ENUM -- Telephone Number Mapping Working Group Internet-Draft Expires: February 3, 2007

# The ENUM Branch Location Record draft-ietf-enum-branch-location-record-00

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 3, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

# Abstract

This documents defines the ENUM Branch Location record (EBL) which is used to indicate where the ENUM tree for special ENUM application is located. The primary application for the EBL record is to provide a temporary solution for the infrastructure ENUM tree location.

# Table of Contents

| $\underline{1}$ . Introduction                 | <u>3</u> |
|--|----------|
| 2. ENUM Tree Referrals                         | <u>3</u> |
| 3.  The EBL Resource Record                    | <u>4</u> |
| <u>4</u> . Examples                            | <u>4</u> |
| 5. Security Considerations                     | <u>5</u> |
| <u>6</u> . IANA Considerations                 | <u>5</u> |
| <u>7</u> . Acknowledgements                    | <u>5</u> |
| 8.1  Normative References                      | <u>5</u> |
| Author's Address                               | <u>6</u> |
| Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements | <u>7</u> |

Expires February 3, 2007 [Page 2]

Internet-Draft

# **<u>1</u>**. Introduction

ENUM as defined in <u>RFC3761</u> [1] (User-ENUM) is not well suited for the purpose of interconnection by carriers, as can be seen by the use of various private tree arrangements based on ENUM mechanisms.

Using the same E.164 number to domain mapping technique for other application under a different apex (instead of e164.arpa) is straightforward on the technical side. Establishing the international agreements necessary to delegate the country-code level subdomains under the new apex is non-trivial and time-consuming. This process is under way [5].

ENUM Branch Location records as defined by this document can be used to quickly introduce new ENUM trees on a per-country opt-in basis by storing the location and layout of new trees in the User-ENUM tree.

While Infrastructure ENUM is the motivation for the introduction of the EBL record, its use it not limited to Infrastructure ENUM.

More information and motivation can be found in <u>draft-ietf-enum-infrastructure-enum-reqs</u> [3] and <u>draft-haberler-carrier-enum</u> [4].

# 2. ENUM Tree Referrals

To allow maximum flexibility, the following parameters will be used in ENUM tree referrals.

#### Application

indicating to what kind of application this EBL applies to. In the case of Infrastructure ENUM, this will be "infrastructure".

#### Separator

indicating what label should be inserted into the ENUM domain to branch off to the application-specific tree. This can be an empty (zero-length) string which means that no label will be inserted.

# Position

indicating at what place this label should be inserted into the ENUM domain to branch off to the application-specific tree. A value of 0 means to the right of all digits.

# Apex

indicating what domain should replace "e164.arpa" as the apex for this application.

The "application" acts as the selector and is stored as the label in the DNS. The other three parameters make up the content of the EBL.

#### 3. The EBL Resource Record

The EBL will reside within the country-code level in the User-ENUM tree under e164.arpa.

The RR type code for the EBL RR is /IANA-ACTION/.

# **3.1** The EBL RDATA Format

The RDATA for a EBL RR consists of a position number, separator string and an apex domain. <character-string> and <domain-name> refer to the definitions of <u>RFC 1035</u> [2].

| 0   | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6   | 7     |     |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| + - | -+-   | -+-   | - + - | -+-   | - + - | -+- | - + - | - + |
| L   |       |       | POS   | ITI   | ON    |     |       |     |
| + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | -+- | - + - | - + |
| /   |       |       | SEP   | ARA   | TOR   |     |       | /   |
| + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | -+- | - + - | - + |
| /   |       |       | A     | PEX   |       |     |       | /   |
| +-  | -+-   | - + - | - + - | -+-   | - + - | -+- | - + - | - + |

where POSITION is a single byte, SEPARATOR is a <character-string> and APEX is a <domain-name>. Name-compression is not to be used for the APEX field.

# **3.2** The EBL Presentation Format

The master file format follows the standard rules in <u>RFC 1035</u>. POSITION is represented as decimal integer. SEPARATOR is a quoted string, APEX is a domain name and thus does not require quoting.

# 4. Examples

| infrastructure.3.4.e164.arpa. | ΙN | EBL | 2 | "i" | e164.arpa.   |
|-------------------------------|----|-----|---|-----|--------------|
| infrastructure.1.e164.arpa.   | IN | EBL | 4 | "i" | example.com. |
| infrastructure.9.4.e164.arpa. | ΙN | EBL | 0 |     | ie164.arpa.  |

These records indicate how the transformation from E.164 number to

[Page 4]

ENUM domains for the application "infrastructure" should be done for numbers in country code +43, +1, and +49. This leads to the following mappings:

| +43 15056416  | 6.1.4.6.5.0.5.1.i.3.4.e164.arpa     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| +1 5551234567 | 7.6.5.4.3.2.1.i.5.5.5.1.example.com |
| +49 891234567 | 7.6.5.4.3.2.1.9.8.9.4.ie164.arpa    |

# 5. Security Considerations

EBLs are used to direct the ENUM resolvers to other places in the DNS for certain applications. As these EBLs are stored in the User-ENUM tree, these applications therefore depend on the security of the User-ENUM tree.

# <u>6</u>. IANA Considerations

This documents allocates the Resource Records Type field for the EBL record.

# 7. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Michael Haberler, Richard Stastny, Klaus Nieminen, Richard Shockey, and Karsten Fleischhauer for their contributions.

# 8. References

# 8.1 Normative References

- [1] Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", <u>RFC 3761</u>, April 2004.
- [2] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names implementation and specification", STD 13, <u>RFC 1035</u>, November 1987.

# 8.2 Informative References

- [3] Lind, S. and P. Pfautz, "Infrastructure ENUM Requirements", <u>draft-ietf-enum-infrastructure-enum-reqs-02</u> (work in progress), April 2006.
- [4] Haberler, M. and R. Stastny, "Combined User and Infrastructure ENUM in the e164.arpa tree", <u>draft-haberler-carrier-enum-03</u> (work in progress), June 2006.

Expires February 3, 2007 [Page 5]

[5] Livingood, J., "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application for Infrastructure ENUM", <u>draft-ietf-enum-infrastructure-00</u> (work in progress), April 2006.

Author's Address

Otmar Lendl enum.at GmbH Karlsplatz 1/9 Wien A-1010 Austria

Phone: +43 1 5056416 33 Email: otmar.lendl@enum.at URI: <u>http://www.enum.at/</u>

Expires February 3, 2007 [Page 6]

Internet-Draft

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

#### Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

#### Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in <u>BCP 78</u>, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

# Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.