

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [RFC7788](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: September 14, 2017

P. Pfister  
Cisco Systems  
T. Lemon  
Nominum, Inc.  
March 13, 2017

**Special Use Top Level Domain '.homenet'**  
**draft-ietf-homenet-dot-03**

Abstract

This document specifies the behavior that is expected from the Domain Name System with regard to DNS queries for names ending with '.homenet.', and designates this top-level domain as a special-use domain name. The '.homenet' top-level domain replaces '.home' as the default domain used by the Home Networking Control Protocol (HNCP).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 14, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	General Guidance . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Domain Name Reservation Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Updates to Home Networking Control Protocol . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Acknowledgments . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

Users and devices within a home network require devices and services to be identified by names that are unique within the boundaries of the home network [[RFC7368](#)]. The naming mechanism needs to function without configuration from the user. While it may be possible for a name to be delegated by an ISP, home networks must also function in the absence of such a delegation. A default name with a scope limited to each individual home network needs to be used.

The '.homenet' top-level domain replaces '.home' which was specified in [[RFC7788](#)] as the default domain-name for home networks. '.home' had been selected as the most user-friendly option. However, there are existing uses of '.home' that may be in conflict with this use: evidence indicates that '.home' queries frequently leak out and reach the root name servers [[ICANN1](#)] [[ICANN2](#)]. Also, ICANN has about a dozen applicants for the '.home' top-level domain name, which creates a significant risk of litigation if it were claimed by the IETF outside of that process. As a result, the use of '.home' has been deprecated; this document updates [[RFC7788](#)] to replace '.home' with '.homenet', while another document, [[I-D.ietf-homenet-redact](#)] deprecates the use of the '.home' TLD.

This document registers the top-level domain '.homenet.' as a special-use domain name [[RFC6761](#)] and specifies the behavior that is expected from the Domain Name System with regard to DNS queries for names whose rightmost non-terminal label is 'homenet'. Queries for names ending with '.homenet.' are of local significance within the scope of a home network, meaning that identical queries will result in different results from one home network to another. In other words, a name ending in '.homenet' is not globally unique.



## **2. General Guidance**

The top-level domain name `'.homenet.'` is to be used for naming within a home network. Names ending with `'.homenet.'` reference a locally-served zone, the contents of which are unique only to a particular home network, and are not globally unique. Such names refer to nodes and/or services that are located within a home network (e.g., a printer, or a toaster).

DNS queries for names ending with `'.homenet.'` are resolved using local resolvers on the homenet. Such queries **MUST NOT** be recursively forwarded to servers outside the logical boundaries of the home network.

Some service discovery user interfaces that are expected to be used on homenets conceal information such as domain names from end users. However, it is still expected that in some cases, users will need to see, remember, and even type, names ending with `'.homenet'`. It is therefore desirable that users identify the top-level domain and understand that using it expresses the intention to connect to a service that is specific to the home network to which they are connected. Enforcing the fulfillment of this intention is out of scope for this document.

## **3. Domain Name Reservation Considerations**

This section defines the behavior of systems involved in domain name resolution when serving queries for names ending with `'.homenet.'` (as per [RFC6761](#)).

1. Users can use names ending with `'.homenet.'` just as they would use any other domain name. The `'.homenet'` name is chosen to be readily recognized by users as signifying that the name is addressing a service on the homenet to which the user's device is connected.
2. Applications **SHOULD** treat domain names ending with `'.homenet.'` just like any other FQDN, and **MUST NOT** make any assumption on the level of additional security implied by its presence.
3. Name resolution APIs and libraries **MUST NOT** recognize names that end in `'.homenet.'` as special and **MUST NOT** treat them differently. Name resolution APIs **MUST** send queries for such names to a recursive DNS server that is configured to be authoritative for the `.homenet` zone appropriate to the home network. One or more IP addresses for recursive DNS servers will usually be supplied to the client through router advertisements or DHCP. If a host is configured to use a resolver other than



one that is authoritative for the appropriate .homenet zone, the client may be unable to resolve, or may receive incorrect results for, names in sub domains of ".homenet".

4. Unless configured otherwise, recursive resolvers and DNS proxies MUST behave as described in Locally Served Zones ([\[RFC6303\]](#) [Section 3](#)). Recursive resolvers that are part of a home network MAY be configured manually or automatically (e.g., for auto-configuration purposes) to act differently, e.g., by querying another name server configured as authoritative for part or all of the '.homenet' domain, or proxying the request through a different mechanism.
5. Only a DNS server that is authoritative for the root('.') or is configured to be authoritative for '.homenet' or a subdomain of '.homenet' will ever answer a query about '.homenet.' In both of these cases, the server should simply answer as configured: no special handling is required.
6. DNS servers outside a home network should not be configured to be authoritative for .homenet.
7. DNS Registries/Registrars MUST NOT grant requests to register '.homenet' in the normal way to any person or entity. '.homenet' MUST BE registered in perpetuity to IANA, and IANA MUST maintain nameservers for the zone.

