"

November 1996.) and MIME parameter value decoding defined by [RFC2231]

(Freed, N. and K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word

Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," November 1997.).

### 3. Converting downgraded message headers for display

TOC

#### 3.1. Considerations

TOC

The order of some header fields (such as "Resent-\*" fields) is significant. The process of regenerating the original fields from the downgraded ones MUST NOT reorder the fields.

In order to regenerate a field from a specific downgraded header field, it's necessary to find the corresponding replacement in the current message. If the corresponding field can not be found, the downgraded header field in question can not be regenerated and used.

#### 3.2. The process

TOC

A MUA MAY decode and re-generate the original header fields of the message (MTAs and MDAs SHOULD NOT attempt to do this; it SHOULD be left to the MUA). This procedure can be used to approximately reverse the Downgrade process, but it will not always construct the original header fields exactly.

Three types of Downgraded header fields are described in section 3 of [RFC5504] (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization," March 2009.):

- 1. "Envelope Information Preservation Header Fields", described in RFC5504 section 3.1 and in <u>Section 3.2.1 (No reconstruction of the Envelope Information Preservation Header Fields)</u>, below.
- 2. "Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields", described in RFC5504 section 3.2 and in <u>Section 3.2.2 (Reconstructing the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields)</u>, below.
- 3. "Unknown Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields", described in RFC5504 section 3.3 and in <u>Section 3.2.3 (The Unknown Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields)</u>, below.

After processing Downgraded header fields, decode all header fields, as described in <a href="Month: RFC2047">[RFC2047]</a> (Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text,"

<u>November 1996.)</u> and <u>[RFC2231] (Freed, N. and K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions:</u>

Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," November 1997.).

# 3.2.1. No reconstruction of the Envelope Information Preservation Header Fields

TOC

Envelope Information Preservation Header Fields are new fields that might have been added by the downgrade process. Because they do not represent fields that appeared in the original message, this process is not applicable to them.

# 3.2.2. Reconstructing the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields

TOC

Reconstructing Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields is OPTIONAL, and a decision MAY be made on each field, individually. In particular, it might be less important to process the Resent-\* header fields, so an implementation MAY choose to skip those.

To construct a displayable copy of a header field from one of these downgraded header fields, follow this procedure:

- 1. In an edit buffer, create a new header field:"
- 1a. For the field name, remove the "Downgraded-" prefix from the downgraded field name. For example, "Downgraded-From" becomes "From", and "Downgraded-Resent-To" becomes "Resent-To".
- 1b. For the field value, decode the MIME-encoded value of the downgraded field according to [RFC2047] (Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text," November 1996.).
- 2. If the header field is one that can only appear once, according to the table in [RFC5322] (Resnick, P., Ed., "Internet Message Format," October 2008.) section 3.6 ("From", "Sender", "To", "CC", "BCC", "Reply-To"), locate the corresponding field in the message's headers, and skip to step 9. Otherwise, continue with step 3.
- 3. Apply "Email Header Fields Downgrading", defined in section 5 of [RFC5504] (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization," March 2009.), to the field

in the edit buffer, but do not prepend the "Downgraded-" prefix. Put the result into comparison buffer 1.

- 4. Canonicalize the header fields in the comparison buffer:
  - Unfold all header field continuation lines as described in [RFC5322] (Resnick, P., Ed., "Internet Message Format," October 2008.).
  - 2. Ensure that there is one space character before and one after the <mailbox-list> separator ",". If a space character is missing, insert one.
  - 3. Ensure that there is one space character before and one after each <comment>. If a space character is missing, insert one.
  - 4. Decode each <encoded-word> whose charset is "UTF-8".
  - 5. Convert all sequences of one or more WSP characters to a single space character. WSP characters here include those before and after a line-folding boundary.
  - 6. Delete all WSP characters at the end of each unfolded header field value.
  - 7. Delete any WSP characters remaining before and after the colon separating the header field name from the header field value, retaining the colon separator.
- **5.** Locate the first instance of the corresponding field in the message's headers.
- **6.** Canonicalize the located field as in step 4, and put the result into comparison buffer 2.
- **7.** Compare the header field in comparison buffer 1 with the header field in comparison buffer 2. If they match, go to step 9.
- 8. Locate the next instance of the corresponding field in the message's headers. If one is found, go to step 6. If none is found, stop: you can not use this downgraded field because you can't find its replacement in the message.
- 9. Replace the located header field with the one in the edit buffer. You MUST NOT reorder the header fields when you do this; it's important to replace the field in place.

