

HTTP
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 7 August 2022

M. Thomson
Mozilla
C.A. Wood
Cloudflare
3 February 2022

Binary Representation of HTTP Messages
draft-ietf-httpbis-binary-message-01

Abstract

This document defines a binary format for representing HTTP messages.

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Status information for this document may be found at
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-httpbis-binary-message/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the HTTP Working Group mailing list (<mailto:ietf-http-wg@w3.org>), which is archived at <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/ietf-http-wg/>. Working Group information can be found at <https://httpwg.org/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at
<https://github.com/httpwg/http-extensions/labels/binary-messages>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 7 August 2022.

Internet-Draft

Binary HTTP Messages

February 2022

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Conventions and Definitions	3
3.	Format	3
3.1.	Known Length Messages	4
3.2.	Indeterminate Length Messages	5
3.3.	Framing Indicator	6
3.4.	Request Control Data	6
3.5.	Response Control Data	7
3.5.1.	Informational Status Codes	7
3.6.	Header and Trailer Field Lines	8
3.7.	Content	9
3.8.	Padding	9
4.	Invalid Messages	9
5.	Examples	9
5.1.	Request Example	9
5.2.	Response Example	11
6.	Notable Differences with HTTP Protocol Messages	13
7.	"message/bhttp" Media Type	13
8.	Security Considerations	14
9.	IANA Considerations	15
10.	References	15
10.1.	Normative References	15
10.2.	Informative References	16
	Authors' Addresses	16

[1.](#) Introduction

This document defines a simple format for representing an HTTP message ([\[HTTP\]](#)), either request or response. This allows for the encoding of HTTP messages that can be conveyed outside of an HTTP protocol. This enables the transformation of entire messages, including the application of authenticated encryption.

This format is informed by the framing structure of HTTP/2 ([\[H2\]](#)) and HTTP/3 ([\[H3\]](#)). In comparison, this format is simpler by virtue of not including either header compression ([\[HPACK\]](#), [\[QPACK\]](#)) or a generic framing layer.

This format provides an alternative to the message/http content type defined in [\[MESSAGING\]](#). A binary format permits more efficient encoding and processing of messages. A binary format also reduces exposure to security problems related to processing of HTTP messages.

Two modes for encoding are described:

- * a known-length encoding includes length prefixes for all major message components; and
- * an indefinite-length encoding enables efficient generation of messages where lengths are not known when encoding starts.

[2.](#) Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [\[RFC2119\]](#) [\[RFC8174\]](#) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

This document uses terminology from HTTP ([\[HTTP\]](#)) and notation from QUIC (Section 1.3 of [\[QUIC\]](#)).

[3.](#) Format

Section 6 of [\[HTTP\]](#) defines five distinct parts to HTTP messages. A framing indicator is added to signal how these parts are composed:

1. Framing indicator. This format uses a single integer to describe framing, which describes whether the message is a request or

response and how subsequent sections are formatted; see [Section 3.3](#).

2. For a response, any number of interim responses, each consisting of an informational status code and header section.
3. Control data. For a request, this contains the request method and target. For a response, this contains the status code.
4. Header section. This contains zero or more header fields.
5. Content. This is a sequence of zero or more bytes.

6. Trailer section. This contains zero or more trailer fields.
7. Optional padding. Any amount of zero-valued bytes.

All lengths and numeric values are encoded using the variable-length integer encoding from Section 16 of [\[QUIC\]](#).

[3.1](#). Known Length Messages

A message that has a known length at the time of construction uses the format shown in Figure 1.

```
Message with Known-Length {
  Framing Indicator (i) = 0..1,
  Known-Length Informational Response (..) ...,
  Control Data (..),
  Known-Length Field Section (..),
  Known-Length Content (..),
  Known-Length Field Section (..),
  Padding (..),
}
```

```
Known-Length Field Section {
  Length (i) = 2..,
  Field Line (..) ...,
}
```

```
Known-Length Content {
  Content Length (i),
```

```

    Content (..)
}

Known-Length Informational Response {
    Informational Response Control Data (..),
    Known-Length Field Section (..),
}

```

Figure 1: Known-Length Message

That is, a known-length message consists of a framing indicator, a block of control data that is formatted according to the value of the framing indicator, a header section with a length prefix, binary content with a length prefix, and a trailer section with a length prefix.

Response messages that contain informational status codes result in a different structure; see [Section 3.5.1](#).

Fields in the header and trailer sections consist of a length-prefixed name and length-prefixed value. Both name and value are sequences of bytes that cannot be zero length.

