

I2NSF
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: September 07, 2017

S. Hares
J. Strassner
Huawei
D. Lopez
Telefonica I+D
L. Xia
Huawei
H. Birkholz
Fraunhofer SIT
March 07, 2017

**Interface to Network Security Functions (I2NSF) Terminology
draft-ietf-i2nsf-terminology-03.txt**

Abstract

This document defines a set of terms that are used for the Interface to Network Security Functions (I2NSF) effort.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 07, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Terminology	3
3.	IANA Considerations	10
4.	Security Considerations	10
5.	Contributors	11
6.	References	11
6.1.	Informative References	11
	Authors' Addresses	12

[1.](#) Introduction

This document defines the terminology for the Interface to Network Security Functions (I2NSF) effort. This section provides some background on I2NSF; a detailed problem statement can be found in [[I-D.ietf-i2nsf-problem-and-use-cases](#)]. Motivation and comparison to previous work can be found in [[I-D.ietf-i2nsf-gap-analysis](#)].

Enterprises are now considering using network security functions (NSFs) hosted by service providers due to the growing challenges and complexity in maintaining an up-to-date secure infrastructure that complies with regulatory requirements, while controlling costs. The hosted security service is especially attractive to small- and medium-size enterprises who suffer from a lack of security experts to continuously monitor, acquire new skills and propose immediate mitigations to ever increasing sets of security attacks. Small- and medium-sized businesses (SMBs) are increasingly adopting cloud-based security services to replace on-premises security tools, while larger enterprises are deploying a mix of traditional (hosted) and cloud-based security services.

To meet the demand, more and more service providers are providing hosted security solutions to deliver cost-effective managed security services to enterprise customers. The hosted security services are primarily targeted at enterprises, but could also be provided to mass-market customers as well. NSFs are provided and consumed in increasingly diverse environments. Users of NSFs may consume network security services hosted by one or more providers, which may be their own enterprise, service providers, or a combination of both.

It is out of scope in this document to define an exhaustive list of terms that are used in the security field; the reader is referred to other applicable documents, such as [[RFC4949](#)].

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#). In this document, these words will appear with that interpretation only when in ALL CAPS. Lower case uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying [\[RFC2119\]](#) significance.

3. Terminology

AAA: Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting. See individual definitions.

Abstraction: The definition of the salient characteristics and behavior of an object that distinguish it from all other types of objects. It manages complexity by exposing common properties between objects and processes while hiding detail that is not relevant.

Access Control: Protection of system resources against unauthorized access; a process by which use of system resources is regulated according to a security policy, and is permitted by only authorized entities (users, programs, processes, or other systems) according to that policy [\[RFC4949\]](#).

Access Control List (ACL): This is a mechanism that implements access control for a system resource by enumerating the system entities that are permitted to access the resource and stating, either implicitly or explicitly, the access modes granted to each entity [\[RFC4949\]](#). A YANG description is defined in [\[I-D.ietf-netmod-acl-model\]](#).

Accounting: The act of collecting information on resource usage for the purpose of trend analysis, auditing, billing, or cost allocation ([\[RFC2975\]](#) [\[RFC3539\]](#)).

Assertion: Defined by the ITU in [\[X.1252\]](#) as "a statement made by an entity without accompanying evidence of its validity". In the context of I2NSF, an assertion MAY include metadata about all or part of the assertion (e.g., context of the assertion, or about timestamp indicating the point in time the assertion was created). The validity of an assertion cannot be verified. (from [\[I-D.ietf-sacm-terminology\]](#)).

Attestation: The process of validating the integrity of a computing device. See also Direct Anonymous Attestation.

Authentication: Defined in [[RFC4949](#)] as "the process of verifying a claim that a system entity or system resource has a certain attribute value." (from [[I-D.ietf-sacm-terminology](#)]).

Authorization: Defined in [[RFC4949](#)] as "an approval that is granted to a system entity to access a system resource." (from [[I-D.ietf-sacm-terminology](#)]).

Business-to-Business (B2B). A type of transaction in which one business makes a commercial transaction with another business.

Business-to-Consumer (B2C). A type of transaction in which a business makes a commercial transaction with a Customer.

