

Geopriv	J. Winterbottom	
Internet-Draft	M. Thomson	
Updates: 4119 (if approved)	Andrew Corporation	
Intended status: Standards Track	H. Tschofenig	
Expires: March 21, 2009	Nokia Siemens Networks	
	September 17, 2008	

[TOC](#)

GEOPRIV PIDF-LO Usage Clarification, Considerations and Recommendations draft-ietf-geopriv-pdif-lo-profile-13.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 21, 2009.

Abstract

The Presence Information Data Format Location Object (PIDF-LO) specification provides a flexible and versatile means to represent location information. There are, however, circumstances that arise when information needs to be constrained in how it is represented. In these circumstances the range of options that need to be implemented are reduced. There is growing interest in being able to use location information contained in a PIDF-LO for routing applications. To allow successful interoperability between applications, location information needs to be normative and more tightly constrained than is currently specified in the RFC 4119 (PIDF-LO). This document makes recommendations on how to constrain, represent and interpret locations in a PIDF-LO. It further

recommends a subset of GML that is mandatory to implement by applications involved in location based routing.

Table of Contents

- [1.](#) Introduction
- [2.](#) Terminology
- [3.](#) Using Location Information
 - [3.1.](#) Single Civic Location Information
 - [3.2.](#) Civic and Geospatial Location Information
 - [3.3.](#) Manual/Automatic Configuration of Location Information
 - [3.4.](#) Multiple Location Objects in a Single PIDF-LO
- [4.](#) Geodetic Coordinate Representation
- [5.](#) Geodetic Shape Representation
 - [5.1.](#) Polygon Restrictions
 - [5.2.](#) Shape Examples
 - [5.2.1.](#) Point
 - [5.2.2.](#) Polygon
 - [5.2.3.](#) Circle
 - [5.2.4.](#) Ellipse
 - [5.2.5.](#) Arc Band
 - [5.2.6.](#) Sphere
 - [5.2.7.](#) Ellipsoid
 - [5.2.8.](#) Prism
- [6.](#) Security Considerations
- [7.](#) IANA Considerations
- [8.](#) Acknowledgments
- [9.](#) References
 - [9.1.](#) Normative references
 - [9.2.](#) Informative References
- [§](#) Authors' Addresses
- [§](#) Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements

1. Introduction

[TOC](#)

The Presence Information Data Format Location Object (PIDF-LO) [[RFC4119](#)] ([Peterson, J., "A Presence-based GEOPRIV Location Object Format," December 2005.](#)) is the recommended way of encoding location information and associated privacy policies. Location information in a PIDF-LO may be described in a geospatial manner based on a subset of GMLv3, or as civic location information [[RFC5139](#)] ([Thomson, M. and J. Winterbottom, "Revised Civic Location Format for Presence Information Data Format Location Object \(PIDF-LO\)," February 2008.](#)). A GML profile for expressing geodetic shapes in a PIDF-LO is described in [[GeoShape](#)] ([Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.](#)). Uses for PIDF-LO are envisioned in the context of numerous location based applications. This document makes recommendations for formats and conventions to make interoperability less problematic.

The PIDF-LO provides a general presence format for representing location information, and permits specification of location information relating to a whole range of aspects of a Target. The general presence data model is described in [\[RFC4479\] \(Rosenberg, J., "A Data Model for Presence," July 2006.\)](#) and caters for a presence document to describe different aspects of the reachability of a presentity. Continuing this approach, a presence document may contain several GEOPRIV objects that specify different locations and aspects of reachability relating to a presentity. This degree of flexibility is important, and recommendations in this document make no attempt to forbid the usage of a PIDF-LO in this manner. This document provides a specific set of guidelines for building presence documents when it is important to unambiguously convey exactly one location.

2. Terminology

[TOC](#)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\] \(Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.\)](#).

The definition for "Target" is taken from [\[RFC3693\] \(Cuellar, J., Morris, J., Mulligan, D., Peterson, J., and J. Polk, "Geopriv Requirements," February 2004.\)](#).

In this document a "discrete location" is defined as a place, point, area or volume in which a Target can be found.

