

HTTPbis Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Obsoletes: [2616](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: January 14, 2010

R. Fielding, Ed.  
Day Software  
J. Gettys  
One Laptop per Child  
J. Mogul  
HP  
H. Frystyk  
Microsoft  
L. Masinter  
Adobe Systems  
P. Leach  
Microsoft  
T. Berners-Lee  
W3C/MIT  
Y. Lafon, Ed.  
W3C  
J. Reschke, Ed.  
greenbytes  
July 13, 2009

HTTP/1.1, part 5: Range Requests and Partial Responses  
draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-07

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#). This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 14, 2010.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

#### Abstract

The Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is an application-level protocol for distributed, collaborative, hypermedia information systems. HTTP has been in use by the World Wide Web global information initiative since 1990. This document is Part 5 of the seven-part specification that defines the protocol referred to as "HTTP/1.1" and, taken together, obsoletes [RFC 2616](#). Part 5 defines range-specific requests and the rules for constructing and combining responses to those requests.

#### Editorial Note (To be removed by RFC Editor)

Discussion of this draft should take place on the HTTPBIS working group mailing list ([ietf-http-wg@w3.org](mailto:ietf-http-wg@w3.org)). The current issues list is at <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/report/11> and related documents (including fancy diffs) can be found at <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/>.

The changes in this draft are summarized in [Appendix D.8](#).

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Requirements . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	Syntax Notation . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.2.1.</a>	Core Rules . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
1.2.2.	ABNF Rules defined in other Parts of the Specification . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Range Units . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Status Code Definitions . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	206 Partial Content . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	416 Requested Range Not Satisfiable . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Combining Ranges . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Header Field Definitions . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Accept-Ranges . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Content-Range . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.3.</a>	If-Range . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.4.</a>	Range . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.4.1.</a>	Byte Ranges . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.4.2.</a>	Range Retrieval Requests . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	Message Header Registration . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Acknowledgments . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">9.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">9.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Internet Media Type multipart/byteranges . . . . .	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">Appendix B.</a>	Compatibility with Previous Versions . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">B.1.</a>	Changes from <a href="#">RFC 2068</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">B.2.</a>	Changes from <a href="#">RFC 2616</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Appendix C.</a>	Collected ABNF . . . . .	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Appendix D.</a>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication) . . . . .	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">D.1.</a>	Since <a href="#">RFC2616</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">D.2.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-00</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">20</a>

<a href="#">D.3.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-01</a>	20
<a href="#">D.4.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-02</a>	20
<a href="#">D.5.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-03</a>	20
<a href="#">D.6.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-04</a>	20
<a href="#">D.7.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-05</a>	21
<a href="#">D.8.</a>	Since <a href="#">draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-06</a>	21
Index		21
Authors' Addresses		23

## [1.](#) Introduction

HTTP clients often encounter interrupted data transfers as a result of cancelled requests or dropped connections. When a cache has stored a partial representation, it is desirable to request the remainder of that representation in a subsequent request rather than transfer the entire representation. There are also a number of Web applications that benefit from being able to request only a subset of a larger representation, such as a single page of a very large document or only part of an image to be rendered by a device with limited local storage.

This document defines HTTP/1.1 range requests, partial responses, and the multipart/byteranges media type. The protocol for range requests is an OPTIONAL feature of HTTP, designed so resources or recipients that do not implement this feature can respond as if it is a normal GET request without impacting interoperability. Partial responses are indicated by a distinct status code to not be mistaken for full responses by intermediate caches that might not implement the feature.

Although the HTTP range request mechanism is designed to allow for extensible range types, this specification only defines requests for byte ranges.

### [1.1.](#) Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this

document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

An implementation is not compliant if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements for the protocols it implements. An implementation that satisfies all the MUST or REQUIRED level and all the SHOULD level requirements for its protocols is said to be "unconditionally compliant"; one that satisfies all the MUST level requirements but not all the SHOULD level requirements for its protocols is said to be "conditionally compliant."

## [1.2.](#) Syntax Notation

This specification uses the ABNF syntax defined in Section 1.2 of [[Part1](#)] (which extends the syntax defined in [[RFC5234](#)] with a list rule). [Appendix C](#) shows the collected ABNF, with the list rule expanded.

