

HTTPbis Working Group	R. Fielding, Ed.	
Internet-Draft	Day Software	
Obsoletes: 2616 (if approved)	J. Gettys	
Updates: 2617 (if approved)	One Laptop per Child	
Intended status: Standards Track	J. Mogul	
Expires: September 9, 2010	HP	
	H. Frystyk	
	Microsoft	
	L. Masinter	
	Adobe Systems	
	P. Leach	
	Microsoft	
	T. Berners-Lee	
	W3C/MIT	
	Y. Lafon, Ed.	
	W3C	
	J. Reschke, Ed.	
	greenbytes	
	March 08, 2010	

[TOC](#)

HTTP/1.1, part 7: Authentication draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-09

Abstract

The Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is an application-level protocol for distributed, collaborative, hypermedia information systems. HTTP has been in use by the World Wide Web global information initiative since 1990. This document is Part 7 of the seven-part specification that defines the protocol referred to as "HTTP/1.1" and, taken together, obsoletes RFC 2616. Part 7 defines HTTP Authentication.

Editorial Note (To be removed by RFC Editor)

Discussion of this draft should take place on the HTTPBIS working group mailing list (ietf-http-wg@w3.org). The current issues list is at <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/report/11> and related documents

(including fancy diffs) can be found at <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/>.

The changes in this draft are summarized in [Appendix C.10 \(Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-08\)](#).

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 9, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction
1.1.	Requirements
1.2.	Syntax Notation
1.2.1.	Core Rules
1.2.2.	ABNF Rules defined in other Parts of the Specification
2.	Status Code Definitions
2.1.	401 Unauthorized
2.2.	407 Proxy Authentication Required
3.	Header Field Definitions
3.1.	Authorization
3.2.	Proxy-Authenticate
3.3.	Proxy-Authorization
3.4.	WWW-Authenticate
4.	IANA Considerations
4.1.	Status Code Registration
4.2.	Message Header Registration
5.	Security Considerations
5.1.	Authentication Credentials and Idle Clients
6.	Acknowledgments
7.	References
7.1.	Normative References
7.2.	Informative References
Appendix A.	Compatibility with Previous Versions
A.1.	Changes from RFC 2616
Appendix B.	Collected ABNF
Appendix C.	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)
C.1.	Since RFC2616
C.2.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-00
C.3.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-01
C.4.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-02
C.5.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-03
C.6.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-04
C.7.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-05
C.8.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-06
C.9.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-07
C.10.	Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-08
§	Index
§	Authors' Addresses

1. Introduction

This document defines HTTP/1.1 access control and authentication. Right now it includes the extracted relevant sections of RFC 2616 with only minor changes. The intention is to move the general framework for HTTP authentication here, as currently specified in [\[RFC2617\] \(Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.\)](#), and allow the individual authentication mechanisms to be defined elsewhere. This introduction will be rewritten when that occurs.

HTTP provides several OPTIONAL challenge-response authentication mechanisms which can be used by a server to challenge a client request and by a client to provide authentication information. The general framework for access authentication, and the specification of "basic" and "digest" authentication, are specified in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\] \(Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.\)](#). This specification adopts the definitions of "challenge" and "credentials" from that specification.

1.1. Requirements

[TOC](#)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\] \(Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.\)](#).

An implementation is not compliant if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements for the protocols it implements. An implementation that satisfies all the MUST or REQUIRED level and all the SHOULD level requirements for its protocols is said to be "unconditionally compliant"; one that satisfies all the MUST level requirements but not all the SHOULD level requirements for its protocols is said to be "conditionally compliant."

1.2. Syntax Notation

[TOC](#)

This specification uses the ABNF syntax defined in Section 1.2 of [\[Part1\] \(Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 1: URIs, Connections, and Message Parsing," March 2010.\)](#) (which extends the syntax defined in [\[RFC5234\]](#)

(Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF," January 2008.) with a list rule). [Appendix B \(Collected ABNF\)](#) shows the collected ABNF, with the list rule expanded. The following core rules are included by reference, as defined in [\[RFC5234\] \(Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF," January 2008.\)](#), Appendix B.1: ALPHA (letters), CR (carriage return), CRLF (CR LF), CTL (controls), DIGIT (decimal 0-9), DQUOTE (double quote), HEXDIG (hexadecimal 0-9/A-F/a-f), LF (line feed), OCTET (any 8-bit sequence of data), SP (space), VCHAR (any visible USASCII character), and WSP (whitespace).

