

IDR
Internet-Draft
Updates: [2918](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: December 11, 2014

K. Patel
E. Chen
Cisco Systems
B. Venkatachalapathy

June 9, 2014

Enhanced Route Refresh Capability for BGP-4
draft-ietf-idr-bgp-enhanced-route-refresh-10.txt

Abstract

In this document we enhance the existing BGP route refresh mechanisms to provide for the demarcation of the beginning and the ending of a route refresh. The enhancement can be used to facilitate correction of BGP RIB inconsistencies in a non-disruptive manner. This document updates [RFC 2918](#).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 11, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Requirements Language	2
3.	Protocol Extensions	2
3.1.	Enhanced Route Refresh Capability	3
3.2.	Subtypes for ROUTE-REFRESH Message	3
4.	Operation	3
5.	Error Handling	4
6.	IANA Considerations	5
7.	Security Considerations	6
8.	Acknowledgements	6
9.	Normative References	6
	Authors' Addresses	7

[1.](#) Introduction

It is sometimes necessary to perform routing consistency validations such as checking for possible missing route withdrawals between BGP speakers [[RFC4271](#)]. Currently such validations typically involve off-line, manual operations which can be tedious and time consuming.

In this document we enhance the existing BGP route refresh mechanisms [[RFC2918](#)] to provide for the demarcation of the beginning and the ending of a route refresh (which refers to the complete re-advertisement of the Adj-RIB-Out to a peer, subject to routing policies). The enhancement can be used to facilitate on-line, non-disruptive consistency validation of BGP routing updates.

This document updates [[RFC2918](#)] by redefining a field in the ROUTE-REFRESH message that was previously designated as Reserved.

[2.](#) Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)] only when they appear in all upper case. They may also appear in lower or mixed case as English words, without any normative meaning.

[3.](#) Protocol Extensions

The BGP protocol extensions introduced in this document include the definition of a new BGP capability, named "Enhanced Route Refresh

Capability", and the specification of the message subtypes for the ROUTE-REFRESH message.

3.1. Enhanced Route Refresh Capability

The "Enhanced Route Refresh Capability" is a new BGP capability [[RFC5492](#)]. IANA has assigned a Capability Code of 70 for this capability. The Capability Length field of this capability is zero.

By advertising this capability to a peer, a BGP speaker conveys to the peer that the speaker supports the message subtypes for the ROUTE-REFRESH message and the related procedures described in this document.

3.2. Subtypes for ROUTE-REFRESH Message

The "Reserved" field of the ROUTE-REFRESH message specified in [[RFC2918](#)] is re-defined as the "Message Subtype" with the following values:

- 0 - Normal route refresh request [[RFC2918](#)]
with/without ORF [[RFC5291](#)]
- 1 - Demarcation of the beginning of a route refresh (BoRR) operation.
- 2 - Demarcation of the ending of a route refresh (EoRR) operation.

The remaining values of the message subtypes are reserved for future use. The use of the new message subtypes is described in the Operations section.

4. Operation

A BGP speaker that supports the message subtypes for the ROUTE-REFRESH message and the related procedures SHOULD advertise the "Enhanced Route Refresh Capability".

The following procedures are applicable only if a BGP speaker has received the "Enhanced Route Refresh Capability" from a peer.

Before the speaker starts a route refresh that is either initiated locally, or in response to a "normal route refresh request" from the peer, the speaker MUST send a BoRR message. After the speaker completes the re-advertisement of the entire Adj-RIB-Out to the peer, it MUST send an EoRR message.

Conceptually the "entire Adj-RIB-Out" for a peer in this section refers to all the route entries in the "Adj-RIB-Out" for the peer at

the start of the route refresh operation. These route entries comprise both the reachability as well as unreachability information. When a route entry in the "Adj-RIB-Out" changes, only the modified route entry needs to be advertised.

In processing a ROUTE-REFRESH message from a peer, the BGP speaker MUST examine the "message subtype" field of the message and take the appropriate actions. The message processing rules for ROUTE-REFRESH message with subtype of 0 are described in [RFC2918] and [RFC5291]. A BGP speaker can receive a BoRR message from a peer at any time, either as a result of a peer responding to a ROUTE-REFRESH message, or as a result of a peer unilaterally initiating a route refresh. When a BGP speaker receives a BoRR message from a peer, it MUST mark all the routes with the given Address Family Identifier and Subsequent Address Family Identifier, <AFI, SAFI> [RFC2918] from that peer as stale. As it receives routes from its peer's subsequent Adj-RIB-Out re-advertisement, these replace any corresponding stale routes. When a BGP speaker receives an EoRR message from a peer, it MUST immediately remove any routes from the peer that are still marked as stale for that <AFI, SAFI>. Such purged routes MAY be logged for future analysis. A BGP speaker MAY ignore any EoRR message received without a prior receipt of an associated BoRR message. Such messages MAY be logged for future analysis.

An implementation MAY impose a locally configurable upper bound on how long it would retain any stale routes. Once the upper bound is reached, the implementation MAY remove any routes from the peer that are still marked as stale for that <AFI, SAFI> without waiting for an EoRR message.