The following core rules are included by reference, as defined in

Fielding, et al.

Expires January 14, 2010

[Page 4]

---

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

[\[RFC5234\]](#), [Appendix B.1](#): ALPHA (letters), CR (carriage return), CRLF (CR LF), CTL (controls), DIGIT (decimal 0-9), DQUOTE (double quote), HEXDIG (hexadecimal 0-9/A-F/a-f), LF (line feed), OCTET (any 8-bit sequence of data), SP (space), VCHAR (any visible USASCII character), and WSP (whitespace).

### [1.2.1.](#) Core Rules

The core rules below are defined in Section 1.2.2 of [[Part1](#)]:

token = <token, defined in [[Part1](#)], Section 1.2.2>  
OWS = <OWS, defined in [[Part1](#)], Section 1.2.2>

### [1.2.2.](#) ABNF Rules defined in other Parts of the Specification

The ABNF rules below are defined in other parts:

HTTP-date = <HTTP-date, defined in [[Part1](#)], Section 3.2>

entity-tag = <entity-tag, defined in [[Part4](#)], Section 2>

## 2. Range Units

HTTP/1.1 allows a client to request that only part (a range of) the response entity be included within the response. HTTP/1.1 uses range units in the Range ([Section 5.4](#)) and Content-Range ([Section 5.2](#)) header fields. An entity can be broken down into subranges according to various structural units.

```
range-unit      = bytes-unit / other-range-unit
bytes-unit      = "bytes"
other-range-unit = token
```

HTTP/1.1 has been designed to allow implementations of applications that do not depend on knowledge of ranges. The only range unit defined by HTTP/1.1 is "bytes".

If a range unit is not understood in a request, a server **MUST** ignore the whole Range header ([Section 5.4](#)). If a range unit is not understood in a response, an intermediary **SHOULD** pass the response to the client; a client **MUST** fail.

## 3. Status Code Definitions

### 3.1. 206 Partial Content

The server has fulfilled the partial GET request for the resource. The request **MUST** have included a Range header field ([Section 5.4](#)) indicating the desired range, and **MAY** have included an If-Range header field ([Section 5.3](#)) to make the request conditional.

The response **MUST** include the following header fields:

- o Either a Content-Range header field ([Section 5.2](#)) indicating the range included with this response, or a multipart/byteranges Content-Type including Content-Range fields for each part. If a Content-Length header field is present in the response, its value **MUST** match the actual number of OCTETs transmitted in the message-body.

- o Date
- o ETag and/or Content-Location, if the header would have been sent in a 200 response to the same request
- o Expires, Cache-Control, and/or Vary, if the field-value might differ from that sent in any previous response for the same variant

If the 206 response is the result of an If-Range request, the response SHOULD NOT include other entity-headers. Otherwise, the response MUST include all of the entity-headers that would have been returned with a 200 (OK) response to the same request.

A cache MUST NOT combine a 206 response with other previously cached content if the ETag or Last-Modified headers do not match exactly, see [Section 4](#).

A cache that does not support the Range and Content-Range headers MUST NOT cache 206 (Partial Content) responses. Furthermore, if a response uses a range unit that is not understood by the cache, then it MUST NOT be cached either.

### [3.2](#). 416 Requested Range Not Satisfiable

A server SHOULD return a response with this status code if a request included a Range request-header field ([Section 5.4](#)), and none of the ranges-specifier values in this field overlap the current extent of the selected resource, and the request did not include an If-Range request-header field. (For byte-ranges, this means that the first-byte-pos of all of the byte-range-spec values were greater than the current length of the selected resource.)

When this status code is returned for a byte-range request, the response SHOULD include a Content-Range entity-header field specifying the current length of the selected resource (see [Section 5.2](#)). This response MUST NOT use the multipart/byteranges content-type.

## [4](#). Combining Ranges

A response might transfer only a subrange of an entity-body, either the request included one or more Range specifications, or because a connection was broken prematurely. After several such transfers, a cache might have received several ranges of the same entity-body.