The format allows for the message to be truncated before any of the length prefixes that precede the field sections or content. This reduces the overall message size. A message that is truncated at any other point is invalid; see [Section 4](#).

The variable-length integer encoding means that there is a limit of $2^{62}-1$ bytes for each field section and the message content.

[3.2](#). Indeterminate Length Messages

A message that is constructed without encoding a known length for each section uses the format shown in Figure 2:

```

Indeterminate-Length Message {
    Framing Indicator (i) = 2..3,
    Indeterminate-Length Informational Response (..) ...,
    Control Data (..),
    Indeterminate-Length Field Section (..),
}

```

```

    Indeterminate-Length Content (..) ...,
    Indeterminate-Length Field Section (..),
    Padding (..),
}

Indeterminate-Length Content {
    Indeterminate-Length Content Chunk (..) ...,
    Content Terminator (i) = 0,
}

Indeterminate-Length Content Chunk {
    Chunk Length (i) = 1..,
    Chunk (..)
}

Indeterminate-Length Field Section {
    Field Line (..) ...,
    Content Terminator (i) = 0,
}

Indeterminate-Length Informational Response {
    Informational Response Control Data (..),
    Indeterminate-Length Field Section (..),
}

```

Figure 2: Indeterminate-Length Message

That is, an indeterminate length consists of a framing indicator, a block of control data that is formatted according to the value of the framing indicator, a header section that is terminated by a zero value, any number of non-zero-length chunks of binary content, a zero value, and a trailer section that is terminated by a zero value.

Response messages that contain informational status codes result in a different structure; see [Section 3.5.1](#).

Indeterminate-length messages can be truncated in a similar way as known-length messages. Truncation occurs after the control data, or after the Content Terminator field that ends a field section or sequence of content chunks. A message that is truncated at any other point is invalid; see [Section 4](#).

Indeterminate-length messages use the same encoding for field lines as known-length messages; see [Section 3.6](#).

[3.3](#). Framing Indicator

The start of each is a framing indicator that is a single integer that describes the structure of the subsequent sections. The framing indicator can take just four values:

- * A value of 0 describes a request of known length.
- * A value of 1 describes a response of known length.
- * A value of 2 describes a request of indeterminate length.
- * A value of 3 describes a response of indeterminate length.

Other values cause the message to be invalid; see [Section 4](#).

[3.4](#). Request Control Data

The control data for a request message includes four values that correspond to the values of the `:method`, `:scheme`, `:authority`, and `:path` pseudo-header fields described in HTTP/2 (Section 8.3.1 of [\[H2\]](#)). These fields are encoded, each with a length prefix, in the order listed.

The rules in Section 8.3 of [\[H2\]](#) for constructing pseudo-header fields apply to the construction of these values. However, where the `:authority` pseudo-header field might be omitted in HTTP/2, a zero-length value is encoded instead.

The format of request control data is shown in Figure 3.

```
Request Control Data {
  Method Length (i),
  Method (..),
  Scheme Length (i),
  Scheme (..),
  Authority Length (i),
  Authority (..),
  Path Length (i),
```

```
    Path (..),  
}
```

Figure 3: Format of Request Control Data

[3.5.](#) Response Control Data

The control data for a request message includes a single field that corresponds to the `:status` pseudo-header field in HTTP/2; see Section 8.3.2 of [H2]. This field is encoded as a single variable length integer, not a decimal string.

The format of final response control data is shown in Figure 4.

```
Final Response Control Data {  
    Status Code (i) = 200..599,  
}
```

Figure 4: Format of Final Response Control Data

[3.5.1.](#) Informational Status Codes

Responses that include information status codes (see Section 15.2 of [HTTP]) are encoded by repeating the response control data and associated header section until the final status code is encoded.

The format of the informational response control data is shown in Figure 5.

```
Informational Response Control Data {  
    Status Code (i) = 100..199,  
}
```

Figure 5: Format of Informational Response Control Data

A response message can include any number of informational responses that precede a final status code. These convey an information status code and a header block.

(that is, a value between 100 and 199 inclusive), the control data is followed by a header section (encoded with known- or indeterminate-length according to the framing indicator) and another block of control data. This pattern repeats until the control data contains a final status code (200 to 599 inclusive).

3.6. Header and Trailer Field Lines

Header and trailer sections consist of zero or more field lines; see Section 5 of [\[HTTP\]](#). The format of a field section depends on whether the message is known- or intermediate-length.

