ECRIT Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: August 22, 2016

# Next-Generation Pan-European eCall draft-ietf-ecrit-ecall-07.txt

### Abstract

This document describes how to use IP-based emergency services mechanisms to support the next generation of the Pan European invehicle emergency call service defined under the eSafety initiative of the European Commission (generally referred to as "eCall"). eCall is a standardized and mandated system for a special form of emergency calls placed by vehicles. eCall deployment is required in the very near future in European Union member states, and eCall (and eCallcompatible systems) are also being deployed in other regions. eCall provides an integrated voice path and a standardized set of vehicle, sensor (e.g., crash related), and location data. An eCall is recognized and handled as a specialized form of emergency call and is routed to a specialized eCall-capable Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) capable of processing the vehicle data and trained in handling emergency calls from vehicles.

Currently, eCall functions over circuit-switched cellular telephony; work on next-generation eCall (NG-eCall, sometimes called packetswitched eCall or PS-eCall) is now in process, and this document assists in that work by describing how to support eCall within the IP-based emergency services infrastructure.

This document also registers a MIME Content Type and an Emergency Call Additional Data Block for the eCall vehicle data.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any Next-Generation eCall

time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 22, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

Terminology	•	·	•	<u>3</u>
Document Scope				<u>4</u>
Introduction				<u>4</u>
eCall Requirements				<u>6</u>
Vehicle Data				7
Call Setup				7
Call Routing				<u>9</u>
Test Calls				<u>9</u>
eCall-Specific Control/Metadata				<u>9</u>
<u>.1</u> . The eCall Control Block				<u>10</u>
<u>9.1.1</u> . The <ack> element</ack>				<u>12</u>
<u>9.1.1.1</u> . Attributes of the <ack> element</ack>				<u>12</u>
<u>9.1.1.2</u> . Child Elements of the <ack> element</ack>				<u>12</u>
<u>9.1.1.3</u> . Ack Examples				<u>13</u>
<u>9.1.2</u> . The <capabilities> element</capabilities>				<u>14</u>
<u>9.1.2.1</u> . Child Elements of the <capabilities> element</capabilities>				<u>14</u>
9.1.2.2. Capabilities Example				15
<u>9.1.3</u> . The <request> element</request>				<u>16</u>
<u>9.1.3.1</u> . Attributes of the <request> element</request>				<u>16</u>
<u>9.1.3.2</u> . Child Elements of the <request> element</request>				<u>18</u>
<u>9.1.3.3</u> . Request Example				<u>19</u>
.2. The emergencyCallData.eCall INFO package				19
Examples				20
Security Considerations				24
Privacy Considerations				26
XML Schema				26
	Terminology	Terminology	Terminology	Terminology

<u>14</u> . IANA	Considerations	<u>29</u>
<u>14.1</u> .	Service URN Registrations	<u>29</u>
14.2.	MIME Content-type Registration for	
	'application/emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml'	<u>30</u>
14.3.	MIME Content-type Registration for	
	'application/emergencyCallData.eCall.control+xml'	<u>31</u>
14.4.	Registration of the 'eCall.MSD' entry in the Emergency	
	Call Additional Data Blocks registry	<u>33</u>
14.5.	Registration of the 'eCall.control' entry in the	
	Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks registry	33
14.6.	Registration of the emergencyCallData.eCall Info Package	33
14.7.	URN Sub-Namespace Registration	33
14.7	.1. Registration for urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eCall	33
14.7	.2. Registration for	
	urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eCall:control	34
14.8.	Registry creation	35
14.8	1 eCall Control Action Registry	35
14.8	.2. eCall Static Message Registry	36
14 8	3 eCall Reason Registry	37
14 8	4 eCall Lamp TD Registry	38
14.8	5 eCall Camera ID Registry	30
15 Cont	ributors	30
16 Ackno	owledgements	30
17 Chan	des from Drevious Versions	30
<u>17</u> 17 1	Changes from draft_jetf_05 to draft_jetf_06	<u>39</u> 40
$\frac{17.1}{17.2}$	Changes from draft jetf 04 to draft jetf 05	40
$\frac{17.2}{17.2}$	Changes from draft jetf 02 to draft jetf 04	40
<u>17.3</u> .	Changes from draft jetf $02$ to draft jetf $02$	40
$\frac{17.4}{17}$	Changes from draft jetf $01$ to draft jetf $02$	40
<u>17.5</u> .	Changes from draft jetf $00$ to draft jetf $01$	40
$\frac{17.0}{17.7}$	Changes from draft gollens 02 to draft jotf 00	41
$\frac{17.7}{17.0}$	Changes from <u>draft gellens 00</u> to <u>00</u>	41
$\frac{17.8}{17.0}$	Changes from <u>draft-gellens-02</u> to -03	41
<u>17.9</u> .	changes from <u>drait-gellens-oi</u> to -02	41
$\frac{17.10}{5}$	Changes from <u>draft-gellens-00</u> to -01	41
<u>18</u> . Refe	rences	41
<u>18.1</u> .	Normative References	<u>42</u>
<u>18.2</u>	Informative references	<u>43</u>
Authors'	Addresses	<u>44</u>

# **1**. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [<u>RFC2119</u>].

This document re-uses terminology defined in <u>Section 3 of [RFC5012]</u>.

Additionally, we use the following abbreviations:

+	+
Term	Expansion
3GPP   CEN   EENA   ESInet   IMS   IVS   MNO   MSD   PSAP	<pre>  3rd Generation Partnership Project   European Committee for Standardization   European Emergency Number Association   Emergency Services IP network   IP Multimedia Subsystem   In-Vehicle System   Mobile Network Operator   Minimum Set of Data   Public Safety Answering Point</pre>
<b>T</b> '	★-------------------------------------

#### 2. Document Scope

This document is limited to the signaling, data exchange, and protocol needs of next-generation eCall (NG-eCall, also referred to as packet-switched eCall (PS-eCall) and all-IP eCall) within the SIP framework for emergency calls, as described in [RFC6443] and [RFC6881]. eCall itself is specified by 3GPP and CEN and these specifications include far greater scope than is covered here.

The eCall service operates over cellular wireless communication, but this document does not address cellular-specific details, nor client domain selection (e.g., circuit-switched versus packet-switched). All such aspects are the purview of their respective standards bodies. The scope of this document is limited to eCall operating within a SIP-based environment (e.g., 3GPP IMS Emergency Calling).

The technical contents of this document can be suitable for use in other vehicle-initiated emergency call systems, but this is out of scope for this document.

Vehicles designed for multiple regions might need to support eCall and other Advanced Automatic Crash Notification (AACN) systems, such as described in [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-car-crash</u>]. That system is compatible with eCall, differing primarily in the specific data set that is sent.

### 3. Introduction

Emergency calls made from vehicles (e.g., in the event of a crash) assist in significantly reducing road deaths and injuries by allowing emergency services to be aware of the incident, the state of the vehicle, the location of the vehicle, and to have a voice channel with the vehicle occupants. This enables a quick and appropriate response.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 4]

The European Commission initiative of eCall was conceived in the late 1990s, and has evolved to a European Parliament decision requiring the implementation of compliant in-vehicle systems (IVS) in new vehicles and the deployment of eCall in the European Member States in the very near future. eCall (and eCall-compatible systems) are also being adopted in other regions.

The pan-European eCall system provides a standardized and mandated mechanism for emergency calls by vehicles. eCall establishes procedures for such calls to be placed by in-vehicle systems, recognized and processed by the network, and routed to a specialized PSAP where the vehicle data is available to assist the call taker in assessing and responding to the situation. eCall provides a standard set of vehicle, sensor (e.g., crash related), and location data.

An eCall can be either user-initiated or automatically triggered. Automatically triggered eCalls indicate a car crash or some other serious incident and carry a greater presumption of risk of injury. Manually triggered eCalls might be reports of serious hazards and are likely to require a different response than an automatically triggered eCall. Manually triggered eCalls are also more likely to be false (e.g., accidental) calls and so might be subject to different operational handling by the PSAP.

