

IDR
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 24, 2018

S. Previdi, Ed.
C. Filsfils
A. Lindem, Ed.
Cisco Systems
A. Sreekantiah

H. Gredler
RtBrick Inc.
February 20, 2018

Segment Routing Prefix SID extensions for BGP
draft-ietf-idr-bgp-prefix-sid-17

Abstract

The Segment Routing (SR) architecture allows a node to steer a packet flow through any topological path and service chain by leveraging source routing. The ingress node prepends an SR header to a packet containing a set of segment identifiers (SID). Each SID represents a topological or a service-based instruction. Per-flow state is maintained only on the ingress node of the SR domain.

This document defines an optional, transitive BGP attribute for announcing BGP Prefix Segment Identifiers (BGP Prefix-SID) information.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 24, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	BGP-Prefix-SID	4
2.1.	MPLS BGP Prefix SID	4
3.	BGP Prefix-SID Attribute	5
3.1.	Label-Index TLV	6
3.2.	Originator SRGB TLV	6
4.	Receiving BGP Prefix-SID Attribute	8
4.1.	MPLS Dataplane: Labeled Unicast	8
5.	Advertising BGP Prefix-SID Attribute	10
5.1.	MPLS Dataplane: Labeled Unicast	10
6.	Error Handling of BGP Prefix-SID Attribute	11
7.	IANA Considerations	11
8.	Manageability Considerations	12
9.	Security Considerations	12
10.	Contributors	13
11.	Acknowledgements	13
12.	References	14
12.1.	Normative References	14
12.2.	Informative References	15
	Authors' Addresses	16

[1.](#) Introduction

The Segment Routing (SR) architecture leverages the source routing paradigm. A group of inter-connected nodes that use SR forms an SR domain. A segment represents either a topological instruction such

as "go to prefix P following shortest path" or a service instruction. Other types of segments may be defined in the future.

A segment is identified through a Segment Identifier (SID). Typically, the ingress node of the SR domain prepends an SR header containing segments identifiers (SIDs) to an incoming packet.

As described in [[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing](#)], when SR is applied to the MPLS dataplane ([[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls](#)]), the SID consists of a label.

A BGP-Prefix Segment (and its BGP Prefix-SID) is a BGP segment attached to a BGP prefix. A BGP Prefix-SID is always a global SID ([[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing](#)]) within the SR/BGP domain (i.e., the set of Autonomous Systems under a common administration and control and where SR is used) and identifies an instruction to forward the packet over the ECMP-aware best-path computed by BGP to the related prefix. The BGP Prefix-SID is the identifier of the BGP prefix segment. In this document, we always refer to the BGP segment by the BGP Prefix-SID.

This document describes the BGP extension to signal the BGP Prefix-SID. Specifically, this document defines a BGP attribute known as the BGP Prefix-SID attribute and specifies the rules to originate, receive, and handle error conditions for the attribute.

The BGP Prefix-SID attribute defined in this document can be attached to prefixes from Multiprotocol BGP labeled IPv4/IPv6 Unicast ([[RFC4760](#)], [[RFC8277](#)]). Address Family Identifier (AFI)/ Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI) combinations.

Usage of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute for other AFI/SAFI combinations is not defined herein but may be specified in future specifications.

[[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc](#)] describes example use cases where the BGP Prefix-SID is used for the above AFI/SAFI combinations.

It should be noted that:

- o A BGP Prefix-SID MAY be global between domains when the interconnected domains agree on the SID allocation scheme. Alternatively, when interconnecting domains, the ASBRs of each domain will have to handle the advertisement of unique SIDs. The mechanisms for such interconnection are outside the scope of the protocol extensions defined in this document.
- o A BGP Prefix-SID MAY be attached to a prefix. In addition, each prefix will likely have a different AS_PATH attribute. This

implies that each prefix is advertised individually, reducing the ability to pack BGP advertisements (when sharing common attributes).

2. BGP-Prefix-SID

The BGP Prefix-SID advertised for BGP prefix P indicates that the segment routed path should be used (as described below) if the BGP best path selects the corresponding Network Layer Reachability Information (NLRI).

2.1. MPLS BGP Prefix SID

The BGP Prefix-SID is realized on the MPLS dataplane ([\[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls\]](#)) in the following way:

The operator assigns a globally unique label index, L_I , to a locally sourced prefix of a BGP speaker N which is advertised to all other BGP speakers in the SR domain.

According to [\[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing\]](#), each BGP speaker is configured with a label block called the Segment Routing Global Block (SRGB). While [\[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing\]](#) recommends using the same SRGB across all the nodes within the SR domain, the SRGB of a node is a local property and could be different on different speakers. The drawbacks of the use case where BGP speakers have different SRGBs are documented in [\[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing\]](#) and [\[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc\]](#).