The term "compound location" is used to describe location information represented by a composite of both civic and geodetic information. An example of compound location might be a geodetic polygon describing the perimeter of a building and a civic element representing the floor in the building.

The term "method" in this document refers to the mechanism used to determine the location of a Target. This may be something employed by a LIS, or by the Target itself. It specifically does not refer to the LCP used to deliver location information either to the Target or the Recipient.

The term "source" is used to refer to the LIS, node or device from which a Recipient (Target or Third-Party) obtains location information.

3. Using Location Information

[TOC](#)

The PIDF format provides for an unbounded number of <tuple>, <device>, and <person> elements. Each of these elements contains a

single <status> element that may contain more than one <geopriv> element as a child. Each <geopriv> element must contain at least the following two child elements: <location-info> element and <usage-rules> element. One or more chunks of location information are contained inside a <location-info> element.

Hence, a single PIDF document may contain an arbitrary number of location objects some or all of which may be contradictory or complementary. Graphically, the structure of a PIDF-LO document can be depicted as shown in [Figure 1 \(Structure of a PIDF-LO Document\)](#).

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence>
  <tuple> -- #1
    <status>
      <geopriv> -- #1
        <location-info>
          location chunk #1
          location chunk #2
          ...
          location chunk #n
        <usage-rules>
      </geopriv>
      <geopriv> -- #2
      <geopriv> -- #3
      ...
      <geopriv> -- #m
    </status>
  </tuple>
  <device>
    <geopriv> -- #1
      <location-info>
        location chunk #1
        location chunk #2
        ...
        location chunk #n
      <usage-rules>
    </geopriv>
    <geopriv> -- #2
    <geopriv> -- #3
    ...
    <geopriv> -- #m
  </device>
  <person>
    <geopriv> -- #1
      <location-info>
        location chunk #1
        location chunk #2
        ...
        location chunk #n
      <usage-rules>
    </geopriv>
    <geopriv> -- #2
    <geopriv> -- #3
    ...
    <geopriv> -- #m
  </person>
  <tuple> -- #2
  <device> -- #2
  <person> -- #2
  ...
  <tuple> -- #0
</presence>

```

Figure 1: Structure of a PIDF-LO Document

All of these potential sources and storage places for location lead to confusion for the generators, conveyors and consumers of location information. Practical experience within the United States National Emergency Number Association (NENA) in trying to solve these ambiguities led to a set of conventions being adopted. These rules do not have any particular order, but should be followed by creators and consumers of location information contained in a PIDF-LO to ensure that a consistent interpretation of the data can be achieved.

Rule #1: A <geopriv> element MUST describe a discrete location.

Rule #2: Where a discrete location can be uniquely described in more than one way, each location description SHOULD reside in a separate <tuple>, <device>, or <person> element; only one geopriv element per tuple.

Rule #3: Providing more than one <geopriv> element in a single presence document (PIDF) MUST only be done if the locations refer to the same place or are put into different element types. For example, one location in a <tuple>, a second location in a <device> element, and a third location in a <person> element.

This may occur if a Target's location is determined using a series of different techniques, or the Target wishes to represent her location as well as the location of her PC. In general avoid putting more than one location into a document unless it makes sense to do so.

