Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track

Cisco Systems P. Mohapatra Expires: January 5, 2015 Cumulus Networks J. Haas Juniper Networks July 4, 2014

Generic Subtype for BGP Four-octet AS specific extended community draft-ietf-idr-as4octet-extcomm-generic-subtype-07

Abstract

Maintaining the current best practices with communities, ISPs and enterprises that are assigned a 4-octet AS number may want the BGP UPDATE messages they receive from their customers or peers to include a 4-octet AS specific BGP extended community. This document defines a new sub-type within the four-octet AS specific extended community to facilitate this practice.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on Jannuary 5, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

D. Rao

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction										3
<u>1.1</u> . Requirements Language .										<u>3</u>
Generic Sub-type Definition										<u>3</u>
$\underline{3}$. Deployment Considerations .										<u>4</u>
$\underline{4}$. Acknowledgments										<u>5</u>
$\underline{5}$. IANA Considerations										<u>5</u>
$\underline{6}$. Security Considerations										<u>5</u>
<u>7</u> . References										<u>5</u>
7.1. Normative References .										<u>5</u>
<u>7.2</u> . Informative References										<u>6</u>
Authors' Addresses										<u>6</u>

1. Introduction

Maintaining the current best practices with communities, ISPs and enterprises that are assigned a 4-octet AS number may want the BGP UPDATE messages they receive from their customers or peers to include a 4-octet AS specific extended community. This document defines a new sub-type within the four-octet AS specific extended community to facilitate this practice.

For example, [RFC1998] describes an application of BGP community attribute ([RFC1997]) to implement flexible routing policies for sites multi-homed to one or multiple providers. In a two-octet AS environment, the advertised routes are usually associated with a community attribute that encodes the provider's AS number in the first two octets of the community and a LOCAL_PREF value in the second two octets of the community. The community attribute signals the provider edge routers connected to the site to set the corresponding LOCAL_PREF on their advertisements to the IBGP mesh. In this way, customers can put into practice topologies like activebackup.

When such a provider is assigned a four-octet AS number, the existing mechanism of using communities is not sufficient since the AS portion of the RFC 1997 community cannot exceed two bytes. The natural alternative is to extend the same mechanism using extended communities since it allows for encoding eight bytes of information.

[RFC5668] defines a format for a four-octet AS specific extended community with a designated type field. That document defines two sub-types: Four-octet specific Route Target extended community and Four-octet specific Route Origin extended community. This document specifies a generic sub-type for the four-octet AS specific extended community to provide benefits such as the one cited above as the Internet migrates to four-octet AS space.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Generic Sub-type Definition

Rao, et al. Expires January 5, 2015 [Page 3]

0	1		2	3							
0 1 2 3 4 9	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9	0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9 0 1							
+-											
0x02 or 0	x42 0x04		Global	1							
+-											
Admini	strator	Loca	Local Administrator								
+-											

This is an extended type with Type Field comprising of 2 octets and Value Field comprising of 6 octets.

The high-order octet of this extended type is set to either 0x02 (for transitive communities) or 0x42 (for non-transitive communities). The low-order octet or the sub-type is set to 0x04.

The Value Field consists of two sub-fields:

Global Administrator sub-field: 4 octets

This sub-field contains a four-octet Autonomous System number.

Local Administrator sub-field: 2 octets

This sub-field contains a value that can influence routing policies. This value has semantics that are of significance for the Autonomous System in the Global Administrator field.

3. Deployment Considerations

There are situations in peering where a 4-octet AS specific generic extended community cannot be used.

A speaker with a 4-octet AS may not support 4-octet extended communities; or the speaker may have a customer or peer that does not support 4-octet extended communities. In all such cases, the speaker may need to define an appropriate standard community value for the same purpose. As an example, a peer may tag its routes with a community that encodes AS_TRANS [RFC4893] as the first two octets.

Similarly, as per [RFC4893], a 2-octet Autonomous System number can be converted into a 4-octet Autonomous System number by setting the two high-order octets of the 4-octet field to zero. As a consequence, at least in principle, an Autonomous System that has a 2-octet AS number could use either a standard community or the 4-octet AS specific generic extended community. This is undesirable, as they would be treated as different communities, even if they had

Rao, et al. Expires January 5, 2015 [Page 4]

the same values.

Therefore, for backward compatibility with existing deployments and to avoid inconsistencies between standard communities and 4-octet extended communities, Autonomous Systems that use 2-octet Autonomous System numbers SHOULD use standard 2-octet communities as defined in RFC1997 rather than the 4-octet AS specific extended community as defined in this document.

4. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Paul Jakma, Bruno Decraene and Cayle Spandon for their useful comments on the document.

5. IANA Considerations

This document defines a specific application of the four-octet AS specific extended community. IANA is requested to to assign a subtype value of 0x04 for the generic four-octet AS specific extended community.

This document makes the following assignments for the generic fouroctet AS specific extended community:

Name	Value
transitive generic four-octet AS specific	0x0204
non-transitive generic four-octet AS specific	0x4204

6. Security Considerations

There are no additional security risks introduced by this design.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[RFC1997] Chandrasekeran, R., Traina, P., and T. Li, "BGP Communities Attribute", <u>RFC 1997</u>, August 1996.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC4893] Vohra, Q. and E. Chen, "BGP Support for Four-octet AS Number Space", RFC 4893, May 2007.

[RFC5668] Rekhter, Y., Sangli, S., and D. Tappan, "4-Octet AS Specific BGP Extended Community", RFC 5668, October 2009.

7.2. Informative References

[RFC1998] Chen, E. and T. Bates, "An Application of the BGP Community Attribute in Multi-home Routing", RFC 1998, August 1996.

Authors' Addresses

Dhananjaya Rao Cisco Systems 170 W. Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 **USA**

Email: dhrao@cisco.com

Pradosh Mohapatra Cumulus Networks 185 E. Dana Street Mountain View, CA 94041 USA

Email: mpradosh@yahoo.com

Jeffrey Haas Juniper Networks 1194 North Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94089 USA

Email: jhaas@pfrc.org