

HTTP  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: August 5, 2018

M. Nottingham  
Fastly  
P-H. Kamp  
The Varnish Cache Project  
February 1, 2018

**Structured Headers for HTTP**  
**draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-03**

Abstract

This document describes a set of data types and parsing algorithms associated with them that are intended to make it easier and safer to define and handle HTTP header fields. It is intended for use by new specifications of HTTP header fields as well as revisions of existing header field specifications when doing so does not cause interoperability issues.

Note to Readers

\_RFC EDITOR: please remove this section before publication\_

Discussion of this draft takes place on the HTTP working group mailing list ([ietf-http-wg@w3.org](mailto:ietf-http-wg@w3.org)), which is archived at <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/ietf-http-wg/> [1].

Working Group information can be found at <https://httpwg.github.io/> [2]; source code and issues list for this draft can be found at <https://github.com/httpwg/http-extensions/labels/header-structure> [3].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 5, 2018.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Notational Conventions</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Specifying Structured Headers</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Parsing Text into Structured Headers</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Structured Header Data Types</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	<a href="#">Dictionaries</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	<a href="#">Lists</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	<a href="#">Parameterised Labels</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.4.</a>	<a href="#">Items</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">4.5.</a>	<a href="#">Integers</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.6.</a>	<a href="#">Floats</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.7.</a>	<a href="#">Strings</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">4.8.</a>	<a href="#">Labels</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">4.9.</a>	<a href="#">Binary Content</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">References</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">7.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">7.3.</a>	<a href="#">URIs</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">Changes</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">A.1.</a>	<a href="#">Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-02</a></a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">A.2.</a>	<a href="#">Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-01</a></a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">A.3.</a>	<a href="#">Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-00</a></a>	<a href="#">18</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses</a>	<a href="#">18</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

Specifying the syntax of new HTTP header fields is an onerous task; even with the guidance in [\[RFC7231\]](#), [Section 8.3.1](#), there are many



decisions - and pitfalls - for a prospective HTTP header field author.

Once a header field is defined, bespoke parsers for it often need to be written, because each header has slightly different handling of what looks like common syntax.

This document introduces structured HTTP header field values (hereafter, Structured Headers) to address these problems. Structured Headers define a generic, abstract model for header field values, along with a concrete serialisation for expressing that model in textual HTTP headers, as used by HTTP/1 [[RFC7230](#)] and HTTP/2 [[RFC7540](#)].

HTTP headers that are defined as Structured Headers use the types defined in this specification to define their syntax and basic handling rules, thereby simplifying both their definition and parsing.

Additionally, future versions of HTTP can define alternative serialisations of the abstract model of Structured Headers, allowing headers that use it to be transmitted more efficiently without being redefined.

Note that it is not a goal of this document to redefine the syntax of existing HTTP headers; the mechanisms described herein are only intended to be used with headers that explicitly opt into them.

To specify a header field that uses Structured Headers, see [Section 2](#).

[Section 4](#) defines a number of abstract data types that can be used in Structured Headers. Dictionaries and lists are only usable at the "top" level, while the remaining types can be specified appear at the top level or inside those structures.

Those abstract types can be serialised into textual headers - such as those used in HTTP/1 and HTTP/2 - using the algorithms described in [Section 3](#).

### **[1.1](#). Notational Conventions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.



This document uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation of [[RFC5234](#)], including the DIGIT, ALPHA and DQUOTE rules from that document. It also includes the OWS rule from [[RFC7230](#)].

## **2. Specifying Structured Headers**

A HTTP header that uses Structured Headers need to be defined to do so explicitly; recipients and generators need to know that the requirements of this document are in effect. The simplest way to do that is by referencing this document in its definition.

The field's definition will also need to specify the field-value's allowed syntax, in terms of the types described in [Section 4](#), along with their associated semantics.

A header field definition cannot relax or otherwise modify the requirements of this specification; doing so would preclude handling by generic software.

However, header field authors are encouraged to clearly state additional constraints upon the syntax, as well as the consequences when those constraints are violated. Such additional constraints could include additional structure (e.g., a list of URLs [[RFC3986](#)] inside a string) that cannot be expressed using the primitives defined here.

For example:



## # FooExample Header

The FooExample HTTP header field conveys a list of integers about how much Foo the sender has.

