FEC Framework   M. Watson
Internet-Draft   Netflix
Intended status: Standards Track   T. Stockhammer
Expires: May 27, 2012   Nomor Research
                                                          M. Luby
                                            Qualcomm Incorporated
                                                November 24, 2011

Raptor FEC Schemes for FECFRAME
draft-ietf-fecframe-raptor-06

Abstract

   This document describes Fully-Specified Forward Error Correction
   (FEC) Schemes for the Raptor and RaptorQ codes and their application
   to reliable delivery of media streams in the context of FEC
   Framework. The Raptor and RaptorQ codes are systematic codes, where
   a number of repair symbols are generated from a set of source symbols
   and sent in one or more repair flows in addition to the source
   symbols that are sent to the receiver(s) within a source flow. The
   Raptor and RaptorQ codes offer close to optimal protection against
   arbitrary packet losses at a low computational complexity. Six FEC
   Schemes are defined, two for protection of arbitrary packet flows,
   two that are optimised for small source blocks and another two for
   protection of a single flow that already contains a sequence number.
   Repair data may be sent over arbitrary datagram transport (e.g. UDP)
   or using RTP.

Status of this Memo

   This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the
   provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

   Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering
   Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute
   working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-
   Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

   Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months
   and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any
   time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference
   material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

   This Internet-Draft will expire on May 27, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

The FEC Framework [RFC6363] describes a framework for the application
of Forward Error Correction to arbitrary packet flows. Modeled after
the FEC Building Block developed by the IETF Reliable Multicast
Transport working group [RFC5052], the FEC Framework defines the
concept of FEC Schemes which provide specific Forward Error
Correction schemes. This document describes six FEC Schemes which
make use of the Raptor and RaptorQ FEC codes as defined in [RFC5053]
and [RFC6330].

The FEC protection mechanism is independent of the type of the source
data, which can be an arbitrary sequence of packets, including for
example audio or video data. In general, the operation of the
protection mechanism is as follows:

o The sender determines a set of source packets (a source block) to
   be protected together based on the FEC Framework Configuration
   Information.

o The sender arranges the source packets into a set of source
   symbols, each of which is the same size.

o The sender applies the Raptor/RaptorQ protection operation on the
   source symbols to generate the required number of repair symbols.

o The sender packetizes the repair symbols and sends the repair
   packet(s) along with the source packets to the receiver(s).

Per the FEC Framework requirements, the sender MUST transmit the
source and repair packets in different source and repair flows, or in
the case RTP transport is used for repair packets, in different RTP
streams. At the receiver side, if all of the source packets are
successfully received, there is no need for FEC recovery and the
repair packets are discarded. However, if there are missing source
packets, the repair packets can be used to recover the missing
information.

The operation of the FEC mechanism requires that the receiver can
identify the relationships between received source packets and repair
packets and in particular which source packets are missing. In many
cases, data already exists in the source packets which can be used to
refer to source packets and to identify which packets are missing.
In this case we assume it is possible to derive a "sequence number"
directly or indirectly from the source packets and this sequence
number can be used within the FEC Scheme. This case is referred to
as a "single sequenced flow". In this case the FEC Source Payload ID
defined in [RFC6363] is empty and the source packets are not modified

by the application of FEC, with obvious backwards compatibility
advantages.

Otherwise, it is necessary to add data to the source packets for FEC
purposes in the form of a non-empty FEC Source Payload ID. This case
if referred to as the "arbitrary packet flow" case. Accordingly,
this document defines six FEC Schemes, two for the case of a single
sequenced flow and four for the case of arbitrary packet flows.