Currently, eCall is standardized (by 3GPP [SD0-3GPP] and CEN [CEN]) as a 3GPP circuit-switched call over GSM (2G) or UMTS (3G). Flags in the call setup mark the call as an eCall, and further indicate if the call was automatically or manually triggered. The call is routed to an eCall-capable PSAP, a voice channel is established between the vehicle and the PSAP, and an eCall in-band modem is used to carry a defined set of vehicle, sensor (e.g., crash related), and location data (the Minimum Set of Data or MSD) within the voice channel. The same in-band mechanism is used for the PSAP to acknowledge successful receipt of the MSD, and to request the vehicle to send a new MSD (e.g., to check if the state of or location of the vehicle or its occupants has changed). Work on next-generation eCall (NG-eCall, also referred to as packet-switched eCall or PS eCall) is now in process. As part of this work, the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) [SDO-ETSI] has published a Technical Report titled "Mobile Standards Group (MSG); eCall for VoIP" [MSG\_TR] that presents findings and recommendations regarding support for eCall in an all-IP environment. NG-eCall moves from circuit switched to all-IP, and carries the vehicle data and other eCall-specific data as additional data associated with the call. This document describes how IETF mechanisms for IP-based emergency calls, including [RFC6443] and [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data] are used to provide the signaling and data exchange of the next generation of pan-European eCall.

### Internet-Draft

Next-Generation eCall

The [MSG\_TR] recommendation for NG-eCall is to use 3GPP IMS emergency calling with additional elements identifying the call as an eCall and as carrying eCall data and with mechanisms for carrying the data. 3GPP IMS emergency services support multimedia, providing the ability to carry voice, text, and video. This capability is referred to within 3GPP as Multimedia Emergency Services (MMES).

A transition period will exist during which time the various entities involved in initiating and handling an eCall might support nextgeneration eCall, legacy eCall, or both. This transition period might last several years or longer. The issue of migration/coexistence during the transition period is very important but is outside the scope of this document. The ETSI TR "Mobile Standards Group (MSG); eCall for VoIP" [MSG TR] discusses these issues in Clause 7.

### 4. eCall Requirements

Overall eCall requirements are specified by CEN in  $[\underline{EN \ 16072}]$  and by 3GPP in  $[\underline{TS22.101}]$  clauses 10.7 and A.27. Requirements specific to vehicle data are contained in EN 15722  $[\underline{msd}]$ . For convenience, the requirements most applicable to the limited scope of this document are summarized very briefly below.

eCall requires:

- The call be recognized as an eCall (which is inherently an emergency call)
- The call setup indicates if the call was manually or automatically triggered
- o A voice channel between the vehicle and the PSAP
- o Carrying the MSD intrinsically with the call (the MSD needs to be available to the same call-taker as the voice)
- o The ability for the PSAP to acknowledge receipt of the MSD
- o The ability for the PSAP to request that the vehicle generate and transmit a new MSD
- o The ability of the PSAP to be able to re-contact the occupants of vehicle after the initial eCall is concluded
- o The ability to perform a test call (which can be routed to a PSAP but is not treated as an emergency call and not handled by a call taker)

It is recognized that NG-eCall offers many potential enhancements, although these are not required by current EU regulations. For convenience, the enhancements most applicable to the limited scope of this document are summarized very briefly below.

NG-eCall is expected to offer:

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 6]

- o The ability to carry more data (e.g., an enhanced MSD or an MSD plus additional sets of data)
- o The ability to handle video
- o The ability to handle text
- o The ability for the PSAP to access vehicle components (e.g., an onboard camera (such as rear facing or blind-spot cameras) for a visual assessment of the crash site situation)
- The ability for the PSAP to request the vehicle to take actions (e.g., sound the horn, disable the ignition, lock/unlock doors)
- o The ability to avoid audio muting of the voice channel (because the MSD is not transferred using an in-band modem)

## 5. Vehicle Data

Pan-European eCall provides a standardized and mandated set of vehicle related data, known as the Minimum Set of Data (MSD). The European Committee for Standardization (CEN) has specified this data in EN 15722 [msd], along with both ASN.1 and XML encodings for the MSD [msd]. Circuit-switched eCall uses the ASN.1 encoding. The XML encoding is better suited for use in SIP messages and is used in this document. (The ASN.1 encoding is specified in Annex A of EN 15722 [msd], while the XML encoding is specified in Annex C.)

The "Additional Data related to an Emergency Call" document [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>] establishes a general mechanism for attaching blocks of data to a SIP emergency call. This document makes use of that mechanism to carry the eCall MSD in a SIP emergency call.

### This document registers the 'application/

emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml' MIME Content-Type to enable the MSD to be carried in SIP. This document also adds the 'eCall.MSD' entry to the Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks registry (established by [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>]) to enable the MSD to be recognized as such in a SIP-based eCall emergency call.

Note that if additional data sets are defined and registered (e.g., in the future or in other regions) and transmitted using the same mechanisms, the size and frequency of transmission during a session needs to be evaluated to be sure it is appropriate to use the signaling channel.

### 6. Call Setup

In circuit-switched eCall, the IVS places a special form of a 112 emergency call which carries an eCall flag (indicating that the call is an eCall and also if the call was manually or automatically triggered); the mobile network operator (MNO) recognizes the eCall

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 7]

flag and routes the call to an eCall-capable PSAP; vehicle data is transmitted to the PSAP via the eCall in-band modem (in the voice channel).

///----\\ 112 voice call with eCall flag +----+
||| IVS |||----->+ PSAP |
\\\----/// vehicle data via eCall in-band modem +----+

## Figure 1: circuit-switched eCall

An In-Vehicle System (IVS) which supports NG-eCall transmits the MSD in accordance with [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data] by encoding it as specified (per Appendix C of EN 15722 [msd]) and attaching it to an INVITE as a MIME body part. The body part is identified by its MIME content-type ('application/emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml') in the Content-Type header field of the body part. The body part is assigned a unique identifier which is listed in a Content-ID header field in the body part. The INVITE is marked as containing the MSD by adding (or appending to) a Call-Info header field at the top level of the INVITE. This Call-Info header field contains a CID URL referencing the body part's unique identifier, and a 'purpose' parameter identifying the data as the eCall MSD per the registry entry; the 'purpose' parameter's value is 'emergencyCallData.' and the root of the MIME type (not including the 'emergencyCallData' prefix and any suffix such as '+xml' (e.g., 'purpose=emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD').

For NG-eCall, the IVS establishes an emergency call using the 3GPP IMS solution with a Request-URI indicating an eCall type of emergency call and with vehicle data attached; the MNO or ESInet recognizes the eCall URN and routes the call to a NG-eCall capable PSAP; the PSAP interpets the vehicle data sent with the call and makes it available to the call taker.

///----\\\ IMS emergency call with eCall URN +----+
IVS ------>+ PSAP |
\\\---/// vehicle data included in call setup +----+

### Figure 2: NG-eCall

This document registers new service URN children within the "sos" subservice. These URNs provide the mechanism by which an eCall is identified, and differentiate between manually and automatically triggered eCalls (which can be subject to different treatment, depending on policy). The two service URNs are: urn:service:sos.ecall.automatic and urn:service:sos.ecall.manual

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 8]

## 7. Call Routing

The routing rules for eCalls are likely to differ from those of other emergency calls because eCalls are special types of emergency calls (with implications for the types of response required) and need to be handled by specially designated PSAPs. In an environment that uses ESInets, the originating network passes all types of emergency calls to an ESInet (which have a request URI containing the "SOS" service URN). The ESInet is then responsible for routing such calls to the appropriate PSAP. In an environment without an ESInet, the emergency services authorities and the originating network jointly determine how such calls are routed.

# 8. Test Calls

eCall requires the ability to place test calls. These are calls that are recognized and treated to some extent as eCalls but are not given emergency call treatment and are not handled by call takers. The test call facility allows the IVS or user to verify that an eCall can be successfully established with voice communication. The IVS can also verify that the MSD was successfully received.

A service URN starting with "test." indicates a test call. For eCall, "urn:service:test.sos.ecall" indicates such a test feature. This functionality is defined in [<u>RFC6881</u>].

This document registers "urn:service:test.sos.ecall" for eCall test calls.

the current eCall test call facility is a non-emergency number so does not get treated as an emergency call. MNOs can treat a vehicle call in the "test" service URN in a way that tests as much functionality as desired, but this is outside the scope of this document.

PSAPs that have the ability to process NG-eCalls SHOULD accept test calls and send an acknowledgment if the MSD was successfully received, per this document. Such PSAPs MAY also play an audio clip (for example, saying that the call reached a PSAP) in addition to supporting media loopback per [RFC6881].