#### **4. Updates to Home Networking Control Protocol**

The final paragraph of Homenet Considerations Protocol [\[RFC7788\]](#), [section 8](#), is updated as follows:

OLD:

Names and unqualified zones are used in an HNCP network to provide naming and service discovery with local significance. A network-wide zone is appended to all single labels or unqualified zones in order to qualify them. ".home" is the default; however, an administrator MAY configure the announcement of a Domain-Name TLV ([Section 10.6](#)) for the network to use a different one. In case multiple are announced, the domain of the node with the greatest node identifier takes precedence.

NEW:

Names and unqualified zones are used in an HNCP network to provide naming and service discovery with local significance. A network-wide zone is appended to all single labels or unqualified zones in



order to qualify them. ".homenet" is the default; however, an administrator MAY configure the announcement of a Domain-Name TLV ([Section 10.6](#)) for the network to use a different one. In case multiple are announced, the domain of the node with the greatest node identifier takes precedence.

The '.homenet' special-use name does not require a special resolution protocol. Names for which the rightmost non-terminal label is 'homenet' are resolved using the DNS protocol [[RFC1035](#)].

## 5. Security Considerations

Although a DNS record returned as a response to a query ending with '.homenet.' is expected to have local significance and be returned by a server involved in name resolution for the home network the device is connected in, such response MUST NOT be considered more trustworthy than would be a similar response for any other DNS query.

Because '.homenet' is not globally scoped and cannot be secured using DNSSEC based on the root domain's trust anchor, there is no way to tell, using a standard DNS query, in which home network scope an answer belongs. Consequently, users may experience surprising results with such names when roaming to different home networks. To prevent this from happening, it may be useful for the resolver to identify different home networks on which it has resolved names, but this is out of scope for this document.

In order to enable DNSSEC validation of a particular '.homenet', it might make sense to configure a trust anchor for that homenet. How this might be done is out of scope for this document.

## 6. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to record the top-level domain ".homenet" in the Special-Use Domain Names registry [[SUDN](#)].

IANA is requested to arrange for an insecure delegation for '.homenet' in the root zone. This delegation MUST NOT be signed, and MUST point to some IANA-operated black hole servers, for example BLACKHOLE-1.IANA.ORG and BLACKHOLE-2.IANA.ORG. Not signing the delegation breaks the DNSSEC chain of trust, which prevents a validating stub resolver from rejecting names on a local homenet.

This request is being made under the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding [[RFC2860](#)] between IETF and ICANN; the IETF considers the use of '.homenet' to be a "technical use" under the terms of the MoU. The working group understands that there is no precedent for





such a request and that some process may have to be developed for addressing it.

## 7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Stuart Cheshire for his prior work on '.home', as well as the homenet chairs: Mark Townsley and Ray Bellis.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2860] Carpenter, B., Baker, F., and M. Roberts, "Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Technical Work of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority", [RFC 2860](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2860, June 2000, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2860>>.
- [RFC6303] Andrews, M., "Locally Served DNS Zones", [BCP 163](#), [RFC 6303](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6303, July 2011, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6303>>.
- [RFC6761] Cheshire, S. and M. Krochmal, "Special-Use Domain Names", [RFC 6761](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6761, February 2013, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6761>>.
- [I-D.ietf-homenet-redact] Lemon, T., "Redacting .home from HNCP", [draft-ietf-homenet-redact-02](#) (work in progress), January 2017.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC1035, November 1987, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1035>>.
- [RFC7368] Chown, T., Ed., Arkko, J., Brandt, A., Troan, O., and J. Weil, "IPv6 Home Networking Architecture Principles", [RFC 7368](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7368, October 2014, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7368>>.
- [RFC7788] Stenberg, M., Barth, S., and P. Pfister, "Home Networking Control Protocol", [RFC 7788](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7788, April 2016, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7788>>.
- [ICANN1] "New gTLD Collision Risk Mitigation", October 2013, <<https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-new-gtld-annex-1-07oct13-en.pdf>>.



- [ICANN2] "New gTLD Collision Occurrence Management", October 2013,  
<<https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-new-gtld-annex-1-07oct13-en.pdf>>.
- [SUDN] "Special-Use Domain Names Registry", July 2012,  
<<http://www.iana.org/assignments/special-use-domain-names/special-use-domain-names.xhtml>>.

#### Authors' Addresses

Pierre Pfister  
Cisco Systems  
Paris  
France

Email: pierre.pfister@darou.fr

Ted Lemon  
Nominum, Inc.  
800 Bridge Parkway  
Redwood City, California 94065  
United States of America

Phone: +1 650 381 6000  
Email: ted.lemon@nominum.com