2. Document Outline

This document is organised as follows:

o Section 5 defines general procedures applicable to the use of the
   Raptor and RaptorQ codes in the context of the FEC Framework.

o Section 6 defines an FEC Scheme for the case of arbitrary source
   flows and follows the format defined for FEC Schemes in [RFC6363].
   When used with Raptor codes, this scheme is equivalent to that
   defined in [MBMSTS].

o Section 7 defines an FEC Scheme similar to that defined in
   Section 6 but with optimisations for the case where only limited
   source block sizes are required. When used with Raptor codes,
   this scheme is equivalent to that defined in [dvbts] for arbitrary
   packet flows.

o Section 8 defines an FEC Scheme for the case of a single flow
   which is already provided with a source packet sequence number.
   When used with Raptor codes, this scheme is equivalent to that
   defined in [dvbts] for the case of a single sequenced flow.

3. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

4. Definitions and Abbreviations

The definitions, notations and abbreviations commonly used in this
document are summarized in this section.

4.1. Definitions

   This document uses the following definitions. For further
   definitions that apply to FEC Framework in general, see [RFC6363].

   Symbol: A unit of data. Its size, in octets, is referred to as the
      symbol size.

   FEC Framework Configuration Information: Information that controls
      the operation of the FEC Framework. Each FEC Framework instance
      has its own configuration information.

4.2. Abbreviations

   This document uses the following abbreviations. For further
   abbreviations that apply to FEC Framework in general, see [RFC6363].

   FSSI: FEC-Scheme-Specific Information.

   SS-FSSI: Sender-Side FEC-Scheme-Specific Information.

   RS-FSSI: Receiver-Side FEC-Scheme-Specific Information.

   ADUI: Application Data Unit Information.

5. General procedures for Raptor FEC Schemes

   This section specifies general procedures which apply to all Raptor
   and RaptorQ FEC Schemes, specifically the construction of source
   symbols from a set of source transport payloads. As described in
   [RFC6363] for each Application Data Unit (ADU) in a source block, the
   FEC Scheme is provided with:

   o A description of the source data flow with which the ADU is
      associated and an integer identifier associated with that flow.

   o The ADU itself.

   o The length of the ADU.

   For each ADU, we define the Application Data Unit Information (ADUI)
   as follows:

   Let

o   n be the number of ADUs in the source block.

o   T be the source symbol size in octets. Note: this information is
    provided by the FEC Scheme as defined below.

o   i the index to the (i+1)-th ADU to be added to the source block, 0
    <= i < n.

o   R[i] denote the number of octets in the (i+1)-th ADU.

o   l[i] be a length indication associated with the i-th ADU - the
    nature of the length indication is defined by the FEC Scheme.

o   L[i] denote two octets representing the value of l[i] in network
    byte order (high order octet first) of the i-th ADU.

o   f[i] denote the integer identifier associated with the source data
    flow from which the i-th ADU was taken.

o   F[i] denote a single octet representing the value of f[i].

o   s[i] be the smallest integer such that s[i]*T >= (l[i]+3). Note
    s[i] is the length of SPI[i] in units of symbols of size T octets.

o   P[i] denote s[i]*T-(l[i]+3) zero octets. Note: P[i] are padding
    octets to align the start of each UDP packet with the start of a
    symbol.

o   ADUI[i] be the concatenation of F[i] ,L[i], R[i] and P[i].

Then, a source data block is constructed by concatenating ADUI[i] for
i = 0, 1, 2, ... n-1. The source data block size, S, is then given
by sum {s[i]*T, i=0, ..., n-1}. Symbols are allocated integer
Encoding Symbol IDs consecutively starting from zero within the
source block. Each ADU is associated with the Encoding Symbol ID of
the first symbol containing SPI for that packet. Thus, the Encoding
Symbol ID value associated with the j-th source packet, ESI[j], is
given by ESI[j] = 0, for j=0 and ESI[j] = sum{s[i], i=0,...,(j-1)},
for 0 < j < n.

Source blocks are identified by integer Source Block Numbers. This
specification does not specify how Source Block Numbers are allocated
to source blocks. The Source FEC Packet Identification Information
consists of the identity of the source block and the Encoding Symbol
ID associated with the packet.

