

HTTP  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: September 16, 2020

M. Nottingham  
Fastly  
P-H. Kamp  
The Varnish Cache Project  
March 15, 2020

## **Structured Field Values for HTTP draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-17**

### Abstract

This document describes a set of data types and associated algorithms that are intended to make it easier and safer to define and handle HTTP header and trailer fields, known as "Structured Fields", or "Structured Headers". It is intended for use by specifications of new HTTP fields that wish to use a common syntax that is more restrictive than traditional HTTP field values.

### Note to Readers

\_RFC EDITOR: please remove this section before publication\_

Discussion of this draft takes place on the HTTP working group mailing list ([ietf-http-wg@w3.org](mailto:ietf-http-wg@w3.org)), which is archived at <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/ietf-http-wg/> [1].

Working Group information can be found at <https://httpwg.github.io/> [2]; source code and issues list for this draft can be found at <https://github.com/httpwg/http-extensions/labels/header-structure> [3].

Tests for implementations are collected at <https://github.com/httpwg/structured-header-tests> [4].

Implementations are tracked at <https://github.com/httpwg/wiki/wiki/Structured-Headers> [5].

### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 16, 2020.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

|                        |  |                    |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|
| <a href="#">1.</a>     | <a href="#">Introduction</a>                           | <a href="#">3</a>  |
| <a href="#">1.1.</a>   | <a href="#">Intentionally Strict Processing</a>        | <a href="#">4</a>  |
| <a href="#">1.2.</a>   | <a href="#">Notational Conventions</a>                 | <a href="#">5</a>  |
| <a href="#">2.</a>     | <a href="#">Defining New Structured Fields</a>         | <a href="#">5</a>  |
| <a href="#">3.</a>     | <a href="#">Structured Data Types</a>                  | <a href="#">8</a>  |
| <a href="#">3.1.</a>   | <a href="#">Lists</a>                                  | <a href="#">9</a>  |
| <a href="#">3.1.1.</a> | <a href="#">Inner Lists</a>                            | <a href="#">9</a>  |
| <a href="#">3.1.2.</a> | <a href="#">Parameters</a>                             | <a href="#">10</a> |
| <a href="#">3.2.</a>   | <a href="#">Dictionaries</a>                           | <a href="#">11</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.</a>   | <a href="#">Items</a>                                  | <a href="#">12</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.1.</a> | <a href="#">Integers</a>                               | <a href="#">13</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.2.</a> | <a href="#">Decimals</a>                               | <a href="#">13</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.3.</a> | <a href="#">Strings</a>                                | <a href="#">13</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.4.</a> | <a href="#">Tokens</a>                                 | <a href="#">14</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.5.</a> | <a href="#">Byte Sequences</a>                         | <a href="#">14</a> |
| <a href="#">3.3.6.</a> | <a href="#">Booleans</a>                               | <a href="#">15</a> |
| <a href="#">4.</a>     | <a href="#">Working With Structured Fields in HTTP</a> | <a href="#">15</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.</a>   | <a href="#">Serializing Structured Fields</a>          | <a href="#">15</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.1.</a> | <a href="#">Serializing a List</a>                     | <a href="#">16</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.2.</a> | <a href="#">Serializing a Dictionary</a>               | <a href="#">18</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.3.</a> | <a href="#">Serializing an Item</a>                    | <a href="#">18</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.4.</a> | <a href="#">Serializing an Integer</a>                 | <a href="#">19</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.5.</a> | <a href="#">Serializing a Decimal</a>                  | <a href="#">20</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.6.</a> | <a href="#">Serializing a String</a>                   | <a href="#">20</a> |



|                             |  |                    |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|
| <a href="#">4.1.7.</a>      | Serializing a Token . . . . .  | <a href="#">21</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.8.</a>      | Serializing a Byte Sequence . . . . .                                  | <a href="#">21</a> |
| <a href="#">4.1.9.</a>      | Serializing a Boolean . . . . .  | <a href="#">22</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.</a>        | Parsing Structured Fields . . . . .                                    | <a href="#">22</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.1.</a>      | Parsing a List . . . . .   | <a href="#">24</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.2.</a>      | Parsing a Dictionary . . . . .   | <a href="#">25</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.3.</a>      | Parsing an Item . . . . .  | <a href="#">26</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.4.</a>      | Parsing an Integer or Decimal . . . . .                                | <a href="#">28</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.5.</a>      | Parsing a String . . . . .   | <a href="#">30</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.6.</a>      | Parsing a Token . . . . .  | <a href="#">30</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.7.</a>      | Parsing a Byte Sequence . . . . .                                      | <a href="#">31</a> |
| <a href="#">4.2.8.</a>      | Parsing a Boolean . . . . .  | <a href="#">32</a> |
| <a href="#">5.</a>          | IANA Considerations . . . . .  | <a href="#">32</a> |
| <a href="#">6.</a>          | Security Considerations . . . . .                                      | <a href="#">32</a> |
| <a href="#">7.</a>          | References . . . . .   | <a href="#">32</a> |
| <a href="#">7.1.</a>        | Normative References . . . . .   | <a href="#">32</a> |
| <a href="#">7.2.</a>        | Informative References . . . . .                                       | <a href="#">33</a> |
| <a href="#">7.3.</a>        | URIs . . . . .   | <a href="#">34</a> |
| <a href="#">Appendix A.</a> | Frequently Asked Questions . . . . .                                   | <a href="#">34</a> |
| <a href="#">A.1.</a>        | Why not JSON? . . . . .  | <a href="#">34</a> |
| <a href="#">Appendix B.</a> | Implementation Notes . . . . .   | <a href="#">35</a> |
| <a href="#">Appendix C.</a> | Changes . . . . .  | <a href="#">35</a> |
| <a href="#">C.1.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-15</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">36</a> |
| <a href="#">C.2.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-14</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">36</a> |
| <a href="#">C.3.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-13</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">37</a> |
| <a href="#">C.4.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-12</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">37</a> |
| <a href="#">C.5.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-11</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">37</a> |
| <a href="#">C.6.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-10</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">37</a> |
| <a href="#">C.7.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-09</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">38</a> |
| <a href="#">C.8.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-08</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">38</a> |
| <a href="#">C.9.</a>        | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-07</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">38</a> |
| <a href="#">C.10.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-06</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">39</a> |
| <a href="#">C.11.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-05</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">39</a> |
| <a href="#">C.12.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-04</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">39</a> |
| <a href="#">C.13.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-03</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">39</a> |
| <a href="#">C.14.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-02</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">39</a> |
| <a href="#">C.15.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-01</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">40</a> |
| <a href="#">C.16.</a>       | Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-00</a> . . . . . | <a href="#">40</a> |
| Acknowledgements            | . . . . .  | <a href="#">40</a> |
| Authors' Addresses          | . . . . .  | <a href="#">40</a> |

## **[1.](#) Introduction**

Specifying the syntax of new HTTP header (and trailer) fields is an onerous task; even with the guidance in [Section 8.3.1 of \[RFC7231\]](#), there are many decisions - and pitfalls - for a prospective HTTP field author.