1.2.1. Core Rules

[TOC](#)

The core rules below are defined in Section 1.2.2 of [\[Part1\] \(Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 1: URIs, Connections, and Message Parsing," March 2010.\)](#):

OWS = <OWS, defined in [Part1], Section 1.2.2>

1.2.2. ABNF Rules defined in other Parts of the Specification

[TOC](#)

The ABNF rules below are defined in other specifications:

challenge = <challenge, defined in [RFC2617], Section 1.2>
credentials = <credentials, defined in [RFC2617], Section 1.2>

2. Status Code Definitions

[TOC](#)

2.1. 401 Unauthorized

[TOC](#)

The request requires user authentication. The response MUST include a WWW-Authenticate header field ([Section 3.4 \(WWW-Authenticate\)](#)) containing a challenge applicable to the requested resource. The client MAY repeat the request with a suitable Authorization header field ([Section 3.1 \(Authorization\)](#)). If the request already included

Authorization credentials, then the 401 response indicates that authorization has been refused for those credentials. If the 401 response contains the same challenge as the prior response, and the user agent has already attempted authentication at least once, then the user SHOULD be presented the entity that was given in the response, since that entity might include relevant diagnostic information. HTTP access authentication is explained in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\] \(Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.\)](#).

2.2. 407 Proxy Authentication Required

[TOC](#)

This code is similar to 401 (Unauthorized), but indicates that the client must first authenticate itself with the proxy. The proxy MUST return a Proxy-Authenticate header field ([Section 3.2 \(Proxy-Authenticate\)](#)) containing a challenge applicable to the proxy for the requested resource. The client MAY repeat the request with a suitable Proxy-Authorization header field ([Section 3.3 \(Proxy-Authorization\)](#)). HTTP access authentication is explained in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\] \(Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.\)](#).

3. Header Field Definitions

[TOC](#)

This section defines the syntax and semantics of HTTP/1.1 header fields related to authentication.

3.1. Authorization

[TOC](#)

The "Authorization" request-header field allows a user agent to authenticate itself with a server -- usually, but not necessarily, after receiving a 401 (Unauthorized) response. Its value consists of credentials containing information of the user agent for the realm of the resource being requested.

Authorization = "Authorization" ":" OWS Authorization-v
Authorization-v = credentials

HTTP access authentication is described in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\] \(Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.\)](#). If a request is authenticated and a realm specified, the same credentials SHOULD be valid for all other requests within this realm (assuming that the authentication scheme itself does not require otherwise, such as credentials that vary according to a challenge value or using synchronized clocks).

When a shared cache (see Section 1.2 of [\[Part6\] \(Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 6: Caching," March 2010.\)](#)) receives a request containing an Authorization field, it MUST NOT return the corresponding response as a reply to any other request, unless one of the following specific exceptions holds:

1. If the response includes the "s-maxage" cache-control directive, the cache MAY use that response in replying to a subsequent request. But (if the specified maximum age has passed) a proxy cache MUST first revalidate it with the origin server, using the request-headers from the new request to allow the origin server to authenticate the new request. (This is the defined behavior for s-maxage.) If the response includes "s-maxage=0", the proxy MUST always revalidate it before re-using it.
2. If the response includes the "must-revalidate" cache-control directive, the cache MAY use that response in replying to a subsequent request. But if the response is stale, all caches MUST first revalidate it with the origin server, using the request-headers from the new request to allow the origin server to authenticate the new request.
3. If the response includes the "public" cache-control directive, it MAY be returned in reply to any subsequent request.

3.2. Proxy-Authenticate

[TOC](#)

The "Proxy-Authenticate" response-header field consists of a challenge that indicates the authentication scheme and parameters applicable to

the proxy for this request-target. It MUST be included as part of a 407 (Proxy Authentication Required) response.

```
Proxy-Authenticate = "Proxy-Authenticate" ":" OWS
                  Proxy-Authenticate-v
Proxy-Authenticate-v = 1#challenge
```

The HTTP access authentication process is described in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\]](#) ([Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.](#)). Unlike WWW-Authenticate, the Proxy-Authenticate header field applies only to the current connection and SHOULD NOT be passed on to downstream clients. However, an intermediate proxy might need to obtain its own credentials by requesting them from the downstream client, which in some circumstances will appear as if the proxy is forwarding the Proxy-Authenticate header field.

3.3. Proxy-Authorization

[TOC](#)

The "Proxy-Authorization" request-header field allows the client to identify itself (or its user) to a proxy which requires authentication. Its value consists of credentials containing the authentication information of the user agent for the proxy and/or realm of the resource being requested.

```
Proxy-Authorization = "Proxy-Authorization" ":" OWS
                  Proxy-Authorization-v
Proxy-Authorization-v = credentials
```

The HTTP access authentication process is described in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\]](#) ([Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.](#)). Unlike Authorization, the Proxy-Authorization header field applies only to the next outbound proxy that demanded authentication using the Proxy-Authenticate field. When multiple proxies are used in a chain, the Proxy-Authorization header field is consumed by the first outbound proxy that was expecting to receive credentials. A proxy MAY relay the credentials from the client request to the next proxy if that is the mechanism by which the proxies cooperatively authenticate a given request.

