

HIP Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Experimental
Expires: July 30, 2010

A. Keranen
G. Camarillo
J. Maenpaa
Ericsson
January 26, 2010

**Host Identity Protocol-Based Overlay Networking Environment (HIP BONE)
Instance Specification for REsource LOcation And Discovery (RELOAD)
draft-ietf-hip-reload-instance-00.txt**

Abstract

This document specifies the HIP BONE instance specification for RELOAD. It provides the details needed to build a RELOAD-based overlay that uses HIP.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 30, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Terminology	3
3.	Peer Protocol	3
4.	Peer ID Generation	3
5.	Mapping between Protocol Primitives and HIP Messages	4
5.1.	Forwarding Header	4
5.2.	Security Block	4
5.3.	Replaced RELOAD Messages	5
6.	Securing Communication	5
7.	Routing HIP Messages via the Overlay	6
8.	Enrollment and Bootstrapping	6
9.	NAT Traversal	7
10.	RELOAD Overlay Configuration Document Extension	7
11.	Security Considerations	8
12.	IANA Considerations	8
13.	References	8
13.1.	Normative References	8
13.2.	Informational References	9
	Authors' Addresses	9

1. Introduction

The HIP BONE (Host Identify Protocol-Based Overlay Networking Environment) specification [[I-D.ietf-hip-bone](#)] provides a high-level framework for building HIP-based [[RFC5201](#)] overlays. The HIP BONE framework leaves the specification of the details on how to combine a particular peer protocol with HIP to build an overlay up to documents referred to as HIP BONE instance specifications. As discussed in [[I-D.ietf-hip-bone](#)], a HIP BONE instance specification needs to define, minimally:

- o the peer protocol to be used.
- o what kind of Peer IDs are used and how they are derived.
- o which peer protocol primitives trigger HIP messages.
- o how the overlay identifier is generated.

This document addresses all the previous items and provides additional details needed to build RELOAD-based HIP BONES.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

3. Peer Protocol

The peer protocol to be used is RELOAD, which is specified in [[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base](#)]. When used with RELOAD, HIP replaces the RELOAD's Forwarding and Link Management Layer (described in [Section 5.5](#) of [[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base](#)]).

4. Peer ID Generation

This document specifies two modes for generating Peer IDs. Which mode is used in an actual overlay is defined by the overlay configuration.

RELOAD uses 128-bit peer IDs called Node IDs. Since HIP uses 128-bit ORCHIDs [[RFC4843](#)], a peer's ORCHID can be used as such as a RELOAD Node ID (the "ORCHID" mode). In this mode, also all the RELOAD Resource IDs are prefixed with ORCHID prefix and the lower 100 bits of the IDs, as defined by RELOAD usage documents, are used after the prefix.

In the other Peer ID mode, namely "RELOAD", all 128 bits are generated as defined in [[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base](#)] resulting in a larger usable address space.

5. Mapping between Protocol Primitives and HIP Messages

RELOAD HIP BONE replaces the RELOAD protocol primitives taking care of connection establishment with the HIP base exchange, where as the rest of the RELOAD messages are conveyed within HIP messages.

The standard RELOAD messages consist of three parts: Forwarding Header, Message Contents and the Security Block. When RELOAD messages are sent in a RELOAD HIP BONE overlay, the RELOAD Message Contents are used as such within HIP DATA [[I-D.ietf-hip-hiccups](#)] messages, but the functionality of the Forwarding Header and Security Block are replaced with HIP header, HIP VIA lists [[I-D.ietf-hip-via](#)], and CERT [[I-D.ietf-hip-cert](#)], TRANSACTION_ID, OVERLAY_ID and OVERLAY_TTL [[I-D.ietf-hip-bone](#)] parameters.

5.1. Forwarding Header

The RELOAD Forwarding Header is used for forwarding messages between peers and to their final destination. The Forwarding Header's overlay field's value MUST be used as such in an OVERLAY_ID parameter and the transaction_id field in a TRANSACTION_ID parameter. That is, all RELOAD HIP BONE messages MUST contain these parameters and the length of the OVERLAY_ID parameter's identifier field is 4 and the length of the TRANSACTION_ID's identifier 8 octets. HIP VIA lists are used for the same purpose as the destination_list and via_list in the Forwarding Header, with the exception that all resource IDs MUST be of the same length as node IDs and compressed IDs MUST NOT be used. The TTL value in the OVERLAY_TTL parameter is used like the ttl field in the Forwarding Header.

The functionality of the fragment and length fields are provided by the HIP headers. The relo_token, version, and max_res_len are not needed with HIP and options field, if needed eventually for some extensions, can be replaced with additional HIP parameters.