### 9. eCall-Specific Control/Metadata

eCall requires the ability for the PSAP to acknowledge successful receipt of an MSD sent by the IVS, and for the PSAP to request that the IVS send an MSD (e.g., the call taker can initiate a request for a new MSD to see if the vehicle's state or location has changed). Future enhancements are desired to enable the PSAP to send other

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 9]

Next-Generation eCall

requests to the vehicle, such as locking or unlocking doors, sounding the horn, flashing the lights, starting a video stream from on-board cameras (such as rear focus or blind-spot), etc.

The mechanism established in [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data], used in <u>Section 5</u> of this document to carry the MSD from the IVS to the PSAP, is also used to carry a block of control data from the PSAP to the IVS. This eCall control block (sometimes referred to as eCall metadata) is an XML structure containing eCall-specific elements. When the PSAP needs to send an eCall control block that is in response to the MSD or other data sent by the IVS in a SIP request, the control block can be sent in the SIP response to that request (e.g., the INVITE). When the PSAP needs to send an eCall control block that is not an immediate response to an MSD or other data sent by the IVS, the control block can be transmitted from the PSAP to the IVS in a SIP INFO message within the established session. The IVS can then send any requested data (such as a new MSD) in the reply to the INFO message. This mechanism flexibly allows the PSAP to send eCall-specific data to the IVS and the IVS to respond. If control data sent in a response message requests the IVS to send a new MSD or other data block, or to perform an action other than sending data, the IVS can send the requested data or an acknowledgment regarding the action in an INFO message within the session (it could also use re-INVITE but that is unnecessary when no aspect of the session or media is changing).

This mechanism requires

- o An XML definition of the eCall control object
- An extension mechanism by which new elements can be added to the control object definition (e.g., permitting additional elements to be included by adding their namespace)
- A MIME type registration for the control object (so it can be carried in SIP messages and responses)
- o An entry in the Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks sub-registry (established by [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>]) so that the control block can be recognized as emergency call specific data within the SIP messages
- o An Info-Package registration per [<u>RFC6086</u>] permitting the control block within Info messages

# <u>9.1</u>. The eCall Control Block

The eCall control block is an XML data structure allowing for acknowledgments, requests, and capabilities information. It is carried in a SIP body part with a specific MIME content type. Three top-level elements are defined for use within an eCall control block:

- ack Used in a control block sent by either side. The PSAP uses this to acknowledge receipt of a data set sent by the IVS. The IVS uses this to acknowledge receipt of a request by the PSAP when that request would not otherwise be acknowledged (if the PSAP requests the vehicle to send data and the vehicle does so, the data serves as a success acknowledgement).
- capabilities: Used in a control block sent from the IVS to the PSAP (e.g., in the initial INVITE) to inform the PSAP of the vehicle capabilities. Child elements contain all actions and data types supported by the vehicle and all available lamps (lights) and cameras.
- request Used in a control block sent by the PSAP to the IVS, to request the vehicle to perform an action.

Mandatory Actions (the IVS and the PSAP MUST support):

o Transmit data object

Optional Actions (the IVS and the PSAP MAY support):

- o Play and/or display static (pre-defined) message
- o Speak/display dynamic text (text supplied in action)
- o Flash or turn on or off a lamp (light)
- o Honk horn
- o Enable a camera

The <ack> element indicates the object being acknowledged (i.e., a data object or a <request> element), and reports success or failure.

The <capabilities> element has child <request> elements to indicate the actions supported by the IVS.

The <request> element contains attributes to indicate the request and to supply any needed information, and MAY contain a <text> child element to contain the text for a dynamic message. The 'action' attribute is mandatory and indicates the specific action. An IANA registry is created in <u>Section 14.8.1</u> to contain the allowed values.

Extensibility: New elements, child elements, and attributes can be defined in new namespaces. IANA registries are used to specify the permitted values of several elements and attributes. These mechanisms allow for extension.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 11]

Next-Generation eCall

There is no 'request' action to play dynamic media (such as a prerecorded audio message). The SIP re-INVITE mechanism can be used to establish a one-way media stream for this purpose.

### <u>9.1.1</u>. The <ack> element

The <ack> element is transmitted by the PSAP to acknowledge receipt of an eCall data object. An <ack> element sent by a PSAP references the unique ID of the data object that was sent by the IVS, and further indicates if the PSAP considers the receipt successful or not. The <ack> element is also transmitted by the IVS to the PSAP to acknowledge receipt of a <request> element that requested the IVS to perform an action other than transmitting a data object (e.g., a request to display a message would be acknowledged, but a request to transmit a data object would not result in a separate <ack> element being sent, since the data object itself serves as acknowledgment.) An <ack> element sent by an IVS references the unique ID of the request being acknowledged, indicates whether the request was successfully performed, and if not, optionally includes an explanation.

The <ack> element has the following attributes and child elements:

## <u>9.1.1.1</u>. Attributes of the <ack> element

The <ack> element has the following attributes:

```
Name: ref
Usage: Mandatory
Type: anyURI
Description: References the Content-ID of the body part that
    contained the data object or control object being acknowledged.
Example: <ack received="yes" ref="1234567890@atlanta.example.com"/>
Name: received
Usage: Conditional: mandatory in an >ack< element sent by a PSAP;
    not applicable in an >ack< element sent by an IVS
Type: Boolean
Description: Indicates if the referenced object was successfully
    received or not
```

Example: <ack received="yes" ref="1234567890@atlanta.example.com"/>

## 9.1.1.2. Child Elements of the <ack> element

The <ack> element has the following child elements:

Name: actionResult Usage: Optional

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 12]

```
Internet-Draft
                       Next-Generation eCall
                                                         February 2016
  Description: An <actionResult> element indicates the result of an
     action (other than a 'send-data' action). When an <ack> element
     is in response to a control object with multiple <request>
     elements (that are not 'send-data' actions), the <ack> element
     contains an <actionResult> element for each. The <actionResult>
     element has the following attributes:
     Name: action
     Usage: Mandatory
     Type: token
     Description: Contains the value of the 'action' attribute of the
        <request> element
     Name: success
     Usage: Mandatory
     Type: Boolean
     Description: Indicates if the action was successfully
        accomplished
     Name: reason
     Usage: Conditional
     Type: token
     Description: Used when 'success' is "False", this attribute
        contains a reason code for a failure. A registry for reason
        codes is defined in <u>Section 14.8.3</u>.
     Name: details
     Usage: optional
     Type: string
     Description: Contains further explanation of the circumstances of
        a success or failure. The contents are implementation-specific
        and human-readable.
  Example: <actionResult action="msg-dynamic" success="true"/>
  Example: <actionResult action="lamp" success="false" reason="unable"</pre>
     details="The requested lamp is inoperable"/>
```

# 9.1.1.3. Ack Examples

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 13]

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<EmergencyCallData.eCallControl
xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-control"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:
eCall-control">
<ack received="true" ref="1234567890@atlanta.example.com"/>
```

Next-Generation eCall

February 2016

Figure 3: Ack Example from PSAP to IVS

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<EmergencyCallData.eCallControl
   xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-control"
   xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
   xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:
        eCall-control">
```

</ack>

Internet-Draft

</EmergencyCallData.eCallControl>

</EmergencyCallData.eCallControl>

Figure 4: Ack Example from IVS to PSAP

## <u>9.1.2</u>. The <capabilities> element

The <capabilities> element is transmitted by the IVS to indicate to the PSAP its capabilities. No attributes for this element are currently defined. The following child elements are defined:

### <u>9.1.2.1</u>. Child Elements of the <capabilities> element

The <capabilities> element has the following child elements:

Name: request
Usage: Mandatory
Description: The <capabilities> element contains a <request> child
 element per action supported by the vehicle.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 14]

Because support for a 'send-data' action is REQUIRED, a <request> child element with a "send-data" 'action' attribute is also REQUIRED. The 'supported-datatypes' attribute is REQUIRED in this <request> element within a <capabilities> element, and MUST contain at a minimum the 'eCall.MSD' data block value; it SHOULD contain all data blocks supported by the IVS.

All other actions are OPTIONAL.