Rule #4: Providing more than one location chunk in a single <location-info> element SHOULD be avoided where possible. Rule #5 and Rule #6 provide further refinement.

Rule #5: When providing more than one location chunk in a single <location-info> element the locations MUST be provided by a common source at the same time and by the same location determination method.

Rule #6: Providing more than one location chunk in a single <location-info> element SHOULD only be used for representing compound location referring to the same place.

For example, a geodetic location describing a point, and a civic location indicating the floor in a building.

Rule #7: Where compound location is provided in a single <location-info> element, the coarse location information MUST be provided first.

For example, a geodetic location describing an area, and a civic location indicating the floor should be represented with the area first followed by the civic location.

Rule #8: Where a PIDF document contains more than one <geopriv> element, the priority of interpretation is given to the first <device> element in the document containing a location. If no <device> element containing a location is present in the document, then priority is given to the first <tuple> element containing a location. Locations contained in <person> tuples SHOULD only be used as a last resort.

Rule #9: Where multiple PIDF documents can be sent or received together, say in a multi-part MIME body, and current location information is required by the recipient, then document selection SHOULD be based on document order, with the first document considered first.

The following examples illustrate the application of these rules.

3.1. Single Civic Location Information

[TOC](#)

Jane is at a coffee shop on the ground floor of a large shopping mall. Jane turns on her laptop and connects to the coffee-shop's WiFi hotspot, Jane obtains a complete civic address for her current location, for example using the DHCP civic mechanism defined in [\[RFC4776\] \(Schulzrinne, H., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol \(DHCPv4 and DHCPv6\) Option for Civic Addresses Configuration Information," November 2006.\)](#). A Location Object is constructed consisting of a single PIDF document, with a single <tuple> or <device> element, a single <status> element, a single <geopriv> element, and a single location chunk residing in the <location-info> element. This document is unambiguous, and should be interpreted consistently by receiving nodes if sent over the network.

3.2. Civic and Geospatial Location Information

[TOC](#)

Mike is visiting his Seattle office and connects his laptop into the Ethernet port in a spare cube. In this case location information is geodetic location, with the altitude represented as a building floor number. Mike's main location is the point specified by the geodetic coordinates. Further, Mike is on the second floor of the building located at these coordinates. Applying rules #6 and #7, the resulting compound location information is shown in [Figure 2](#).

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:dm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:data-model"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:cl="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10:civicAddr"
  entity="pres:mike@seattle.example.com">
  <dm:device id="mikepc">
    <gp:geopriv>
      <gp:location-info>
        <gml:Point srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
          <gml:pos>-43.5723 153.21760</gml:pos>
        </gml:Point>
        <cl:civicAddress>
          <cl:FLR>2</cl:FLR>
        </cl:civicAddress>
      </gp:location-info>
      <gp:usage-rules/>
      <method>Wiremap</method>
    </gp:geopriv>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
    <dm:deviceID>
      urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-8afd7d7d70cf
    </dm:deviceID>
  </dm:device>
</presence>