6. Raptor FEC Schemes for arbitrary packet flows

6.1. Introduction

   This section specifies an FEC Scheme for the application of the
   Raptor and RaptorQ codes to arbitrary packet flows. This scheme is
   recommended in scenarios where maximal generality is required.

   When used with Raptor codes, this scheme is equivalent to that
   specified in [MBMSTS].

6.2. Formats and Codes

6.2.1. FEC Framework Configuration Information

6.2.1.1. FEC Scheme ID

   The value of the FEC Scheme ID for the fully-specified FEC scheme
   defined in this section is XXX when [RFC5053] is used and YYY when
   [RFC6330] is used, as assigned by IANA.

6.2.1.2. Scheme-Specific Elements

   The scheme-specific elements of the FEC Framework Configuration
   information for this scheme are as follows:

   Maximum Source Block Length Name: "Kmax", Value range: A decimal
      non-negative integer less than 8192 (for Raptor) or 56403 (for
      RaptorQ), in units of symbols

   Encoding Symbol Size Name: "T", Value range: A decimal non-
      negative integer less than 65536, in units of octets

   Payload ID Format Name: "P", Value range: "A" or "B"

   An encoding format for The Maximum Source Block Length and Encoding
   Symbol Size is defined below.

```
                        1                   2                   3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |        Symbol Size (T)         |Max. Source Block Length (Kmax)|
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |P|   Reserved    |
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

                Figure 1: FEC Scheme Specific Information

   The P bit shall be set to zero to indicate Format A or to one to
   indicate Format B. The last octet of the above encoding may be
   omitted, in which case Format A shall be assumed.

   The Payload ID Format identifier defines which of the Source FEC
   Payload ID and Repair FEC Payload ID formats defined below shall be
   used. Payload ID Format B SHALL NOT be used when[RFC5053] is used.

6.2.2. Source FEC Payload ID

   This scheme makes use of an Explicit Source FEC Payload ID, which is
   appended to the end of the source packets. Two formats are defined
   for the Source FEC Payload ID, format A and format B. The format that
   is used is signaled as part of the FEC Framework Configuration
   Information.

   The Source FEC Payload ID for format A is provided in Figure 2.

   .

```
                        1                   2                   3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |   Source Block Number (SBN)   |   Encoding Symbol ID (ESI)    |
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

                Figure 2: Source FEC Payload ID - Format A

   Source Block Number (SBN), (16 bits): An integer identifier for the
      source block that the source data within the packet relates to.

   Encoding Symbol ID (ESI), (16 bits): The starting symbol index of
      the source packet in the source block.

   The Source FEC Payload ID for format B is provided in Figure 3.

```
                            1                   2                   3
     0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
    |       SBN       |           Encoding Symbol ID (ESI)         |
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

                Figure 3: Source FEC Payload ID - Format B

   Source Block Number (SBN), (8 bits): An integer identifier for the
      source block that the source data within the packet relates to.

   Encoding Symbol ID (ESI), (24 bits): The starting symbol index of
      the source packet in the source block.

6.2.3. Repair FEC Payload ID

   Two formats for the Repair FEC Payload ID, Format A and Format B are
   defined below.

   The Repair FEC Payload ID for format A is provided in Figure 4.

```
                            1                   2                   3
     0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
    |    Source Block Number (SBN)   |   Encoding Symbol ID (ESI)   |
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
    |    Source Block Length (SBL)   |
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

                Figure 4: Repair FEC Payload ID - Format A

   Source Block Number (SBN), (16 bits) An integer identifier for the
      source block that the repair symbols within the packet relate to.
      For format A, it is of size 16 bits.

   Encoding Symbol ID (ESI), (16 bits) Integer identifier for the
      encoding symbols within the packet.

   Source Block Length (SBL), (16 bits) The number of source symbols in
      the source block.