If the "msg-static" action is supported, a <request> child element with a "msg-static" 'action' attribute is sent, with a 'msgid' attribute set to the highest supported static message supported by the vehicle. A registry is created in <u>Section 14.8.2</u> to map 'msgid' values to static text messages. By sending the highest supported static message number in its <capabilities> element, the vehicle indicates its support for all static messages in the registry up to and including that value.

If the "lamp" action is supported, a <request> child element with a "lamp" 'action' is sent, with a 'supported-lamps' attribute set to all supported lamp IDs.

If the "enable-camera" action is supported, a <request> child element with an "enable-camera" 'action' is sent, with a 'supported-cameras' attribute set to all supported camera IDs.

Examples:

<request action="send-data" supported-datatypes="eCall.MSD" /> <request action="send-data" supported-datatypes="eCall.MSD; VEDS; eCall.type2" /> <request action="msg-dynamic"/> <request action="msg.static" msgid="17" />

### <u>9.1.2.2</u>. Capabilities Example

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 15]

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<EmergencyCallData.eCallControl
xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-control"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:
eCall-control">
<capabilities>
<request action="send-data" supported-datatypes="eCall.MSD"/>
<request action="lamp"
supported-lamps="head;interior;fog-front;fog-rear;brake;
position-front;position-rear;turn-left;turn-right;hazard"/>
<request action="msg-static" msgid="3"/>
<request action="msg-dynamic"/>
<request action="honk"/>
<request action="enable-camera" supported-cameras="backup; interior"/>
```

Next-Generation eCall

February 2016

```
</capabilities>
```

Internet-Draft

</EmergencyCallData.eCallControl>

Figure 5: Capabilities Example

# <u>9.1.3</u>. The <request> element

A <request> element appears one or more times on its own or as a child of a <capabilities> element. The following attributes and child elements are defined:

# <u>9.1.3.1</u>. Attributes of the <request> element

The <request> element has the following attributes:

for messages and their IDs, in <u>Section 14.8.2</u>

```
Name: action
Usage: Mandatory
Type: token
Description: Identifies the action that the vehicle is requested to
    perform. An IANA registry is established in <u>Section 14.8.1</u> to
    contain the allowed values.
Example: action="send-data"
Name: msgid
Usage: Conditional
Type: int
Description: Mandatory with a "msg-static" action. Indicates the
    identifier of the static message to be displayed and/or spoken for
    the vehicle occupants. This document established an IANA registry
```

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 16]

Example: msgid="3" Name: persistance Usage: Optional Type: duration Description: Specifies how long to carry on the specified action, for example, how long to continue honking or flashing. If absent, the default is indefinitely. Example: persistance="PT1H" Name: datatype Usage: Conditional Type: token Description: Mandatory with a "send-data" action. Specifies the data block that the IVS is requested to transmit, using the same identifier as in the 'purpose' attribute set in a Call-Info header field to point to the data block. Permitted values are contained in the 'Emergency Call Data Types' IANA registry established in [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data]. Example: datatype="eCall.MSD" Name: supported-datatypes Usage: Conditional Type: string Description: Used with a 'send-data' action in a <request> element that is a child of a <capability> element, this attribute lists all data blocks that the vehicle can transmit, using the same identifier as in the 'purpose' attribute in a Call-Info header field to point to the data block. Permitted values are contained in the 'Emergency Call Data Types' IANA registry established in [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data]. Multiple values are separated with a semicolon. Example: supported-datatypes="eCall.MSD; VEDS; eCall.foo" Name: lamp-action Usage: Conditional Type: token Description: Used with a 'lamp' action, indicates if the lamp is to be illuminated, turned off, or flashed. Permitted values are 'on', 'off', and 'flash'. Example: lamp-action="flash" Name: lamp-ID Usage: Conditional Type: token Description: Used with a 'lamp' action, indicates which lamp the action affects. Permitted values are contained in the registry of lamp-ID tokens created in Section 14.8.4

```
Example: lamp-ID="hazard"
   Name: supported-lamps
   Usage: Conditional
   Type: string
   Description: Used with a 'lamp' action in a <request> element that
      is a child of a <capability> element, this attribute lists all
      supported lamps, using values in the registry of lamp-ID tokens
     created in Section 14.8.4. Multiple values are separated with a
      semicolon.
   Example: supported-lamps="head; interior; fog-front; fog-rear;
     brake; position-front; position-rear; turn-left; turn-right;
     hazard"
   Name: camera-TD
   Usage: Conditional
   Type: token
   Description: Used with an 'enable-camera' action, indicates which
     camera to enable. Permitted values are contained in the registry
     of camera-ID tokens created in <u>Section 14.8.5</u>. When a vehicle
     camera is enabled, the IVS sends a re-INVITE to negotiate a one-
     way media stream for the camera.
   Example: camera-ID="backup"
   Name: supported-cameras
  Usage: Conditional
   Type: string
   Description: Used with an 'enable-camera' action in a <request>
     element that is a child of a <capability> element, this attribute
     lists all cameras that the vehicle supports (can add as a video
     feed in the current session), using the same identifiers as are
     used in the 'camera-ID' attribute (contained in the camera ID
      registry in <u>Section 14.8.5</u>). Multiple values are separated with a
     semicolon.
   Example: supported-cameras="backup; interior"
9.1.3.2. Child Elements of the <request> element
  The <request> element has the following child elements:
   Name: text
   Usage: Conditional
   Type: string
   Description: Used within a <request action="msg-dynamic"> element to
     contain the text to be displayed and/or spoken (via text-to-
      speech) for the vehicle occupants.
   Example: <text>Emergency authorities are aware of your incident and
     location. Due to a multi-vehicle incident in your area, no one is
```

able to speak with you right now. Please remain calm. We will assist you soon.</text>

### 9.1.3.3. Request Example

</EmergencyCallData.eCallControl>

Figure 6: Request Example

## 9.2. The emergencyCallData.eCall INFO package

This document registers the 'emergencyCallData.eCall' INFO package. Both endpoints (the IVS and the PSAP equipment) set the Recv-Info header field to 'emergencyCallData.eCall' per [<u>RFC6086</u>] to indicate ability to receive INFO messages carrying eCall data or control blocks.

Support for the 'emergencyCallData.eCall' INFO package indicates the ability to receive eCall data and control blocks, which are carried in a body part whose subtype starts with 'emergencyCallData.eCall.'. At present there is only one defined eCall data block, which has the 'application/emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml' MIME type, and one eCall control block, which has the 'application/ emergencyCallData.eCall.control+xml' MIME type. The eCall control block includes the ability for the IVS to indicate its capabilities, so in the event additional eCall blocks are defined, the IVS can indicate which it supports.

The use of INFO is based on an analysis of the requirements against the intent and effects of INFO versus other approaches (such as SIP MESSAGE, media plane, or non-SIP protocols). In particular, the
Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 19]

Next-Generation eCall

transport of eCall data and control blocks is done only during an emergency session established with SIP, using the mechanism established in [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data], and is normally carried in the initial INVITE and its response; the use of INFO only occurs when a data block or request needs to be sent subsequently during the call. While MESSAGE could be used, it is not tied to a SIP session as is INFO. REINVITE could also be used, but is normally used to modify the session. SUBSCRIBE/NOTIFY could be coerced into service, but the semantics are not a clean fit. Hence, INFO is appropriate.

An INFO request message carrying an eCall data or control block has an Info-Package header field set to 'emergencyCallData.eCall' per [RFC6086]. The INFO request message is marked as containing the eCall data or control block by a Call-Info header field containing a CID URL referencing the unique identifier of the body part containing the eCall data or control, and a 'purpose' parameter identifying the block. Because the eCall data or control block is being carried in an INFO request message, the body part also carries a Content-Disposition header field set to "Info-Package".

Per [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data], emergency call related additional data MAY be included in any SIP request or response message that can contain a body. Hence, notwithstanding Section 4.3.2. of [RFC6086], INFO response messages MAY contain eCall data or control blocks, provided they are included as described in this document (with a Call-Info header field containing a CID URL referencing the unique identifier of the body part, and a 'purpose' parameter identifying the block). When eCall data or control blocks are included in an INFO response message, this is done per [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data] and this document, and not under [RFC6086]; that is, they are included as emergency call additional data, not as an INFO package associated data.

### **10**. Examples

Figure 7 shows an eCall. The call uses the request URI 'urn:service:sos.ecall.automatic' service URN and is recognized as an eCall, and further as one that was invoked automatically by the IVS due to a crash or other serious incident. In this example, the originating network routes the call to an ESInet (as for any emergency call in an environment with an ESInet). The ESInet routes the call to the appropriate NG-eCall capable PSAP. The emergency call is received by the ESInet's Emergency Services Routing Proxy (ESRP), as the entry point into the ESInet. The ESRP routes the call to a PSAP, where it is received by a call taker. In deployments where there is no ESInet, the originating network routes the call directly to the appropriate NG-eCall capable PSAP.

