Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Obsoletes: 3005 (if approved)

Intended status: Best Current Practice Akamai Technologies, Inc.

Expires: April 14, 2019

S. Harris, Ed.
Merit Network, Inc.
R. Salz, Ed.

October 11, 2018

IETF Discussion List Charter draft-ietf-iasa2-rfc3005bis-01

Abstract

The Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) discussion mailing list furthers the development and specification of Internet technology through discussion of technical issues, and hosts discussions of IETF direction, policy, meetings, and procedures. As this is the most general IETF mailing list, considerable latitude is allowed. Advertising, whether to solicit business or promote employment opportunities, falls well outside the range of acceptable topics, as do discussions of a personal nature.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 14, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Charter for the IETF Discussion List

The IETF discussion list, ietf@ietf.org [1], serves two purposes. furthers the development and specification of Internet technology through discussion of technical issues. It also hosts discussions of IETF direction, policy, meetings, and procedures. As this is the most general IETF mailing list, considerable latitude is allowed. Advertising, whether to solicit business or promote employment opportunities, falls well outside the range of acceptable topics, as do discussions of a personal nature.

This list is meant for initial discussion only. Discussions that fall within the area of any working group or well established list should be moved to such more specific forum as soon as this is pointed out, unless the issue is one for which the working group needs wider input or direction.

In addition to the topics noted above, appropriate postings include:

- o Last Call discussions of proposed protocol actions.
- o Discussion of technical issues that are candidates for IETF work, but do not yet have an appropriate e-mail venue.
- o Discussion of IETF administrative policies.
- o Questions and clarifications concerning IETF meetings.
- o Announcements of conferences, events, or activities that are sponsored or endorsed by the Internet Society or IETF.

Inappropriate postings include:

- o Unsolicited bulk e-mail.
- o Discussion of subjects unrelated to IETF policy, meetings, activities, or technical concerns.
- o Unprofessional commentary, regardless of the general subject.
- o Announcements of conferences, events, or activities that are not sponsored or endorsed by the Internet Society or IETF.

The IETF Chair, or a sergeant-at-arms appointed by the Chair, is empowered to restrict posting by a person, or of a thread, when the content is inappropriate and represents a pattern of abuse. They are encouraged to take into account the overall nature of the postings by an individual and whether particular postings are an aberration or typical. Complaints regarding their decisions should be referred to the IAB.

2. References

2.1. URIS

[1] mailto:ietf@ietf.org

Authors' Addresses

Susan R. Harris (editor) Merit Network, Inc. 4251 Plymouth Rd., Suite C Ann Arbor, MI 48105 USA

Email: srh@merit.edu

Rich Salz (editor) Akamai Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway Cambridge, MA 02142 USA

Email: rsalz@akamai.com