   The Repair FEC Payload ID for format B is provided in Figure 5.

```
                         1                   2                   3
     0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
    |      SBN      |            Encoding Symbol ID (ESI)          |
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
    |   Source Block Length (SBL)   |
    +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

   Figure 5: Repair FEC Payload ID - Format B

   Source Block Number (SBN), (8 bits) An integer identifier for the
      source block that the repair symbols within the packet relate to.
      For format A, it is of size 16 bits.

   Encoding Symbol ID (ESI), (24 bits) Integer identifier for the
      encoding symbols within the packet.

   Source Block Length (SBL), (16 bits) The number of source symbols in
      the source block.

   The interpretation of the Source Block Number, Encoding Symbol
   Identifier and Source Block Length is defined by the FEC Code
   Specification.

6.3. Procedures

6.3.1. Source symbol construction

   This FEC Scheme uses the procedures defined in Section 5 to construct
   a set of source symbols to which the FEC code can be applied. The
   sender MUST allocate Source Block Numbers to source blocks
   sequentially, wrapping around to zero after Source Block Number 65535
   (Format A) or 255 (Format B).

   During the construction of the source block:

   o the length indication, l[i], included in the Source Packet
      Information for each packet shall be the transport payload length.

   o the value of s[i] in the construction of the Source Packet
      Information for each packet shall be the smallest integer such
      that s[i]*T >= (l[i]+3).

6.3.2. Repair packet construction

   The ESI value placed into a repair packet is calculated as specified
   in Section 5.3.2 of [RFC5053] when Raptor as defined in [RFC5053] is
   used and as specified in Section 4.4.2 of [RFC6330] when RaptorQ as

defined in [RFC6330] is used, where K=SBL.

6.4. FEC Code Specification

The Raptor FEC encoder defined in [RFC5053] or [RFC6330] SHALL be
used. The source symbols passed to the Raptor FEC encoder SHALL
consist of the source symbols constructed according to Section 6.3.1.
Thus the value of the parameter K used by the FEC encoder (equal to
the Source Block Length) may vary amongst the blocks of the stream
but SHALL NOT exceed the Maximum Source Block Length signaled in the
FEC Scheme-specific information. The symbol size, T, to be used for
source block construction and the repair symbol construction is equal
to the Encoding Symbol Size signaled in the FEC Scheme Specific
Information.

7. Optimised Raptor FEC Scheme for arbitrary packet flows

7.1. Introduction

This section specifies a slightly modified version of the FEC Scheme
specified in Section 6 which is applicable to scenarios in which only
relatively small block sizes will be used. These modifications admit
substantial optimisations to both sender and receiver
implementations.

In outline, the modifications are:

o All source blocks within a stream are encoded using the same
   source block size. Code shortening is used to encode blocks of
   different sizes. This is achieved by padding every block to the
   required size using zero symbols before encoding. The zero
   symbols are then discarded after decoding. The source block size
   to be used for a stream is signaled in the Maximum Source Block
   Size field of the scheme-specific information. This allows for
   efficient parallel encoding of multiple streams. Note that the
   padding operation is equivalent to the padding operation in
   [RFC6330] with K' the specified single source block size and K the