Each field line includes a name and a value. Both the name and value are length-prefixed sequences of bytes. The field name length is at least one byte. The format of a field line is shown in Figure 6.

```
Field Line {
  Name Length (i) = 1..,
  Name (..),
  Value Length (i),
  Value (..),
}
```

Figure 6: Format of a Field Line

For field names, byte values that are not permitted in an HTTP field name cause the message to be invalid; see Section 5.1 of [\[HTTP\]](#) for a definition of what is valid and [Section 4](#) for handling of invalid messages.

Field names and values MUST be constructed and validated according to the rules of Section 8.2.1 of [\[H2\]](#). A recipient MUST treat a message that contains field values that would cause an HTTP/2 message to be malformed (Section 8.1.1 of [\[H2\]](#)) as invalid; see [Section 4](#).

The same field name can be repeated in multiple field lines; see Section 5.2 of [\[HTTP\]](#) for the semantics of repeated field names and rules for combining values.

Like HTTP/2, this format has an exception for the combination of multiple instances of the Cookie field. Instances of fields with the ASCII-encoded value of cookie are combined using a semicolon octet (0x3b) rather than a comma; see Section 8.2.3 of [\[H2\]](#).

This format provides fixed locations for content that would be carried in HTTP/2 pseudo-fields. Therefore, there is no need to include field lines containing a name of :method, :scheme,

:authority, :path, or :status. Fields that contain one of these names cause the message to be invalid; see [Section 4](#). Pseudo-fields that are defined by protocol extensions MAY be included. Field lines containing pseudo-fields MUST precede other field lines; a message that contains a pseudo-field after any other field is invalid; see [Section 4](#).

[3.7](#). Content

The content of messages is a sequence of bytes of any length. Though a known-length message has a limit, this limit is large enough that it is unlikely to be a practical limitation. There is no limit to the size of content in an indeterminate length message.

Omitting content by truncating a message is only possible if the content is zero-length.

[3.8](#). Padding

Messages can be padded with any number of zero-valued bytes. Non-zero padding bytes cause a message to be invalid (see [Section 4](#)). Unlike other parts of a message, a processor MAY decide not to validate the value of padding bytes.

Padding is compatible with truncation of empty parts of the messages. Zero-valued bytes will be interpreted as zero-length part, which is semantically equivalent to the part being absent.

[4](#). Invalid Messages

This document describes a number of ways that a message can be invalid. Invalid messages MUST NOT be processed except to log an error and produce an error response.

The format is designed to allow incremental processing. Implementations need to be aware of the possibility that an error might be detected after performing incremental processing.

[5](#). Examples

This section includes example requests and responses encoded in both known-length and indefinite-length forms.

[5.1](#). Request Example

The example HTTP/1.1 message in Figure 7 shows the content of a

message/http.

Valid HTTP/1.1 messages require lines terminated with CRLF (the two bytes 0x0a and 0x0d). For simplicity and consistency, the content of these examples is limited to text, which also uses CRLF for line endings.

```
GET /hello.txt HTTP/1.1
User-Agent: curl/7.16.3 libcurl/7.16.3 OpenSSL/0.9.7l zlib/1.2.3
Host: www.example.com
Accept-Language: en, mi
```

Figure 7: Sample HTTP Request

This can be expressed as a binary message (type message/bhttp) using a known-length encoding as shown in hexadecimal in Figure 8. Figure 8 view includes some of the text alongside to show that most of the content is not modified.

```
00034745 54056874 74707300 0a2f6865 ..GET.https../he
6c6c6f2e 74787440 6c0a7573 65722d61 llo.txt@l.user-a
67656e74 34637572 6c2f372e 31362e33 gent4curl/7.16.3
206c6962 6375726c 2f372e31 362e3320 libcurl/7.16.3
4f70656e 53534c2f 302e392e 376c207a OpenSSL/0.9.7l z
6c69622f 312e322e 3304686f 73740f77 lib/1.2.3.host.w
77772e65 78616d70 6c652e63 6f6d0f61 ww.example.com.a
63636570 742d6c61 6e677561 67650665 ccept-language.e
6e2c206d 690000 n, mi..
```

Figure 8: Known-Length Binary Encoding of Request

This example shows that the Host header field is not replicated in the :authority field, as is required for ensuring that the request is reproduced accurately; see Section 8.3.1 of [H2].

The same message can be truncated with no effect on interpretation. In this case, the last two bytes - corresponding to content and a trailer section - can each be removed without altering the semantics of the message.