```

Figure 2

3.3. Manual/Automatic Configuration of Location Information

[TOC](#)

Loraine has a predefined civic location stored in her laptop, since she normally lives in Sydney, the address is for her Sydney-based apartment. Loraine decides to visit sunny San Francisco, and when she gets there she plugs in her laptop and makes a call. Loraine's laptop receives a new location from the visited network in San Francisco. As this system cannot be sure that the pre-existing, and new location, both describe the same place, Loraine's computer generates a new PIDF-LO and will use this to represent Loraine's location. If Loraine's computer were to add the new location to her existing PIDF location document (breaking rule #3), then the correct information may still be interpreted by the Location Recipient providing Loraine's system applies rule #9. In this case the resulting order of location information in the PIDF document should be San Francisco first, followed by Sydney. Since the information is provided by different sources, rule #8 should also be applied and the information placed in different tuples with the tuple containing the San Francisco location first.

3.4. Multiple Location Objects in a Single PIDF-LO

[TOC](#)

Vanessa has her PC with her at the park, but due to a misconfiguration, her PC reports her location as being in the office. The resulting PIDF-LO will have a <device> element showing the location of Vanessa's PC as the park, and a <person> element saying that Vanessa is in her office.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:dm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:data-model"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:cl="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10:civicAddr"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:ness@example.com">
  <dm:device id="nesspc-1">
    <gp:geopriv>
      <gp:location-info>
        <gs:Circle srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
          <gml:pos>-34.410649 150.87651</gml:pos>
          <gs:radius uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
            30
          </gs:radius>
        </gs:Circle>
      </gp:location-info>
      <gp:usage-rules/>
      <method>GPS</method>
    </gp:geopriv>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
    <dm:deviceID>
      urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-1234567890ab
    </dm:deviceID>
  </dm:device>
  <dm:person id="ness">
    <gp:geopriv>
      <gp:location-info>
        <civicAddress xml:lang="en-AU">
          <country>AU</country>
          <A1>NSW</A1>
          <A3>Wollongong</A3>
          <A4>North Wollongong</A4>
          <RD>Flinders</RD><STS>Street</STS>
          <RDBR>Campbell Street</RDBR>
          <LMK>
            Gilligan's Island
          </LMK>
          <LOC>Corner</LOC>
          <NAM> Main Bank </NAM>
          <PC>2500</PC>
          <ROOM> 398 </ROOM>
          <PLC>store</PLC>
          <POBOX>Private Box 15</POBOX>
        </civicAddress>
      </gp:location-info>
      <gp:usage-rules/>
      <method>Manual</method>
    </gp:geopriv>
    <timestamp>2007-06-24T12:28:04Z</timestamp>
  </dm:person>
</presence>

```

Figure 3

4. Geodetic Coordinate Representation

[TOC](#)

The geodetic examples provided in RFC 4119 [[RFC4119](#)] ([Peterson, J., "A Presence-based GEOPRIV Location Object Format," December 2005.](#)) are illustrated using the <gml:location> element, which uses the <gml:coordinates> element inside the <gml:Point> element and this representation has several drawbacks. Firstly, it has been deprecated in later versions of GML (3.1 and beyond) making it inadvisable to use for new applications. Secondly, the format of the coordinates type is opaque and so can be difficult to parse and interpret to ensure consistent results, as the same geodetic location can be expressed in a variety of ways. The PIDF-LO Geodetic Shapes specification [[GeoShape](#)] ([Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.](#)) provides a specific GML profile for expressing commonly used shapes using simple GML representations. The shapes defined in [[GeoShape](#)] ([Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.](#)) are the recommended shapes to ensure interoperability.

5. Geodetic Shape Representation

[TOC](#)

The cellular mobile world today makes extensive use of geodetic based location information for emergency and other location-based applications. Generally these locations are expressed as a point (either in two or three dimensions) and an area or volume of uncertainty around the point. In theory, the area or volume represents a coverage in which the user has a relatively high probability of being found, and the point is a convenient means of defining the centroid for the area or volume. In practice, most systems use the point as an absolute value and ignore the uncertainty. It is difficult to determine if systems have been implemented in this manner for simplicity, and even more difficult to predict if uncertainty will play a more important role in the future. An important decision is whether an uncertainty area should be specified.

The PIDF-LO Geodetic Shapes specification [[GeoShape](#)] ([Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.](#)) defines eight shape types most of which are easily translated into shapes definitions used in other applications and protocols, such as Open Mobile Alliance (OMA) Mobile Location Protocol (MLP). For completeness the shapes defined in [[GeoShape](#)] ([Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.](#)) are listed below:

*Point (2d and 3d)

- *Polygon (2d)
- *Circle (2d)
- *Ellipse (2d)
- *Arc band (2d)
- *Sphere (3d)
- *Ellipsoid (3d)
- *Prism (3d)