FooExample is a Structured header [RFCxxxx]. Its value MUST be a dictionary ([RFCxxxx], Section Y.Y).

The dictionary MUST contain:

- \* A member whose key is "foo", and whose value is an integer ([RFCxxxx], Section Y.Y), indicating the number of foos in the message.
- \* A member whose key is "barUrls", and whose value is a string ([RFCxxxx], Section Y.Y), conveying the Bar URLs for the message. See below for processing requirements.

If the parsed header field does not contain both, it MUST be ignored.

"barUrls" contains a space-separated list of URI-references ([\[RFC3986\]](#), [Section 4.1](#)):

```
barURLs = URI-reference *( 1*SP URI-reference )
```

If a member of barURLs is not a valid URI-reference, it MUST be ignored.

If a member of barURLs is a relative reference ([\[RFC3986\]](#), [Section 4.2](#)), it MUST be resolved ([\[RFC3986\]](#), [Section 5](#)) before being used.

Note that empty header field values are not allowed by the syntax, and therefore will be considered errors.

### 3. Parsing Text into Structured Headers

When a receiving implementation parses textual HTTP header fields (e.g., in HTTP/1 or HTTP/2) that are known to be Structured Headers, it is important that care be taken, as there are a number of edge cases that can cause interoperability or even security problems. This section specifies the algorithm for doing so.

Given an ASCII string `input_string` that represents the chosen header's field-value, return the parsed header value.

1. Discard any leading OWS from `input_string`.
2. If the field-value is defined to be a dictionary, let output be the result of Parsing a Dictionary from Textual headers ([Section 4.1.1](#)).





3. If the field-value is defined to be a list, let output be the result of Parsing a List from Text ([Section 4.2.1](#)).
4. If the field-value is defined to be a parameterised label, let output be the result of Parsing a Parameterised Label from Textual headers ([Section 4.3.1](#)).
5. Otherwise, let output be the result of Parsing an Item from Text ([Section 4.4.1](#)).
6. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
7. If input\_string is not empty, throw an error.
8. Otherwise, return output.

When generating input\_string for a given header field, parsers MUST combine all instances of it into one comma-separated field-value, as per [\[RFC7230\]](#), [Section 3.2.2](#); this assures that the header is processed correctly.

Note that in the case of lists and dictionaries, this has the effect of coalescing all of the values for that field. However, for singular items and parameterised labels, it will result in an error being thrown.

Additionally, note that the effect of the parsing algorithms as specified is generally intolerant of syntax errors; if one is encountered, the typical response is to throw an error, thereby discarding the entire header field value. This includes any non-ASCII characters in input\_string.

## **4. Structured Header Data Types**

This section defines the abstract value types that can be composed into Structured Headers, along with the textual HTTP serialisations of them.

### **[4.1.](#) Dictionaries**

Dictionaries are unordered maps of key-value pairs, where the keys are labels ([Section 4.8](#)) and the values are items ([Section 4.4](#)). There can be between 1 and 1024 members, and keys are required to be unique.

In the textual HTTP serialisation, keys and values are separated by "=" (without whitespace), and key/value pairs are separated by a



comma with optional whitespace. Duplicate keys MUST be considered an error.

```
dictionary = label "=" item *1023( OWS "," OWS label "=" item )
```

For example, a header field whose value is defined as a dictionary could look like:

```
ExampleDictHeader: foo=1.23, en="Applepie", da=*w4ZibGV0w6ZydGUK
```

Typically, a header field specification will define the semantics of individual keys, as well as whether their presence is required or optional. Recipients MUST ignore keys that are undefined or unknown, unless the header field's specification specifically disallows them.

#### **4.1.1. Parsing a Dictionary from Text**

Given an ASCII string `input_string`, return a mapping of (label, item). `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let `dictionary` be an empty, unordered mapping.
2. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Let `this_key` be the result of running Parse Label from Text ([Section 4.8.1](#)) with `input_string`. If an error is encountered, throw it.
  2. If `dictionary` already contains `this_key`, throw an error.
  3. Consume a "=" from `input_string`; if none is present, throw an error.
  4. Let `this_value` be the result of running Parse Item from Text ([Section 4.4.1](#)) with `input_string`. If an error is encountered, throw it.
  5. Add key `this_key` with value `this_value` to `dictionary`.
  6. If `dictionary` has more than 1024 members, throw an error.
  7. Discard any leading OWS from `input_string`.
  8. If `input_string` is empty, return `dictionary`.
  9. Consume a COMMA from `input_string`; if no comma is present, throw an error.



10. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
3. Return dictionary.

#### **4.2. Lists**

Lists are arrays of items ([Section 4.4](#)) or parameterised labels ([Section 4.3](#)), with one to 1024 members.

In the textual HTTP serialisation, each member is separated by a comma and optional whitespace.

```
list = list_member 0*1023( OWS "," OWS list_member )
list_member = item / parameterised
```

For example, a header field whose value is defined as a list of labels could look like:

```
ExampleLabelListHeader: foo, bar, baz_45
```

and a header field whose value is defined as a list of parameterised labels could look like:

```
ExampleParamListHeader: abc/def; g="hi";j, klm/nop
```

##### **4.2.1. Parsing a List from Text**

Given an ASCII string input\_string, return a list of items. input\_string is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let items be an empty array.
2. While input\_string is not empty:
  1. Let item be the result of running Parse Item from Text ([Section 4.4.1](#)) with input\_string. If an error is encountered, throw it.
  2. Append item to items.
  3. If items has more than 1024 members, throw an error.
  4. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
  5. If input\_string is empty, return items.
  6. Consume a COMMA from input\_string; if no comma is present, throw an error.



7. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
3. Return items.

#### **4.3. Parameterised Labels**

Parameterised Labels are labels ([Section 4.8](#)) with up to 256 parameters; each parameter has a label and an optional value that is an item ([Section 4.4](#)). Ordering between parameters is not significant, and duplicate parameters MUST be considered an error.

The textual HTTP serialisation uses semicolons (";") to delimit the parameters from each other, and equals ("=") to delimit the parameter name from its value.

```
parameterised = label *256( OWS ";" OWS label [ "=" item ] )
```

For example,

ExampleParamHeader: abc\_123;a=1;b=2; c

##### **4.3.1. Parsing a Parameterised Label from Text**

Given an ASCII string input\_string, return a label with an mapping of parameters. input\_string is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let primary\_label be the result of Parsing a Label from Text ([Section 4.8.1](#)) from input\_string.
2. Let parameters be an empty, unordered mapping.
3. In a loop:
  1. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
  2. If the first character of input\_string is not ";", exit the loop.
  3. Consume a ";" character from the beginning of input\_string.
  4. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
  5. let param\_name be the result of Parsing a Label from Text ([Section 4.8.1](#)) from input\_string.
  6. If param\_name is already present in parameters, throw an error.





7. Let param\_value be a null value.
  8. If the first character of input\_string is "=":
    1. Consume the "=" character at the beginning of input\_string.
    2. Let param\_value be the result of Parsing an Item from Text ([Section 4.4.1](#)) from input\_string.
  9. If parameters has more than 255 members, throw an error.
  10. Add param\_name to parameters with the value param\_value.
4. Return the tuple (primary\_label, parameters).

#### **[4.4. Items](#)**

An item is can be a integer ([Section 4.5](#)), float ([Section 4.6](#)), string ([Section 4.7](#)), label ([Section 4.8](#)) or binary content ([Section 4.9](#)).

item = integer / float / string / label / binary

##### **[4.4.1. Parsing an Item from Text](#)**

Given an ASCII string input\_string, return an item. input\_string is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Discard any leading OWS from input\_string.
2. If the first character of input\_string is a "-" or a DIGIT, process input\_string as a number ([Section 4.5.1](#)) and return the result, throwing any errors encountered.
3. If the first character of input\_string is a DQUOTE, process input\_string as a string ([Section 4.7.1](#)) and return the result, throwing any errors encountered.
4. If the first character of input\_string is "\*", process input\_string as binary content ([Section 4.9.1](#)) and return the result, throwing any errors encountered.
5. If the first character of input\_string is an lcalpha, process input\_string as a label ([Section 4.8.1](#)) and return the result, throwing any errors encountered.
6. Otherwise, throw an error.



## 4.5. Integers

Abstractly, integers have a range of -9,223,372,036,854,775,808 to 9,223,372,036,854,775,807 inclusive (i.e., a 64-bit signed integer).

```
integer = ["-"] 1*19DIGIT
```

Parsers that encounter an integer outside the range defined above MUST throw an error. Therefore, the value "9223372036854775809" would be invalid. Likewise, values that do not conform to the ABNF above are invalid, and MUST throw an error.