   actual source block size K.

o The possible choices of the source block size for a stream is
   restricted to a small specified set of sizes. This allows
   explicit operation sequences for encoding and decoding the
   restricted set of source block sizes to be pre-calculated and
   embedded in software or hardware.

When the Raptor FEC encoder as defined in [RFC5053] is used, this
scheme is equivalent to that specified in [dvbts] for arbitrary

packet flows.

7.2. Formats and Codes

7.2.1. FEC Framework Configuration Information

7.2.1.1. FEC Scheme ID

   The value of the FEC Scheme ID for the fully-specified FEC scheme
   defined in this section is XXX when [RFC5053] is used and YYY when
   [RFC6330] is used, as assigned by IANA.

7.2.1.2. FEC Scheme specific information

   See . (Section 6.2.1.2)

7.2.2. Source FEC Payload ID

   See . (Section 6.2.2)

7.2.3. Repair FEC Payload ID

   SeeSection 6.2.3

7.3. Procedures

7.3.1. Source symbol construction

   See Section 6.3.1

7.3.2. Repair packet construction

   The number of repair symbols contained within a repair packet is
   computed from the packet length. The ESI value placed into a repair
   packet is calculated as X + MSBL - SBL, where X would be the ESI
   value of the repair packet if the ESI were calculated as specified in
   Section 5.3.2 of [RFC5053] when Raptor as defined in[RFC5053] is used
   and as specified in Section 4.4.2 of [RFC6330] when RaptorQ as
   defined in [RFC6330] is used, where K=SBL. The value of SBL SHALL be
   at most the value of MSBL.

7.4. FEC Code Specification

   The Raptor FEC encoder defined in [RFC5053] or [RFC6330] SHALL be
   used. The source symbols passed to the Raptor FEC encoder SHALL
   consist of the source symbols constructed according to Section 6.3.1
   extended with zero or more padding symbols such that the total number
   of symbols in the source block is equal to the Maximum Source Block

Length signaled in the FEC Scheme Specific Information. Thus the
value of the parameter K used by the FEC encoded is equal to the
Maximum Source Block Length for all blocks of the stream. Padding
symbols shall consist entirely of octets set to the value zero. The
symbol size, T, to be used for source block construction and the
repair symbol construction is equal to the Encoding Symbol Size
signaled in the FEC Scheme Specific Information.

When [RFC5053] is used, the parameter T SHALL be set such that the
number of source symbols in any source block is at most 8192. The
Maximum Source Block Length parameter - and hence the number of
symbols used in the FEC Encoding and Decoding operations - SHALL be
set to one of the following values:

   101, 120, 148, 164, 212, 237, 297, 371, 450, 560, 680, 842, 1031,
   1139, 1281

When [RFC6330] is used, the parameter T SHALL be set such that the
number of source symbols in any source block is less than 56403. The
Maximum Source Block Length parameter SHALL be set to one of the
supported values for K' defined in Section 5.6 of [RFC6330].

8. Raptor FEC Scheme for a single sequenced flow

8.1. Formats and codes

8.1.1. FEC Framework Configuration Information

8.1.1.1. FEC Scheme ID

   The value of the FEC Scheme ID for the fully-specified FEC scheme
   defined in this section is XXX when [RFC5053] is used and YYY when
   [RFC6330] is used, as assigned by IANA.

8.1.1.2. Scheme-specific elements

   See Section 6.2.1.2

8.1.2. Source FEC Payload ID

   The Source FEC Payload ID field is not used by this FEC Scheme.
   Source packets are not modified by this FEC Scheme.

8.1.3. Repair FEC Payload ID

   Two formats for the Repair FEC Payload ID are defined, Format A and
   Format B.