#### 3.2.3. The Unknown Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields

TOC

The Unknown Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields SHOULD be left as they are unless the MUA has special knowledge of a particular field. An MUA with such knowledge MAY use the procedure in <a href="Section 3.2.2">Section 3.2.2</a> (Reconstructing the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields), above, for those fields that it knows about.

## 4. Security considerations

TOC

While information in any email header should usually be treated with some suspicion, current email systems commonly employ various mechanisms and protocols to make the information more trustworthy. For example, an organization's boundary MTA can modify "From:" lines so that messages arriving from outside the organization are easily distinguishable from internal emails. As a result of that rewriting, it might not be possible to reconstruct the "Downgraded-From" header field.

A MUA MAY emphasize bogus or broken Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields found in step 8 of <u>Section 3.2.2 (Reconstructing the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields)</u>.

Hiding the information from the actual header fields when using the "Downgraded-" header fields does not cause loss of information if generating MIME decoded header fields in step 1 of Section 3.2.2 (Reconstructing the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields) and the comparison done in step 8 are successful. To ensure that no information is lost, a MUA SHOULD have a function that uses the actual message that was received (with/without MIME decoding) to render the message.

See "Security considerations" section in [RFC5504] (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization," March 2009.) and [RFC4952] (Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," July 2007.) for more discussion.

#### 5. IANA Considerations

TOC

This document makes no requests for IANA action. This section can be removed by the RFC Editor before publication.

## 6. Acknowledgements

This document was separated from <a href="RFC5504">[RFC5504]</a> (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization," March 2009.). Both documents were developed in the EAI WG. Significant comments and suggestions were received from John Klensin, Harald Alvestrand, Chris Newman, Randall Gellens, Charles Lindsey, Marcos Sanz, Alexey Melnikov, Pasi Eronen, Frank Ellermann, Edward Lewis, S. Moonesamy and JET members.

### 7. Change History

TOC

This section is used for tracking the update of this document. Will be removed after finalize.

## 7.1. draft-fujiwara-eai-downgraded-display: Version 00

TOC

\*Initial version

\*It is separated from Appendix A of draft-ietf-eai-downgrade-05.txt

#### 7.2. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 00

TOC

\*Submitted as a working group draft

## 7.3. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 01

TOC

\*Prohibited and removed Displaying Technique 1

\*Added new texts to Security Considerations

## 7.4. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 02

- \*updated by comments from Chair's review and AD's review
- \*Fixed references
- \*Rewrote section 4 to be more comprehensible
- \*Added bogus or broken "Downgraded-" header fields
- \*Added sentences in Security considerations

## 7.5. draft-ietf-eai-downgraded-display: Version 03

TOC

\*Section 3 (formerly 3 and 4) was rewritten by Barry Leiba.

#### 8. References

TOC

#### 8.1. Normative References

TOC

	100
[RFC2045]	Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies," RFC 2045, November 1996 (TXT).
[RFC2047]	Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions)  Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII  Text," RFC 2047, November 1996 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[RFC2119]	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[RFC2183]	Troost, R., Dorner, S., and K. Moore, "Communicating Presentation Information in Internet Messages: The Content-Disposition Header Field," RFC 2183, August 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[RFC2231]	Freed, N. and K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions:  Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations," RFC 2231,  November 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[RFC4952]	Klensin, J. and Y. Ko, "Overview and Framework for Internationalized Email," RFC 4952, July 2007 (TXT).

[RFC5322]	Resnick, P., Ed., "Internet Message Format," RFC 5322, October 2008 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[RFC5335]	Abel, Y., " <u>Internationalized Email Headers</u> ," RFC 5335, September 2008 ( <u>TXT</u> ).
[RFC5504]	Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, " <u>Downgrading Mechanism for</u> <u>Email Address Internationalization</u> ," RFC 5504, March 2009 ( <u>TXT</u> ).