[TOC](#)

3.4. WWW-Authenticate

The "WWW-Authenticate" response-header field consists of at least one challenge that indicates the authentication scheme(s) and parameters applicable to the request-target. It MUST be included in 401 (Unauthorized) response messages.

```
WWW-Authenticate = "WWW-Authenticate" ":" OWS WWW-Authenticate-v
WWW-Authenticate-v = 1#challenge
```

The HTTP access authentication process is described in "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication" [\[RFC2617\]](#) (Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," June 1999.). User agents are advised to take special care in parsing the WWW-Authenticate field value as it might contain more than one challenge, or if more than one WWW-Authenticate header field is provided, the contents of a challenge itself can contain a comma-separated list of authentication parameters.

4. IANA Considerations

[TOC](#)

4.1. Status Code Registration

[TOC](#)

The HTTP Status Code Registry located at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/http-status-codes> should be updated with the registrations below:

Value	Description	Reference
401	Unauthorized	Section 2.1 (401 Unauthorized)
407	Proxy Authentication Required	Section 2.2 (407 Proxy Authentication Required)

4.2. Message Header Registration

[TOC](#)

The Message Header Registry located at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/message-headers/message-header-index.html> should be updated with the permanent registrations below (see [\[RFC3864\]](#) (Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, "Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields," September 2004.)):

Header Field Name	Protocol	Status	Reference
Authorization	http	standard	Section 3.1 (Authorization)
Proxy-Authenticate	http	standard	Section 3.2 (Proxy-Authenticate)
Proxy-Authorization	http	standard	Section 3.3 (Proxy-Authorization)
WWW-Authenticate	http	standard	Section 3.4 (WWW-Authenticate)

The change controller is: "IETF (iesg@ietf.org) - Internet Engineering Task Force".

5. Security Considerations

[TOC](#)

This section is meant to inform application developers, information providers, and users of the security limitations in HTTP/1.1 as described by this document. The discussion does not include definitive solutions to the problems revealed, though it does make some suggestions for reducing security risks.

5.1. Authentication Credentials and Idle Clients

[TOC](#)

Existing HTTP clients and user agents typically retain authentication information indefinitely. HTTP/1.1 does not provide a method for a server to direct clients to discard these cached credentials. This is a significant defect that requires further extensions to HTTP. Circumstances under which credential caching can interfere with the application's security model include but are not limited to:

- *Clients which have been idle for an extended period following which the server might wish to cause the client to reprompt the user for credentials.

- *Applications which include a session termination indication (such as a "logout" or "commit" button on a page) after which the server side of the application "knows" that there is no further reason for the client to retain the credentials.

This is currently under separate study. There are a number of work-arounds to parts of this problem, and we encourage the use of password protection in screen savers, idle time-outs, and other methods which mitigate the security problems inherent in this problem. In particular, user agents which cache credentials are encouraged to provide a readily

accessible mechanism for discarding cached credentials under user control.

6. Acknowledgments

[TOC](#)

[\[acks\]](#) (TBD.)

7. References

[TOC](#)

7.1. Normative References

[TOC](#)

[Part1]	Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 1: URIs, Connections, and Message Parsing," draft-ietf-httpbis-p1-messaging-09 (work in progress), March 2010.
[Part6]	Fielding, R., Ed., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., Berners-Lee, T., Lafon, Y., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP/1.1, part 6: Caching," draft-ietf-httpbis-p6-cache-09 (work in progress), March 2010.
[RFC2119]	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
[RFC2617]	Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication," RFC 2617, June 1999.
[RFC5234]	Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF," STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008.

7.2. Informative References

[TOC](#)

[RFC2616]	Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1," RFC 2616, June 1999.
[RFC3864]	Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, "Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields," BCP 90, RFC 3864, September 2004.

Appendix A. Compatibility with Previous Versions

[TOC](#)

A.1. Changes from RFC 2616

[TOC](#)

Appendix B. Collected ABNF

[TOC](#)

Authorization = "Authorization:" *OWS Authorization-v*
Authorization-v = *credentials*

OWS = <*OWS*, defined in [Part1], Section 1.2.2>

Proxy-Authenticate = "Proxy-Authenticate:" *OWS Proxy-Authenticate-v*
Proxy-Authenticate-v = *("," *OWS*) *challenge* *(*OWS* "," [*OWS*
 challenge])
Proxy-Authorization = "Proxy-Authorization:" *OWS*
 Proxy-Authorization-v
Proxy-Authorization-v = *credentials*

WWW-Authenticate = "WWW-Authenticate:" *OWS WWW-Authenticate-v*
WWW-Authenticate-v = *("," *OWS*) *challenge* *(*OWS* "," [*OWS*
 challenge])

challenge = <*challenge*, defined in [RFC2617], Section 1.2>
credentials = <*credentials*, defined in [RFC2617], Section 1.2>

ABNF diagnostics:

; Authorization defined but not used
; Proxy-Authenticate defined but not used
; Proxy-Authorization defined but not used
; WWW-Authenticate defined but not used

[TOC](#)

Appendix C. Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)

C.1. Since RFC2616

[TOC](#)

Extracted relevant partitions from [\[RFC2616\]](#) (Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1," June 1999.).

