

Inter-Domain Routing  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: November 5, 2022

Z. Li  
S. Zhuang  
Huawei  
K. Talaulikar, Ed.  
Arrcus Inc  
S. Aldrin  
Google, Inc  
J. Tantsura  
Microsoft  
G. Mirsky  
Ericsson  
May 4, 2022

**BGP Link-State Extensions for Seamless BFD**  
**draft-ietf-idr-bgp-ls-sbfd-extensions-09**

Abstract

Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD) defines a simplified mechanism to use Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) with large portions of negotiation aspects eliminated, thus providing benefits such as quick provisioning as well as improved control and flexibility to network nodes initiating the path monitoring. The link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF) have been extended to advertise the Seamless BFD (S-BFD) Discriminators.

This document defines extensions to the BGP Link-state address-family to carry the S-BFD Discriminators' information via BGP.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 5, 2022.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Requirements Language . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	BGP-LS Extensions for S-BFD Discriminator . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Manageability Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD) [[RFC7880](#)] defines a simplified mechanism to use Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) [[RFC5880](#)] with large portions of negotiation aspects eliminated, thus providing benefits such as quick provisioning as well as improved control and flexibility to network nodes initiating the path monitoring.

For monitoring of a service path end-to-end via S-BFD, the headend node (i.e. Initiator) needs to know the S-BFD Discriminator of the destination/tail-end node (i.e. Responder) of that service. The link-state routing protocols (IS-IS [[RFC7883](#)] and OSPF [[RFC7884](#)]) have been extended to advertise the S-BFD Discriminators. With this, an Initiator can learn the S-BFD discriminator for all Responders within its IGP area/level, or optionally within the domain. With networks being divided into multiple IGP domains for scaling and



operational considerations, the service endpoints that require end to end S-BFD monitoring often span across IGP domains.

BGP Link-State (BGP-LS) [[RFC7752](#)] enables the collection and distribution of IGP link-state topology information via BGP sessions across IGP areas/levels and domains. The S-BFD discriminator(s) of a node can thus be distributed along with the topology information via BGP-LS across IGP domains and even across multiple Autonomous Systems (AS) within an administrative domain.

This document defines extensions to BGP-LS for carrying the S-BFD Discriminators information.

### **1.1. Requirements Language**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## **2. Terminology**

This memo makes use of the terms defined in [[RFC7880](#)].

## **3. BGP-LS Extensions for S-BFD Discriminator**

BGP-LS [[RFC7752](#)] specifies the Node Network Layer Reachability Information (NLRI) for the advertisement of nodes and their attributes using the BGP-LS Attribute. The S-BFD discriminators of a node are considered a node-level attribute and advertised as such.

This document defines a new BGP-LS Attribute TLV called the S-BFD Discriminators TLV and its format is as follows:







Code Point	Description	Reference
1032	S-BFD Discriminators TLV	This document

Table 1: S-BFD Discriminators TLV Code-Point Allocation

## 5. Manageability Considerations

The new protocol extensions introduced in this document augment the existing IGP topology information that was distributed via BGP-LS [RFC7752]. Procedures and protocol extensions defined in this document do not affect the BGP protocol operations and management other than as discussed in the Manageability Considerations section of [RFC7752]. Specifically, the malformed NLRI attribute tests in the Fault Management section of [RFC7752] now encompass the new TLV for the BGP-LS NLRI in this document.

## 6. Security Considerations

The new protocol extensions introduced in this document augment the existing IGP topology information that can be distributed via BGP-LS [RFC7752]. Procedures and protocol extensions defined in this document do not affect the BGP security model other than as discussed in the Security Considerations section of [RFC7752]. More specifically, the aspects related to limiting the nodes and consumers with which the topology information is shared via BGP-LS to trusted entities within an administrative domain.

The TLV introduced in this document is used to propagate IGP defined information ([RFC7883] and [RFC7884]). The TLV represents information used to set up S-BFD sessions. The IGP instances originating this information are assumed to support any required security and authentication mechanisms (as described in [RFC7883] and [RFC7884]).

Advertising the S-BFD Discriminators via BGP-LS makes it possible for attackers to initiate S-BFD sessions using the advertised information. The vulnerabilities this poses and how to mitigate them are discussed in [RFC7880].

## 7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Nan Wu for his contributions to this work. The authors would also like to thank Gunter Van De Velde and Thomas Fossati for their reviews. The authors would also like to





thank Jeff Haas for his shepherd review and Alvaro Retana for his AD review of this document.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC7752] Gredler, H., Ed., Medved, J., Previdi, S., Farrel, A., and S. Ray, "North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and Traffic Engineering (TE) Information Using BGP", [RFC 7752](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7752, March 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7752>>.
- [RFC7880] Pignataro, C., Ward, D., Akiya, N., Bhatia, M., and S. Pallagatti, "Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD)", [RFC 7880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7880, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7880>>.
- [RFC7883] Ginsberg, L., Akiya, N., and M. Chen, "Advertising Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD) Discriminators in IS-IS", [RFC 7883](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7883, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7883>>.
- [RFC7884] Pignataro, C., Bhatia, M., Aldrin, S., and T. Ranganath, "OSPF Extensions to Advertise Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD) Target Discriminators", [RFC 7884](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7884, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7884>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", [RFC 5880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.



## Authors' Addresses

Zhenbin Li  
Huawei  
Huawei Bld., No.156 Beiqing Rd.  
Beijing 100095  
China

Email: lizhenbin@huawei.com

Shunwan Zhuang  
Huawei  
Huawei Bld., No.156 Beiqing Rd.  
Beijing 100095  
China

Email: zhuangshunwan@huawei.com

Ketan Talaulikar (editor)  
Arcus Inc  
India

Email: ketant.ietf@gmail.com

Sam Aldrin  
Google, Inc

Email: aldrin.ietf@gmail.com

Jeff Tantsura  
Microsoft

Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

Greg Mirsky  
Ericsson

Email: gregimirsky@gmail.com