The above-listed shapes are MUST implement.

The GeoShape specification [[GeoShape](#)] (Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)," April 2007.) also describes a standard set of coordinate reference systems (CRS), unit of measure (UoM) and conventions relating to lines and distances. The use of the WGS-84 coordinate reference system and the usage of EPSG-4326 (as identified by the URN urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326) for two dimensional (2d) shape representations and EPSG-4979 (as identified by the URN urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4979) for three dimensional (3d) volume representations is mandated. Distance and heights are expressed in meters using EPSG-9001 (as identified by the URN urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001). Angular measures MUST use either degrees or radians. Measures in degrees MUST be identified by the URN urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9102, measures in radians MUST be identified by the URN urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9101. Angles representing bearings are measured in a clockwise direction from Northing, as defined by the WGS84 CRS, not magnetic north.

Implementations MUST specify the CRS using the srsName attribute on the outermost geometry element. The CRS MUST NOT be respecified or changed for any sub-elements. The srsDimension attribute SHOULD be omitted, since the number of dimensions in these CRSs is known. A CRS MUST be specified using the above URN notation only; implementations do not need to support user-defined CRSs.

Numerical values for coordinates and measures are expressed using the lexical representation for "double" defined in [[W3C.REC-xmlschema-2-20041028](#)] (Malhotra, A. and P. Biron, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition," October 2004.). Leading zeros and trailing zeros past the decimal point are not significant; for instance "03.07500" is equivalent to "3.075".

It is RECOMMENDED that uncertainty is expressed at a confidence of 95% or higher. Specifying a convention for confidence enables better use of uncertainty values.

5.1. Polygon Restrictions

The Polygon shape type defined in [\[GeoShape\] \(Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.\)](#) intentionally does not place any constraints on the number of vertices that may be included to define the bounds of a polygon. This allows arbitrarily complex shapes to be defined and conveyed in a PIDF-LO. However, where location information is to be used in real-time processing applications, such as location dependent routing, having arbitrarily complex shapes consisting of tens or even hundreds of points could result in significant performance impacts. To mitigate this risk Polygon shapes SHOULD be restricted to a maximum of 15 points (16 including the repeated point) when the location information is intended for use in real-time applications. This limit of 15 points is chosen to allow moderately complex shape definitions while at the same time enabling interoperation with other location transporting protocols such as those defined in 3GPP (see [\[3GPP-TS-23_032\] \(, "3GPP TS 23.032 V6.0.0 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Code Network; Universal Geographic Area Description \(GAD\)," .\)](#)) and OMA where the 15 point limit is already imposed.

The edges of a polygon are defined by the shortest path between two points in space (not a geodesic curve). Two dimensional points MAY be interpreted as having a zero value for their altitude component. To avoid significant errors arising from potential geodesic interpolation, the length between adjacent vertices SHOULD be restricted to a maximum of 130km. More information relating to this restriction is provided in [\[GeoShape\] \(Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.\)](#).

A connecting line SHALL NOT cross another connecting line of the same Polygon.

Polygons MUST be defined with the upward normal pointing up. This is accomplished by defining the vertices in a counter-clockwise direction.

Points specified in a polygon using 3 dimensional coordinates MUST all have the same altitude.

5.2. Shape Examples

[TOC](#)

This section provides some examples of where some of the more complex shapes are used, how they are determined, and how they are represented in a PIDF-LO. Complete details on all of the GeoShape types are provided in [\[GeoShape\] \(Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force \(IETF\)," April 2007.\)](#).

5.2.1. Point

[TOC](#)

The point shape type is the simplest form of geodetic LI, which is natively supported by GML. The `gml:Point` element is used when there is no known uncertainty. A point also forms part of a number of other geometries. A point may be specified using either WGS 84 (latitude, longitude) or WGS 84 (latitude, longitude, altitude).

[Figure 4](#) shows a 2d point:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:dm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:data-model"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:cl="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10:civicAddr"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  entity="pres:point2d@example.com">
  <dm:device id="point2d">
    <gp:geopriv>
      <gp:location-info>
        <gml:Point srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
          <gml:pos>-34.407 150.883</gml:pos>
        </gml:Point>
      </gp:location-info>
      <gp:usage-rules/>
      <method>Wiremap</method>
    </gp:geopriv>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
    <dm:deviceID>
      urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-1234567890ab
    </dm:deviceID>
  </dm:device>
</presence>
```