```
+-----+ +----++
     | | +----+
          | PSAP2 |
+----+
                                 |
          | | +----+ +----+ |
|--+->| ESRP |---->| PSAP1 |--> Call-Taker |
     Vehicle-->|
          | | +----+ +----+
     +---+
           | | |
| | |
| Originating| |
                       | PSAP3 |
                                  1
                       +---+
     | Mobile | |
                  ESInet
     | Network | |
     +-----+ +-----++
```

Figure 7: Example of NG-eCall Message Flow

The example, shown in Figure 8, illustrates a SIP eCall INVITE that contains an MSD and an eCall control block with vehicle capabilities. For simplicity, the example does not show all SIP headers, nor does it show the additional data blocks added by the IVS and the originating mobile network.

```
INVITE urn:service:sos.ecall.automatic SIP/2.0
To: urn:service:sos.ecall.automatic
From: <sip:+13145551111@example.com>;tag=9fxced76s1
Call-ID: 3848276298220188511@atlanta.example.com
Geolocation: <cid:target123@example.com>
Geolocation-Routing: no
Call-Info: cid:1234567890@atlanta.example.com;
           purpose=emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD;
           cid:2345678901@atlanta.example.com;
           purpose=emergencyCallData.eCall.control;
Accept: application/sdp, application/pidf+xml,
        application/emergencyCallData.eCall.control
CSeq: 31862 INVITE
Recv-Info: emergencyCallData.eCall
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=boundary1
Content-Length: ...
--boundary1
Content-Type: application/sdp
     ...Session Description Protocol (SDP) goes here...
--boundarv1
Content-Type: application/emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml
```

```
Content-ID: 1234567890@atlanta.example.com
Content-Disposition: by-reference; handling=optional
<ECallMessage>
   <id>1</id>
   <msd>
      <msdStructure>
         <messageIdentifier>1</messageIdentifier>
         <control>
            <automaticActivation> <true/> </automaticActivation>
            <testCall> <false/> </testCall>
            <positionCanBeTrusted> <true/> </positionCanBeTrusted>
            <vehicleType> <passengerVehicleClassM1/> </vehicleType>
         </control>
         <vehicleIdentificationNumber>
            <isowmi>WMI</isowmi>
            <isovds>VDSVDS</isovds>
            <isovisModelyear>Y</isovisModelyear>
            <isovisSegPlant>A123456</isovisSegPlant>
         </vehicleIdentificationNumber>
         <vehiclePropulsionStorageType>
            <gasolineTankPresent> <true/> </gasolineTankPresent>
            <electricEnergyStorage> <true/> </electricEnergyStorage>
         </vehiclePropulsionStorageType>
         <timestamp>123456789</timestamp>
         <vehicleLocation>
            <positionLatitude>173881200</positionLatitude>
            <positionLongitude>41822520</positionLongitude>
         </vehicleLocation>
         <vehicleDirection>14</vehicleDirection>
         <recentVehicleLocationN1>
            <latitudeDelta>10</latitudeDelta>
            <longitudeDelta>-10</longitudeDelta>
         </recentVehicleLocationN1>
         <recentVehicleLocationN2>
            <latitudeDelta>10</latitudeDelta>
            <longitudeDelta>-20</longitudeDelta>
         </recentVehicleLocationN2>
```

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 22]

```
<numberOfPassengers>2</numberOfPassengers>
      </msdStructure>
      <optionalAdditionalData>
         <oid>1.2.125</oid>
         <data>30304646</data>
      </optionalAdditionalData>
   </msd>
</ECallMessage>
--boundary1
Content-Type: application/emergencyCallData.eCall.control+xml
Content-ID: 2345678901@atlanta.example.com
Content-Disposition: by-reference; handling=optional
 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
 <EmergencyCallData.eCallControl
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-control"
    xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:
         eCall-control">
 <capabilities>
    <request action="send-data" supported-datatypes="eCall.MSD"/>
    <request action="lamp"
              supported-lamps="head;interior;fog-front;fog-rear;
              brake;position-front;position-rear;turn-left;
              turn-right;hazard"/>
    <request action="msg-static" msgid="3"/>
    <request action="msg-dynamic"/>
    <request action="honk"/>
     <request action="enable-camera"
              supported-cameras="backup; interior"/>
 </capabilities>
 </EmergencyCallData.eCallControl>
 --boundary1--
                   Figure 8: SIP NG-eCall INVITE
```

Continuing the example, Figure 9 illustrates a SIP 200 OK response to the INVITE of Figure 8, containing an eCall control block acknowledging successful receipt of the eCall MSD. (For simplicity, the example does not show all SIP headers.)

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 23]

```
Internet-Draft
```

```
SIP/2.0 200 OK
To: <sip:+13145551111@example.com>;tag=9fxced76sl
From: Exemplar PSAP <urn:service:sos.ecall.automatic>
Call-ID: 3848276298220188511@atlanta.example.com
Call-Info: cid:2345678901@atlanta.example.com;
           purpose=emergencyCallData.eCall.control;
Accept: application/sdp, application/pidf+xml,
        application/emergencyCallData.eCall.control,
        application/emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD
CSeq: 31862 INVITE
Recv-Info: emergencyCallData.eCall
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=boundaryX
Content-Length: ...
--boundaryX
Content-Type: application/sdp
     ...Session Description Protocol (SDP) goes here...
--boundaryX
Content-Type: application/EmergencyCallData:eCall-control+xml
Content-ID: 2345678901@atlanta.example.com
Content-Disposition: by-reference; handling=optional
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<EmergencyCallData.eCallControl
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-control"
    xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:
        eCall-control">
<ack received="true" ref="1234567890@atlanta.example.com"/>
</EmergencyCallData.eCallControl>
--boundaryX--
```

Figure 9: 200 OK response to INVITE

## **<u>11</u>**. Security Considerations

The security considerations described in [<u>RFC5069</u>] apply here.

In addition to any network-provided location that is inherently permitted for IMS emergency calls (which might be determined solely by the network, or in cooperation with or possibly entirely by the originating device), an eCall carries an IVS-supplied location within

Gellens & TschofenigExpires August 22, 2016[Page 24]

Next-Generation eCall

the MSD. This is likely to be useful to the PSAP, especially when the two locations are independently determined. Even in situations where the network-supplied location is limited to the cell site, this can be useful as a sanity check on the device-supplied location contained in the MSD.

The document [<u>RFC7378</u>] discusses trust issues regarding location provided by or determined in cooperation with end devices.

Security considerations specific to the mechanism by which the PSAP sends acknowledgments and requests to the vehicle are discussed in the "Security Considerations" block of <u>Section 14.3</u>. In addition to that discussion, it's important to note that vehicles MAY decline to carry out any requested action, e.g., if the vehicle is unable to verify the certificate used to sign the request. The vehicle MAY use any value in the reason registry in <u>Section 14.8.3</u> to indicate why it did not take an action (e.g., the generic "unable" or the more specific "security-failure").

Data received from external sources inherently carries implementation risks including buffer overflows, which in many platforms can introduce remote code execution vulnerabilities; null characters can corrupt strings, numeric values used for internal calculations can result in underflow/overflow errors; malformed XML objects can expose parsing bugs, etc. Implementations need to be cognizant of the potential risks, observe best practices (e.g., good quality static code analysis, fuzz testing, component isolation, avoiding use of unsafe coding techniques, third-party attack tests, signed software, over-the-air updates, etc.), and have multiple levels of protection. Implementors need to be aware that, potentially, the data objects described here and elsewhere might be malformed, might contain unexpected characters, excessively long attribute values, elements, etc. (This applies across the board, not just to the 'text' attribute of a <request> element.)

Since this document depends on [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>], the security considerations discussed there apply here (see especially the discussion of TLS, TLS versions, cypher suites, and PKI).

When vehicle data or control/metadata is contained in a signed or encrypted body part, the enclosing multipart (e.g., multipart/signed or multipart/encrypted) has the same Content-ID as the data part. This allows an entity to identify and access the data blocks it is interested in without having to dive deeply into the message structure or decrypt parts it is not interested in. (The 'purpose' parameter in a Call-Info header field identifies the data, and the CID URL points to the data block in the body, which has a matching Content-ID body part header field).

### **<u>12</u>**. Privacy Considerations

Since this document builds on [I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data], the data structures specified there, and the corresponding privacy considerations discussed there, apply here as well. The MSD carries some additional identifying and personal information (mostly about the vehicle and less about the owner), as well as location information, and so needs to be protected against unauthorized disclosure. Local regulations may impose additional privacy protection requirements. The additional functionality enabled by this document, such as access to vehicle camera streams, carries a burden of protection and so implementations need to be careful that access is only provided within the context of an emergency call and to an emergency services provider, for example, by verifying that the request for camera access is signed by a certificate issued by an emergency services registrar.

Privacy considerations specific to the data structure containing vehicle information are discussed in the "Security Considerations" block of <u>Section 14.2</u>.

Privacy considerations specific to the mechanism by which the PSAP sends acknowledgments and requests to the vehicle are discussed in the "Security Considerations" block of <u>Section 14.3</u>.

### 13. XML Schema

This section defines the XML schema of the eCall control block. (The schema for the MSD can be found in EN 15722 [msd].)

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<xs:schema
    targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-
control"
    xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    xmlns:pi="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:EmergencyCallData:eCall-control"
    xmlns:xml="http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace"
    elementFormDefault="qualified"
    attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:import namespace="http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace"
        schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/2009/01/xml.xsd"/>
    <xs:element name="EmergencyCallData.eCallControl"
        type="pi:eCallControlType"/>
```

```
<xs:complexType name="eCallControlType">
```

```
<xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
            <xs:choice>
                <xs:element name="capabilities"
                             type="pi:capabilitiesType"/>
                <xs:element name="request" type="pi:requestType"/>
                <xs:element name="ack" type="pi:ackType"/>
                <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"</pre>
                        minOccurs="0"
                        maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </xs:choice>
            <xs:anyAttribute/>
        </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="ackType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
            <xs:sequence minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
                <xs:element name="actionResult" minOccurs="0"</pre>
                             maxOccurs="unbounded">
                    <xs:complexType>
                         <xs:attribute name="action"
                                       type="xs:token"
                                       use="required"/>
                         <xs:attribute name="success"
                                       type="xs:boolean"
                                       use="required"/>
                         <xs:attribute name="reason"
                                       type="xs:token">
                             <xs:annotation>
                                 <xs:documentation>conditionally
                                      mandatory when @success='false"
                                     to indicate reason code for a
                                     failure </xs:documentation>
                             </xs:annotation>
                         </xs:attribute>
                         <xs:attribute name="details"
                                       type="xs:string"/>
                         <xs:anyAttribute processContents="skip"/>
                    </xs:complexType>
                </xs:element>
                <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"</pre>
                         minOccurs="0"
                        max0ccurs="unbounded"/>
            </xs:sequence>
```