If a cache has a stored non-empty set of subranges for an entity, and an incoming response transfers another subrange, the cache MAY combine the new subrange with the existing set if both the following conditions are met:

- o Both the incoming response and the cache entry have a cache validator.
- o The two cache validators match using the strong comparison function (see Section 4 of [[Part4](#)]).

If either requirement is not met, the cache MUST use only the most recent partial response (based on the Date values transmitted with every response, and using the incoming response if these values are equal or missing), and MUST discard the other partial information.

## [5.](#) Header Field Definitions

This section defines the syntax and semantics of HTTP/1.1 header fields related to range requests and partial responses.

For entity-header fields, both sender and recipient refer to either the client or the server, depending on who sends and who receives the entity.

### [5.1.](#) Accept-Ranges

The response-header "Accept-Ranges" field allows the server to indicate its acceptance of range requests for a resource:

```
Accept-Ranges      = "Accept-Ranges" ":" OWS Accept-Ranges-v
Accept-Ranges-v   = acceptable-ranges
acceptable-ranges = 1#range-unit / "none"
```



Accept-Ranges: bytes

but are not required to do so. Clients MAY generate range requests without having received this header for the resource involved. Range units are defined in [Section 2](#).

Servers that do not accept any kind of range request for a resource MAY send

Accept-Ranges: none

to advise the client not to attempt a range request.

## 5.2. Content-Range

The entity-header "Content-Range" is sent with a partial entity-body to specify where in the full entity-body the partial body should be applied. Range units are defined in [Section 2](#).

Content-Range = "Content-Range" ":" OWS Content-Range-v  
Content-Range-v = content-range-spec

content-range-spec = byte-content-range-spec  
/ other-content-range-spec  
byte-content-range-spec = bytes-unit SP  
byte-range-resp-spec "/"  
( instance-length / "\*" )

byte-range-resp-spec = (first-byte-pos "-" last-byte-pos)  
/ "\*"

instance-length = 1\*DIGIT

other-content-range-spec = other-range-unit SP  
other-range-resp-spec  
other-range-resp-spec = \*CHAR

The header SHOULD indicate the total length of the full entity-body, unless this length is unknown or difficult to determine. The asterisk "\*" character means that the instance-length is unknown at the time when the response was generated.

Unlike byte-ranges-specifier values (see [Section 5.4.1](#)), a byte-range-resp-spec MUST only specify one range, and MUST contain absolute byte positions for both the first and last byte of the range.

A byte-content-range-spec with a byte-range-resp-spec whose last-byte-pos value is less than its first-byte-pos value, or whose instance-length value is less than or equal to its last-byte-pos value, is invalid. The recipient of an invalid byte-content-range-spec MUST ignore it and any content transferred along with it.

In the case of a byte range request: A server sending a response with status code 416 (Requested range not satisfiable) SHOULD include a Content-Range field with a byte-range-resp-spec of "\*". The instance-length specifies the current length of the selected resource. A response with status code 206 (Partial Content) MUST NOT include a Content-Range field with a byte-range-resp-spec of "\*".

Examples of byte-content-range-spec values, assuming that the entity contains a total of 1234 bytes:

- o The first 500 bytes:  
bytes 0-499/1234
- o The second 500 bytes:  
bytes 500-999/1234
- o All except for the first 500 bytes:  
bytes 500-1233/1234
- o The last 500 bytes:  
bytes 734-1233/1234

When an HTTP message includes the content of a single range (for example, a response to a request for a single range, or to a request for a set of ranges that overlap without any holes), this content is transmitted with a Content-Range header, and a Content-Length header showing the number of bytes actually transferred. For example,

```
HTTP/1.1 206 Partial Content
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 1995 06:25:24 GMT
Last-Modified: Wed, 15 Nov 1995 04:58:08 GMT
Content-Range: bytes 21010-47021/47022
Content-Length: 26012
Content-Type: image/gif
```

When an HTTP message includes the content of multiple ranges (for

example, a response to a request for multiple non-overlapping ranges), these are transmitted as a multipart message. The multipart

media type used for this purpose is "multipart/byteranges" as defined in [Appendix A](#). See [Appendix B.1](#) for a compatibility issue.