C.2. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-00

[TOC](#)

Closed issues:

- *<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/35>: "Normative and Informative references"

C.3. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-01

[TOC](#)

Ongoing work on ABNF conversion (<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/36>):

- *Explicitly import BNF rules for "challenge" and "credentials" from RFC2617.

- *Add explicit references to BNF syntax and rules imported from other parts of the specification.

C.4. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-02

[TOC](#)

Ongoing work on IANA Message Header Registration (<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/40>):

- *Reference RFC 3984, and update header registrations for headers defined in this document.

C.5. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-03

[TOC](#)

C.6. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-04

[TOC](#)

Ongoing work on ABNF conversion (<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/36>):

- *Use "/" instead of "|" for alternatives.

- *Introduce new ABNF rules for "bad" whitespace ("BWS"), optional whitespace ("OWS") and required whitespace ("RWS").

- *Rewrite ABNFs to spell out whitespace rules, factor out header value format definitions.

C.7. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-05

[TOC](#)

Final work on ABNF conversion (<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/36>):

- *Add appendix containing collected and expanded ABNF, reorganize ABNF introduction.

C.8. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-06

[TOC](#)

None.

C.9. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-07

[TOC](#)

Closed issues:

- *<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/httpbis/trac/ticket/198>: "move IANA registrations for optional status codes"

C.10. Since draft-ietf-httpbis-p7-auth-08

[TOC](#)

No significant changes.

Index

[TOC](#)

4	
	401 Unauthorized (status code)
	407 Proxy Authentication Required (status code)
A	
	Authorization header
G	
	Grammar
	Authorization
	Authorization-v
	challenge
	credentials
	Proxy-Authenticate
	Proxy-Authenticate-v
	Proxy-Authorization
	Proxy-Authorization-v
	WWW-Authenticate
	WWW-Authenticate-v
H	
	Headers
	Authorization
	Proxy-Authenticate
	Proxy-Authorization
	WWW-Authenticate
P	
	Proxy-Authenticate header
	Proxy-Authorization header
S	
	Status Codes
	401 Unauthorized
	407 Proxy Authentication Required
W	
	WWW-Authenticate header

Authors' Addresses

[TOC](#)

	Roy T. Fielding (editor)
	Day Software

	23 Corporate Plaza DR, Suite 280
	Newport Beach, CA 92660
	USA
Phone:	+1-949-706-5300
Fax:	+1-949-706-5305
Email:	fielding@gbiv.com
URI:	http://roy.gbiv.com/
	Jim Gettys
	One Laptop per Child
	21 Oak Knoll Road
	Carlisle, MA 01741
	USA
Email:	jg@laptop.org
URI:	http://www.laptop.org/
	Jeffrey C. Mogul
	Hewlett-Packard Company
	HP Labs, Large Scale Systems Group
	1501 Page Mill Road, MS 1177
	Palo Alto, CA 94304
	USA
Email:	JeffMogul@acm.org
	Henrik Frystyk Nielsen
	Microsoft Corporation
	1 Microsoft Way
	Redmond, WA 98052
	USA
Email:	henrikn@microsoft.com
	Larry Masinter
	Adobe Systems, Incorporated
	345 Park Ave
	San Jose, CA 95110
	USA
Email:	LMM@acm.org
URI:	http://larry.masinter.net/
	Paul J. Leach
	Microsoft Corporation
	1 Microsoft Way
	Redmond, WA 98052
Email:	paulle@microsoft.com
	Tim Berners-Lee
	World Wide Web Consortium

	MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
	The Stata Center, Building 32
	32 Vassar Street
	Cambridge, MA 02139
	USA
Email:	timbl@w3.org
URI:	http://www.w3.org/People/Berners-Lee/
	Yves Lafon (editor)
	World Wide Web Consortium
	W3C / ERCIM
	2004, rte des Lucioles
	Sophia-Antipolis, AM 06902
	France
Email:	ylafon@w3.org
URI:	http://www.raubacapeu.net/people/yves/
	Julian F. Reschke (editor)
	greenbytes GmbH
	Hafenweg 16
	Muenster, NW 48155
	Germany
Phone:	+49 251 2807760
Fax:	+49 251 2807761
Email:	julian.reschke@greenbytes.de
URI:	http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/