February 2016

Internet-Draft

>

```
<xs:attribute name="ref"
            type="xs:anyURI"
            use="required"/>
            <xs:attribute name="received"
            type="xs:boolean"/>
            <xs:anyAttribute/>
            </xs:restriction>
            </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
```

```
<xs:complexType name="capabilitiesType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
            <xs:sequence minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
                <xs:element name="request"</pre>
                             type="pi:requestType"
                             minOccurs="1"
                     max0ccurs="unbounded"/>
                <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"</pre>
                          minOccurs="0"
                    maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </xs:sequence>
            <xs:anyAttribute/>
        </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
```

```
<xs:complexType name="requestType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
            <xs:choice min0ccurs="1" max0ccurs="unbounded">
                <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"</pre>
                        minOccurs="0"
                        maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </xs:choice>
            <xs:attribute name="action" type="xs:token" use="required"/</pre>
            <xs:attribute name="msgid" type="xs:unsignedInt"/>
            <xs:attribute name="persistence" type="xs:duration"/>
            <xs:attribute name="datatype" type="xs:token"/>
            <xs:attribute name="supported-datatypes" type="xs:string"/>
            <xs:attribute name="lamp-id" type="xs:token"/>
            <xs:attribute name="lamp-action">
                <xs:simpleType>
                    <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
```

<xs:pattern value=""/>

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 28]

</xs:schema>

Figure 10: eCall Control Block Schema

## **<u>14</u>**. IANA Considerations

### <u>14.1</u>. Service URN Registrations

IANA is requested to register the URN 'urn:service:sos.ecall' under the sub-services 'sos' registry defined in <u>Section 4.2 of [RFC5031]</u>.

This service identifies a type of emergency call (placed by a specialized in-vehicle system and a carrying standardized set of data related to the vehicle and crash or incident, and is needed to direct the call to a specialized public safety answering point (PSAP) with technical and operational capabilities to handle such calls. Two sub-services are registered as well, namely

urn:service:sos.ecall.manual

This service URN indicates that an eCall had been triggered based on the manual interaction of the driver or a passenger.

urn:service:sos.ecall.automatic

This service URN indicates that an eCall had been triggered automatically, for example, due to a crash or other serious incident (e.g., fire).

IANA is also requested to register the URN 'urn:service:test.sos.ecall' under the sub-service 'test' registry defined in Setcion 17.2 of [<u>RFC6881</u>].

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 29]

## <u>14.2</u>. MIME Content-type Registration for 'application/ emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml'

IANA is requested to add application/emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml as a MIME content type, with a reference to this document, in accordance to the procedures of <u>RFC 6838</u> [<u>RFC6838</u>] and guidelines in <u>RFC 7303</u> [<u>RFC7303</u>].

MIME media type name: application

MIME subtype name: emergencyCallData.eCall.MSD+xml

Mandatory parameters: none

Optional parameters: charset

Indicates the character encoding of the XML content.

Encoding considerations: Uses XML, which can employ 8-bit characters, depending on the character encoding used. See <u>Section 3.2 of RFC 7303</u> [<u>RFC7303</u>].

Security considerations: This content type is designed to carry vehicle and incident-related data during an emergency call. This data contains personal information including vehicle VIN, location, direction, etc. Appropriate precautions need to be taken to limit unauthorized access, inappropriate disclosure to third parties, and eavesdropping of this information. In general, it is permissible for the data to be unprotected while briefly in transit within the Mobile Network Operator (MNO); the MNO is trusted to not permit the data to be accessed by third parties. Sections <u>7</u> and Section 8 of [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>] contain more discussion.

Interoperability considerations: None

Published specification: Annex C of EN 15722 [msd]

Applications which use this media type: Pan-European eCall compliant systems

Additional information: None

Magic Number: None

File Extension: .xml

Macintosh file type code: 'TEXT'

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 30]

Person and email address for further information: Hannes Tschofenig, Hannes.Tschofenig@gmx.net

Intended usage: LIMITED USE

Author: This specification was produced by the European Committee For Standardization (CEN). For contact information, please see <<u>http://www.cen.eu/cen/Pages/contactus.aspx</u>>.

Change controller: The European Committee For Standardization (CEN)

## <u>14.3</u>. MIME Content-type Registration for 'application/ emergencyCallData.eCall.control+xml'

IANA is requested to add application/ emergencyCallData.eCall.control+xml as a MIME content type, with a reference to this document, in accordance to the procedures of <u>RFC</u> <u>6838</u> [<u>RFC6838</u>] and guidelines in <u>RFC 7303</u> [<u>RFC7303</u>].

MIME media type name: application

MIME subtype name: emergencyCallData.eCall.control+xml

Mandatory parameters: none

Optional parameters: charset

Indicates the character encoding of the XML content.

Encoding considerations: Uses XML, which can employ 8-bit characters, depending on the character encoding used. See <u>Section 3.2 of RFC 7303</u> [<u>RFC7303</u>].

Security considerations:

This content type carries metadata and control information and requests, primarily from a Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) to an In-Vehicle System (IVS) during an emergency call, and also capabilities from the IVS to the PSAP.