Once a field is defined, bespoke parsers and serializers often need to be written, because each field value has slightly different handling of what looks like common syntax.

This document introduces a set of common data structures for use in definitions of new HTTP field values to address these problems. In particular, it defines a generic, abstract model for them, along with a concrete serialization for expressing that model in HTTP [[RFC7230](#)] header and trailer fields.

A HTTP field that is defined as a "Structured Header" (or "Structured Trailer", respectively; if the field can be either, it is a "Structured Field") uses the types defined in this specification to define its syntax and basic handling rules, thereby simplifying both its definition by specification writers and handling by implementations.

Additionally, future versions of HTTP can define alternative serializations of the abstract model of these structures, allowing fields that use it to be transmitted more efficiently without being redefined.

Note that it is not a goal of this document to redefine the syntax of existing HTTP fields; the mechanisms described herein are only intended to be used with those that explicitly opt into them.

[Section 2](#) describes how to specify a Structured Field.

[Section 3](#) defines a number of abstract data types that can be used in Structured Fields.

Those abstract types can be serialized into and parsed from HTTP field values using the algorithms described in [Section 4](#).

### **[1.1](#). Intentionally Strict Processing**

This specification intentionally defines strict parsing and serialization behaviors using step-by-step algorithms; the only error handling defined is to fail the operation altogether.

It is designed to encourage faithful implementation and therefore good interoperability. Therefore, an implementation that tried to be "helpful" by being more tolerant of input would make interoperability worse, since that would create pressure on other implementations to implement similar (but likely subtly different) workarounds.

In other words, strict processing is an intentional feature of this specification; it allows non-conformant input to be discovered and



corrected by the producer early, and avoids both interoperability and security issues that might otherwise result.

Note that as a result of this strictness, if a field is appended to by multiple parties (e.g., intermediaries, or different components in the sender), an error in one party's value is likely to cause the entire field value to fail parsing.

## **1.2. Notational Conventions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

This document uses algorithms to specify parsing and serialization behaviors, and the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation of [RFC5234] to illustrate expected syntax in HTTP header fields. In doing so, it uses the VCHAR, SP, DIGIT, ALPHA and DQUOTE rules from [RFC5234]. It also includes the tchar rule from [RFC7230].

When parsing from HTTP fields, implementations MUST follow the algorithms, but MAY vary in implementation so as the behaviors are indistinguishable from specified behavior. If there is disagreement between the parsing algorithms and ABNF, the specified algorithms take precedence. In some places, the algorithms are "greedy" with whitespace, but this should not affect conformance.

For serialization to HTTP fields, the ABNF illustrates the range of acceptable wire representations with as much fidelity as possible, and the algorithms define the recommended way to produce them. Implementations MAY vary from the specified behavior so long as the output still matches the ABNF.

## **2. Defining New Structured Fields**

To specify a HTTP field as a Structured Field, its authors needs to:

- o Reference this specification. Recipients and generators of the field need to know that the requirements of this document are in effect.
- o Identify whether the field is a Structured Header (i.e., it can only be used in the header section - the common case), a Structured Field (only in the trailer section), or a Structured Field (both).





- o Specify the type of the field value; either List ([Section 3.1](#)), Dictionary ([Section 3.2](#)), or Item ([Section 3.3](#)).
- o Define the semantics of those structures.
- o Specify any additional constraints upon the structures used, as well as the consequences when those constraints are violated.

Typically, this means that a field definition will specify the top-level type - List, Dictionary or Item - and then define its allowable types, and constraints upon them. For example, a header defined as a List might have all Integer members, or a mix of types; a header defined as an Item might allow only Strings, and additionally only strings beginning with the letter "Q". Likewise, Inner Lists are only valid when a field definition explicitly allows them.

When parsing fails, the entire field is ignored (see [Section 4.2](#)); in most situations, violating field-specific constraints should have the same effect. Thus, if a header is defined as an Item and required to be an Integer, but a String is received, the field will by default be ignored. If the field requires different error handling, this should be explicitly specified.

Both Items and Inner Lists allow parameters as an extensibility mechanism; this means that values can later be extended to accommodate more information, if need be. To preserve forward compatibility, field specifications are discouraged from defining the presence of an unrecognized Parameter as an error condition.

To further assure that this extensibility is available in the future, and to encourage consumers to use a complete parser implementation, a field definition can specify that "grease" Parameters be added by senders. A specification could stipulate that all Parameters that fit a defined pattern are reserved for this use and then encourage them to be sent on some portion of requests. This helps to discourage recipients from writing a parser that does not account for Parameters.

Specifications that use Dictionaries can also allow for forward compatibility by requiring that the presence of - as well as value and type associated with - unknown members be ignored. Later specifications can then add additional members, specifying constraints on them as appropriate.

An extension to a structured field can then require that an entire field value be ignored by a recipient that understands the extension if constraints on the value it defines are not met.



A field definition cannot relax the requirements of this specification because doing so would preclude handling by generic software; they can only add additional constraints (for example, on the numeric range of Integers and Decimals, the format of Strings and Tokens, the types allowed in a Dictionary's values, or the number of Items in a List). Likewise, field definitions can only use this specification for the entire field value, not a portion thereof.

This specification defines minimums for the length or number of various structures supported by implementations. It does not specify maximum sizes in most cases, but authors should be aware that HTTP implementations do impose various limits on the size of individual fields, the total number of fields, and/or the size of the entire header or trailer section.

Specifications can refer to a field name as a "structured header name", "structured trailer name" or "structured field name" as appropriate. Likewise, they can refer its field value as a "structured header value", "structured trailer value" or "structured field value" as necessary. Field definitions are encouraged to use the ABNF rules beginning with "sh-" defined in this specification; other rules in this specification are not intended for their use.

For example, a fictitious Foo-Example header field might be specified as:



## 42. Foo-Example Header

The Foo-Example HTTP header field conveys information about how much Foo the message has.

Foo-Example is a Item Structured Header [RFCxxxx]. Its value MUST be an Integer (Section Y.Y of [RFCxxxx]). Its ABNF is:

```
Foo-Example = sh-integer
```

Its value indicates the amount of Foo in the message, and MUST be between 0 and 10, inclusive; other values MUST cause the entire header field to be ignored.

The following parameters are defined:

- \* A Parameter whose name is "foourl", and whose value is a String (Section Y.Y of [RFCxxxx]), conveying the Foo URL for the message. See below for processing requirements.

"foourl" contains a URI-reference ([Section 4.1 of \[RFC3986\]](#)). If its value is not a valid URI-reference, the entire header field MUST be ignored. If its value is a relative reference ([Section 4.2 of \[RFC3986\]](#)), it MUST be resolved ([Section 5 of \[RFC3986\]](#)) before being used.