5.2. Security Block

The RELOAD Security Block contains certificates and digital signatures of the message. All the HIP DATA messages are digitally signed by the originator of the message and contain the HOST_ID parameter with the identifier that can be used for verifying the signature. Certificates are delivered in a HIP CERT parameter as defined in [[I-D.ietf-hip-cert](#)] or stored to the overlay using the

RELOAD Certificate Storage Usage.

5.3. Replaced RELOAD Messages

The Attach procedure in RELOAD establishes a connection between two peers. This procedure is performed using the AttachReq and AttachAns messages. When HIP is used, the Attach procedure is performed by using a HIP base exchange. That is, peers send HIP I1 messages instead of RELOAD AttachReq or AppAttach messages. The RELOAD AttachLite procedure is used for the same purpose as the Attach procedure in scenarios with no NATs. When HIP is used, the AttachLite procedure is also performed by using a HIP base exchange. That is, peers send HIP I1 messages instead of RELOAD AttachLiteReq messages. This behavior replaces the one described in Section 5.5. of [\[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base\]](#).

The AppAttach procedure in RELOAD is used for creating a connection for other applications than RELOAD. Also the AppAttach procedure is replaced with HIP base exchange and after the base exchange peers can exchange any application layer data using the normal transport layer ports over the NAT traversing IPsec connection.

This specification does not support flooding of configuration files, so Config_Update requests and responses (Section 5.5.6. of [\[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base\]](#)) MUST NOT be sent in the overlay. RELOAD Ping messages (Section 5.5.5 of [\[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base\]](#)) MAY be used.

For all other RELOAD messages the Message Contents are used as such within DATA messages.

6. Securing Communication

RELOAD uses TLS [\[RFC5246\]](#) connections for securing the hop-by-hop messaging and certificates and signing for providing integrity protection for the overlay messages and for the data stored in the overlay.

With a RELOAD HIP BONE, instead of using TLS connections as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base\]](#), all HIP overlay messages SHOULD be either sent using encrypted connections (such as IPsec ESP tunnel between two peers) or the contents of the messages SHOULD be in an ENCRYPTED parameter (see [Section 5.2.15 of \[RFC5201\]](#)). Use of encrypted connections is RECOMMENDED since that provides confidentiality also for the HIP headers.

The data objects stored in the RELOAD HIP BONE overlay are signed and the signatures are stored as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base\]](#) with

the exception that `SignerIdentity` is carried in the HIP DATA message's `HOST_ID` parameter instead of using the RELOAD SecurityBlock. If certificates are needed, they are sent using the `CERT` parameter.

7. Routing HIP Messages via the Overlay

If a host has no valid locator for the receiver of a new HIP packet, and the receiver is part of a RELOAD HIP BONE overlay the host is participating in, the host can send the HIP packet to the receiver using the overlay routing.

When sending a HIP packet via the overlay, the host MUST add an empty `ROUTE_VIA` parameter [[I-D.ietf-hip-via](#)] to the packet with the `SYMMETRIC` flag set and an `OVERLAY_ID` parameter containing the identifier of the right overlay network. The host consults the RELOAD Topology Plugin for the next hop and sends the HIP packet to that host.

An intermediate host receiving a HIP packet with the `OVERLAY_ID` parameter checks if it is participating in that overlay, and SHOULD drop packets sent to unknown overlays. If the host is not the final destination of the packet (i.e., the HIP header's receiver's HIT does not match to any of its HITs), it checks if the packet contains a `ROUTE_DST` parameter. Such packets are forwarded to the next hop as specified in [[I-D.ietf-hip-via](#)]. Otherwise, the host finds the next hop from the RELOAD Topology Plugin and forwards the packet there. As specified in [[I-D.ietf-hip-via](#)], the host adds the HIT it uses on the HIP association with the next hop host to the end of the `ROUTE_VIA` parameter, if present.

When the final destination host receives the HIP packet, the host processes it as specified in [[RFC5201](#)]. If the HIP packet generates a response, the response is routed back on the same path using the `ROUTE_DST` parameter as specified in [[I-D.ietf-hip-via](#)].

8. Enrollment and Bootstrapping

The RELOAD HIP BONE instance uses the enrollment and bootstrap procedure defined by RELOAD [[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base](#)] with the exceptions listed below.

- o In RELOAD, a node wishing to enroll in an overlay starts with a discovery process to find an enrollment server as explained in [[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base](#)]. The URL of the enrollment server may be provided by an out-of-band mechanism or alternatively, the node

can do a DNS SRV query to find an enrollment server. In the RELOAD HIP BONE instance, instead of doing a DNS SRV query using a service name of "p2psip_enroll" to find an enrollment server, the service name "hipbreload_enr" is used. The URL of the enrollment server is formed by appending a path of "hipbone-reload/enroll" to the overlay name. After this, the enrollment and bootstrap procedure continues as defined in RELOAD base [[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base](#)], that is, the overlay configuration document is fetched from the enrollment server.