For example, a header whose value is defined as a integer could look like:

```
ExampleIntegerHeader: 42
```

### 4.5.1. Parsing a Number from Text

NOTE: This algorithm parses both Integers and Floats [Section 4.6](#), and returns the corresponding structure.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not "-" or a DIGIT, throw an error.
2. Let `input_number` be the result of consuming `input_string` up to (but not including) the first character that is not in DIGIT, "-", and ".".
3. If `input_number` contains ".", parse it as a floating point number and let `output_number` be the result.
4. Otherwise, parse `input_number` as an integer and let `output_number` be the result.
5. Return `output_number`.

## 4.6. Floats

Abstractly, floats are integers with a fractional part. They have a maximum of fifteen digits available to be used in both of the parts, as reflected in the ABNF below; this allows them to be stored as IEEE 754 double precision numbers (binary64) ([\[IEEE754\]](#)).

The textual HTTP serialisation of floats allows a maximum of fifteen digits between the integer and fractional part, with at least one required on each side, along with an optional "-" indicating negative numbers.



```
float    = ["-"] (
    DIGIT "." 1*14DIGIT /
    2DIGIT "." 1*13DIGIT /
    3DIGIT "." 1*12DIGIT /
    4DIGIT "." 1*11DIGIT /
    5DIGIT "." 1*10DIGIT /
    6DIGIT "." 1*9DIGIT /
    7DIGIT "." 1*8DIGIT /
    8DIGIT "." 1*7DIGIT /
    9DIGIT "." 1*6DIGIT /
    10DIGIT "." 1*5DIGIT /
    11DIGIT "." 1*4DIGIT /
    12DIGIT "." 1*3DIGIT /
    13DIGIT "." 1*2DIGIT /
    14DIGIT "." 1DIGIT )
```

Values that do not conform to the ABNF above are invalid, and MUST throw an error.

For example, a header whose value is defined as a float could look like:

ExampleFloatHeader: 4.5

See [Section 4.5.1](#) for the parsing algorithm for floats.

#### **4.7. Strings**

Abstractly, strings are ASCII strings [[RFC0020](#)], excluding control characters (i.e., the range 0x20 to 0x7E). Note that this excludes tabs, newlines and carriage returns. They may be at most 1024 characters long.

The textual HTTP serialisation of strings uses a backslash ("\") to escape double quotes and backslashes in strings.

```
string    = DQUOTE 0*1024(char) DQUOTE
char      = unescaped / escape ( DQUOTE / "\" )
unescaped = %x20-21 / %x23-5B / %x5D-7E
escape    = "\"
```

For example, a header whose value is defined as a string could look like:

ExampleStringHeader: "hello world"



Note that strings only use DQUOTE as a delimiter; single quotes do not delimit strings. Furthermore, only DQUOTE and `"` can be escaped; other sequences MUST generate an error.

Unicode is not directly supported in Structured Headers, because it causes a number of interoperability issues, and - with few exceptions - header values do not require it.

When it is necessary for a field value to convey non-ASCII string content, binary content ([Section 4.9](#)) SHOULD be specified, along with a character encoding (most likely, UTF-8).

#### **4.7.1. Parsing a String from Text**

Given an ASCII string `input_string`, return an unquoted string. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let `output_string` be an empty string.
2. If the first character of `input_string` is not DQUOTE, throw an error.
3. Discard the first character of `input_string`.
4. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Let `char` be the result of removing the first character of `input_string`.
  2. If `char` is a backslash (`"\"`):
    1. If `input_string` is now empty, throw an error.
    2. Else:
      1. Let `next_char` be the result of removing the first character of `input_string`.
      2. If `next_char` is not DQUOTE or `"\"`, throw an error.
      3. Append `next_char` to `output_string`.
  3. Else, if `char` is DQUOTE, return `output_string`.
  4. Else, append `char` to `output_string`.
5. If `output_string` contains more than 1024 characters, throw an error.





5. Otherwise, throw an error.

#### **4.8. Labels**

Labels are short (up to 256 characters) textual identifiers; their abstract model is identical to their expression in the textual HTTP serialisation.

```
label = lcalpha *255( lcalpha / DIGIT / "_" / "-" / "*" / "/" )  
lcalpha = %x61-7A ; a-z
```

Note that labels can only contain lowercase letters.