For example:

```
Foo-Example: 2; foourl="https://foo.example.com/"
```

## 3. Structured Data Types

This section defines the abstract value types that can be composed into Structured Fields. The ABNF provided represents the on-wire format in HTTP field values.

In summary:

- o There are three top-level types that a HTTP field can be defined as; Lists, Dictionaries, and Items.
- o Lists and Dictionaries are containers; their members can be Items or Inner Lists (which are themselves lists of items).
- o Both Items and Inner Lists can be parameterized with key/value pairs.



### **3.1. Lists**

Lists are arrays of zero or more members, each of which can be an Item ([Section 3.3](#)) or an Inner List ([Section 3.1.1](#)), both of which can be Parameterized ([Section 3.1.2](#)).

The ABNF for Lists in HTTP fields is:

```
sh-list      = list-member *( *SP "," *SP list-member )
list-member  = sh-item / inner-list
```

Each member is separated by a comma and optional whitespace. For example, a field whose value is defined as a List of Strings could look like:

```
Example-StrListHeader: "foo", "bar", "It was the best of times."
```

An empty List is denoted by not serializing the field at all.

Note that Lists can have their members split across multiple lines inside a header or trailer section, as per [Section 3.2.2 of \[RFC7230\]](#); for example, the following are equivalent:

```
Example-Hdr: foo, bar
```

and

```
Example-Hdr: foo
```

```
Example-Hdr: bar
```

However, individual members of a List cannot be safely split between across lines; see [Section 4.2](#) for details.

Parsers MUST support Lists containing at least 1024 members. Field specifications can constrain the types and cardinality of individual List values as they require.

#### **3.1.1. Inner Lists**

An Inner List is an array of zero or more Items ([Section 3.3](#)). Both the individual Items and the Inner List itself can be Parameterized ([Section 3.1.2](#)).

The ABNF for Inner Lists is:

```
inner-list    = "(" *SP [ sh-item *( 1*SP sh-item ) *SP ] ")"
               parameters
```





Inner Lists are denoted by surrounding parenthesis, and have their values delimited by a single space. A field whose value is defined as a list of Inner Lists of Strings could look like:

```
Example-StrListListHeader: ("foo" "bar"), ("baz"), ("bat" "one"), ()
```

Note that the last member in this example is an empty Inner List.

A header field whose value is defined as a list of Inner Lists with Parameters at both levels could look like:

```
Example-ListListParam: ("foo"; a=1;b=2);lvl=5, ("bar" "baz");lvl=1
```

Parsers MUST support Inner Lists containing at least 256 members. Field specifications can constrain the types and cardinality of individual Inner List members as they require.

### **3.1.2. Parameters**

Parameters are an ordered map of key-values pairs that are associated with an Item ([Section 3.3](#)) or Inner List ([Section 3.1.1](#)). The keys are unique within the scope the Parameters they occur within, and the values are bare items (i.e., they themselves cannot be parameterized; see [Section 3.3](#)).

The ABNF for Parameters is:

```
parameters    = *( ";" *SP parameter )
parameter     = param-name [ "=" param-value ]
param-name    = key
key           = ( lcalpha / "*" )
              *( lcalpha / DIGIT / "_" / "-" / "." / "*" )
lcalpha       = %x61-7A ; a-z
param-value   = bare-item
```

A parameter is separated from its Item or Inner List and other parameters by a semicolon. For example:

```
Example-ParamListHeader: abc;a=1;b=2; cde_456, (ghi;jk=4 l);q="9";r=w
```

Parameters whose value is Boolean true MUST omit that value when serialized. For example:

```
Example-IntHeader: 1; a; b=?0
```

Note that this requirement is only on serialization; parsers are still required to correctly handle the true value when it appears in a parameter.



Parsers MUST support at least 256 parameters on an Item or Inner List, and support parameter keys with at least 64 characters. Field specifications can constrain the types and cardinality of individual parameter names and values as they require.

### **3.2. Dictionaries**

Dictionaries are ordered maps of name-value pairs, where the names are short, textual strings and the values are items ([Section 3.3](#)) or arrays of items, both of which can be Parameterized ([Section 3.1.2](#)). There can be zero or more members, and their names are unique in the scope of the Dictionary they occur within.

Implementations MUST provide access to Dictionaries both by index and by name. Specifications MAY use either means of accessing the members.

The ABNF for Dictionaries is:

```
sh-dictionary = dict-member *( *SP "," *SP dict-member )
dict-member   = member-name [ "=" member-value ]
member-name    = key
member-value   = sh-item / inner-list
```

Members are separated by a comma with optional whitespace, while names and values are separated by "=" (without whitespace). For example:

Example-DictHeader: en="Applepie", da=:w4ZibGV0w6ZydGU=:

Members whose value is Boolean true MUST omit that value when serialized. For example, here both "b" and "c" are true:

Example-DictHeader: a=?0, b, c; foo=bar

Note that this requirement is only on serialization; parsers are still required to correctly handle the true Boolean value when it appears in Dictionary values.

A Dictionary with a member whose value is an Inner List of tokens:

Example-DictListHeader: rating=1.5, feelings=(joy sadness)

A Dictionary with a mix of singular and list values, some with Parameters:

Example-MixDict: a=(1 2), b=3, c=4;aa=bb, d=(5 6);valid



As with lists, an empty Dictionary is represented by omitting the entire field.

Typically, a field specification will define the semantics of Dictionaries by specifying the allowed type(s) for individual member names, as well as whether their presence is required or optional. Recipients **MUST** ignore names that are undefined or unknown, unless the field's specification specifically disallows them.

Note that dictionaries can have their members split across multiple lines inside a header or trailer section; for example, the following are equivalent:

```
Example-Hdr: foo=1, bar=2
```

and

```
Example-Hdr: foo=1
Example-Hdr: bar=2
```

However, individual members of a Dictionary cannot be safely split between lines; see [Section 4.2](#) for details.

Parsers **MUST** support Dictionaries containing at least 1024 name/value pairs, and names with at least 64 characters.

### **3.3. Items**

An Item can be a Integer ([Section 3.3.1](#)), Decimal ([Section 3.3.2](#)), String ([Section 3.3.3](#)), Token ([Section 3.3.4](#)), Byte Sequence ([Section 3.3.5](#)), or Boolean ([Section 3.3.6](#)). It can have associated Parameters ([Section 3.1.2](#)).

The ABNF for Items is:

```
sh-item    = bare-item parameters
bare-item  = sh-integer / sh-decimal / sh-string / sh-token
           / sh-binary / sh-boolean
```

For example, a header field that is defined to be an Item that is an Integer might look like:

```
Example-IntItemHeader: 5
```

or with Parameters:

```
Example-IntItemHeader: 5; foo=bar
```



### **3.3.1. Integers**

Integers have a range of -999,999,999,999,999 to 999,999,999,999,999 inclusive (i.e., up to fifteen digits, signed), for IEEE 754 compatibility ([[IEEE754](#)]).