The following procedures are specified in order to simplify the interaction with the BGP Graceful Restart [RFC4724]. In particular, these procedures ensure that End-of-RIB (EoR) defined in Graceful Restart and EoRR as defined in this specification are kept separate, thereby avoiding any premature cleanup of stale routes. For a BGP speaker that supports the BGP Graceful Restart, it MUST NOT send a BoRR for an <AFI, SAFI> to a neighbor before it sends the EoR for the <AFI, SAFI> to the neighbor. A BGP speaker that has received the Graceful Restart Capability from its neighbor, MUST ignore any BoRRs for an <AFI, SAFI> from the neighbor before the speaker receives the EoR for the given <AFI, SAFI> from the neighbor. The BGP speaker SHOULD log an error of the condition for further analysis.

5. Error Handling

This document defines a new NOTIFICATION error code:

Error Code	Symbolic Name
TBD	ROUTE-REFRESH Message Error

The following error subcodes are defined as well:

Subcode	Symbolic Name
1	Invalid Message Length

The error handling specified in this section is applicable only when a BGP speaker has received the "Enhanced Route Refresh Capability" from a peer.

If the length, excluding the fixed-size message header, of the received ROUTE-REFRESH message with Message Subtype 1 and 2 is not 4, then the BGP speaker MUST send a NOTIFICATION message with the Error Code of "ROUTE-REFRESH Message Error" and the subcode of "Invalid Message Length". The Data field of the NOTIFICATION message MUST contain the complete ROUTE-REFRESH message.

When the BGP speaker receives a ROUTE-REFRESH message with a "Message Subtype" field other than 0, 1 or 2, it MUST ignore the received ROUTE-REFRESH message. It SHOULD log an error for further analysis.

6. IANA Considerations

This document defines the Enhanced Route Refresh Capability for BGP. The Capability Code 70 has been assigned by the IANA from the "BGP Capability Codes" registry. IANA should update that registry entry to reference this document when it is published as an RFC. This document also defines two new subcodes for the Route Refresh message. They need to be registered with the IANA. We request IANA to create a new registry for the Route Refresh message subcodes as follows:

Under "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Parameters":

Registry: "BGP Route Refresh Subcodes"

Reference: [RFC-to-Be]

Registration Procedure(s): Values 0-127 Standards Action, values 128-254 First Come, First Served, Value 255 reserved

Value	Code	Reference
0	Route-Refresh	[RFC2918], [RFC5291]
1	BoRR	[RFC-to-Be]
2	EoRR	[RFC-to-Be]
3-127	Unassigned	
128-254	Unassigned	
255	Reserved	[RFC-to-Be]

In addition, this document defines a NOTIFICATION error code and an error subcode related to the ROUTE-REFRESH message. We request IANA to allocate a new error code from the "BGP Error Codes" registry with the symbolic name "ROUTE-REFRESH Message Error", referencing this document. We request IANA to create a new registry for the error subcodes as follows:

Under "BGP Error Subcodes":

Registry: "BGP ROUTE-REFRESH Message Error subcodes"

Reference: [RFC-to-Be]

Registration Procedure(s): Values 0-127 Standards Action, values 128-255 First Come, First Served

Value	Code	Reference
0	Reserved	
1	Invalid Message Length	[RFC-to-Be]
2-127	Unassigned	
128-255	Unassigned	

7. Security Considerations

Security considerations are given in [[RFC4272](#)] , but do not cover Route-Refresh and many other BGP extensions. This draft does not significantly change the underlying security issues regarding Route-Refresh, although improved error handling may aid operational security.

8. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Pedro Marques, Pradosh Mohapatra, Robert Raszuk, Pranav Mehta, Shyam Sethuram, Bruno Decraene, Martin Djernaes, Jeff Haas, Ilya Varlashkin, Rob Shakir, Paul Jakma, Jie Dong, Qing Zeng, Albert Tian, Jakob Heitz and Chris Hall for their review and comments. The authors would like to thank John Scudder for the review and contribution to this document.

9. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2918] Chen, E., "Route Refresh Capability for BGP-4", [RFC 2918](#), September 2000.
- [RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Li, T., and S. Hares, "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", [RFC 4271](#), January 2006.

- [RFC4272] Murphy, S., "BGP Security Vulnerabilities Analysis", [RFC 4272](#), January 2006.
- [RFC4724] Sangli, S., Chen, E., Fernando, R., Scudder, J., and Y. Rekhter, "Graceful Restart Mechanism for BGP", [RFC 4724](#), January 2007.
- [RFC5291] Chen, E. and Y. Rekhter, "Outbound Route Filtering Capability for BGP-4", [RFC 5291](#), August 2008.
- [RFC5492] Scudder, J. and R. Chandra, "Capabilities Advertisement with BGP-4", [RFC 5492](#), February 2009.

Authors' Addresses

Keyur Patel
Cisco Systems
170 W. Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: keyupate@cisco.com

Enke Chen
Cisco Systems
170 W. Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: enkechen@cisco.com

Balaji Venkatachalapathy

Email: balaji_pv@hotmail.com