Metadata (such as an acknowledgment that data sent by the IVS to the PSAP was successfully received) has limited privacy and security implications. Control information (such as requests from the PSAP that the vehicle perform an action) has some privacy and important security implications. The privacy concern arises from the ability to request the vehicle to transmit a data set, which as described in <u>Section 14.2</u>, can

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 31]

Next-Generation eCall

contain personal information. The security concern is the ability to request the vehicle to perform an action. It is important that control information originate only from a PSAP or other emergency services provider, and not be modified enroute. The level of integrity of the cellular network over which the emergency call is placed is important: when the IVS initiates an eCall over a cellular network, it relies on the MNO to route the call to a PSAP. (Calls placed using other means, such as Wi-Fi or over-the-top services, generally incur higher levels of risk than calls placed over cellular networks.) A call-back from a PSAP incurs additional risk, since the current mechanisms are not ideal for verifying that such a call is indeed a call-back from a PSAP in response to an emergency call placed by the IVS. See the discussion in Section 11 and the PSAP Callback document [RFC7090]. One safeguard, applicable regardless of which end initiated the call and the means of the call, is for the PSAP or emergency service provider to sign the body part using a certificate issued by a known emergency services certificate authority and for which the IVS can verify the root certificate.

Sections  $\underline{7}$  and Section 8 of [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>] contain more discussion.

Interoperability considerations: None

Published specification: Annex C of EN 15722 [msd]

Applications which use this media type: Pan-European eCall compliant systems

Additional information: None

Magic Number: None

File Extension: .xml

Macintosh file type code: 'TEXT'

Person and email address for further information: Randall Gellens, rg+ietf@qti.qualcomm.com

Intended usage: LIMITED USE

Author: The IETF ECRIT WG.

Change controller: The IETF ECRIT WG.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 32]

## <u>14.4</u>. Registration of the 'eCall.MSD' entry in the Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks registry

This specification requests IANA to add the 'eCall.MSD' entry to the Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks registry (established by [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>]), with a reference to this document.

# <u>14.5</u>. Registration of the 'eCall.control' entry in the Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks registry

This specification requests IANA to add the 'eCall.control' entry to the Emergency Call Additional Data Blocks registry (established by [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>]), with a reference to this document.

### **<u>14.6</u>**. Registration of the emergencyCallData.eCall Info Package

IANA is requested to add emergencyCallData.eCall to the Info Packages Registry under "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Parameters", with a reference to this document.

## <u>**14.7</u>**. URN Sub-Namespace Registration</u>

#### <u>14.7.1</u>. Registration for urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eCall

This section registers a new XML namespace, as per the guidelines in <u>RFC 3688</u> [RFC3688].

- URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eCall
- Registrant Contact: IETF, ECRIT working group, <ecrit@ietf.org>, as delegated by the IESG <iesg@ietf.org>.

XML:

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 33]

```
BEGIN
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML Basic 1.0//EN"
    "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml-basic/xhtml-basic10.dtd">
<html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml">
<head>
   <meta http-equiv="content-type"
          content="text/html;charset=iso-8859-1"/>
    <title>Namespace for eCall Data</title>
</head>
<body>
   <h1>Namespace for eCall Data</h1>
    See [TBD: This document].
</body>
</html>
END
```

## **<u>14.7.2</u>**. Registration for urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eCall:control

This section registers a new XML namespace, as per the guidelines in <u>RFC 3688</u> [<u>RFC3688</u>].

- URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eCall:control
- Registrant Contact: IETF, ECRIT working group, <ecrit@ietf.org>, as delegated by the IESG <iesg@ietf.org>.

XML:

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 34]

```
BEGIN
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML Basic 1.0//EN"
     "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml-basic/xhtml-basic10.dtd">
<html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml">
<head>
     <meta http-equiv="content-type"
           content="text/html;charset=iso-8859-1"/>
     <title>Namespace for eCall Data:
            Control Block</title>
</head>
<body>
     <h1>Namespace for eCall Data</h1>
     <h2>Control Block</h2>
See [TBD: This document].
</body>
</html>
END
```

### 14.8. Registry creation

This document creates a new registry called 'eCall Control Data'. The following sub-registries are created for this registry.

### **<u>14.8.1</u>**. eCall Control Action Registry

This document creates a new sub-registry called "eCall Control Action Registry". As defined in [RFC5226], this registry operates under "Expert Review" rules. The expert should determine that the proposed action is within the purview of a vehicle, is sufficiently distinguishable from other actions, and the actions is clearly and fully described. In most cases, a published and stable document is referenced for the description of the action.

The content of this registry includes:

- Name: The identifier to be used in the 'action' attribute of an eCall control <request> element.
- Description: A description of the action. In most cases this will be a reference to a published and stable document. The description MUST specify if any attributes or child elements are optional or mandatory, and describe the action to be taken by the vehicle.

The initial set of values is listed in Table 2.

Gellens & TschofenigExpires August 22, 2016[Page 35]

Ì
nt

Table 2: eCall Control Action Registry Initial Values

#### <u>**14.8.2</u>**. eCall Static Message Registry</u>

This document creates a new sub-registry called "eCall Static Message Registry". Because all compliant vehicles are expected to support all static messages translated into all languages supported by the vehicle, it is important to limit the number of such messages. As defined in [RFC5226], this registry operates under "Publication Required" rules, which require a stable, public document and imply expert review of the publication. The expert should determine that the document has been published by an appropriate emergency services organization (e.g., NENA, EENA, APCO) and that the proposed message is sufficiently distinguishable from other messages.

The content of this registry includes:

- ID: An integer identifier to be used in the 'msgid' attribute of an eCall control <request> element.
- Message: The text of the message. Messages are listed in the registry in English; vehicles are expected to implement translations into languages supported by the vehicle.

When new messages are added to the registry, the message text is determined by the registrant; IANA assigns the IDs. Each message is assigned a consecutive integer value as its ID. This allows an IVS to indicate by a single integer value that it supports all messages with that value or lower.

The initial set of values is listed in Table 3.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 36]

+----+ | ID | Message +----+ | 1 | Emergency authorities are aware of your incident and | location, but are unable to speak with you right now. We | | will help you as soon as possible. +----+

Table 3: eCall Static Message Registry

### 14.8.3. eCall Reason Registry

This document creates a new sub-registry called "eCall Reason Registry" which contains values for the 'reason' attribute of the <actionResult> element. As defined in [RFC5226], this registry operates under "Expert Review" rules. The expert should determine that the proposed reason is sufficiently distinguishable from other reasons and that the proposed description is understandable and correctly worded.

The content of this registry includes:

ID: A short string identifying the reason, for use in the 'reason' attribute of an <actionResult> element.

Description: A description of the reason.

The initial set of values is listed in Table 4.

ID	Description
unsupported	The 'action' is not supported.
unable	The 'action' could not be accomplished.
data-unsupported     	The data item referenced in a 'send-data'   request is not supported.
security-failure     	The authenticity of the request or the   authority of the requestor could not be   verified.

Table 4: eCall Reason Registry
Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 37]

# 14.8.4. eCall Lamp ID Registry

This document creates a new sub-registry called "eCall Lamp ID Registry" to standardize the names of automotive lamps (lights). As defined in [RFC5226], this registry operates under "Expert Review" rules. The expert should determine that the proposed lamp name is clearly understandable and is sufficiently distinguishable from other lamp names.

The content of this registry includes:

Name: The identifier to be used in the 'lamp-ID' attribute of an eCall control <request> element.

Description: A description of the lamp (light).

The initial set of values is listed in Table 5.

+-----+ Name | Description +-----+ | The main lamps used to light the road ahead | l head | interior | Interior lamp, often at the top center L | fog-front | Front fog lamps | fog-rear | Rear fog lamps | brake | Brake indicator lamps | position-front | Front position/parking/standing lamps | position-rear | Rear position/parking/standing lamps | turn-left | Left turn/directional lamps | turn-right | Right turn/directional lamps | hazard | Hazard/four-way lamps 

Table 5: eCall Lamp ID Registry Initial Values

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 38]

### <u>**14.8.5</u>**. eCall Camera ID Registry</u>

This document creates a new sub-registry called "eCall Camera ID Registry" to standardize the names of automotive camera. As defined in [<u>RFC5226</u>], this registry operates under "Expert Review" rules. The expert should determine that the proposed camera name is clearly understandable and is sufficiently distinguishable from other camera names.