For example, a header whose value is defined as a label could look like:

ExampleLabelHeader: foo/bar

##### **4.8.1. Parsing a Label from Text**

Given an ASCII string `input_string`, return a label. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not `lcalpha`, throw an error.
2. Let `output_string` be an empty string.
3. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Let `char` be the result of removing the first character of `input_string`.
  2. If `char` is not one of `lcalpha`, `DIGIT`, `"_"`, `"-"`, `"*"` or `"/"`:
    1. Prepend `char` to `input_string`.
    2. Return `output_string`.
  3. Append `char` to `output_string`.
  4. If `output_string` contains more than 256 characters, throw an error.
4. Return `output_string`.



#### **4.9. Binary Content**

Arbitrary binary content up to 16K in size can be conveyed in Structured Headers.

The textual HTTP serialisation indicates their presence by a leading `"*"`, with the data encoded using Base 64 Encoding [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 4](#).

Parsers MUST consider encoded data that is padded an error, as `"="` might be confused with the use of dictionaries). See [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 3.2](#).

Likewise, parsers MUST consider encoded data that has non-zero pad bits an error. See [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 3.5](#).

This specification does not relax the requirements in [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 3.1](#) and 3.3; therefore, parsers MUST consider characters outside the base64 alphabet and line feeds in encoded data as errors.

```
binary = "*" 0*21846(base64) "*"
base64 = ALPHA / DIGIT / "+" / "/"
```

For example, a header whose value is defined as binary content could look like:

```
ExampleBinaryHeader: *cHJldGVuZCB0aGlzIGlzIGJpbmFyeSBjb250ZW50Lg*
```

##### **4.9.1. Parsing Binary Content from Text**

Given an ASCII string `input_string`, return binary content.  
`input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not `"*"`, throw an error.
2. Discard the first character of `input_string`.
3. Let `b64_content` be the result of removing content of `input_string` up to but not including the first instance of the character `"_"`. If there is not a `"_"` character before the end of `input_string`, throw an error.
4. Consume the `"*"` character at the beginning of `input_string`.
5. If `b64_content` is has more than 21846 characters, throw an error.



6. Let `binary_content` be the result of Base 64 Decoding [[RFC4648](#)] `b64_content`, synthesising padding if necessary. If an error is encountered, throw it (note the requirements about recipient behaviour in [Section 4.9](#)).
7. Return `binary_content`.

## **5. IANA Considerations**

This draft has no actions for IANA.

## **6. Security Considerations**

TBD

## **7. References**

### **7.1. Normative References**

- [RFC0020] Cerf, V., "ASCII format for network interchange", STD 80, [RFC 20](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC0020, October 1969, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc20>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4648, October 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4648>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.



## **7.2. Informative References**

- [IEEE754] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic", 2008, <<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/754/>>.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", [RFC 7231](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7231, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7231>>.
- [RFC7540] Belshe, M., Peon, R., and M. Thomson, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2)", [RFC 7540](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7540, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7540>>.

## **7.3. URIs**

- [1] <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/ietf-http-wg/>
- [2] <https://httpwg.github.io/>
- [3] <https://github.com/httpwg/http-extensions/labels/header-structure>

## **Appendix A. Changes**

### **A.1. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-02](#)**

- o Split Numbers into Integers and Floats.
- o Define number parsing.
- o Tighten up binary parsing and give it an explicit end delimiter.
- o Clarify that mappings are unordered.
- o Allow zero-length strings.
- o Improve string parsing algorithm.
- o Improve limits in algorithms.
- o Require parsers to combine header fields before processing.





- o Throw an error on trailing garbage.

**A.2. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-01](#)**

- o Replaced with [draft-nottingham-structured-headers](#).

**A.3. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-00](#)**

- o Added signed 64bit integer type.
- o Drop UTF8, and settle on [BCP137](#) ::EmbeddedUnicodeChar for h1-unicode-string.
- o Change h1\_blob delimiter to ":" since '"' is valid t\_char

Authors' Addresses

Mark Nottingham  
Fastly

Email: [mnot@mnot.net](mailto:mnot@mnot.net)  
URI: <https://www.mnot.net/>

Poul-Henning Kamp  
The Varnish Cache Project

Email: [phk@varnish-cache.org](mailto:phk@varnish-cache.org)