Figure 4

[Figure 5](#) shows a 3d point:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:dm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:data-model"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  entity="pres:point3d@example.com">
  <dm:device id="point3d">
    <gp:geopriv>
      <gp:location-info>
        <gml:Point srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4979"
          xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml">
          <gml:pos>-34.407 150.883 24.8</gml:pos>
        </gml:Point>
      </gp:location-info>
      <gp:usage-rules/>
      <method>Wiremap</method>
    </gp:geopriv>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
    <dm:deviceID>
      urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-1234567890ab
    </dm:deviceID>
  </dm:device>
</presence>
```

Figure 5

5.2.2. Polygon

[TOC](#)

The polygon shape may be used to represent a building outline or coverage area. The first and last points of the polygon have to be the same. For example, looking at the hexagon in [Figure 6](#) with vertices, A, B, C, D, E, and F. The resulting polygon will be defined with 7 points, with the first and last points both having the coordinates of point A.




Figure 6

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  entity="pres:hexagon@example.com">
  <tuple id="polygon-pos">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gml:Polygon srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
            <gml:exterior>
              <gml:LinearRing>
                <gml:pos>43.311 -73.422</gml:pos> <!--A-->
                <gml:pos>43.111 -73.322</gml:pos> <!--B-->
                <gml:pos>43.111 -73.222</gml:pos> <!--C-->
                <gml:pos>43.311 -73.122</gml:pos> <!--D-->
                <gml:pos>43.411 -73.222</gml:pos> <!--E-->
                <gml:pos>43.411 -73.322</gml:pos> <!--F-->
                <gml:pos>43.311 -73.422</gml:pos> <!--A-->
              </gml:LinearRing>
            </gml:exterior>
          </gml:Polygon>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>Wiremap</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
  </tuple>
</presence>
```

Figure 7

In addition to the form shown in [Figure 7](#) GML supports a `posList` which provides a more compact representation for the coordinates of the Polygon vertices than the discrete `pos` elements. The more compact form is shown in [Figure 8](#). Both forms are permitted.


```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  entity="pres:hexagon@example.com">
  <tuple id="polygon-poslist">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gml:Polygon srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
            <gml:exterior>
              <gml:LinearRing>
                <gml:posList>
                  43.311 -73.422 43.111 -73.322
                  43.111 -73.222 43.311 -73.122
                  43.411 -73.222 43.411 -73.322
                  43.311 -73.422
                </gml:posList>
              </gml:LinearRing>
            </gml:exterior>
          </gml:Polygon>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>Wiremap</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
  </tuple>
</presence>

```

Figure 8

5.2.3. Circle

[TOC](#)

The circular area is used for coordinates in two-dimensional CRSs to describe uncertainty about a point. The definition is based on the one-dimensional geometry in GML, `gml:CircleByCenterPoint`. The centre point of a circular area is specified by using a two dimensional CRS; in three dimensions, the orientation of the circle cannot be specified correctly using this representation. A point with uncertainty that is specified in three dimensions should use the Sphere shape type.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:circle@example.com">
  <tuple id="circle">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gs:Circle srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
            <gml:pos>42.5463 -73.2512</gml:pos>
            <gs:radius uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              850.24
            </gs:radius>
          </gs:Circle>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>OTDOA</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
  </tuple>
</presence>
```

Figure 9

5.2.4. Ellipse

[TOC](#)

An elliptical area describes an ellipse in two dimensional space. The ellipse is described by a center point, the length of its semi-major and semi-minor axes, and the orientation of the semi-major axis. Like the circular area (Circle), the ellipse MUST be specified using the two dimensional CRS.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:Ellipse@somecell.example.com">
  <tuple id="ellipse">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gs:Ellipse srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
            <gml:pos>42.5463 -73.2512</gml:pos>
            <gs:semiMajorAxis uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              1275
            </gs:semiMajorAxis>
            <gs:semiMinorAxis uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              670
            </gs:semiMinorAxis>
            <gs:orientation uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9102">
              43.2
            </gs:orientation>
          </gs:Ellipse>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>Device-Assisted_A-GPS</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
  </tuple>
</presence>

```

Figure 10

The gml:pos element indicates the position of the center, or origin, of the ellipse. The gs:semiMajorAxis and gs:semiMinorAxis elements are the length of the semi-major and semi-minor axes respectively. The gs:orientation element is the angle by which the semi-major axis is rotated from the first axis of the CRS towards the second axis. For WGS 84, the orientation indicates rotation from Northing to Easting, which, if specified in degrees, is roughly equivalent to a compass bearing (if magnetic north were the same as the WGS north pole). Note: An ellipse with equal major and minor axis lengths is a circle.