If traffic-engineering within the SR domain is required, each node may also be required to advertise topological information and Peering SIDs for each of its links and peers. This information is required to perform the explicit path computation and to express an explicit path as a list of SIDs. The advertisement of topological information and peer segments (Peer SIDs) is done through [\[I-D.ietf-idr-bgpls-segment-routing-epe\]](#).

If the BGP speakers are not all configured with the same SRGB, and if traffic-engineering within the SR domain is required, each node may be required to advertise its local SRGB in addition to the topological information.

This document assumes that BGP-LS is the preferred method for collecting both peer segments (Peer SIDs) and SRGB information through [\[RFC7752\]](#), [\[I-D.ietf-idr-bgpls-segment-routing-epe\]](#), and [\[I-D.ietf-idr-bgp-ls-segment-routing-ext\]](#). However, as an optional alternative for the advertisement of the local SRGB

without the topology nor the peer SIDs, hence without applicability for TE, the Originator SRGB TLV of the prefix-SID attribute is specified in [Section 3.2](#) of this document.

As defined in [[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing](#)], the label index L_I is an offset into the SRGB. Each BGP speaker derives its local MPLS label, L , by adding L_I to the start value of its own SRGB, and programs L in its MPLS dataplane as its incoming/local label for the prefix. It should be noted that while SRGBs and SIDs are advertised using 32-bit values, the derived label is advertised in the 20 right-most bits. See [Section 4.1](#) for more details.

The outgoing label for the prefix is found in the NLRI of the Multiprotocol BGP labeled IPv4/IPv6 Unicast prefix advertisement as defined in [[RFC8277](#)]. The label index L_I is only used as a hint to derive the local/incoming label.

[Section 3.1](#) of this document specifies the Label-Index TLV of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute; this TLV can be used to advertise the label index for a given prefix.

In order to advertise the label index of a given prefix P and, optionally, the SRGB, an extension to BGP is needed: the BGP Prefix-SID attribute. This extension is described in subsequent sections.

3. BGP Prefix-SID Attribute

The BGP Prefix-SID attribute is an optional, transitive BGP path attribute. The attribute type code 40 has been assigned by IANA (see [Section 7](#)).

The BGP Prefix-SID attribute is defined here to be a set of elements encoded as "Type/Length/Value" tuples (i.e., a set of TLVs). All BGP Prefix-SID attribute TLVs will start with a 1-octet type and a 2-octet length. The following TLVs are defined in this document:

- o Label-Index TLV
- o Originator SRGB TLV

The Label-Index and Originator SRGB TLVs are used only when SR is applied to the MPLS dataplane.

For future extensibility, unknown TLVs MUST be ignored and propagated unmodified.

3.1. Label-Index TLV

The Label-Index TLV MUST be present in the BGP Prefix-SID attribute attached to Labeled IPv4/IPv6 unicast prefixes ([RFC8277]). It MUST be ignored when received for other BGP AFI/SAFI combinations. The Label-Index TLV has the following format:

```

      0               1               2               3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|      Type      |      Length      |  RESERVED  |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|      Flags      |      Label Index      |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|      Label Index      |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

where:

- o Type is 1.
- o Length: is 7, the total length in octets of the value portion of the TLV.
- o RESERVED: 8-bit field. MUST be clear on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.
- o Flags: 16 bits of flags. None are defined by this document. The flag field MUST be clear on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.
- o Label Index: 32-bit value representing the index value in the SRGB space.

3.2. Originator SRGB TLV

The Originator SRGB TLV is an optional TLV and has the following format:

The receiving routers concatenate the ranges and build the Segment Routing Global Block (SRGB) as follows:


```
SRGB = [100, 199]
        [1000, 1099]
        [500, 599]
```

The indexes span multiple ranges:

```
index=0 means label 100
...
index 99 means label 199
index 100 means label 1000
index 199 means label 1099
...
index 200 means label 500
...
```

The originator SRGB may only appear in a BGP Prefix-SID attribute attached to Labeled IPv4/IPv6 unicast prefixes ([RFC8277]). It MUST be ignored when received for other BGP AFI/SAFI combinations. Since the Label-Index TLV is required for IPv4/IPv6 prefix applicability, the originator SRGB will be ignored if it is not specified consistent with [Section 6](#).

4. Receiving BGP Prefix-SID Attribute

A BGP speaker receiving a BGP Prefix-SID attribute from an EBGp neighbor residing outside the boundaries of the SR domain MUST discard the attribute unless it is configured to accept the attribute from the EBGp neighbor. A BGP speaker SHOULD log an error for further analysis when discarding an attribute.

4.1. MPLS Dataplane: Labeled Unicast

A BGP session supporting the Multiprotocol BGP labeled IPv4 or IPv6 Unicast ([RFC8277]) AFI/SAFI is required.

The BGP Prefix-SID attribute MUST contain the Label-Index TLV and MAY contain the Originator SRGB TLV. A BGP Prefix-SID attribute received without a Label-Index TLV MUST be considered as "invalid" by the receiving speaker.

The label index provides the receiving BGP speaker with guidance as to the incoming label that SHOULD be assigned by that BGP speaker.

A BGP speaker may be locally configured with an SRGB=[SRGB_Start, SRGB_End]. The preferred method for deriving the SRGB is a matter of local node configuration.

The mechanisms through which a given label index value is assigned to a given prefix are outside the scope of this document.

Given a label index L_I , we refer to $(L = L_I + \text{SRGB_Start})$ as the derived label. A BGP Prefix-SID attribute is designated "conflicting" for a speaker M if the derived label value L lies outside the SRGB configured on M. Otherwise the Label-Index TLV is designated "acceptable" to speaker M.

If multiple different prefixes are received with the same label index, all of the different prefixes MUST have their BGP Prefix-SID attribute considered as "conflicting".

If multiple valid paths for the same prefix are received from multiple BGP speakers or, in the case of [\[RFC7911\]](#), from the same BGP speaker, and the BGP Prefix-SID attributes do not contain the same label index, then the label index from the best path BGP Prefix-SID attribute SHOULD be chosen with a notable exception being when [\[RFC5005\]](#) is being used to dampen route changes.

When a BGP speaker receives a path from a neighbor with an "acceptable" BGP Prefix-SID attribute and that path is selected as the best path, it SHOULD program the derived label as the label for the prefix in its local MPLS dataplane.

When a BGP speaker receives a path from a neighbor with an "invalid" or "conflicting" BGP Prefix-SID attribute or when a BGP speaker receives a path from a neighbor with a BGP Prefix-SID attribute but is unable to process it (e.g., local policy disables the functionality), it MUST ignore the BGP Prefix-SID attribute. For the purposes of label allocation, a BGP speaker MUST assign a local (also called dynamic) label (non-SRGB) for such a prefix as per classic Multiprotocol BGP labeled IPv4/IPv6 Unicast ([\[RFC8277\]](#)) operation.

In the case of an "invalid" BGP Prefix-SID attribute, a BGP speaker MUST follow to the error handling rules specified in [Section 6](#). A BGP speaker SHOULD log an error for further analysis. In the case of a "conflicting" BGP Prefix-SID attribute, a BGP speaker SHOULD NOT treat it as error and SHOULD propagate the attribute unchanged. A BGP Speaker SHOULD log a warning for further analysis, i.e., in the case the conflict is not due to a label index transition.

When a BGP Prefix-SID attribute changes and transitions from "conflicting" to "acceptable", the BGP Prefix-SID attributes for other prefixes may also transition to "acceptable" as well. Implementations SHOULD assure all impacted prefixes revert to using the label indices corresponding to these newly "acceptable" BGP Prefix-SID attributes.

The outgoing label is always programmed as per classic Multiprotocol BGP labeled IPv4/IPv6 Unicast ([RFC8277]) operation. Specifically, a BGP speaker receiving a prefix with a BGP Prefix-SID attribute and a label NLRI field of Implicit NULL [IANA-MPLS-SPECIAL-LABEL] from a neighbor MUST adhere to standard behavior and program its MPLS dataplane to pop the top label when forwarding traffic to the prefix. The label NLRI defines the outbound label that MUST be used by the receiving node.

5. Advertising BGP Prefix-SID Attribute

The BGP Prefix-SID attribute MAY be attached to labeled BGP prefixes (IPv4/IPv6) [RFC8277]. In order to prevent distribution of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute beyond its intended scope of applicability, attribute filtering SHOULD be deployed to remove the BGP Prefix-SID attribute at the administrative boundary of the segment routing domain.

A BGP speaker that advertises a path received from one of its neighbors SHOULD advertise the BGP Prefix-SID received with the path without modification, as long as the BGP Prefix-SID was acceptable. If the path did not come with a BGP Prefix-SID attribute, the speaker MAY attach a BGP Prefix-SID to the path if configured to do so. The content of the TLVs present in the BGP Prefix-SID is determined by the configuration.

5.1. MPLS Dataplane: Labeled Unicast