Bespoke: Something made to fit a particular person, customer, or company.

Bespoke security management: Security management systems that are made to fit a particular customer.

Boolean Clause: A logical statement that evaluates to either TRUE or FALSE. Also called Boolean Expression.

Capability: A set of features that are available from an I2NSF Component. These functions may, but do not have to, be used. All Capabilities are announced through the I2NSF Registration Interface. Examples are Capabilities that are available from an NSF Server.

Client: See I2NSF Consumer.

Client-Facing Interface: See I2NSF Consumer-Facing Interface.

Component: An encapsulation of software that communicates using Interfaces. A Component may be implemented by hardware and/or software, and be represented using a set of classes. In general, a Component encapsulates a set of data structures and a set of algorithms that implement the function(s) that it provides.

Constraint: A Constraint is a limitation or restriction. Constraints may be associated with any type of object (e.g., Events, Conditions, and Actions in Policy Rules).

Constraint Programming: A type of programming that uses constraints to define relations between variables in order to find a feasible (and not necessarily optimal) solution.

Context: The Context of an Entity is a collection of measured and/or inferred knowledge that describe the state and the environment in which an Entity exists or has existed. (from <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/i2nsf/current/msg00762.html>).

Controller: A Controller is a management Component that contains control plane functions to manage and facilitate information sharing, as well as execute security functions. This definition is based on that in [[I-D.ietf-sacm-terminology](#)].

Control Plane: In the context of I2NSF, the Control Plane is an architectural Component that provides common control functions to all I2NSF Components, including some or all of the following: authentication, authorization, accounting, auditing, and Capability discovery and negotiation. The Control Plane orchestrates the operation of the Data Plane according to guidance and/or input from the Management Plane. I2NSF Components with Interfaces to the Control Plane have knowledge of the Capabilities of other I2NSF Components within a particular I2NSF administrative domain. This definition is based on that in [[I-D.ietf-sacm-terminology](#)]. See also: Data Plane, Management Plane.

Customer: A business role of an entity that is involved in the definition and/or consumption of services, and the possible negotiation of a contract to use services from a Provider.

Data Center (DC): A facility used to house data processing and communication equipment.

Data Confidentiality: Defined in [[RFC4949](#)] as "the property that data is not disclosed to system entities unless they have been authorized to know the data."

Data Integrity: Defined in [[RFC4949](#)] as "the property that data has not been changed, destroyed, or lost in an unauthorized or accidental manner."

Data Model: A representation of concepts of interest to an environment in a form that is dependent on data repository, data definition language, query language, implementation language, and protocol (typically one or more of these). Note the difference between a data ****model**** and a data ****structure****. [[I-D.ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model](#)].

Data Plane: In the context of I2NSF, the Data Plane is an architectural Component that provides operational functions to enable an I2NSF Component to provide and consume packets and flows. See also: Control Plane, Management Plane.

Data Provenance: A historical record of the sources, origins and evolution of data that is influenced by inputs, entities, functions and processes.

Data Structure: A low-level building block that is used in programming to implement an algorithm. A data model typically contains multiple types of data structures; however, a data structure does not contain a data model. Note the difference between a data **model** and a data **structure**.

Direct Anonymous Attestation (DAA): A cryptographic primitive that enables remote authentication of a trusted computer without compromising the privacy of that computer's user(s). See also attestation.

Firewall (FW): A function that restricts data communication traffic to and from one of the connected networks (the one said to be 'inside' the firewall), and thus protects that network's system resources against threats from the other network (the one that is said to be 'outside' the firewall) [[RFC4949](#)]. [[I-D.ietf-opsawg-firewalls](#)]

Flow: A set of information (e.g., packets) that are related in a fundamental manner (e.g., sent from the same source and sent to the same destination). A common example is a sequence of packets. It is the opposite of packet-based, which treats each packet discretely (e.g., each packet is assessed individually to determine the action(s) to be taken).

Flow-based NSF: A NSF that inspects network flows according to a set of policies intended for enforcing security properties. Flow-based security also means that packets are inspected in the order they are received, and without modification to the packet due to the inspection process.