5.2.5. Arc Band

[TOC](#)

The arc band shape type is commonly generated in wireless systems where timing advance or code offsets sequences are used to compensate for distances between handsets and the access point. The arc band is represented as two radii emanating from a central point, and two angles which represent the starting angle and the opening

angle of the arc. In a cellular environment the central point is nominally the location of the cell tower, the two radii are determined by the extent of the timing advance, and the two angles are generally provisioned information.

For example, Paul is using a cellular wireless device and is 7 timing advance symbols away from the cell tower. For a GSM-based network this would place Paul roughly between 3,594 meters and 4,148 meters from the cell tower, providing the inner and outer radius values. If the start angle is 20 degrees from north, and the opening angle is 120 degrees, an arc band representing Paul's location would look similar to [Figure 11](#).




Figure 11

The resulting PIDF-LO is shown in [Figure 12](#).

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:paul@somecell.example.com">
  <tuple id="arcband">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gs:ArcBand srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
            <gml:pos>-43.5723 153.21760</gml:pos>
            <gs:innerRadius uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              3594
            </gs:innerRadius>
            <gs:outerRadius uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              4148
            </gs:outerRadius>
            <gs:startAngle uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9102">
              20
            </gs:startAngle>
            <gs:openingAngle uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9102">
              20
            </gs:openingAngle>
          </gs:ArcBand>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>TA-NMR</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
  </tuple>
</presence>

```

Figure 12

An important note to make on the arc band is that the center point used in the definition of the shape is not included in resulting enclosed area, and that Target may be anywhere in the defined area of the arc band.

5.2.6. Sphere

[TOC](#)

The sphere is a volume that provides the same information as a circle in three dimensions. The sphere has to be specified using a three dimensional CRS. [Figure 13](#) shows the sphere shape, which is identical to the circle example, except for the addition of an altitude in the provided coordinates.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:circle@example.com">
  <tuple id="sphere">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gs:Sphere srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4979">
            <gml:pos>42.5463 -73.2512 26.3</gml:pos>
            <gs:radius uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              850.24
            </gs:radius>
          </gs:Sphere>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>Device-Based_A-GPS</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
  </tuple>
</presence>
```

Figure 13

5.2.7. Ellipsoid

[TOC](#)

The ellipsoid is the volume most commonly produced by GPS systems. It is used extensively in navigation systems and wireless location networks. The ellipsoid is constructed around a central point specified in three dimensions, and three axes perpendicular to one another are extended outwards from this point. These axes are defined as the semi-major (M) axis, the semi-minor (m) axis, and the vertical (v) axis respectively. An angle is used to express the orientation of the ellipsoid. The orientation angle is measured in degrees from north, and represents the direction of the semi-major axis from the center point.




Figure 14

A PIDF-LO containing an ellipsoid appears as shown in [Figure 15](#).

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:somone@gpsreceiver.example.com">
  <tuple id="ellipsoid">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gs:Ellipsoid srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4979">
            <gml:pos>42.5463 -73.2512 26.3</gml:pos>
            <gs:semiMajorAxis uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              7.7156
            </gs:semiMajorAxis>
            <gs:semiMinorAxis uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              3.31
            </gs:semiMinorAxis>
            <gs:verticalAxis uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              28.7
            </gs:verticalAxis>
            <gs:orientation uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9102">
              90
            </gs:orientation>
          </gs:Ellipsoid>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>Hybrid_A-GPS</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
  </tuple>
</presence>
```

Figure 15

5.2.8. Prism

[TOC](#)

A prism may be used to represent a section of a building or range of floors of building. The prism extrudes a polygon by providing a height element. It consists of a base made up of coplanar points defined in 3 dimensions all at the same altitude. The prism is then an extrusion from this base to the value specified in the height element. The height of the Prism MUST be a positive value. The first and last points of the polygon have to be the same.

For example, looking at the cube in [Figure 16](#). If the prism is extruded from the bottom up, then the polygon forming the base of the prism is defined with the points A, B, C, D, A. The height of the prism is the distance between point A and point E in meters.




Figure 16

The resulting PIDF-LO is shown in [Figure 17](#).

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<presence xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
  xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
  xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
  entity="pres:mike@someprism.example.com">
  <tuple id="prism">
    <status>
      <gp:geopriv>
        <gp:location-info>
          <gs:Prism srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4979">
            <gs:base>
              <gml:Polygon>
                <gml:exterior>
                  <gml:LinearRing>
                    <gml:posList>
                      42.556844 -73.248157 36.6 <!--A-->
                      42.656844 -73.248157 36.6 <!--B-->
                      42.656844 -73.348157 36.6 <!--C-->
                      42.556844 -73.348157 36.6 <!--D-->
                      42.556844 -73.248157 36.6 <!--A-->
                    </gml:posList>
                  </gml:LinearRing>
                </gml:exterior>
              </gml:Polygon>
            </gs:base>
            <gs:height uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">
              2.4
            </gs:height>
          </gs:Prism>
        </gp:location-info>
        <gp:usage-rules/>
        <method>Wiremap</method>
      </gp:geopriv>
    </status>
    <timestamp>2007-06-22T20:57:29Z</timestamp>
  </tuple>
</presence>