The ABNF for Integers is:

```
sh-integer = ["-"] 1*15DIGIT
```

For example:

Example-IntegerHeader: 42

Note that commas in Integers are used in this section's prose only for readability; they are not valid in the wire format.

### **3.3.2. Decimals**

Decimals are numbers with an integer and a fractional component. The integer component has at most 12 digits; the fractional component has at most three digits.

The ABNF for decimals is:

```
sh-decimal = ["-"] 1*12DIGIT "." 1*3DIGIT
```

For example, a header whose value is defined as a Decimal could look like:

Example-DecimalHeader: 4.5

Note that the serialisation algorithm ([Section 4.1.5](#)) rounds input with more than three digits of precision in the fractional component. If an alternative rounding strategy is desired, this should be specified by the header definition to occur before serialisation.

### **3.3.3. Strings**

Strings are zero or more printable ASCII [[RFC0020](#)] characters (i.e., the range %x20 to %x7E). Note that this excludes tabs, newlines, carriage returns, etc.

The ABNF for Strings is:





```
sh-string = DQUOTE *(chr) DQUOTE
chr       = unescaped / escaped
unescaped = %x20-21 / %x23-5B / %x5D-7E
escaped   = "\" ( DQUOTE / "\" )
```

Strings are delimited with double quotes, using a backslash ("\") to escape double quotes and backslashes. For example:

Example-StringHeader: "hello world"

Note that Strings only use DQUOTE as a delimiter; single quotes do not delimit Strings. Furthermore, only DQUOTE and "\"" can be escaped; other characters after "\"" MUST cause parsing to fail.

Unicode is not directly supported in Strings, because it causes a number of interoperability issues, and - with few exceptions - field values do not require it.

When it is necessary for a field value to convey non-ASCII content, a Byte Sequence ([Section 3.3.5](#)) can be specified, along with a character encoding (preferably [\[UTF-8\]](#)).

Parsers MUST support Strings (after any decoding) with at least 1024 characters.

#### [3.3.4.](#) Tokens

Tokens are short textual words; their abstract model is identical to their expression in the HTTP field value serialization.

The ABNF for Tokens is:

```
sh-token = ( ALPHA / "*" ) *( tchar / ":" / "/" )
```

Parsers MUST support Tokens with at least 512 characters.

Note that Token allows the characters as the "token" ABNF rule defined in [\[RFC7230\]](#), with the exceptions that the first character is required to be either ALPHA or "\*", and ":" and "/" are also allowed in subsequent characters.

#### [3.3.5.](#) Byte Sequences

Byte Sequences can be conveyed in Structured Fields.

The ABNF for a Byte Sequence is:



```
sh-binary = ":" *(base64) ":"  
base64    = ALPHA / DIGIT / "+" / "/" / "="
```

A Byte Sequence is delimited with colons and encoded using base64 ([RFC4648](#), [Section 4](#)). For example:

Example-BinaryHdr: :cHJldGVuZCB0aGlzIGlzIGJpbmFyeSBjb250ZW50Lg==:

Parsers MUST support Byte Sequences with at least 16384 octets after decoding.

### **3.3.6. Booleans**

Boolean values can be conveyed in Structured Fields.

The ABNF for a Boolean is:

```
sh-boolean = "?" boolean  
boolean    = "0" / "1"
```

A Boolean is indicated with a leading "?" character followed by a "1" for a true value or "0" for false. For example:

Example-BoolHdr: ?1

## **4. Working With Structured Fields in HTTP**

This section defines how to serialize and parse Structured Fields in field values, and protocols compatible with them (e.g., in HTTP/2 [[RFC7540](#)] before HPACK [[RFC7541](#)] is applied).

### **4.1. Serializing Structured Fields**

Given a structure defined in this specification, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. If the structure is a Dictionary or List and its value is empty (i.e., it has no members), do not serialize the field at all (i.e., omit both the field-name and field-value).
2. If the structure is a List, let output\_string be the result of running Serializing a List ([Section 4.1.1](#)) with the structure.
3. Else if the structure is a Dictionary, let output\_string be the result of running Serializing a Dictionary ([Section 4.1.2](#)) with the structure.



4. Else if the structure is an Item, let output\_string be the result of running Serializing an Item ([Section 4.1.3](#)) with the structure.
5. Else, fail serialization.
6. Return output\_string converted into an array of bytes, using ASCII encoding [[RFC0020](#)].

#### **[4.1.1](#). Serializing a List**

Given an array of (member\_value, parameters) tuples as input\_list, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Let output be an empty string.
2. For each (member\_value, parameters) of input\_list:
  1. If member\_value is an array, append the result of running Serializing an Inner List ([Section 4.1.1.1](#)) with (member\_value, parameters) to output.
  2. Otherwise, append the result of running Serializing an Item ([Section 4.1.3](#)) with (member\_value, parameters) to output.
  3. If more member\_values remain in input\_list:
    1. Append ",", " to output.
    2. Append a single SP to output.
3. Return output.

##### **[4.1.1.1](#). Serializing an Inner List**

Given an array of (member\_value, parameters) tuples as inner\_list, and parameters as list\_parameters, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Let output be the string "(".
2. For each (member\_value, parameters) of inner\_list:
  1. Append the result of running Serializing an Item ([Section 4.1.3](#)) with (member\_value, parameters) to output.
  2. If more values remain in inner\_list, append a single SP to output.



3. Append ")" to output.
4. Append the result of running Serializing Parameters ([Section 4.1.1.2](#)) with list\_parameters to output.
5. Return output.

#### **[4.1.1.2. Serializing Parameters](#)**

Given an ordered Dictionary as input\_parameters (each member having a param\_name and a param\_value), return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Let output be an empty string.
2. For each param\_name with a value of param\_value in input\_parameters:
  1. Append ";" to output.
  2. Append the result of running Serializing a Key ([Section 4.1.1.3](#)) with param\_name to output.
  3. If param\_value is not Boolean true:
    1. Append "=" to output.
    2. Append the result of running Serializing a bare Item ([Section 4.1.3.1](#)) with param\_value to output.
3. Return output.

#### **[4.1.1.3. Serializing a Key](#)**

Given a key as input\_key, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Convert input\_key into a sequence of ASCII characters; if conversion fails, fail serialization.
2. If input\_key contains characters not in lcalpha, DIGIT, "\_", "-", ".", or "\*" fail serialization.
3. If the first character of input\_key is not lcalpha or "\*", fail serialization.
4. Let output be an empty string.





5. Append `input_key` to output.
6. Return output.

#### **4.1.2. Serializing a Dictionary**

Given an ordered Dictionary as `input_dictionary` (each member having a `member_name` and a tuple value of (`member_value`, `parameters`)), return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Let output be an empty string.
2. For each `member_name` with a value of (`member_value`, `parameters`) in `input_dictionary`:
  1. Append the result of running Serializing a Key ([Section 4.1.1.3](#)) with member's `member_name` to output.
3. If `member_value` is Boolean true:
  1. Append the result of running Serializing Parameters ([Section 4.1.1.2](#)) with `parameters` to output.
4. Otherwise:
  1. Append "=" to output.
  2. If `member_value` is an array, append the result of running Serializing an Inner List ([Section 4.1.1.1](#)) with (`member_value`, `parameters`) to output.
  3. Otherwise, append the result of running Serializing an Item ([Section 4.1.3](#)) with (`member_value`, `parameters`) to output.
5. If more members remain in `input_dictionary`:
  1. Append "," to output.
  2. Append a single SP to output.
6. Return output.