```
                        1                   2                   3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |    Initial Sequence Number    |      Encoding Symbol ID       |
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |       Source Block Length     |
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

              Figure 6: Repair FEC Payload ID - Format A

Initial Sequence Number (Flow i ISN) - 16 bits This field specifies
   the lowest 16 bits of the sequence number of the first packet to
   be included in this sub-block. If the sequence numbers are
   shorter than 16 bits then the received Sequence Number SHALL be
   logically padded with zero bits to become 16 bits in length
   respectively.

Encoding Symbol ID (ESI) - 16 bits This field indicates which repair
   symbols are contained within this repair packet. The ESI provided
   is the ESI of the first repair symbol in the packet.

Source Block Length (SBL) - 16 bits This field specifies the length
   of the source block in symbols.

```
                        1                   2                   3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |    Initial Sequence Number    |      Source Block Length      |
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
   |                  Encoding Symbol ID           |
   +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

              Figure 7: Repair FEC Payload ID - Format B

Initial Sequence Number (Flow i ISN) - 16 bits This field specifies
   the lowest 16 bits of the sequence number of the first packet to
   be included in this sub-block. If the sequence numbers are
   shorter than 16 bits then the received Sequence Number SHALL be
   logically padded with zero bits to become 16 bits in length
   respectively.

Source Block Length (SBL) - 16 bits This field specifies the length
   of the source block in symbols.

Encoding Symbol ID (ESI) - 24 bits This field indicates which repair
   symbols are contained within this repair packet. The ESI provided
   is the ESI of the first repair symbol in the packet.

8.2. Procedures

8.2.1. Source symbol construction

   This FEC Scheme uses the procedures defined in Section 5 to construct
   a set of source symbols to which the FEC code can be applied. The
   sender MUST allocate Source Block Numbers to source blocks
   sequentially, wrapping around to zero after Source Block Number 65535
   in the case Format A is used for FEC Payload IDs and 255 in the case
   Format B is used for FEC Payload IDs.

   During the construction of the source block:

   o the length indication, l[i], included in the Source Packet
      Information for each packet shall be dependent on the protocol
      carried within the transport payload. Rules for RTP are specified
      below.

   o the value of s[i] in the construction of the Source Packet
      Information for each packet shall be the smallest integer such
      that s[i]*T >= (l[i]+3)

8.2.2. Derivation of Source FEC Packet Identification Information

   The Source FEC Packet Identification Information for a source packet
   is derived from the sequence number of the packet and information
   received in any repair FEC packet belonging to this Source Block.
   Source blocks are identified by the sequence number of the first
   source packet in the block. This information is signaled in all
   repair FEC packets associated with the source block in the Initial
   Sequence Number field.

   The length of the Source Packet Information (in octets) for source
   packets within a source block is equal to length of the payload
   containing encoding symbols of the repair packets (i.e. not including
   the Repair FEC Payload ID) for that block, which MUST be the same for
   all repair packets. The Application Data Unit Information Length
   (ADUIL) in symbols is equal to this length divided by the Encoding
   Symbol Size (which is signaled in the FEC Framework Configuration
   Information). The set of source packets which are included in the
   source block is determined from the Initial Sequence Number (ISN) and
   Source Block Length (SBL) as follows:

   Let,

   o I be the Initial Sequence Number of the source block

o LP be the Source Packet Information Length in symbols

o LB be the Source Block Length in symbols

Then, source packets with sequence numbers from I to I +LB/LP-1
inclusive are included in the source block.

Note that if no FEC repair packets are received then no FEC decoding
is possible and it is unnecessary for the receiver to identify the
Source FEC Packet Identification Information for the source packets.

The Encoding Symbol ID for a packet is derived from the following
information:

o The sequence number, Ns, of the packet

o The Source Packet Information Length for the source block, LP

o The Initial Sequence Number of the source block, I

Then the Encoding Symbol ID for packet with sequence number Ns is
determined by the following formula:

   ESI = (Ns - I) * LP

Note that all repair packet associated to a given Source Block MUST
contain the same Source Block Length and Initial Sequence Number.

8.2.3. Repair packet construction

See Section 7.3.2

8.2.4. Procedures for RTP source flows

In the specific case of RTP source packet flows, then the RTP
Sequence Number field SHALL be used as the sequence number in the
procedures described above. The length indication included in the
Application Data Unit Information SHALL be the RTP payload length
plus the length of the CSRCs, if any, the RTP Header Extension, if
present, and the RTP padding octets, if any. Note that this length
is always equal to the UDP payload length of the packet minus 12.

8.3. FEC Code Specification

See Section 7.4

9. Security Considerations

   For the general security considerations related to the use of FEC,
   refer to [RFC6363]. No security considerations specific to this
   document have been identified.

10. Session Description Protocol (SDP) Signaling

   This section provides an SDP [RFC4566] example. The syntax follows
   the definition in [RFC6364] .Assume we have one source video stream
   (mid:S1) and one FEC repair stream (mid:R1). We form one FEC group
   with the "a=group:FEC-FR S1 R1" line. The source and repair streams
   are sent to the same port on different multicast groups. The repair
   window is set to 200 ms.