A response to a request for a single range MUST NOT be sent using the multipart/byteranges media type. A response to a request for multiple ranges, whose result is a single range, MAY be sent as a multipart/byteranges media type with one part. A client that cannot decode a multipart/byteranges message MUST NOT ask for multiple ranges in a single request.

When a client requests multiple byte-ranges in one request, the server SHOULD return them in the order that they appeared in the request.

If the server ignores a byte-range-spec because it is syntactically invalid, the server SHOULD treat the request as if the invalid Range header field did not exist. (Normally, this means return a 200 response containing the full entity).

If the server receives a request (other than one including an If-Range request-header field) with an unsatisfiable Range request-header field (that is, all of whose byte-range-spec values have a first-byte-pos value greater than the current length of the selected resource), it SHOULD return a response code of 416 (Requested range not satisfiable) ([Section 3.2](#)).

Note: clients cannot depend on servers to send a 416 (Requested range not satisfiable) response instead of a 200 (OK) response for an unsatisfiable Range request-header, since not all servers implement this request-header.

### [5.3](#). If-Range

If a client has a partial copy of an entity in its cache, and wishes to have an up-to-date copy of the entire entity in its cache, it could use the Range request-header with a conditional GET (using either or both of If-Unmodified-Since and If-Match.) However, if the condition fails because the entity has been modified, the client would then have to make a second request to obtain the entire current

entity-body.

The request header "If-Range" allows a client to "short-circuit" the second request. Informally, its meaning is 'if the entity is unchanged, send me the part(s) that I am missing; otherwise, send me the entire new entity'.

```
If-Range    = "If-Range" ":" OWS If-Range-v
If-Range-v  = entity-tag / HTTP-date
```

Fielding, et al.

Expires January 14, 2010

[Page 10]

---

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

If the client has no entity tag for an entity, but does have a Last-Modified date, it MAY use that date in an If-Range header. (The server can distinguish between a valid HTTP-date and any form of entity-tag by examining no more than two characters.) The If-Range header SHOULD only be used together with a Range header, and MUST be ignored if the request does not include a Range header, or if the server does not support the sub-range operation.

If the entity tag given in the If-Range header matches the current entity tag for the entity, then the server SHOULD provide the specified sub-range of the entity using a 206 (Partial Content) response. If the entity tag does not match, then the server SHOULD return the entire entity using a 200 (OK) response.

## [5.4.](#) Range

### [5.4.1.](#) Byte Ranges

Since all HTTP entities are represented in HTTP messages as sequences of bytes, the concept of a byte range is meaningful for any HTTP entity. (However, not all clients and servers need to support byte-range operations.)

Byte range specifications in HTTP apply to the sequence of bytes in the entity-body (not necessarily the same as the message-body).

A byte range operation MAY specify a single range of bytes, or a set of ranges within a single entity.

```
byte-ranges-specifier = bytes-unit "=" byte-range-set
byte-range-set       = 1#( byte-range-spec / suffix-byte-range-spec )
byte-range-spec      = first-byte-pos "-" [ last-byte-pos ]
```

first-byte-pos = 1\*DIGIT  
last-byte-pos = 1\*DIGIT

The first-byte-pos value in a byte-range-spec gives the byte-offset of the first byte in a range. The last-byte-pos value gives the byte-offset of the last byte in the range; that is, the byte positions specified are inclusive. Byte offsets start at zero.

If the last-byte-pos value is present, it MUST be greater than or equal to the first-byte-pos in that byte-range-spec, or the byte-range-spec is syntactically invalid. The recipient of a byte-range-set that includes one or more syntactically invalid byte-range-spec values MUST ignore the header field that includes that byte-range-set.

If the last-byte-pos value is absent, or if the value is greater than

or equal to the current length of the entity-body, last-byte-pos is taken to be equal to one less than the current length of the entity-body in bytes.