#### **4.1.3. Serializing an Item**

Given an Item as `bare_item` and Parameters as `item_parameters`, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Let output be an empty string.



2. Append the result of running Serializing a Bare Item [Section 4.1.3.1](#) with bare\_item to output.
3. Append the result of running Serializing Parameters [Section 4.1.1.2](#) with item\_parameters to output.
4. Return output.

#### **[4.1.3.1](#). Serializing a Bare Item**

Given an Item as input\_item, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. If input\_item is an Integer, return the result of running Serializing an Integer ([Section 4.1.4](#)) with input\_item.
2. If input\_item is a Decimal, return the result of running Serializing a Decimal ([Section 4.1.5](#)) with input\_item.
3. If input\_item is a String, return the result of running Serializing a String ([Section 4.1.6](#)) with input\_item.
4. If input\_item is a Token, return the result of running Serializing a Token ([Section 4.1.7](#)) with input\_item.
5. If input\_item is a Boolean, return the result of running Serializing a Boolean ([Section 4.1.9](#)) with input\_item.
6. If input\_item is a Byte Sequence, return the result of running Serializing a Byte Sequence ([Section 4.1.8](#)) with input\_item.
7. Otherwise, fail serialization.

#### **[4.1.4](#). Serializing an Integer**

Given an Integer as input\_integer, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. If input\_integer is not an integer in the range of -999,999,999,999,999 to 999,999,999,999,999 inclusive, fail serialization.
2. Let output be an empty string.
3. If input\_integer is less than (but not equal to) 0, append "-" to output.



4. Append `input_integer`'s numeric value represented in base 10 using only decimal digits to output.
5. Return output.

#### **4.1.5. Serializing a Decimal**

Given a decimal number as `input_decimal`, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. If `input_decimal` is not a decimal number, fail serialization.
2. If `input_decimal` has more than three significant digits to the right of the decimal point, round it to three decimal places, rounding the final digit to the nearest value, or to the even value if it is equidistant.
3. If `input_decimal` has more than 12 significant digits to the left of the decimal point after rounding, fail serialization.
4. Let output be an empty string.
5. If `input_decimal` is less than (but not equal to) 0, append "-" to output.
6. Append `input_decimal`'s integer component represented in base 10 (using only decimal digits) to output; if it is zero, append "0".
7. Append "." to output.
8. If `input_decimal`'s fractional component is zero, append "0" to output.
9. Otherwise, append the significant digits of `input_decimal`'s fractional component represented in base 10 (using only decimal digits) to output.
10. Return output.

#### **4.1.6. Serializing a String**

Given a String as `input_string`, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Convert `input_string` into a sequence of ASCII characters; if conversion fails, fail serialization.



2. If `input_string` contains characters in the range `%x00-1f` or `%x7f` (i.e., not in `VCHAR` or `SP`), fail serialization.
3. Let `output` be an empty string.
4. Append `DQUOTE` to `output`.
5. For each character `char` in `input_string`:
  1. If `char` is `"\"` or `DQUOTE`:
    1. Append `"\"` to `output`.
    2. Append `char` to `output`.
6. Append `DQUOTE` to `output`.
7. Return `output`.

#### **4.1.7. Serializing a Token**

Given a Token as `input_token`, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. Convert `input_token` into a sequence of ASCII characters; if conversion fails, fail serialization.
2. If the first character of `input_token` is not ALPHA or `"*"`, or the remaining portion contains a character not in `tchar`, `":"` or `"/"`, fail serialization.
3. Let `output` be an empty string.
4. Append `input_token` to `output`.
5. Return `output`.

#### **4.1.8. Serializing a Byte Sequence**

Given a Byte Sequence as `input_bytes`, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. If `input_bytes` is not a sequence of bytes, fail serialization.
2. Let `output` be an empty string.
3. Append `":"` to `output`.





4. Append the result of base64-encoding `input_bytes` as per [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 4](#), taking account of the requirements below.
5. Append ":" to output.
6. Return output.

The encoded data is required to be padded with "=", as per [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 3.2](#).

Likewise, encoded data SHOULD have pad bits set to zero, as per [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 3.5](#), unless it is not possible to do so due to implementation constraints.

#### **[4.1.9](#). Serializing a Boolean**

Given a Boolean as `input_boolean`, return an ASCII string suitable for use in a HTTP field value.

1. If `input_boolean` is not a boolean, fail serialization.
2. Let output be an empty string.
3. Append "?" to output.
4. If `input_boolean` is true, append "1" to output.
5. If `input_boolean` is false, append "0" to output.
6. Return output.

#### **[4.2](#). Parsing Structured Fields**

When a receiving implementation parses HTTP fields that are known to be Structured Fields, it is important that care be taken, as there are a number of edge cases that can cause interoperability or even security problems. This section specifies the algorithm for doing so.

Given an array of bytes `input_bytes` that represents the chosen field's field-value (which is empty if that field is not present), and `field_type` (one of "dictionary", "list", or "item"), return the parsed header value.

1. Convert `input_bytes` into an ASCII string `input_string`; if conversion fails, fail parsing.
2. Discard any leading SP characters from `input_string`.



3. If `field_type` is "list", let output be the result of running Parsing a List ([Section 4.2.1](#)) with `input_string`.
4. If `field_type` is "dictionary", let output be the result of running Parsing a Dictionary ([Section 4.2.2](#)) with `input_string`.
5. If `field_type` is "item", let output be the result of running Parsing an Item ([Section 4.2.3](#)) with `input_string`.
6. Discard any leading SP characters from `input_string`.
7. If `input_string` is not empty, fail parsing.
8. Otherwise, return output.

When generating `input_bytes`, parsers MUST combine all lines in the same section (header or trailer) that case-insensitively match the field name into one comma-separated field-value, as per [\[RFC7230\]](#), [Section 3.2.2](#); this assures that the entire field value is processed correctly.

For Lists and Dictionaries, this has the effect of correctly concatenating all of the field's lines, as long as individual members of the top-level data structure are not split across multiple header instances.

Strings split across multiple field lines will have unpredictable results, because comma(s) and whitespace inserted upon combination will become part of the string output by the parser. Since concatenation might be done by an upstream intermediary, the results are not under the control of the serializer or the parser.

Tokens, Integers, Decimals and Byte Sequences cannot be split across multiple field lines because the inserted commas will cause parsing to fail.

If parsing fails - including when calling another algorithm - the entire field value MUST be ignored (i.e., treated as if the field were not present in the section). This is intentionally strict, to improve interoperability and safety, and specifications referencing this document are not allowed to loosen this requirement.