- o The X.509 certificates used by the RELOAD HIP BONE instance are similar to those of RELOAD except that they contain HITs instead of RELOAD URIs. The HITs are included in the SubjectAltName field of the certificate as described in [[I-D.ietf-hip-cert](#)].

The RELOAD HIP BONE instance extends the RELOAD overlay configuration document by adding new elements inside each "configuration" element of the document. These new elements are listed in [Section 10](#).

[9](#). NAT Traversal

RELOAD relies on the Forwarding and Link Management Layer providing NAT traversal capabilities. Thus, the RELOAD HIP BONE instance implementations MUST implement some reliable NAT traversal mechanism. To maximize interoperability, all implementations SHOULD implement at least [[I-D.ietf-hip-nat-traversal](#)].

HIP relay servers are not necessarily needed with this HIP BONE instance since the overlay network can be used for relaying the Base Exchange and further HIP signaling can be done directly between the peers. However, if it is possible that a bootstrap peer is behind a NAT, it MUST register with a HIP relay so that there is a reliable way to connect to it.

[10](#). RELOAD Overlay Configuration Document Extension

This document modifies the bootstrap-node element of the RELOAD overlay configuration document. The modified bootstrap-node element contains the following elements:

address: The locator of the bootstrap node.
port: The port of the bootstrap node.
hit: The HIT of the bootstrap node.

If the bootstrap-node element does not contain a HIT, opportunistic mode SHOULD be used for contacting the bootstrap node.

This document also adds a new element inside the configuration element that defines which mode (see [Section 4](#)) is used for generating the Node and Resource IDs. The name of the element is "hipbone-id-mode" and the content is the identifier of the mode: "ORCHID" for the ORCHID prefixed IDs and "RELOAD" for the IDs that use the whole 128 bits as defined by the RELOAD specification.

11. Security Considerations

The option to send overlay messages unencrypted makes it possible for hosts that are not part of the overlay to inspect the contents of the messages and thus should be avoided when possible. If the ENCRYPTED parameter is used instead of encrypted connections, the HIP header remains visible but the contents are protected.

Limiting the peer ID and resource ID space into 128 bits (or 100 bits with ORCHID prefixes) results in a higher probability for ID collisions, both unintentional and intentional, than using larger address spaces.

12. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

13. References

13.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4843] Nikander, P., Laganier, J., and F. Dupont, "An IPv6 Prefix for Overlay Routable Cryptographic Hash Identifiers (ORCHID)", [RFC 4843](#), April 2007.
- [RFC5201] Moskowitz, R., Nikander, P., Jokela, P., and T. Henderson, "Host Identity Protocol", [RFC 5201](#), April 2008.
- [I-D.ietf-hip-bone] Camarillo, G., Nikander, P., Hautakorpi, J., Keranen, A., and A. Johnston, "HIP BONE: Host Identity Protocol (HIP) Based Overlay Networking Environment", [draft-ietf-hip-bone-04](#) (work in progress), January 2010.

[I-D.ietf-p2psip-base]

Jennings, C., Lowekamp, B., Rescorla, E., Baset, S., and H. Schulzrinne, "REsource LOcation And Discovery (RELOAD) Base Protocol", [draft-ietf-p2psip-base-06](#) (work in progress), November 2009.

[I-D.ietf-hip-nat-traversal]

Komu, M., Henderson, T., Tschofenig, H., Melen, J., and A. Keranen, "Basic HIP Extensions for Traversal of Network Address Translators", [draft-ietf-hip-nat-traversal-09](#) (work in progress), October 2009.

[I-D.ietf-hip-via]

Camarillo, G. and A. Keranen, "Host Identity Protocol (HIP) Multi-hop Routing Extension", [draft-ietf-hip-via-00](#) (work in progress), October 2009.

[I-D.ietf-hip-hiccups]

Nikander, P., Camarillo, G., and J. Melen, "HIP (Host Identity Protocol) Immediate Carriage and Conveyance of Upper-layer Protocol Signaling (HICCUPS)", [draft-ietf-hip-hiccups-01](#) (work in progress), January 2009.

[I-D.ietf-hip-cert]

Heer, T. and S. Varjonen, "HIP Certificates", [draft-ietf-hip-cert-02](#) (work in progress), October 2009.

[13.2.](#) Informational References

[RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), August 2008.

Authors' Addresses

Ari Keranen
Ericsson
Hirsalantie 11
02420 Jorvas
Finland

Email: Ari.Keranen@ericsson.com

Gonzalo Camarillo
Ericsson
Hirsalantie 11
Jorvas 02420
Finland

Email: Gonzalo.Camarillo@ericsson.com

Jouni Maenpaa
Ericsson
Hirsalantie 11
Jorvas 02420
Finland

Email: Jouni.Maenpaa@ericsson.com