## 8.2. Informative References

TOC

[RFC5321]	Klensin, J., " <u>Simple Mail Transfer Protocol</u> ," RFC 5321, October 2008 ( <u>TXT</u> ).
[RFC5336]	Yao, J. and W. Mao, "SMTP Extension for Internationalized Email Addresses," RFC 5336, September 2008 (TXT).
[RFC5337]	Newman, C. and A. Melnikov, " <u>Internationalized Delivery</u> <u>Status and Disposition Notifications</u> ," RFC 5337, September 2008 ( <u>TXT</u> ).

## Appendix A. Examples

TOC

This section shows a example of displaying a downgraded message. First, an example of the original UTF8SMTP message and its downgraded message are shown. The example comes from "Example 1" of [RFC5504] (Fujiwara, K. and Y. Yoneya, "Downgrading Mechanism for Email Address Internationalization," March 2009.) and three header fields, "Unknown-Field", "Resent-From", and "Resent-To", are added. The example UTF8SMTP message is shown in Figure 1 (Original message).

Message-Id: MESSAGE\_ID

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT

Unknown-Field: NON-ASCII-Unknown

From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com

<ASCII-local@example.com>>

To: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net

<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>

Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>

Resent-From: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net

<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>

Resent-To: DISPLAY-reto <NON-ASCII-reto@example.net

<ASCII-reto@example.net>>

Date: DATE

MAIL\_BODY

## Figure 1: Original message

Delivered downgraded message is shown in <u>Figure 2 (Downgraded message)</u>. Return-Path header will be added by the final destination MTA. Some of Received: header fields may be added.

```
Return-Path: <ASCII-local@example.com>
Received: ...
Downgraded-Mail-From: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-local@example.com_?=</pre>
 =?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
Downgraded-Rcpt-To: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_?=</pre>
 =?UTF-8?0?<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: =?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-SUBJECT?=
Downgraded-Unknown-Field: =?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-Unknown?=
From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local?= <ASCII-local@example.com>
Downgraded-From: =?UTF-8?0?DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com ?=
 =?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1?= <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Downgraded-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
 =?UTF-8?0?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net <ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Cc: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote2?= Internationalized address
 =?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org?= removed:;
Downgraded-Cc: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote2_?=
 =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>?=
Resent-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1?= <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Downgraded-Resent-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
 =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Resent-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-reto?= <ASCII-reto@example.net>
Downgraded-Resent-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-reto_?=
 =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-reto@example.net_<ASCII-reto@example.net>>?=
Date: DATE
MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 2: Downgraded message

<u>Figure 3 (MIME decoded message)</u> shows MIME decoded message of <u>Figure 2 (Downgraded message)</u>. The recipient can read the original From, To, Cc and Unknown-Field header fields as Downgraded-From, Downgraded-To, Downgraded-Cc and Downgraded-Unknown-Field header fields.

```
Return-Path: <ASCII-local@example.com>
Received: ...
Downgraded-Mail-From: <NON-ASCII-local@example.com
 <ASCII-local@example.com>>
Downgraded-Rcpt-To: <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net
 <ASCII-remote1@example.net>>
Message-Id: MESSAGE_ID
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT
Downgraded-Unknown-Field: NON-ASCII-Unknown
From: DISPLAY-local <ASCII-local@example.com>
Downgraded-From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com
 <ASCII-local@example.com>>
To: DISPLAY-remote1 <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Downgraded-To: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net
 <ASCII-remote1@example.net>>
Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 Internationalized address
 NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org removed:;
Downgraded-Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>
Resent-From: DISPLAY-remote1 <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Downgraded-Resent-From: DISPLAY-remote1
 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net <ASCII-remote1@example.net>>
Resent-To: DISPLAY-reto < ASCII-reto@example.net>
Downgraded-Resent-To: DISPLAY-reto
 <NON-ASCII-reto@example.net <ASCII-reto@example.net>>
Date: DATE
MAIL_BODY
```

Figure 3: MIME decoded message

#### A.1. Displaying example

TOC

This example shows how to display the message in <u>Figure 2 (Downgraded message)</u>, above, using the process defined in <u>Section 3 (Converting downgraded message headers for display)</u>. For simplicity, we will show the reconstruction of all the applicable fields at once. Selecting all Downgraded-\* fields gives this:

```
Downgraded-Mail-From: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-local@example.com_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
Downgraded-Rcpt-To: =?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Downgraded-Unknown-Field: =?UTF-8?Q?NON-ASCII-Unknown?=
Downgraded-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local_<NON-ASCII-local@example.com_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
Downgraded-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Downgraded-Cc: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote2_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>?=
Downgraded-Resent-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Downgraded-Resent-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-reto_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-reto@example.net_<ASCII-reto@example.net>>?=
```

Figure 4: Downgraded header fields

Two of the fields, Downgraded-Mail-From and Downgraded-Rcpt-To, are Envelope Information Preservation Header Fields, and will not be reconstructed. One field, Downgraded-Unknown-Field, is an Unknown Header Fields' Preservation Header Field, and will also not be reconstructed. That leaves these to be reconstructed, the Address Header Fields' Preservation Header Fields:

```
Downgraded-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-local_<NON-ASCII-local@example.com_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<ASCII-local@example.com>>?=
Downgraded-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Downgraded-Cc: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote2_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>?=
Downgraded-Resent-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net_<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>?=
Downgraded-Resent-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-reto_?=
=?UTF-8?Q?<NON-ASCII-reto@example.net_<ASCII-reto@example.net>>?=
```

Figure 5: Header fields for the reconstruction

Now, perform Step 1, creating temporary fields.

From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com

<ASCII-local@example.com>>

To: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net

<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>

Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>

Resent-From: DISPLAY-remote1

<NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net <ASCII-remote1@example.net>>

Resent-To: DISPLAY-reto

<NON-ASCII-reto@example.net <ASCII-reto@example.net>>

#### Figure 6: Output of Step 1

In step 2, we set aside the "From", "To", and "Cc" fields, and continue to step 3 with just "Resent-From" and "Resent-To" (the fields that may appear more than once). The fields we set aside will be picked up again later, in step 9.

Perform Steps 3 and 4. The edit buffer contains re-generated ASCII header fields, canonicalized.

Resent-From: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-remote1?= <ASCII-remote1@example.net>
Resent-To: =?UTF-8?Q?DISPLAY-reto?= <ASCII-reto@example.net>

## Figure 7: The edit buffer (output of Step 4)

Perform Steps 5 to 7, comparison, for each header field. Both the Resent-From and Resent-To fields will match, and we will proceed to step 9. (Step 8, iteration, does not apply in this example. Perform step 9, replacing all applicable fields, without changing the order. Then do MIME decoding on everything, for display.

Return-Path: <ASCII-local@example.com>

Received: ...

Downgraded-Mail-From: <NON-ASCII-local@example.com

<ASCII-local@example.com>>

Downgraded-Rcpt-To: <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net>

<ASCII-remote1@example.net>

Message-Id: MESSAGE\_ID

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Subject: NON-ASCII-SUBJECT

Downgraded-Unknown-Field: NON-ASCII-Unknown

From: DISPLAY-local <NON-ASCII-local@example.com

<ASCII-local@example.com>>

To: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net

<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>

Cc: DISPLAY-remote2 <NON-ASCII-remote2@example.org>

Resent-From: DISPLAY-remote1 <NON-ASCII-remote1@example.net

<ASCII-remote1@example.net>>

Resent-To: DISPLAY-reto <NON-ASCII-reto@example.net

<ASCII-reto@example.net>>

Date: DATE

Figure 8: The final result

As a result, in this simple example, some original header fields are now displayed in their original form. Differences between <a href="Figure 1">Figure 1</a> (Original message) and <a href="Figure 8">Figure 8</a> (The final result) are Return-Path, Downgraded-Mail-From, Downgraded-Rcpt-To, and Downgraded-Unknown-Field.

## **Authors' Addresses**

TOC

	Kazunori Fujiwara
	Japan Registry Services Co., Ltd.
	Chiyoda First Bldg. East 13F, 3-8-1 Nishi-Kanda
	Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0065
	Japan
Phone:	+81-3-5215-8451
Email:	fujiwara@jprs.co.jp
	Barry Leiba
	Huawei Technologies

Phone:	+1 646 827 0648
Email:	barryleiba@computer.org
URI:	http://internetmessagingtechnology.org/