John Flick Hewlett-Packard Company C. M. Heard Consultant May 2002

Request to Move RFC 1643 to Historic Status

<draft-ietf-hubmib-1643-to-historic-00.txt>

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

RFC 1643, "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types", currently STD 50, is inadequate for managing the Ethernet interface types that have been developed since 1994. The most up-to-date version of that specification, RFC xxxx, is currently a Proposed Standard. In order to encourage implementors to use that version of the specification instead of RFC 1643, this document requests that RFC 1643 be moved to Historic status.

1. Details

STD 50, RFC 1643 [RFC1643], "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types", covers only 10 Mb/s Ethernet interfaces. Since its publication in 1994, 100 Mb/s, 1000 Mb/s, and 10 Gb/s Ethernet interface types have been developed, and updates to the specification have been developed to encompass these new technologies [RFC2358] [RFC2665] [RFCxxxx]. These updates define new MIB objects to supplement those originally defined in RFC 1643 and in addition deprecate some of the objects defined in RFC 1643 that are no longer useful.

RFC 1643 is an obsolete specification, overtaken by events. Having it remain an Internet Standard while the replacement RFCs make their way through the standards track serves no useful purpose and may even have the undesirable effect of encouraging vendors to implement it instead of its more up-to-date replacements. It is therefore recommended that it be reclassified as Historic.

2. Effect on Other Standards Track Documents

Reclassification of <u>RFC 1643</u> will have no impact on the status of any standards track RFC because no standards track RFC cites it as a normative reference. An RFC content search made with the tools available at http://www.rfc-editor.org reveals the following standards track documents that cite <u>RFC 1643</u>:

RFC 2020 IEEE 802.12 Interface MIB J. Flick

RFC 2358 Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like
Interface Types J. Flick, J. Johnson

RFC 2665 Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types J. Flick, J. Johnson

RFC 2720 Traffic Flow Measurement: Meter MIB N. Brownlee

RFC xxxx Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types J. Flick

RFC 2020 [RFC2020] contains DOT12-IF-MIB, which is the MIB module for managing IEEE 802.12 100VG-AnyLAN interfaces. It refers to RFC 1643 in the context of an admonition not to implement the EthernetLike-MIB for any interface where the DOT12-IF-MIB is implemented.

RFC 2358 [RFC2358], RFC 2665 [RFC2665], and RFC xxxx [RFCxxxx] all contain updated versions of the EthernetLike-MIB. They refer to RFC 1643 in the context of explaining the history of the EthernetLike-

MIB, and the citation in $[{\tt RFCxxxx}]$ is explicitly listed as a non-normative reference.

<u>RFC 2720</u> [<u>RFC2720</u>] contains the FLOW-METER-MIB. It refers to <u>RFC 1643</u> only in an ASN.1 comment in the MIB module. Omission of that comment would not preclude correct implementation of the MIB module.

Clearly, none of these citations is normative.

3. Security Considerations

Reclassification of $\underline{\mathsf{RFC}}$ 1643 will not, in and of itself, have any effect on the security of the Internet.

4. References

- [RFC1643] Kastenholz, F., "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types", STD 50, RFC 1643, July 1994.
- [RFC2020] Flick, J., "IEEE 802.12 Interface MIB", <u>RFC 2020</u>, October 1996.
- [RFC2358] Flick, J. and J. Johnson, "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types", <u>RFC 2358</u>, June 1998.
- [RFC2665] Flick, J., and J. Johnson, "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types", <u>RFC 2665</u>, August 1999.
- [RFC2720] Brownlee, N., "Traffic Flow Measurement: Meter MIB", RFC 2720, October 1999.
- [RFCxxxx] Flick, J., "Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernetlike Interface Types", <draft-ietf-hubmib-etherif-mibv3-00.txt>, work in progress.

5. Authors' Addresses

John Flick Hewlett-Packard Company 8000 Foothills Blvd. M/S 5557 Roseville, CA 95747-5557 USA

Phone: +1 916 785 4018 Fax: +1 916 785 1199 EMail: johnf@rose.hp.com

C. M. Heard 600 Rainbow Dr. #141 Mountain View, CA 94041-2542 USA

Phone: +1 650 964 8391 EMail: heard@pobox.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.