```

Figure 17

6. Security Considerations

TOC

The primary security considerations relate to how location information is conveyed and used, which are outside the scope of this document. This document is intended to serve only as a set of guidelines as to which elements MUST or SHOULD be implemented by systems wishing to perform location dependent routing. The ramification of such recommendations is that they extend to devices and clients that wish to make use of such services.

7. IANA Considerations

[TOC](#)

This document does not introduce any IANA considerations.

8. Acknowledgments

[TOC](#)

The authors would like to thank the GEOPRIV working group for their discussions in the context of PIDF-LO, in particular Carl Reed, Ron Lake, James Polk, Henning Schulzrinne, Jerome Grenier, Roger Marshall and Robert Sparks. Furthermore, we would like to thank Jon Peterson as the author of PIDF-LO and Nadine Abbott for her constructive comments in clarifying some aspects of the document.

Thanks to Karen Navas for pointing out some emissions in the examples.

9. References

[TOC](#)

9.1. Normative references

[TOC](#)

[RFC2119]	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT , HTML , XML).
[RFC4119]	Peterson, J., "A Presence-based GEOPRIV Location Object Format," RFC 4119, December 2005 (TXT).
[RFC4479]	Rosenberg, J., "A Data Model for Presence," RFC 4479, July 2006 (TXT).
[GeoShape]	Thomson, M. and C. Reed, "GML 3.1.1 PIDF-LO Shape Application Schema for use by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)," Candidate OpenGIS Implementation Specification 06-142r1, Version: 1.0, April 2007.
[RFC5139]	Thomson, M. and J. Winterbottom, " Revised Civic Location Format for Presence Information Data Format Location Object (PIDF-LO) ," RFC 5139, February 2008 (TXT).
[W3C.REC-xmlschema-2-20041028]	Malhotra, A. and P. Biron, " XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition ," World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlschema-2-20041028, October 2004 (HTML).

9.2. Informative References

[TOC](#)

[RFC4776]	Schulzrinne, H., " Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCPv4 and DHCPv6) Option for Civic Addresses Configuration Information ," RFC 4776, November 2006 (TXT).
[RFC3693]	Cuellar, J., Morris, J., Mulligan, D., Peterson, J., and J. Polk, " Geopriv Requirements ," RFC 3693, February 2004 (TXT).
[3GPP-TS-23_032]	"3GPP TS 23.032 V6.0.0 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Code Network; Universal Geographic Area Description (GAD)."

Authors' Addresses

[TOC](#)

	James Winterbottom
	Andrew Corporation
	Wollongong
	NSW Australia
Email:	james.winterbottom@andrew.com
	Martin Thomson
	Andrew Corporation
	Wollongong
	NSW Australia
Email:	martin.thomson@andrew.com
	Hannes Tschofenig
	Nokia Siemens Networks
	Otto-Hahn-Ring 6
	Munich, Bavaria 81739
	Germany
Email:	Hannes.Tschofenig@nsn.com
URI:	http://www.tschofenig.com

Full Copyright Statement

[TOC](#)

Copyright © The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE

ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.