The content of this registry includes:

Name: The identifier to be used in the 'camera-ID' attribute of an eCall control <request> element.

Description: A description of the camera.

The initial set of values is listed in Table 6.

+---+
| Name | Description |
+---+
| backup | Shows what is behind the vehicle. Also known as |
| | rearview, reverse, etc. |
| |
| interior | Shows the interior (driver) |
+---++

Table 6: eCall Camera ID Registry Initial Values

# **15**. Contributors

Brian Rosen was a co-author of the original document upon which this document is based.

### <u>16</u>. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bob Williams and Ban Al-Bakri for their feedback and suggestions, and Keith Drage, James Winterbottom, Wes George, and Rex Buddenberg for their review and comments. We would like to thank Michael Montag, Arnoud van Wijk, Gunnar Hellstrom, and Ulrich Dietz for their help with the original document upon which this document is based.

# <u>17</u>. Changes from Previous Versions

Gellens & TschofenigExpires August 22, 2016[Page 39]

# <u>17.1</u>. Changes from <u>draft-ietf-05</u> to <u>draft-ietf-06</u>

- Added additional security and privacy clarifications regarding signed and encrypted data
- o Additional security and privacy text
- o Deleted informative section on ESINets as unnecessary.

# <u>17.2</u>. Changes from <u>draft-ietf-04</u> to <u>draft-ietf-05</u>

- Reworked the security and privacy considerations material in the document as a whole and in the MIME registation sections of the MSD and control objects
- o Clarified that the <actionResult> element can appear multiple times within an <ack> element
- o Fixed IMS definition
- o Added clarifying text for the 'msgid' attribute

# <u>17.3</u>. Changes from <u>draft-ietf-03</u> to <u>draft-ietf-04</u>

- o Added Privacy Considerations section
- o Reworded most uses of non-normative "may", "should", "must", and "recommended."
- o Fixed nits in examples

# <u>17.4</u>. Changes from <u>draft-ietf-02</u> to <u>draft-ietf-03</u>

- o Added request to enable cameras
- o Improved examples and XML schema
- o Clarifications and wording improvements

# <u>17.5</u>. Changes from <u>draft-ietf-01</u> to <u>draft-ietf-02</u>

- Added clarifying text reinforcing that the data exchange is for small blocks of data infrequently transmitted
- o Clarified that dynamic media is conveyed using SIP re-INVITE to establish a one-way media stream
- o Clarified that the scope is the needs of eCall within the SIP emergency call environment
- Added informative statement that the document may be suitable for reuse by other ACN systems
- o Clarified that normative language for the control block applies to both IVS and PSAP
- o Removed 'ref', 'supported-mime', and <media> elements
- o Minor wording improvements and clarifications

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 40]

### <u>17.6</u>. Changes from <u>draft-ietf-00</u> to <u>draft-ietf-01</u>

- o Added further discussion of test calls
- o Added further clarification to the document scope
- Mentioned that multi-region vehicles may need to support other crash notification specifications in addition to eCall
- o Added details of the eCall metadata and control functionality
- Added IANA registration for the MIME content type for the eCall control object
- Added IANA registries for protocol elements and tokens used in the eCall control object
- o Minor wording improvements and clarifications

# <u>17.7</u>. Changes from <u>draft-gellens-03</u> to <u>draft-ietf-00</u>

- o Renamed from <u>draft-gellens</u>- to <u>draft-ietf</u>-.
- Added mention of and reference to ETSI TR "Mobile Standards Group (MSG); eCall for VoIP"
- Added text to Introduction regarding migration/co-existence being out of scope
- o Added mention in Security Considerations that even if the networksupplied location is just the cell site, this can be useful as a sanity check on the IVS-supplied location
- o Minor wording improvements and clarifications

#### 17.8. Changes from draft-gellens-02 to -03

o Clarifications and editorial improvements.

### <u>17.9</u>. Changes from <u>draft-gellens-01</u> to -02

- o Minor wording improvements
- o Removed ".automatic" and ".manual" from "urn:service:test.sos.ecall" registration and discussion text.

# <u>17.10</u>. Changes from <u>draft-gellens-00</u> to -01

- Now using 'EmergencyCallData' for purpose parameter values and MIME subtypes, in accordance with changes to [<u>I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data</u>]
- o Added reference to <u>RFC 6443</u>
- o Fixed bug that caused Figure captions to not appear

# **18**. References

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 41]

#### **<u>18.1</u>**. Normative References

#### [EN\_16072]

CEN, , "Intelligent transport systems - eSafety - Pan-European eCall operating requirements", December 2011.

[I-D.ietf-ecrit-additional-data]

Gellens, R., Rosen, B., Tschofenig, H., Marshall, R., and J. Winterbottom, "Additional Data Related to an Emergency Call", <u>draft-ietf-ecrit-additional-data-37</u> (work in progress), October 2015.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/ <u>RFC2119</u>, March 1997, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", <u>BCP 81</u>, <u>RFC 3688</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688</u>>.
- [RFC5031] Schulzrinne, H., "A Uniform Resource Name (URN) for Emergency and Other Well-Known Services", <u>RFC 5031</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5031, January 2008, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5031</u>>.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", <u>BCP 26</u>, <u>RFC 5226</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5226, May 2008, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5226</u>>.
- [RFC6443] Rosen, B., Schulzrinne, H., Polk, J., and A. Newton, "Framework for Emergency Calling Using Internet Multimedia", <u>RFC 6443</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6443, December 2011, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6443</u>>.
- [RFC6838] Freed, N., Klensin, J., and T. Hansen, "Media Type Specifications and Registration Procedures", <u>BCP 13</u>, <u>RFC</u> <u>6838</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6838, January 2013, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6838</u>>.
- [RFC6881] Rosen, B. and J. Polk, "Best Current Practice for Communications Services in Support of Emergency Calling", BCP 181, RFC 6881, DOI 10.17487/RFC6881, March 2013, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6881>.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 42]

Internet-Draft

Next-Generation eCall

- [RFC7303] Thompson, H. and C. Lilley, "XML Media Types", <u>RFC 7303</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7303, July 2014, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7303</u>>.
- [msd] CEN, , "Intelligent transport systems -- eSafety -- eCall minimum set of data (MSD), EN 15722", June 2011.

# **<u>18.2</u>**. Informative references

[I-D.ietf-ecrit-car-crash]

Gellens, R., Rosen, B., and H. Tschofenig, "Next-Generation Vehicle-Initiated Emergency Calls", <u>draft-ietf-</u> <u>ecrit-car-crash-03</u> (work in progress), July 2015.

- [MSG\_TR] ETSI, , "ETSI Mobile Standards Group (MSG); eCall for VoIP", ETSI Technical Report TR 103 140 V1.1.1 (2014-04), April 2014.
- [RFC5012] Schulzrinne, H. and R. Marshall, Ed., "Requirements for Emergency Context Resolution with Internet Technologies", <u>RFC 5012</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5012, January 2008, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5012</u>>.
- [RFC5069] Taylor, T., Ed., Tschofenig, H., Schulzrinne, H., and M. Shanmugam, "Security Threats and Requirements for Emergency Call Marking and Mapping", <u>RFC 5069</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5069, January 2008, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5069>.
- [RFC6086] Holmberg, C., Burger, E., and H. Kaplan, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) INFO Method and Package Framework", <u>RFC 6086</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6086, January 2011, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6086</u>>.
- [RFC7090] Schulzrinne, H., Tschofenig, H., Holmberg, C., and M. Patel, "Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) Callback", <u>RFC 7090</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7090, April 2014, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7090>.

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 43]

# Internet-Draft

[RFC7378] Tschofenig, H., Schulzrinne, H., and B. Aboba, Ed., "Trustworthy Location", <u>RFC 7378</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7378, December 2014, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7378</u>>.

#### [SDO-3GPP]

"3d Generation Partnership Project", <<u>http://www.3gpp.org/</u>>.

[SDO-ETSI]

"European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI)",<u>http://www.etsi.org</u>>.

Authors' Addresses

Randall Gellens Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego 92651 US

Email: rg+ietf@randy.pensive.org

Hannes Tschofenig (Individual)

Email: Hannes.Tschofenig@gmx.net URI: <u>http://www.tschofenig.priv.at</u>

Gellens & Tschofenig Expires August 22, 2016 [Page 44]