The same message, encoded using an indefinite-length encoding is

shown in Figure 9. As the content of this message is empty, the difference in formats is negligible.

```
02034745 54056874 74707300 0a2f6865 ..GET.https../he
6c6c6f2e 7478740a 75736572 2d616765 llo.txt.user-age
6e743463 75726c2f 372e3136 2e33206c nt4curl/7.16.3 l
69626375 726c2f37 2e31362e 33204f70 ibcurl/7.16.3 Op
656e5353 4c2f302e 392e376c 207a6c69 enSSL/0.9.7l zli
622f312e 322e3304 686f7374 0f777777 b/1.2.3.host.www
2e657861 6d706c65 2e636f6d 0f616363 .example.com.acc
6570742d 6c616e67 75616765 06656e2c ept-language.en,
206d6900 00000000 00000000 00000000 mi.....
```

Figure 9: Indefinite-Length Binary Encoding of Request

This indefinite-length encoding contains 10 bytes of padding. As two additional bytes can be truncated in the same way as the known-length example, anything up to 12 bytes can be removed from this message without affecting its meaning.

5.2. Response Example

Response messages can contain interim (1xx) status codes as the message in Figure 10 shows. Figure 10 includes examples of informational status codes defined in [[RFC2518](#)] and [[RFC8297](#)].

```
HTTP/1.1 102 Processing
Running: "sleep 15"
```

```
HTTP/1.1 103 Early Hints
Link: </style.css>; rel=preload; as=style
Link: </script.js>; rel=preload; as=script
```

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 27 Jul 2009 12:28:53 GMT
```

Server: Apache
Last-Modified: Wed, 22 Jul 2009 19:15:56 GMT
ETag: "34aa387-d-1568eb00"
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 51
Vary: Accept-Encoding
Content-Type: text/plain

Hello World! My content includes a trailing CRLF.

Figure 10: Sample HTTP Response

As this is a longer example, only the indefinite-length encoding is shown in Figure 11. Note here that the specific text used in the reason phrase is not retained by this encoding.

```
03406607 72756e6e 696e670a 22736c65 .@f.running."sle
65702031 35220040 67046c69 6e6b233c ep 15".@g.link#<
2f737479 6c652e63 73733e3b 2072656c /style.css>; rel
3d707265 6c6f6164 3b206173 3d737479 =preload; as=sty
6c65046c 696e6b24 3c2f7363 72697074 le.link$</script
2e6a733e 3b207265 6c3d7072 656c6f61 .js>; rel=preloa
643b2061 733d7363 72697074 0040c804 d; as=script.@..
64617465 1d4d6f6e 2c203237 204a756c date.Mon, 27 Jul
20323030 39203132 3a32383a 35332047 2009 12:28:53 G
4d540673 65727665 72064170 61636865 MT.server.Apache
0d6c6173 742d6d6f 64696669 65641d57 .last-modified.W
65642c20 3232204a 756c2032 30303920 ed, 22 Jul 2009
31393a31 353a3536 20474d54 04657461 19:15:56 GMT.eta
67142233 34616133 38372d64 2d313536 g."34aa387-d-156
38656230 30220d61 63636570 742d7261 8eb00".accept-ra
6e676573 05627974 65730e63 6f6e7465 nges.bytes.conte
6e742d6c 656e6774 68023531 04766172 nt-length.51.var
790f4163 63657074 2d456e63 6f64696e y.Accept-Encodin
670c636f 6e74656e 742d7479 70650a74 g.content-type.t
6578742f 706c6169 6e003348 656c6c6f ext/plain.3Hello
20576f72 6c642120 4d792063 6f6e7465 World! My conte
6e742069 6e636c75 64657320 61207472 nt includes a tr
61696c69 6e672043 524c462e 0d0a0000 ailing CRLF.....
```

Figure 11: Binary Response including Interim Responses

A response that uses the chunked encoding (see Section 7.1 of [\[MESSAGING\]](#)) as shown for Figure 12 can be encoded using indefinite-length encoding, which minimizes buffering needed to translate into the binary format. However, chunk boundaries do not need to be retained and any chunk extensions cannot be conveyed using the binary format; see [Section 6](#).

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Transfer-Encoding: chunked

4
This
6
  conte
13;chunk-extension=foo
nt contains CRLF.

0
Trailer: text
```

Figure 12: Chunked Encoding Example

Figure 13 shows this message using the known-length coding. Note that the transfer-encoding header field is removed.

```
0140c800 1d546869 7320636f 6e74656e  .@...This conten
7420636f 6e746169 6e732043 524c462e  t contains CRLF.
0d0a0d07 74726169 6c657204 74657874  ....trailer.text
```