I2NSF Action: An I2NSF Action is used to control and monitor aspects of flow-based NSFs. An I2NSF Action, when used in the context of an (imperative) I2NSF Policy Rule, may be executed only when the Event and the Condition clauses of its owning I2NSF Policy Rule evaluate to true. The execution of this I2NSF Action may be influenced by applicable metadata. Examples of I2NSF Actions include providing intrusion detection and/or protection, web and flow filtering, and deep packet inspection for packets and flows. (based on [[I-D.ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model](#)]). See also I2NSF Condition, I2NSF Event, I2NSF Policy Rule.

I2NSF Agent: A software Component that implements an NSF. It typically plays the roles of I2NSF Consumer and I2NSF Producer. For example, it can receive provisioning information and requests for operational and/or monitoring data from an I2NSF Component, and can provide these and other data to I2NSF Consumers. It can also receive I2NSF Policy Rules to change the configuration of one or more network devices, optionally transform each I2NSF Policy Rule into an alternate form (e.g., one that is directly consummable by the network device), and then execute the I2NSF Policy Rules.

I2NSF Component: A Component that provides one or more I2NSF Services. I2NSF Components are managed and communicate with other I2NSF Components using I2NSF Interfaces.

I2NSF Condition: An I2NSF Condition is defined as a set of attributes, features, and/or values that are to be compared with a set of known attributes, features, and/or values in order to determine whether or not the set of Actions in that (imperative) I2NSF Policy Rule can be executed or not. An I2NSF Condition, when used in the context of an (imperative) I2NSF Policy Rule, may be executed only when the Event clause of its owning I2NSF Policy Rule evaluates to true. Examples of an I2NSF Condition include matching attributes of a packet or flow, and comparing the internal state of an NSF to a desired state. (based on [[I-D.ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model](#)]). See also I2NSF Action, I2NSF Event, I2NSF Policy Rule.

I2NSF Consumer: A Consumer is a Role that is assigned to an I2NSF Component that contains functions to provide information to other I2NSF Components. Examples include providing I2NSF Policy Rules to other I2NSF Components. See also: I2NSF Consumer-Facing Interface, I2NSF Producer, I2NSF Producer-Facing Interface, Role.

I2NSF Consumer-Facing Interface: An Interface dedicated to requesting information from I2NSF Producers. This is typically defined per I2NSF administrative domain. For example, this Interface could be used to request a set of I2NSF Flow Security Policy Rules from an I2NSF Controller, or from one or more individual NSFs. See also: I2NSF Consumer, I2NSF Provider, I2NSF NSF-Facing Interface, Interface.

I2NSF Directly Consummable Policy Rule: An I2NSF Policy Rule is said to be directly consummable if a network device can execute it without translating its content or structure. See also I2NSF Indirectly Consummable Policy Rule, I2NSF Policy Rule.

I2NSF Indirectly Consummable Policy Rule: An I2NSF Policy Rule is

said to be indirectly consummable if a network device can NOT execute it without first translating its content or structure. See also I2NSF Directly Consummable Policy Rule, I2NSF Policy Rule.

Hares, et al.

Expires September 07, 2017

[Page 7]

I2NSF Event: An I2NSF Event is defined as any important occurrence in time of a change in the system being managed, and/or in the environment of the system being managed. An I2NSF Event, when used in the context of an (imperative) I2NSF Policy Rule, is used to determine whether the Condition clause of that Policy Rule can be evaluated or not. Examples of an I2NSF Event include time and user actions (e.g. logon, logoff, and actions that violate an ACL). (based on [[I-D.ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model](#)]). See also I2NSF Action, I2NSF Condition, I2NSF Policy Rule.

I2NSF Management System: I2NSF Consumers and Producers operate within the scope of a network management system, which serves as a collection and distribution point for I2NSF security provisioning, monitoring, and other operations.

I2NSF Policy Rule: An I2NSF Policy Rule is an imperative statement that is used as a means to monitor and control the changing and/or maintaining of the state of one or more managed objects. It consists of three Boolean clauses (Event, Condition, and Action). In this context, "manage" means that one or more of the following six fundamental operations are supported: create, read, write, delete, start, and stop). Note that for this release of I2NSF, only imperative policy rules are in scope. An example of an I2NSF Policy Rule is, in pseudo-code:

```
IF <event-clause> is TRUE
  IF <condition-clause> is TRUE
    THEN execute <action-clause>
  END-IF
END-IF
```

This is based on [[I-D.draft-ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model](#)].

