ECRIT Working Group

Internet-Draft

Updates: <u>5031</u> (if approved) Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: July 12, 2014

C. Holmberg I. Sedlacek Ericsson January 8, 2014

URN For Country Specific Emergency Services draft-ietf-ecrit-country-emg-urn-03.txt

Abstract

Section 4.2 of RFC 5031 allows the registration of service URNs with the 'sos' service type only for emergency services "that are offered widely and in different countries". This document updates those instructions in RFC 5031 to allow such registrations when, at the time of registration, those services are offered in one country only.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 12, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	2
<u>2</u> .	Conventions	3
<u>3</u> .	Update to <u>RFC 5031</u>	3
3	<u>.1</u> . General	3
3	.2. New text replacing the text of the 2nd paragraph of	
	<u>section 4.2 of RFC 5031</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> .	IANA Considerations	<u>3</u>
<u>5</u> .	Change Log	4
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations	4
<u>7</u> .	Normative References	<u>5</u>
Auth	hors' Addresses	<u>5</u>

1. Introduction

Table 1 shows the policy for registration of sub-services of the service URN with the 'sos' service type, as defined in section 4.2. of RFC5031].

+----+

The 'sos' service type describes emergency services requiring an | immediate response, typically offered by various branches of the | government or other public institutions. Additional sub-services | can be added after expert review and must be of general public | interest and have a similar emergency nature. The expert is | designated by the ECRIT working group, its successor, or, in | their absence, the IESG. The expert review should only approve | emergency services that are offered widely and in different | countries, with approximately the same caller expectation in | terms of services rendered. The 'sos' service is not meant to | invoke general government, public information, counseling, or | social services.

Table 1: Excerpt from RFC 5031 section 4.2.

Some existing emergency services are, at least initially, offered in one country only. Examples are the "Reporting spies" emergency service offered in South Korea and the "GAULA (anti-kidnapping)" emergency service offered in Colombia according to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emergency_telephone_number (as per January 8th 2014). Future emergency service might also initially be introduced in one country only.

The policy for registration of sub-services of the service URN with the 'sos' service type, as defined in RFC 5031 [RFC5031], prevents the registration of a sub-service of the service URN with the 'sos' service type for a service that, at the time of registration, is offered in one country only.

This document updates the 2nd paragraph of section 4.2 of RFC 5031 [RFC5031], in order to allow the registration of service URNs with the 'sos' service type for emergency services that, at the time of registration, are offered in one country only.

2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. Update to <u>RFC 5031</u>

3.1. General

Section 3.2 of this document replaces the text of the 2nd paragraph of section 4.2 (Sub-Services for the 'sos' Service) of RFC 5031 [RFC5031].

3.2. New text replacing the text of the 2nd paragraph of section 4.2 of RFC 5031

The 'sos' service type describes emergency services requiring an immediate response, typically offered by various branches of the government or other public institutions. Additional sub-services can be added after expert review. The expert is designated by the ECRIT working group, its successor, or, in their absence, the IESG. The designated expert should only approve services that have emergency nature, that are offered in at least one country, that do not match description of any existing service URN with the 'sos' service type, and where the service description and the URN are defined as broadly as possible to encourage reuse. The 'sos' service is not meant to invoke general government, public information, counseling, or social services.

4. IANA Considerations

This document updates the advice to the expert for the registry of sub-services of the "sos" service. IANA is requested to update the reference for that registry from RFC 5031 [RFC5031] to this document.

http://www.iana.org/assignments/urn-serviceid-labels

5. Change Log

Internet-Draft

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please remove this section when publishing]

Changes from <u>draft-ietf-ecrit-country-emg-urn-02</u>

- o Changes based on IESG review.
- o Old section 3, 4 and 5.2 removed.
- o Only modified paragraph kept in <u>section 5.3</u>.
- o Date added to Wikipedia reference.

Changes from <u>draft-ietf-ecrit-country-emg-urn-01</u>

o Security Considerations section updated.

Changes from <u>draft-ietf-ecrit-country-emg-urn-00</u>

o IANA Considerations section updated. Open issue removed.

Changes from <u>draft-holmberg-ecrit-country-emg-urn-02</u>

o Minor editorial fixes.

Changes from draft-holmberg-ecrit-country-emg-urn-01

o Technical fix: Instead of creating a new sub-tree, the 'sos' registration rules in RFC are updated, to allow registration of URNs that, at the time of registration, are specific to a single country.

Changes from <u>draft-holmberg-ecrit-country-emg-urn-00</u>

o Editorial fix: cannot be not fulfilled -> cannot be fulfilled

6. Security Considerations

This document does not update the Security Considerations of $\overline{\text{RFC}}$ 5031.

7. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

[RFC5031] Schulzrinne, H., "A Uniform Resource Name (URN) for Emergency and Other Well-Known Services", RFC 5031, January 2008.

Authors' Addresses

Christer Holmberg Ericsson Hirsalantie 11 Jorvas 02420 Finland

Email: christer.holmberg@ericsson.com

Ivo Sedlacek Ericsson Sokolovska 79 Praha 18600 Czech Republic

Email: ivo.sedlacek@ericsson.com