Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Expires: May 4, 2003 November 3, 2002

Internationalized Domain Names in URIs draft-ietf-idn-uri-03

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 4, 2003.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document proposes to upgrade the definition of URIs (RFC 2396) [RFC2396] to work consistently with internationalized domain names.

M. Duerst

W3C

Internet-Draft	IDNs in URIs	November 2002
IIILEI IIEL-DI AI L	TONS TH OKTS	NOVEILLE Z002

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	URI syntax changes	3
<u>3</u> .	Security considerations	<u>5</u>
<u>4</u> .	Acknowledgements	<u>5</u>
<u>5</u> .	Change Log	<u>5</u>
5.1	Changes from <u>draft-ietf-idn-uri-02</u> to <u>draft-ietf-idn-uri-03</u> .	5
5.2	Changes from $\underline{draft-ietf-idn-uri-01}$ to $\underline{draft-ietf-idn-uri-02}$.	5
5.3	Changes from <u>draft-ietf-idn-uri-00</u> to <u>draft-ietf-idn-uri-01</u> .	5
	References	<u>6</u>
	Author's Address	7
	Full Copyright Statement	8

Internet-Draft IDNs in URIs November 2002

1. Introduction

Internet domain names serve to identify hosts and services on the Internet in a convenient way. The IETF IDN working group [IDNWG] has been working on extending the character repertoire usable in domain names beyond a subset of US-ASCII.

One of the most important places where domain names appear are Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs, [RFC2396], as modified by [RFC2732]). However, in the current definition of the generic URI syntax, the restrictions on domain names are 'hard-coded'. In Section 2, this document relaxes these restrictions by updating the syntax, and defines how internationalized domain names are encoded in URIs.

The syntax in this document has been chosen to further increase the uniformity of URI syntax, which is a very important principle of URIs.

In practice, escaped domain names should be used as rarely as possible. Wherever possible, the actual characters in Internationalized Domain Names should be preserved as long as possible by using IRIS [IRI] rather than URIs, and only converting to URIs and then to ACE-encoded [IDNA] domain names (or ideally directly to ACE-encoding without even using URIs) when resolving the IRI. Also, this document does not exclude the use of ACE encoding directly in an URI domain name part. ACE encoding may be used directly in an URI domain name part if this is considered necessary for interoperability.

Please note that even with the definition of URIs in [RFC2396], some URIs can already contain host names with escaped characters. For example, mailto:example@w%33.org is legal per [RFC2396] because the mailto: URI scheme does not follow the generic syntax of [RFC2396].

2. URI syntax changes

The syntax of URIs [RFC2396] currently contains the following rules relevant to domain names:

```
hostname = *( domainlabel "." ) toplabel [ "." ]
domainlabel = alphanum | alphanum *( alphanum | "-" ) alphanum
toplabel = alpha | alpha *( alphanum | "-" ) alphanum
```

The later two rules are changed as follows:

```
domainlabel = anchar | anchar *( anchar | "-" ) anchar
toplabel = achar | achar *( anchar | "-" ) anchar
```

and the following rules are added:

```
anchar = alphanum | escaped
achar = alpha | escaped
```

Characters outside the repertoire (alphanum) are encoded by first encoding the characters in UTF-8 [RFC 2279], resulting in a sequence of octets, and then escaping these octets according to the rules defined in [RFC2396].

Using UTF-8 assures that this encoding interoperates with IRIs [IRI]. It is also aligned with the recommendations in [RFC2277] and [RFC2718], and is consistent with the URN syntax [RFC2141] as well as recent URL scheme definitions that define encodings of non-ASCII characters based on UTF-8 (e.g., IMAP URLs [RFC2192] and POP URLs [RFC2384]).

The above syntax rules permit for domain names that are neither permitted as US-ASCII only domain names nor as internationalized domain names. However, such domain names should never be used, and will never be resolved because no such domains will be registered. For US-ASCII only domain names, the syntax rules in [RFC2396] are relevant. For example, http://www.w%33.org is legal, because the corresponding 'w3' is a legal 'domainlabel' according to [RFC2396]. However, http://%2a.example.org is illegal because the corresponding '*' is not a legal 'domainlabel' according to [RFC2396].