I2NSF Producer: A Producer is a Role that is assigned to an I2NSF Component that contains functions to send information and/or commands to another I2NSF Component (e.g., for describing, communicating, and/or executing policies, or for transmitting data). See also: I2NSF Consumer, I2NSF Consumer-Facing Interface, I2NSF Producer, I2NSF Producer-Facing Interface, Role.

I2NSF Producer-Facing Interface: See NSF-Facing Interface.

I2NSF Registry: A repository where I2NSF data and metadata information are stored and maintained. I2NSF Components can connect to the I2NSF Registry using the I2NSF Registration Interface; the actions that an I2NSF Component can performing SHOULD be defined using an Access Control mechanism. Examples of information that SHOULD be registered include Capability data,

as well as consistent definitions of data and I2NSF Components.
See also: Access Control, I2NSF Component, I2NSF Consumer,
I2NSF Provider, I2NSF Registration Interface.

I2NSF Registration Interface: An Interface dedicated to requesting information from, and writing information about, I2NSF Components. See also: I2NSF Component, I2NSF Consumer, I2NSF Provider, I2NSF Registry.

I2NSF Service: A set of functions, provided by an I2NSF Component, which provides data communication, processing, storage, presentation, manipulation, or other functions that can be consumed by I2NSF Components. Exemplary I2NSF Services include Anti-Virus, Authentication, Authorization, Firewall, and IPS Services. See also: I2NSF Component, Interface.

IDS: Intrusion Detection System (see below).

IPS: Intrusion Protection System (see below).

Information Model: A representation of concepts of interest to an environment in a form that is independent of data repository, data definition language, query language, implementation language, and protocol. See also: Data Model.
(from [[I-D.ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model](#)]).

Interface: A set of operations one object knows it can invoke on, and expose to, another object. It is a subset of all operations that a given object implements. The same object may have multiple types of interfaces to serve different purposes.
See also: I2NSF Component, I2NSF Consumer-Facing Interface, I2NSF Registration Interface, Interface Group, NSF-Facing Interface

Interface Group: A set of Interfaces that are related in purpose and which share the same communication mechanisms.
See also: Interface.

Intrusion Detection System (IDS): A system that detects network intrusions via a variety of filters, monitors, and/or probes. An IDS may be stateful or stateless. See also: IPS.

Intrusion Protection System (IPS): A system that protects against network intrusions. An IPS may be stateful or stateless.
See also: IDS.

Management Plane: In the context of I2NSF, the Management Plane is an architectural Component that provides common functions to define the behavior of I2NSF Components. The primary use of the Management Plane is to transport behavioral commands, and supply OAM data, for making decisions that affect behavior. Examples include modifying the configuration of an I2NSF Component and transporting OAM data. See also: Control Plane, Data Plane.

Metadata: Data that provides information about other data.

Examples include IETF network management protocols (e.g. NETCONF, RESTCONF, IPFIX) or IETF routing interfaces (I2RS). The I2NSF security interface may utilize Metadata to describe and/or prescribe characteristics and behavior of the YANG data models.

Middlebox: Any intermediary device performing functions other than the normal, standard functions of an IP router on the datagram path between a source host and destination host [[RFC3234](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3234)].

Network Security Function (NSF): Software that provides a set of security-related services. Examples include detecting unwanted activity and blocking or mitigating the effect of such unwanted activity in order to fulfil service requirements. The NSF can also help in supporting communication stream integrity and confidentiality.

NSF-Facing Interface: An Interface dedicated to specifying and monitoring I2NSF Policy Rules that are enforced by one or more NSFs. This is typically defined per I2NSF administrative domain. Note that all features of a given NSF do not have to be used. See also: Consumer-Facing Interface, Interface.