Note that this requirement does not apply to an implementation that is not parsing the field; for example, an intermediary is not required to strip a failing header field from a message before forwarding it.



#### **4.2.1. Parsing a List**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return an array of (`item_or_inner_list`, `parameters`) tuples. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let `members` be an empty array.
2. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Append the result of running Parsing an Item or Inner List ([Section 4.2.1.1](#)) with `input_string` to `members`.
  2. Discard any leading SP characters from `input_string`.
  3. If `input_string` is empty, return `members`.
  4. Consume the first character of `input_string`; if it is not `",`, fail parsing.
  5. Discard any leading SP characters from `input_string`.
  6. If `input_string` is empty, there is a trailing comma; fail parsing.
3. No structured data has been found; return `members` (which is empty).

##### **4.2.1.1. Parsing an Item or Inner List**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return the tuple (`item_or_inner_list`, `parameters`), where `item_or_inner_list` can be either a single bare item, or an array of (`bare_item`, `parameters`) tuples. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is `"`, return the result of running Parsing an Inner List ([Section 4.2.1.2](#)) with `input_string`.
2. Return the result of running Parsing an Item ([Section 4.2.3](#)) with `input_string`.

##### **4.2.1.2. Parsing an Inner List**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return the tuple (`inner_list`, `parameters`), where `inner_list` is an array of (`bare_item`, `parameters`) tuples. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.



1. Consume the first character of `input_string`; if it is not "(", fail parsing.
2. Let `inner_list` be an empty array.
3. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Discard any leading SP characters from `input_string`.
  2. If the first character of `input_string` is ")":
    1. Consume the first character of `input_string`.
    2. Let `parameters` be the result of running Parsing Parameters ([Section 4.2.3.2](#)) with `input_string`.
    3. Return the tuple (`inner_list`, `parameters`).
  3. Let `item` be the result of running Parsing an Item ([Section 4.2.3](#)) with `input_string`.
  4. Append `item` to `inner_list`.
  5. If the first character of `input_string` is not SP or ")", fail parsing.
4. The end of the inner list was not found; fail parsing.

#### **[4.2.2](#). Parsing a Dictionary**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return an ordered map whose values are (`item_or_inner_list`, `parameters`) tuples. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let `dictionary` be an empty, ordered map.
2. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Let `this_key` be the result of running Parsing a Key ([Section 4.2.3.3](#)) with `input_string`.
  2. If the first character of `input_string` is "":
    1. Consume the first character of `input_string`.
    2. Let `member` be the result of running Parsing an Item or Inner List ([Section 4.2.1.1](#)) with `input_string`.





3. Otherwise:
  1. Let value be Boolean true.
  2. Let parameters be the result of running Parsing Parameters [Section 4.2.3.2](#) with input\_string.
  3. Let member be the tuple (value, parameters).
  4. Add name this\_key with value member to dictionary. If dictionary already contains a name this\_key (comparing character-for-character), overwrite its value.
  5. Discard any leading SP characters from input\_string.
  6. If input\_string is empty, return dictionary.
  7. Consume the first character of input\_string; if it is not ",", fail parsing.
  8. Discard any leading SP characters from input\_string.
  9. If input\_string is empty, there is a trailing comma; fail parsing.
3. No structured data has been found; return dictionary (which is empty).

#### **[4.2.3.](#) Parsing an Item**

Given an ASCII string as input\_string, return a (bare\_item, parameters) tuple. input\_string is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let bare\_item be the result of running Parsing a Bare Item ([Section 4.2.3.1](#)) with input\_string.
2. Let parameters be the result of running Parsing Parameters ([Section 4.2.3.2](#)) with input\_string.
3. Return the tuple (bare\_item, parameters).

##### **[4.2.3.1.](#) Parsing a Bare Item**

Given an ASCII string as input\_string, return a bare Item. input\_string is modified to remove the parsed value.



1. If the first character of `input_string` is a `"-"` or a `DIGIT`, return the result of running Parsing an Integer or Decimal ([Section 4.2.4](#)) with `input_string`.
2. If the first character of `input_string` is a `DQUOTE`, return the result of running Parsing a String ([Section 4.2.5](#)) with `input_string`.
3. If the first character of `input_string` is `":"`, return the result of running Parsing a Byte Sequence ([Section 4.2.7](#)) with `input_string`.
4. If the first character of `input_string` is `"?"`, return the result of running Parsing a Boolean ([Section 4.2.8](#)) with `input_string`.
5. If the first character of `input_string` is an `ALPHA` or `"*"`, return the result of running Parsing a Token ([Section 4.2.6](#)) with `input_string`.
6. Otherwise, the item type is unrecognized; fail parsing.

#### [4.2.3.2](#). Parsing Parameters

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return an ordered map whose values are bare Items. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let `parameters` be an empty, ordered map.
2. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. If the first character of `input_string` is not `";"`, exit the loop.
  2. Consume a `;"` character from the beginning of `input_string`.
  3. Discard any leading SP characters from `input_string`.
  4. let `param_name` be the result of running Parsing a Key ([Section 4.2.3.3](#)) with `input_string`.
  5. Let `param_value` be Boolean true.
  6. If the first character of `input_string` is `"="`:
    1. Consume the `"="` character at the beginning of `input_string`.



2. Let `param_value` be the result of running Parsing a Bare Item ([Section 4.2.3.1](#)) with `input_string`.
  7. Append key `param_name` with value `param_value` to `parameters`. If `parameters` already contains a name `param_name` (comparing character-for-character), overwrite its value.
3. Return `parameters`.

#### **[4.2.3.3](#). Parsing a Key**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return a key. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not `lcalpha` or `"*"`, fail parsing.
2. Let `output_string` be an empty string.
3. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. If the first character of `input_string` is not one of `lcalpha`, `DIGIT`, `"_"`, `"-"`, `"."`, or `"*"`, return `output_string`.
  2. Let `char` be the result of consuming the first character of `input_string`.
  3. Append `char` to `output_string`.
4. Return `output_string`.

#### **[4.2.4](#). Parsing an Integer or Decimal**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return an Integer or Decimal. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

NOTE: This algorithm parses both Integers ([Section 3.3.1](#)) and Decimals ([Section 3.3.2](#)), and returns the corresponding structure.

1. Let `type` be `"integer"`.
2. Let `sign` be 1.
3. Let `input_number` be an empty string.
4. If the first character of `input_string` is `"-"`, consume it and set `sign` to -1.