By its choice of last-byte-pos, a client can limit the number of bytes retrieved without knowing the size of the entity.

suffix-byte-range-spec = "-" suffix-length  
suffix-length = 1\*DIGIT

A suffix-byte-range-spec is used to specify the suffix of the entity-body, of a length given by the suffix-length value. (That is, this form specifies the last N bytes of an entity-body.) If the entity is shorter than the specified suffix-length, the entire entity-body is used.

If a syntactically valid byte-range-set includes at least one byte-range-spec whose first-byte-pos is less than the current length of the entity-body, or at least one suffix-byte-range-spec with a non-zero suffix-length, then the byte-range-set is satisfiable. Otherwise, the byte-range-set is unsatisfiable. If the byte-range-set is unsatisfiable, the server SHOULD return a response with a status of 416 (Requested range not satisfiable). Otherwise, the server SHOULD return a response with a status of 206 (Partial Content) containing the satisfiable ranges of the entity-body.

Examples of byte-ranges-specifier values (assuming an entity-body of length 10000):

- o The first 500 bytes (byte offsets 0-499, inclusive):

bytes=0-499

- o The second 500 bytes (byte offsets 500-999, inclusive):

bytes=500-999

- o The final 500 bytes (byte offsets 9500-9999, inclusive):

bytes=-500

Or:

bytes=9500-

- o The first and last bytes only (bytes 0 and 9999):

bytes=0-0,-1

- o Several legal but not canonical specifications of the second 500 bytes (byte offsets 500-999, inclusive):

bytes=500-600,601-999

bytes=500-700,601-999

#### [5.4.2.](#) Range Retrieval Requests

HTTP retrieval requests using conditional or unconditional GET methods MAY request one or more sub-ranges of the entity, instead of the entire entity, using the Range request header, which applies to the entity returned as the result of the request:

```
Range      = "Range" ":" OWS Range-v
Range-v    = byte-ranges-specifier
           / other-ranges-specifier
other-ranges-specifier = 1*CHAR
```

A server MAY ignore the Range header. However, HTTP/1.1 origin servers and intermediate caches ought to support byte ranges when possible, since Range supports efficient recovery from partially failed transfers, and supports efficient partial retrieval of large entities.

If the server supports the Range header and the specified range or ranges are appropriate for the entity:

- o The presence of a Range header in an unconditional GET modifies what is returned if the GET is otherwise successful. In other words, the response carries a status code of 206 (Partial Content) instead of 200 (OK).
- o The presence of a Range header in a conditional GET (a request using one or both of If-Modified-Since and If-None-Match, or one or both of If-Unmodified-Since and If-Match) modifies what is returned if the GET is otherwise successful and the condition is true. It does not affect the 304 (Not Modified) response returned if the conditional is false.

In some cases, it might be more appropriate to use the If-Range header (see [Section 5.3](#)) in addition to the Range header.

If a proxy that supports ranges receives a Range request, forwards the request to an inbound server, and receives an entire entity in reply, it SHOULD only return the requested range to its client. It SHOULD store the entire received response in its cache if that is consistent with its cache allocation policies.

## [6.](#) IANA Considerations

### [6.1.](#) Message Header Registration

The Message Header Registry located at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/message-headers/message-header-index.html> should be updated with the permanent registrations below (see [[RFC3864](#)]):

Header Field Name	Protocol	Status	Reference
-------------------	----------	--------	-----------

Accept-Ranges	http	standard	<a href="#">Section 5.1</a>
Content-Range	http	standard	<a href="#">Section 5.2</a>
If-Range	http	standard	<a href="#">Section 5.3</a>
Range	http	standard	<a href="#">Section 5.4</a>

The change controller is: "IETF (iesg@ietf.org) - Internet Engineering Task Force".

## [7.](#) Security Considerations

No additional security considerations have been identified beyond those applicable to HTTP in general [[Part1](#)].

## [8.](#) Acknowledgments

Most of the specification of ranges is based on work originally done by Ari Luotonen and John Franks, with additional input from Steve Zilles, Daniel W. Connolly, Roy T. Fielding, Jim Gettys, Martin Hamilton, Koen Holtman, Shel Kaplan, Paul Leach, Alex Lopez-Ortiz, Larry Masinter, Jeff Mogul, Lou Montulli, David W. Morris, Luigi Rizzo, and Bill Weihl.