Object Constraint Language (OCL): A constraint programming language that is used to specify restrictions on functionality. (from <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/i2nsf/current/msg00762.html>)

Profile: A structured representation of information that uses a pre-defined set of capabilities of an object, typically in a specific context. Zero or more Capabilities may be changed at runtime. This may be used to simplify how this object interacts with other objects in its environment.

Role: An abstraction of a Component that models context-specific views and responsibilities of an object as separate Role objects. Role objects can optionally be attached to, and removed from, the object that the Role object describes at runtime. This provides three important benefits. First, it enables different behavior to be supported by the same Component for different contexts. Second, it enables the behavior of a Component to be adjusted dynamically (i.e., at runtime, in response to changes in context) by using one or more Roles to define the behavior desired for each context. Third, it decouples the Roles of a Component from the Applications use that Component.

Tenant: A group of users that share common access privileges to the same software. An I2NSF tenant may be physical or virtual,

and may run on a variety of systems or servers.

3. IANA Considerations

No IANA considerations exist for this document.

4. Security Considerations

This is a terminology document with no security considerations.

5. Contributors

The following people contributed to creating this document, and are listed in alphabetical order:

Adrian Farrel, Linda Dunbar

6. References

6.1. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-i2nsf-gap-analysis]

Hares, S., Moskowitz, R., and Zhang, D., "Analysis of Existing work for I2NSF", [draft-ietf-i2nsf-gap-analysis-03](#) (work in progress), March 2017.

[I-D.ietf-i2nsf-problem-and-use-cases]

Hares, S., Dunbar, L., Lopez, D., Zarny, M., and C. Jacquenet, "I2NSF Problem Statement and Use cases", [draft-ietf-i2nsf-problem-and-use-cases-09](#) (work in progress), February 2017.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-acl-model]

Bogdanovic, D., Sreenivasa, K., Huang, L., Blair, D., "Network Access Control List (ACL) YANG Data Model", [draft-ietf-netmod-acl-model-09](#) (work in progress), February 2017.

[I-D.ietf-opsawg-firewalls]

Baker, F. and P. Hoffman, "On Firewalls in Internet Security", [draft-ietf-opsawg-firewalls-01](#) (work in progress), October 2012.

[I-D.ietf-sacm-terminology]

Birkholz, H., Lu, J., Strassner, J., Cam-Wignet, N., "Secure Automation and Continuous Monitoring (SACM) Terminology", [draft-ietf-sacm-terminology-11](#), September 2016

- [I-D.ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model]
Strassner, J., Halpern, J., and J. Coleman, "Generic Policy Information Model for Simplified Use of Policy Abstractions (SUPA)", [draft-ietf-supra-generic-policy-info-model-02](#) (work in progress), January 2017.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2975] Aboba, B., Arkko, J., and D. Harrington, "Introduction to Accounting Management", [RFC 2975](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2975, October 2000, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2975>>.
- [RFC3234] Carpenter, B. and S. Brim, "Middleboxes: Taxonomy and Issues", [RFC 3234](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3234, February 2002, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3234>>.
- [RFC3539] Aboba, B. and J. Wood, "Authentication, Authorization and Accounting (AAA) Transport Profile", [RFC 3539](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3539, June 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3539>>.
- [RFC4949] Shirey, R., "Internet Security Glossary, Version 2", FYI 36, [RFC 4949](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4949, August 2007, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4949>>.
- [X.1252] ITU-T, "Baseline identity management terms and definitions", Recommendation ITU-T X.1252, April 2510

Authors' Addresses

Susan Hares
Huawei
7453 Hickory Hill
Saline, MI USA 48176
Phone: +1-734-604-0332
Email: shares@ndzh.com

John Strassner
Huawei Technologies
Santa Clara, CA USA 95050
Email: john.sc.strassner@huawei.com

Diego R. Lopez
Telefonica I+D
Don Ramon de la Cruz, 82
Madrid 28006
Spain

Email: diego.r.lopez@telefonica.com

Hares, et al.

Expires September 07, 2017

[Page 12]

Liang Xia (Frank)
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhuatai District
Nanjing , Jiangsu 210012
China
Email: Frank.Xialiang@huawei.com

Henk Birkholz
Fraunhofer SIT
Rheinstrasse 75
Darmstadt 64295
Germany
Email: henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de