5. If `input_string` is empty, there is an empty integer; fail parsing.
6. If the first character of `input_string` is not a DIGIT, fail parsing.
7. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Let `char` be the result of consuming the first character of `input_string`.
  2. If `char` is a DIGIT, append it to `input_number`.
  3. Else, if type is "integer" and `char` is ".":
    1. If `input_number` contains more than 12 characters, fail parsing.
    2. Otherwise, append `char` to `input_number` and set type to "decimal".
  4. Otherwise, prepend `char` to `input_string`, and exit the loop.
  5. If type is "integer" and `input_number` contains more than 15 characters, fail parsing.
  6. If type is "decimal" and `input_number` contains more than 16 characters, fail parsing.
8. If type is "integer":
  1. Parse `input_number` as an integer and let `output_number` be the product of the result and sign.
  2. If `output_number` is outside the range -999,999,999,999,999 to 999,999,999,999,999 inclusive, fail parsing.
9. Otherwise:
  1. If the final character of `input_number` is ".", fail parsing.
  2. If the number of characters after "." in `input_number` is greater than three, fail parsing.
  3. Parse `input_number` as a decimal number and let `output_number` be the product of the result and sign.
10. Return `output_number`.





#### **4.2.5. Parsing a String**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return an unquoted String. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. Let `output_string` be an empty string.
2. If the first character of `input_string` is not `DQUOTE`, fail parsing.
3. Discard the first character of `input_string`.
4. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. Let `char` be the result of consuming the first character of `input_string`.
  2. If `char` is a backslash (`"\"`):
    1. If `input_string` is now empty, fail parsing.
    2. Let `next_char` be the result of consuming the first character of `input_string`.
    3. If `next_char` is not `DQUOTE` or `"\"`, fail parsing.
    4. Append `next_char` to `output_string`.
  3. Else, if `char` is `DQUOTE`, return `output_string`.
  4. Else, if `char` is in the range `%x00-1f` or `%x7f` (i.e., is not in `VCHAR` or `SP`), fail parsing.
  5. Else, append `char` to `output_string`.
5. Reached the end of `input_string` without finding a closing `DQUOTE`; fail parsing.

#### **4.2.6. Parsing a Token**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return a Token. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not ALPHA or `"*"`, fail parsing.
2. Let `output_string` be an empty string.



3. While `input_string` is not empty:
  1. If the first character of `input_string` is not in `tchar`, ":" or "/", return `output_string`.
  2. Let `char` be the result of consuming the first character of `input_string`.
  3. Append `char` to `output_string`.
4. Return `output_string`.

#### **4.2.7. Parsing a Byte Sequence**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return a Byte Sequence.  
`input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not ":", fail parsing.
2. Discard the first character of `input_string`.
3. If there is not a ":" character before the end of `input_string`, fail parsing.
4. Let `b64_content` be the result of consuming content of `input_string` up to but not including the first instance of the character ":".
5. Consume the ":" character at the beginning of `input_string`.
6. If `b64_content` contains a character not included in ALPHA, DIGIT, "+", "/" and "=", fail parsing.
7. Let `binary_content` be the result of Base 64 Decoding [[RFC4648](#)] `b64_content`, synthesizing padding if necessary (note the requirements about recipient behavior below).
8. Return `binary_content`.

Because some implementations of base64 do not allow reject of encoded data that is not properly "=" padded (see [[RFC4648](#), [Section 3.2](#)]), parsers SHOULD NOT fail when it is not present, unless they cannot be configured to do so.

Because some implementations of base64 do not allow rejection of encoded data that has non-zero pad bits (see [[RFC4648](#), [Section 3.5](#)]), parsers SHOULD NOT fail when it is present, unless they cannot be configured to do so.



This specification does not relax the requirements in [\[RFC4648\]](#), [Section 3.1](#) and 3.3; therefore, parsers MUST fail on characters outside the base64 alphabet, and on line feeds in encoded data.

#### **4.2.8. Parsing a Boolean**

Given an ASCII string as `input_string`, return a Boolean. `input_string` is modified to remove the parsed value.

1. If the first character of `input_string` is not "?", fail parsing.
2. Discard the first character of `input_string`.
3. If the first character of `input_string` matches "1", discard the first character, and return true.
4. If the first character of `input_string` matches "0", discard the first character, and return false.
5. No value has matched; fail parsing.

### **5. IANA Considerations**

This document has no actions for IANA.

### **6. Security Considerations**

The size of most types defined by Structured Fields is not limited; as a result, extremely large fields could be an attack vector (e.g., for resource consumption). Most HTTP implementations limit the sizes of individual fields as well as the overall header or trailer section size to mitigate such attacks.

It is possible for parties with the ability to inject new HTTP fields to change the meaning of a Structured Field. In some circumstances, this will cause parsing to fail, but it is not possible to reliably fail in all such circumstances.

### **7. References**

#### **7.1. Normative References**

- [RFC0020] Cerf, V., "ASCII format for network interchange", STD 80, [RFC 20](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC0020, October 1969, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc20>>.



- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4648, October 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4648>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

## 7.2. Informative References

- [IEEE754] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic", IEEE 754-2019, DOI 10.1109/IEEESTD.2019.8766229, ISBN 978-1-5044-5924-2, July 2019, <<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8766229>>.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", [RFC 7231](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7231, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7231>>.
- [RFC7493] Bray, T., Ed., "The I-JSON Message Format", [RFC 7493](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7493, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7493>>.
- [RFC7540] Belshe, M., Peon, R., and M. Thomson, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2)", [RFC 7540](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7540, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7540>>.
- [RFC7541] Peon, R. and H. Ruellan, "HPACK: Header Compression for HTTP/2", [RFC 7541](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7541, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7541>>.





- [RFC8259] Bray, T., Ed., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", STD 90, [RFC 8259](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8259, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8259>>.
- [UTF-8] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, [RFC 3629](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3629, November 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/std63>>.

### **[7.3.](#) URIs**

- [1] <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/ietf-http-wg/>
- [2] <https://httpwg.github.io/>
- [3] <https://github.com/httpwg/http-extensions/labels/header-structure>
- [4] <https://github.com/httpwg/structured-header-tests>
- [5] <https://github.com/httpwg/wiki/wiki/Structured-Headers>
- [6] <https://github.com/httpwg/structured-header-tests>

## **[Appendix A.](#) Frequently Asked Questions**

### **[A.1.](#) Why not JSON?**

Earlier proposals for Structured Fields were based upon JSON [[RFC8259](#)]. However, constraining its use to make it suitable for HTTP header fields required senders and recipients to implement specific additional handling.

For example, JSON has specification issues around large numbers and objects with duplicate members. Although advice for avoiding these issues is available (e.g., [[RFC7493](#)]), it cannot be relied upon.

Likewise, JSON strings are by default Unicode strings, which have a number of potential interoperability issues (e.g., in comparison). Although implementers can be advised to avoid non-ASCII content where unnecessary, this is difficult to enforce.

Another example is JSON's ability to nest content to arbitrary depths. Since the resulting memory commitment might be unsuitable (e.g., in embedded and other limited server deployments), it's necessary to limit it in some fashion; however, existing JSON implementations have no such limits, and even if a limit is specified, it's likely that some field definition will find a need to violate it.