```
     v=0
     o=ali 1122334455 1122334466 IN IP4 fec.example.com
     s=Raptor FEC Example
     t=0 0
     a=group:FEC-FR S1 R1
     m=video 30000 RTP/AVP 100
     c=IN IP4 233.252.0.1/127
     a=rtpmap:100 MP2T/90000
     a=fec-source-flow: id=0
     a=mid:S1
     m=application 30000 UDP/FEC
     c=IN IP4 233.252.0.2/127
     a=fec-repair-flow: encoding-id=6; fssi=Kmax:8192,T:128,P:A
     a=repair-window:200ms
     a=mid:R1
```


11. Congestion Control Considerations

   For the general congestion control considerations related to the use
   of FEC, refer to [RFC6363].

12. IANA Considerations

12.1. Registration of FEC Scheme IDs

   The value of FEC Scheme IDs is subject to IANA registration. For
   general guidelines on IANA considerations as they apply to this
   document, refer to [RFC6363].

   This document registers three values in the FEC Framework (FECFRAME)

FEC Encoding IDs registry as follows:

o 1 for the Raptor FEC Scheme for Arbitrary Packet Flows (Section 6
   using Raptor [RFC5053].

o 2 for the Raptor FEC Scheme for Arbitrary Packet Flows (Section 6
   using RaptorQ [RFC6330].

o 3 for the Optimised Raptor FEC Scheme for Arbitrary Packet Flows
   (Section 7) using Raptor [RFC5053].

o 4 for the Optimised Raptor FEC Scheme for Arbitrary Packet Flows
   (Section 7) using RaptorQ [RFC6330].

o 5 for the Raptor FEC Scheme for a single sequence flow (Section 8)
   using Raptor [RFC5053].

o 6 for the Raptor FEC Scheme for a single sequence flow (Section 8)
   using RaptorQ [RFC6330].

13. Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to Ali C. Begen for thorough review of earlier draft
versions of this document.

14. References

14.1. Normative References

[RFC6363]   Watson, M., Begen, A., and V. Roca, "Forward Error
            Correction (FEC) Framework", RFC 6363, October 2011.

[RFC5053]   Luby, M., Shokrollahi, A., Watson, M., and T. Stockhammer,
            "Raptor Forward Error Correction Scheme for Object
            Delivery", RFC 5053, October 2007.

[RFC2119]   Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
            Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC6330]   Luby, M., Shokrollahi, A., Watson, M., Stockhammer, T.,
            and L. Minder, "RaptorQ Forward Error Correction Scheme
            for Object Delivery", RFC 6330, August 2011.

14.2. Informative References

   [RFC5052]   Watson, M., Luby, M., and L. Vicisano, "Forward Error
               Correction (FEC) Building Block", RFC 5052, August 2007.

   [RFC4566]   Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session
               Description Protocol", RFC 4566, July 2006.

   [RFC6364]   Begen, A., "Session Description Protocol Elements for the
               Forward Error Correction (FEC) Framework", RFC 6364,
               October 2011.

   [dvbts]   "ETSI TS 102 034 - Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB);
               Transport of MPEG-2 Based DVB Services over IP Based
               Networks", March 2005.

   [MBMSTS]   3GPP, "Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service (MBMS);
               Protocols and codecs", 3GPP TS 26.346, April 2005.

Authors' Addresses

   Mark Watson
   Netflix
   100 Winchester Circle
   Los Gatos, CA 95032
   U.S.A.

   Email: watsonm@netflix.com

   Thomas Stockhammer
   Nomor Research
   Brecherspitzstrasse 8
   Munich 81541
   Germany

   Email: stockhammer@nomor.de

   Michael Luby
   Qualcomm Incorporated
   3165 Kifer Road
   Santa Clara, CA 95051
   U.S.A.

   Email: luby@qualcomm.com