## [9.](#) References

### [9.1.](#) Normative References

[Part1] Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 1: URIs, Connections, and Message Parsing", [draft-ietf-httpbis-p1-messaging-07](#) (work in progress), July 2009.

[Part3] Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H.,

Fielding, et al. Expires January 14, 2010 [Page 14]

---

Internet-Draft HTTP/1.1, Part 5 July 2009

Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 3: Message Payload and Content Negotiation", [draft-ietf-httpbis-p3-payload-07](#) (work in progress), July 2009.



- [Part4] Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 4: Conditional Requests", [draft-ietf-httpbis-p4-conditional-07](#) (work in progress), July 2009.
- [Part6] Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 6: Caching", [draft-ietf-httpbis-p6-cache-07](#) (work in progress), July 2009.
- [RFC2046] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types", [RFC 2046](#), November 1996.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), January 2008.

## [9.2.](#) Informative References

- [RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", [RFC 2616](#), June 1999.
- [RFC3864] Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, "Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields", [BCP 90](#), [RFC 3864](#), September 2004.
- [RFC4288] Freed, N. and J. Klensin, "Media Type Specifications and Registration Procedures", [BCP 13](#), [RFC 4288](#), December 2005.

## [Appendix A.](#) Internet Media Type multipart/byteranges

When an HTTP 206 (Partial Content) response message includes the content of multiple ranges (a response to a request for multiple non-overlapping ranges), these are transmitted as a multipart message-body ([\[RFC2046\]](#), [Section 5.1](#)). The media type for this purpose is called "multipart/byteranges". The following is to be registered

with IANA [[RFC4288](#)].

The multipart/byteranges media type includes one or more parts, each with its own Content-Type and Content-Range fields. The required boundary parameter specifies the boundary string used to separate each body-part.

Type name: multipart

Subtype name: byteranges

Required parameters: boundary

Optional parameters: none

Encoding considerations: only "7bit", "8bit", or "binary" are permitted

Security considerations: none

Interoperability considerations: none

Published specification: This specification (see [Appendix A](#)).

Applications that use this media type:

Additional information:

    Magic number(s): none

    File extension(s): none

    Macintosh file type code(s): none

Person and email address to contact for further information: See Authors Section.

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: none

Author/Change controller: IESG

For example:

```
HTTP/1.1 206 Partial Content
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 1995 06:25:24 GMT
Last-Modified: Wed, 15 Nov 1995 04:58:08 GMT
Content-type: multipart/byteranges; boundary=THIS_STRING_SEPARATES

--THIS_STRING_SEPARATES
Content-type: application/pdf
Content-range: bytes 500-999/8000

...the first range...
--THIS_STRING_SEPARATES
Content-type: application/pdf
Content-range: bytes 7000-7999/8000

...the second range
--THIS_STRING_SEPARATES--
```

Notes:

1. Additional CRLFs may precede the first boundary string in the entity.
2. Although [[RFC2046](#)] permits the boundary string to be quoted, some existing implementations handle a quoted boundary string incorrectly.
3. A number of browsers and servers were coded to an early draft of the byteranges specification to use a media type of multipart/x-byteranges, which is almost, but not quite compatible with the version documented in HTTP/1.1.

## [Appendix B](#). Compatibility with Previous Versions

### [B.1](#). Changes from [RFC 2068](#)

Transfer-coding and message lengths all interact in ways that required fixing exactly when chunked encoding is used (to allow for

transfer encoding that may not be self delimiting); it was important to straighten out exactly how message lengths are computed. ([Section 5.2](#), see also [[Part1](#)], [[Part3](#)] and [[Part6](#)])

There are situations where a server (especially a proxy) does not know the full length of a response but is capable of serving a byterange request. We therefore need a mechanism to allow byteranges with a content-range not indicating the full length of the message.

Fielding, et al.