Figure 13: Known-Length Encoding of Response

[6](#). Notable Differences with HTTP Protocol Messages

This format is designed to carry most HTTP messages. However, there are some notable differences between this format and the format used in some HTTP versions. In particular, this format does not allow for:

- * chunk extensions (Section 7.1.1 of [\[MESSAGING\]](#)) and transfer encoding (Section 6.1 of [\[MESSAGING\]](#)) from HTTP/1.1

- * field blocks other than a single header and trailer field block
- * carrying reason phrases in responses (Section 4 of [[MESSAGING](#)])
- * header compression ([[HPACK](#)], [[QPACK](#)])
- * framing of responses that depends on the corresponding request (such as HEAD) or the value of the status code (such as 204 or 304)

Many of these same restrictions are shared by HTTP/2 [[H2](#)] and HTTP/3 [[H3](#)].

7. "message/bhttp" Media Type

The message/http media type can be used to enclose a single HTTP request or response message, provided that it obeys the MIME restrictions for all "message" types regarding line length and encodings.

Type name: message

Subtype name: bhttp

Required parameters: N/A

Optional parameters: None

Encoding considerations: only "8bit" or "binary" is permitted

Security considerations: see [Section 8](#)

Interoperability considerations: N/A

Published specification: this specification

Applications that use this media type: N/A

Fragment identifier considerations: N/A

Additional information: Magic number(s): N/A

Deprecated alias names for this type: N/A

File extension(s): N/A

Macintosh file type code(s): N/A

Person and email address to contact for further information: see Authors' Addresses section

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: N/A

Author: see Authors' Addresses section

Change controller: IESG

8. Security Considerations

Many of the considerations that apply to HTTP message handling apply to this format; see Section 17 of [[HTTP](#)] and [Section 11](#) of [[MESSAGING](#)] for common issues in handling HTTP messages.

Strict parsing of the format with no tolerance for errors can help avoid a number of attacks. However, implementations still need to be aware of the possibility of resource exhaustion attacks that might arise from receiving large messages, particularly those with large numbers of fields.

The format is designed to allow for minimal state when translating for use with HTTP proper. However, producing a combined value for fields, which might be necessary for the Cookie field when translating this format (like HTTP/1.1 [[MESSAGING](#)]), can require the commitment of resources. Implementations need to ensure that they aren't subject to resource exhaustion attack from a maliciously crafted message.

9. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to add the "Media Types" registry at <https://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types> with the registration

information in [Section 7](#) for the media type "message/bhttp".

[10.](#) References

[10.1.](#) Normative References

- [H2] Thomson, M. and C. Benfield, "HTTP/2", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-httpbis-http2bis-07](#), 24 January 2022, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-http2bis-07>>.
- [H3] Bishop, M., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 3 (HTTP/3)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-quic-http-34](#), 2 February 2021, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-quic-http-34>>.
- [HTTP] Fielding, R. T., Nottingham, M., and J. Reschke, "HTTP Semantics", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-httpbis-semantics-19](#), 12 September 2021, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-semantics-19>>.
- [MESSAGING] Fielding, R. T., Nottingham, M., and J. Reschke, "HTTP/1.1", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-httpbis-messaging-19](#), 12 September 2021, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-messaging-19>>.
- [QUIC] Iyengar, J., Ed. and M. Thomson, Ed., "QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secure Transport", [RFC 9000](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC9000, May 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9000>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

10.2. Informative References

- [HPACK] Peon, R. and H. Ruellan, "HPACK: Header Compression for HTTP/2", [RFC 7541](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7541, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7541>>.
- [QPACK] Krasic, C. '., Bishop, M., and A. Frindell, "QPACK: Header Compression for HTTP/3", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-quic-qpack-21](#), 2 February 2021, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-quic-qpack-21>>.
- [RFC2518] Goland, Y., Whitehead, E., Faizi, A., Carter, S., and D. Jensen, "HTTP Extensions for Distributed Authoring -- WEBDAV", [RFC 2518](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2518, February 1999, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2518>>.
- [RFC8297] Oku, K., "An HTTP Status Code for Indicating Hints", [RFC 8297](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8297, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8297>>.

Authors' Addresses

Martin Thomson
Mozilla

Email: mt@lowentropy.net

Christopher A. Wood
Cloudflare

Email: caw@heapingbits.net