A BGP speaker that originates a prefix attaches the BGP Prefix-SID attribute when it advertises the prefix to its neighbors via Multiprotocol BGP labeled IPv4/IPv6 Unicast ([RFC8277]). The value of the label index in the Label-Index TLV is determined by configuration.

A BGP speaker that originates a BGP Prefix-SID attribute MAY optionally announce the Originator SRGB TLV along with the mandatory Label-Index TLV. The content of the Originator SRGB TLV is determined by configuration.

Since the label index value must be unique within an SR domain, by default an implementation SHOULD NOT advertise the BGP Prefix-SID attribute outside an Autonomous System unless it is explicitly configured to do so.

In all cases, the label field of the advertised NLRI ([RFC8277], [RFC4364]) MUST be set to the local/incoming label programmed in the MPLS dataplane for the given advertised prefix. If the prefix is associated with one of the BGP speaker's interfaces, this is the

usual MPLS label (such as the Implicit or Explicit NULL label [[IANA-MPLS-SPECIAL-LABEL](#)]).

6. Error Handling of BGP Prefix-SID Attribute

When a BGP Speaker receives a BGP Update message containing a malformed or invalid BGP Prefix-SID attribute attached to a Labeled IPv4/IPv6 unicast prefix [[RFC8277](#)], it MUST ignore the received BGP Prefix-SID attributes and not advertise it to other BGP peers. This is equivalent to the "Attribute discard" action specified in [[RFC7606](#)]. When discarding an attribute, a BGP speaker SHOULD log an error for further analysis.

Consistent with [[RFC7606](#)], only the first occurrence of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute will be considered and subsequent occurrences will be discarded. Similarly, only the first occurrence of a BGP Prefix-SID attribute TLV of a given TLV type will be considered unless the specification of that TLV type allows for multiple occurrences.

For future extensibility, unknown TLVs MUST be ignored and propagated unmodified.

7. IANA Considerations

This document defines a BGP path attribute known as the BGP Prefix-SID attribute. This document requests IANA to assign an attribute code type (suggested value: 40) to the BGP Prefix-SID attribute from the BGP Path Attributes registry.

Currently, IANA temporarily assigned the following:

40 BGP Prefix-SID (TEMPORARY - registered 2015-09-30, expires 2016-09-30) [[draft-ietf-idr-bgp-prefix-sid](#)]

This document defines 3 TLVs for the BGP Prefix-SID attribute. These TLVs need to be registered with IANA. We request IANA to create a registry for BGP Prefix-SID Attribute TLVs as follows:

Under "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Parameters" registry, "BGP Prefix-SID TLV Types" Reference: [draft-ietf-idr-bgp-prefix-sid](#)
Registration Procedure(s): Values 1-254 First Come First Served (FCFS), Value 0 and 255 reserved

Value	Type	Reference
0	Reserved	this document
1	Label-Index	this document
2	Deprecated	this document
3	Originator SRGB	this document
4-254	Unassigned	
255	Reserved	this document

This document also creates a registry for the 16 bits of flags in the Label-Index TLV. Initially, the registry will be empty. Flag bits will be allocated First Come First Served (FCFS) consistent with the BGP-SID TLV Types registry.

Finally, this document creates a registry for the 16 bits of flags in the SRGB Originator TLV. Initially, the registry will be empty. Flag bits will be allocated First Come First Served (FCFS) consistent with the BGP-SID TLV Types registry.

8. Manageability Considerations

This document defines a BGP attribute to address use cases such as the one described in [[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc](#)]. It is assumed that advertisement of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute is controlled by the operator in order to:

- o Prevent undesired origination/advertisement of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute. By default, a BGP Prefix-SID attribute SHOULD NOT be attached to a prefix and advertised. Hence, BGP Prefix-SID advertisement SHOULD require explicit enablement.
- o Prevent any undesired propagation of the BGP Prefix-SID attribute. By default, the BGP Prefix-SID is not advertised outside the boundary of a single SR/administrative domain which may include one or more ASes. The propagation to other ASes MUST be explicitly configured.

The deployment model described in [[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc](#)] assumes multiple Autonomous Systems (ASes) under a common administrative domain. For this use case, the BGP Prefix-SID advertisement is applicable to the inter-AS context, i.e., EBGp, while it is confined to a single administrative domain.

9. Security Considerations

This document introduces a BGP attribute (BGP Prefix-SID) which inherits the security considerations expressed in: [[RFC4271](#)], [[RFC8277](#)], and [[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing](#)].

When advertised using BGPsec as described in [[RFC8205](#)], the BGP Prefix-SID attribute doesn't impose any unique security considerations. It should be noted that the BGP Prefix-SID attribute is not protected by the BGPsec signatures.

It should be noted that, as described in [Section 8](#), this document refers to a deployment model where all nodes are under the single administrative domain. In this context, we assume that the operator doesn't want to leak any information related to internal prefixes and topology outside of the administrative domain. The internal information includes the BGP Prefix-SID. In order to prevent such leaking, the common BGP mechanisms (filters) are applied at the boundary of the SR/administrative domain. Local BGP attribute filtering policies and mechanisms are not standardized and, consequently, beyond the scope of this document.