Expires January 14, 2010

[Page 17]

---

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

([Section 5.2](#))

Range request responses would become very verbose if all meta-data were always returned; by allowing the server to only send needed headers in a 206 response, this problem can be avoided. ([Section 3.1](#) and 5.3)

Fix problem with unsatisfiable range requests; there are two cases: syntactic problems, and range doesn't exist in the document. The 416 status code was needed to resolve this ambiguity needed to indicate an error for a byte range request that falls outside of the actual contents of a document. ([Section 3.2](#), 5.2)

## [B.2.](#) Changes from [RFC 2616](#)

Clarify that it is not ok to use a weak cache validator in a 206 response. ([Section 3.1](#))

Clarify that multipart/byteranges can consist of a single part. (Appendix A)

## [Appendix C.](#) Collected ABNF

Accept-Ranges = "Accept-Ranges:" OWS Accept-Ranges-v  
Accept-Ranges-v = acceptable-ranges

Content-Range = "Content-Range:" OWS Content-Range-v  
Content-Range-v = content-range-spec

HTTP-date = <HTTP-date, defined in [[Part1](#)], Section 3.2>

If-Range = "If-Range:" OWS If-Range-v

If-Range-v = entity-tag / HTTP-date

OWS = <OWS, defined in [\[Part1\]](#), Section 1.2.2>

Range = "Range:" OWS Range-v

Range-v = byte-ranges-specifier / other-ranges-specifier

acceptable-ranges = ( \*( "," OWS ) range-unit \*( OWS "," [ OWS range-unit ] ) ) / "none"

byte-content-range-spec = bytes-unit SP byte-range-resp-spec "/" ( instance-length / "\*" )

byte-range-resp-spec = ( first-byte-pos "-" last-byte-pos ) / "\*"

byte-range-set = ( \*( "," OWS ) byte-range-spec ) / ( suffix-byte-range-spec \*( OWS "," [ ( OWS byte-range-spec ) / suffix-byte-range-spec ] ) )

byte-range-spec = first-byte-pos "-" [ last-byte-pos ]

byte-ranges-specifier = bytes-unit "=" byte-range-set

bytes-unit = "bytes"

content-range-spec = byte-content-range-spec / other-content-range-spec

entity-tag = <entity-tag, defined in [\[Part4\]](#), Section 2>

first-byte-pos = 1\*DIGIT

instance-length = 1\*DIGIT

last-byte-pos = 1\*DIGIT

other-content-range-spec = other-range-unit SP other-range-resp-spec

other-range-resp-spec = \*CHAR

other-range-unit = token

other-ranges-specifier = 1\*CHAR

range-unit = bytes-unit / other-range-unit

suffix-byte-range-spec = "-" suffix-length

suffix-length = 1\*DIGIT

token = <token, defined in [[Part1](#)], Section 1.2.2>

ABNF diagnostics:

; Accept-Ranges defined but not used  
; Content-Range defined but not used  
; If-Range defined but not used  
; Range defined but not used

[Appendix D](#). Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)

[D.1](#). Since [RFC2616](#)

Extracted relevant partitions from [[RFC2616](#)].

Fielding, et al.

Expires January 14, 2010

[Page 19]

---

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

[D.2](#). Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-00](#)

Closed issues:

- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/18>>: "Cache validators in 206 responses"  
(<<http://purl.org/NET/http-errata#ifrange206>>)
- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/35>>: "Normative and Informative references"
- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/86>>: "Normative up-to-date references"

[D.3](#). Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-01](#)

Closed issues:

- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/55>>: "Updating to

[RFC4288](#)"

Ongoing work on ABNF conversion

(<<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/36>>):

- o Add explicit references to BNF syntax and rules imported from other parts of the specification.

**D.4.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-02](#)

Ongoing work on IANA Message Header Registration

(<<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/40>>):

- o Reference [RFC 3984](#), and update header registrations for headers defined in this document.

**D.5.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-03](#)

**D.6.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-04](#)

Closed issues:

- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/133>>: "multipart/byteranges minimum number of parts"

Ongoing work on ABNF conversion

(<<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/36>>):

- o Use "/" instead of "|" for alternatives.
- o Introduce new ABNF rules for "bad" whitespace ("BWS"), optional whitespace ("OWS") and required whitespace ("RWS").
- o Rewrite ABNFs to spell out whitespace rules, factor out header value format definitions.