Because of JSON's broad adoption and implementation, it is difficult to impose such additional constraints across all implementations; some deployments would fail to enforce them, thereby harming interoperability. In short, if it looks like JSON, people will be tempted to use a JSON parser / serializer on field values.

Since a major goal for Structured Fields is to improve interoperability and simplify implementation, these concerns led to a format that requires a dedicated parser and serializer.

Additionally, there were widely shared feelings that JSON doesn't "look right" in HTTP fields.

## **Appendix B. Implementation Notes**

A generic implementation of this specification should expose the top-level `serialize` ([Section 4.1](#)) and `parse` ([Section 4.2](#)) functions. They need not be functions; for example, it could be implemented as an object, with methods for each of the different top-level types.

For interoperability, it's important that generic implementations be complete and follow the algorithms closely; see [Section 1.1](#). To aid this, a common test suite is being maintained by the community at <https://github.com/httpwg/structured-header-tests> [6].

Implementers should note that Dictionaries and Parameters are order-preserving maps. Some fields may not convey meaning in the ordering of these data types, but it should still be exposed so that applications which need to use it will have it available.

Likewise, implementations should note that it's important to preserve the distinction between Tokens and Strings. While most programming languages have native types that map to the other types well, it may be necessary to create a wrapper "token" object or use a parameter on functions to assure that these types remain separate.

The serialization algorithm is defined in a way that it is not strictly limited to the data types defined in [Section 3](#) in every case. For example, Decimals are designed to take broader input and round to allowed values.

## **Appendix C. Changes**

\_RFC Editor: Please remove this section before publication.\_



**C.1. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-15](#)**

- o Editorial improvements.
- o Use HTTP field terminology more consistently, in line with recent changes to HTTP-core.
- o String length requirements apply to decoded strings (#1051).
- o Correctly round decimals in serialisation (#1043).
- o Clarify input to serialisation algorithms (#1055).
- o Omitted True dictionary value can have parameters (#1083).
- o Keys can now start with '\*' (#1068).

**C.2. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-14](#)**

- o Editorial improvements.
- o Allow empty dictionary values (#992).
- o Change value of omitted parameter value to True (#995).
- o Explain more about splitting dictionaries and lists across header instances (#997).
- o Disallow HTAB, replace OWS with spaces (#998).
- o Change byte sequence delimiters from "\*" to ":" (#991).
- o Allow tokens to start with "\*" (#991).
- o Change Floats to fixed-precision Decimals (#982).
- o Round the fractional component of decimal, rather than truncating it (#982).
- o Handle duplicate dictionary and parameter keys by overwriting their values, rather than failing (#997).
- o Allow "." in key (#1027).
- o Check first character of key in serialisation (#1037).
- o Talk about greasing headers (#1015).



**C.3. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-13](#)**

- o Editorial improvements.
- o Define "structured header name" and "structured header value" terms (#908).
- o Corrected text about valid characters in strings (#931).
- o Removed most instances of the word "textual", as it was redundant (#915).
- o Allowed parameters on Items and Inner Lists (#907).
- o Expand the range of characters in token (#961).
- o Disallow OWS before ";" delimiter in parameters (#961).

**C.4. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-12](#)**

- o Editorial improvements.
- o Reworked float serialisation (#896).
- o Don't add a trailing space in inner-list (#904).

**C.5. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-11](#)**

- o Allow \* in key (#844).
- o Constrain floats to six digits of precision (#848).
- o Allow dictionary members to have parameters (#842).

**C.6. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-10](#)**

- o Update abstract (#799).
- o Input and output are now arrays of bytes (#662).
- o Implementations need to preserve difference between token and string (#790).
- o Allow empty dictionaries and lists (#781).
- o Change parameterized lists to have primary items (#797).





- o Allow inner lists in both dictionaries and lists; removes lists of lists (#816).
- o Subsume Parameterised Lists into Lists (#839).

**C.7. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-09](#)**

- o Changed Boolean from T/F to 1/0 (#784).
- o Parameters are now ordered maps (#765).
- o Clamp integers to 15 digits (#737).

**C.8. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-08](#)**

- o Disallow whitespace before items properly (#703).
- o Created "key" for use in dictionaries and parameters, rather than relying on identifier (#702). Identifiers have a separate minimum supported size.
- o Expanded the range of special characters allowed in identifier to include all of ALPHA, ".", ":", and "%" (#702).
- o Use "?" instead of "!" to indicate a Boolean (#719).
- o Added "Intentionally Strict Processing" (#684).
- o Gave better names for referring specs to use in Parameterised Lists (#720).
- o Added Lists of Lists (#721).
- o Rename Identifier to Token (#725).
- o Add implementation guidance (#727).

**C.9. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-07](#)**

- o Make Dictionaries ordered mappings (#659).
- o Changed "binary content" to "byte sequence" to align with Infra specification (#671).
- o Changed "mapping" to "map" for #671.
- o Don't fail if byte sequences aren't "=" padded (#658).



- o Add Booleans (#683).
- o Allow identifiers in items again (#629).
- o Disallowed whitespace before items (#703).
- o Explain the consequences of splitting a string across multiple headers (#686).

**C.10.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-06](#)

- o Add a FAQ.
- o Allow non-zero pad bits.
- o Explicitly check for integers that violate constraints.

**C.11.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-05](#)

- o Reorganise specification to separate parsing out.
- o Allow referencing specs to use ABNF.
- o Define serialisation algorithms.
- o Refine relationship between ABNF, parsing and serialisation algorithms.

**C.12.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-04](#)

- o Remove identifiers from item.
- o Remove most limits on sizes.
- o Refine number parsing.

**C.13.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-03](#)

- o Strengthen language around failure handling.

**C.14.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-02](#)

- o Split Numbers into Integers and Floats.
- o Define number parsing.
- o Tighten up binary parsing and give it an explicit end delimiter.



- o Clarify that mappings are unordered.
- o Allow zero-length strings.
- o Improve string parsing algorithm.
- o Improve limits in algorithms.
- o Require parsers to combine header fields before processing.
- o Throw an error on trailing garbage.

**C.15.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-01](#)

- o Replaced with [draft-nottingham-structured-headers](#).

**C.16.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-header-structure-00](#)

- o Added signed 64bit integer type.
- o Drop UTF8, and settle on [BCP137](#) ::EmbeddedUnicodeChar for h1-unicode-string.
- o Change h1\_blob delimiter to ":" since '"' is valid t\_char

#### Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Matthew Kerwin for his detailed feedback and careful consideration during the development of this specification.

Thanks also to Ian Clelland, Roy Fielding, Anne van Kesteren, Kazuho Oku, Evert Pot, Julian Reschke, Martin Thomson, Mike West, and Jeffrey Yasskin for their contributions.

#### Authors' Addresses

Mark Nottingham  
Fastly

Email: [mnot@mnot.net](mailto:mnot@mnot.net)  
URI: <https://www.mnot.net/>

Poul-Henning Kamp  
The Varnish Cache Project

Email: [phk@varnish-cache.org](mailto:phk@varnish-cache.org)