For domain names containing non-ASCII characters, the legal domain names are those for which the ToASCII operation ([IDNA], [Nameprep]; using the unescaped UTF-8 values as input), with the flags "UseSTD3ASCIIRules" and "AllowUnassigned" set, is successful. The URI resolver MUST apply any steps required as part of domain name resolution by [IDNA], in particular the ToASCII operation, with the above-mentioned flags set. URIs where the ToASCII operation results in an error should be treated as unresolvable.

For domain names containing non-ASCII characters, the Nameprep specification ([Nameprep]) defines some mappings, which mainly include normalization to NFKC and folding to lower case. When encoding an internationalized domain name in an URI, these mappings SHOULD NOT be applied. It should be assumed that the domain name is already normalized as far as appropriate.

For consistency in comparison operations and for interoperability with older software, the following should be noted: 1) US-ASCII characters in domain names should not be escaped. 2) Because of the principle of syntax uniformity for URIs, it is always more prudent to take into account the possibility that US-ASCII characters are escaped.

3. Security considerations

The security considerations of [RFC2396] and those applying to internationalized domain names apply. There may be an increased potential to smuggle escaped US-ASCII-based domain names across firewalls, although because of the uniform syntax principle for URIs, such a potential is already existing.

4. Acknowledgements

Erik Nordmark

5. Change Log

5.1 Changes from draft-ietf-idn-uri-02 to draft-ietf-idn-uri-03

Clarified expectations on name checking.

<u>5.2</u> Changes from <u>draft-ietf-idn-uri-01</u> to <u>draft-ietf-idn-uri-02</u>

Moved change log to back

Changed to only change URIs; IRI syntax updated directly in IRI draft.

Removed syntax restriction on %hh in the US-ASCII part, but made clear that restrictions to domain names apply.

Made clear that escaped domain names in URIs should only be an intermediate representation.

Gave example of mailto: as already allowing escaped host names.

Corrected some typos.

5.3 Changes from draft-ietf-idn-uri-00 to draft-ietf-idn-uri-01

Changed requirement for URI/IRI resolvers from MUST to SHOULD

Changed IRI syntax slightly (ichar -> idchar, based on changes in [IRI])

Internet-Draft IDNs in URIs November 2002

Various wording changes

References

- [IDNA] Faltstrom, P., Hoffman, P. and A. Costello,
 "Internationalizing Domain Names in Applications (IDNA)",

 draft-ietf-idn-idna-14.txt (work in progress), October
 2002, http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-idn-idna-14.txt.
- [IDNWG] "IETF Internationalized Domain Name (idn) Working Group".
- [IRI] Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRI)", draft-duerst-iri-02.txt (work in progress), November 2002, http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-duerst-iri-02.txt.
- [ISO10646] International Organization for Standardization,
 "Information Technology Universal Multiple-Octet Coded
 Character Set (UCS) Part 1: Architecture and Basic
 Multilingual Plane", ISO Standard 10646-1, October 2000.
- [Nameprep] Hoffman, P. and M. Blanchet, "Nameprep: A Stringprep Profile for Internationalized Domain Names", draft-ietf-idn-nameprep-11.txt (work in progress), June 2002, http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-idn-nameprep-11.txt>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2141] Moats, R., "URN Syntax", <u>RFC 2141</u>, May 1997.
- [RFC2192] Newman, C., "IMAP URL Scheme", RFC 2192, September 1997.
- [RFC2277] Alvestrand, H., "IETF Policy on Character Sets and Languages", <u>BCP 18</u>, <u>RFC 2277</u>, January 1998.
- [RFC2279] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", RFC 2279, January 1998.
- [RFC2384] Gellens, R., "POP URL Scheme", <u>RFC 2384</u>, August 1998.
- [RFC2396] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R. and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax", RFC 2396, August 1998.
- [RFC2640] Curtin, B., "Internationalization of the File Transfer

Internet-Draft IDNs in URIs November 2002

Protocol", <u>RFC 2640</u>, July 1999.

[RFC2718] Masinter, L., Alvestrand, H., Zigmond, D. and R. Petke, "Guidelines for new URL Schemes", <u>RFC 2718</u>, November 1999.

[RFC2732] Hinden, R., Carpenter, B. and L. Masinter, "Format for Literal IPv6 Addresses in URL's", RFC 2732, December 1999.

Author's Address

Martin Duerst World Wide Web Consortium 200 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139 U.S.A.

Phone: +1 617 253 5509 Fax: +1 617 258 5999 EMail: duerst@w3.org

URI: http://www.w3.org/People/D%C3%BCrst/

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.