**D.7.** Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-05](#)

Closed issues:

- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/142>>: "State base for \*-byte-pos and suffix-length"

Ongoing work on Custom Ranges

(<<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/85>>):

- o Remove bias in favor of byte ranges; allow custom ranges in ABNF.

Final work on ABNF conversion

(<<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/36>>):

- o Add appendix containing collected and expanded ABNF, reorganize ABNF introduction.

#### D.8. Since [draft-ietf-httpbis-p5-range-06](#)

Closed issues:

- o <<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/161>>: "base for numeric protocol elements"

#### Index

2	206 Partial Content (status code)	6
4	416 Requested Range Not Satisfiable (status code)	6
A	Accept-Ranges header	7
C	Content-Range header	8

#### G

##### Grammar

Accept-Ranges	7
Accept-Ranges-v	7
acceptable-ranges	7



byte-content-range-spec 8  
byte-range-resp-spec 8  
byte-range-set 11  
byte-range-spec 11  
byte-ranges-specifier 11  
bytes-unit 5  
Content-Range 8  
content-range-spec 8  
Content-Range-v 8  
first-byte-pos 11  
If-Range 10  
If-Range-v 10  
instance-length 8  
last-byte-pos 11  
other-range-unit 5  
Range 13  
range-unit 5  
ranges-specifier 11  
suffix-byte-range-spec 12  
suffix-length 12

## H

### Headers

Accept-Ranges 7  
Content-Range 8  
If-Range 10  
Range 11

## I

If-Range header 10

## M

### Media Type

multipart/byteranges 15  
multipart/x-byteranges 17  
multipart/byteranges Media Type 15  
multipart/x-byteranges Media Type 17

## R

Range header 11

## S

Status Codes

206 Partial Content 6  
416 Requested Range Not Satisfiable 6

#### Authors' Addresses

Roy T. Fielding (editor)  
Day Software  
23 Corporate Plaza DR, Suite 280  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
USA

Phone: +1-949-706-5300  
Fax: +1-949-706-5305  
Email: [fielding@gbiv.com](mailto:fielding@gbiv.com)  
URI: <http://roy.gbiv.com/>

Jim Gettys  
One Laptop per Child  
21 Oak Knoll Road  
Carlisle, MA 01741  
USA

Email: [jg@laptop.org](mailto:jg@laptop.org)  
URI: <http://www.laptop.org/>

Jeffrey C. Mogul  
Hewlett-Packard Company  
HP Labs, Large Scale Systems Group  
1501 Page Mill Road, MS 1177  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
USA

Email: [JeffMogul@acm.org](mailto:JeffMogul@acm.org)

Henrik Frystyk Nielsen  
Microsoft Corporation  
1 Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052  
USA

Email: [henrikn@microsoft.com](mailto:henrikn@microsoft.com)

Internet-Draft

HTTP/1.1, Part 5

July 2009

Larry Masinter  
Adobe Systems, Incorporated  
345 Park Ave  
San Jose, CA 95110  
USA

Email: [LMM@acm.org](mailto:LMM@acm.org)  
URI: <http://larry.masinter.net/>

Paul J. Leach  
Microsoft Corporation  
1 Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052

Email: [paulle@microsoft.com](mailto:paulle@microsoft.com)

Tim Berners-Lee  
World Wide Web Consortium  
MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory  
The Stata Center, Building 32  
32 Vassar Street  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
USA

Email: [timbl@w3.org](mailto:timbl@w3.org)  
URI: <http://www.w3.org/People/Berners-Lee/>

Yves Lafon (editor)  
World Wide Web Consortium  
W3C / ERCIM  
2004, rte des Lucioles  
Sophia-Antipolis, AM 06902  
France

Email: [ylafon@w3.org](mailto:ylafon@w3.org)  
URI: <http://www.raubacapeu.net/people/yves/>

Julian F. Reschke (editor)  
greenbytes GmbH  
Hafenweg 16  
Muenster, NW 48155  
Germany

Phone: +49 251 2807760

Fax: +49 251 2807761

Email: [julian.reschke@greenbytes.de](mailto:julian.reschke@greenbytes.de)

URI: <http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/>