To prevent a Denial-of-Service (DoS) or Distributed-Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attack due to excessive BGP updates with an invalid or conflicting BGP Prefix-SID attribute, message rate-limiting as well as suppression of duplicate messages SHOULD be deployed.

[10.](#) Contributors

Keyur Patel
Arrcus, Inc.
US

Email: Keyur@arrcus.com

Saikat Ray
Unaffiliated
US

Email: raysaikat@gmail.com

[11.](#) Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Satya Mohanty for his contribution to this document.

The authors would like to thank Alvaro Retana for substantive comments as part of the Routing AD review.

The authors would like to thank Shyam Sethuram for comments and discussion of TLV processing and validation.

The authors would like to thank Robert Raszuk for comments and suggestions regarding the MPLS data plane behavior.

The authors would like to thank Krishna Deevi, Juan Alcaide, Howard Yang, and Jakob Heitz for discussions on conflicting BGP Prefix-SID label indices and BGP add paths.

The authors would like to thank Peter Yee, Tony Przygienda, Mirja Kuehlewind, Alexey Melnikov, Eric Rescorla, Suresh Krishnan, Warren Kumari, and Ben Campbell for IETF Last Call directorate and IESG reviews.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing]
Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Ginsberg, L., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing Architecture", [draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-15](#) (work in progress), January 2018.
- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls]
Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Bashandy, A., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing with MPLS data plane", [draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls-11](#) (work in progress), October 2017.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Ed., Li, T., Ed., and S. Hares, Ed., "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", [RFC 4271](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4271, January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4271>>.
- [RFC4364] Rosen, E. and Y. Rekhter, "BGP/MPLS IP Virtual Private Networks (VPNs)", [RFC 4364](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4364, February 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4364>>.
- [RFC4760] Bates, T., Chandra, R., Katz, D., and Y. Rekhter, "Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP-4", [RFC 4760](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4760, January 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4760>>.
- [RFC7606] Chen, E., Ed., Scudder, J., Ed., Mohapatra, P., and K. Patel, "Revised Error Handling for BGP UPDATE Messages", [RFC 7606](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7606, August 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7606>>.

- [RFC7911] Walton, D., Retana, A., Chen, E., and J. Scudder, "Advertisement of Multiple Paths in BGP", [RFC 7911](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7911, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7911>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8205] Lepinski, M., Ed. and K. Sriram, Ed., "BGPsec Protocol Specification", [RFC 8205](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8205, September 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8205>>.
- [RFC8277] Rosen, E., "Using BGP to Bind MPLS Labels to Address Prefixes", [RFC 8277](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8277, October 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8277>>.

[12.2](#). Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-idr-bgp-ls-segment-routing-ext]
Previdi, S., Talaulikar, K., Filsfils, C., Gredler, H., and M. Chen, "BGP Link-State extensions for Segment Routing", [draft-ietf-idr-bgp-ls-segment-routing-ext-04](#) (work in progress), January 2018.
- [I-D.ietf-idr-bgpls-segment-routing-epe]
Previdi, S., Filsfils, C., Patel, K., Ray, S., and J. Dong, "BGP-LS extensions for Segment Routing BGP Egress Peer Engineering", [draft-ietf-idr-bgpls-segment-routing-epe-14](#) (work in progress), December 2017.
- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc]
Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Mitchell, J., Aries, E., and P. Lapukhov, "BGP-Prefix Segment in large-scale data centers", [draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc-08](#) (work in progress), December 2017.
- [IANA-MPLS-SPECIAL-LABEL]
"IANA Special-Purpose Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Label Values Registry", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/mpls-label-values/>>.
- [RFC5005] Nottingham, M., "Feed Paging and Archiving", [RFC 5005](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5005, September 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5005>>.

[RFC7752] Gredler, H., Ed., Medved, J., Previdi, S., Farrel, A., and S. Ray, "North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and Traffic Engineering (TE) Information Using BGP", [RFC 7752](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7752, March 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7752>>.

Authors' Addresses

Stefano Previdi (editor)
Cisco Systems
IT

Email: stefano@previdi.net

Clarence Filsfils
Cisco Systems
Brussels
Belgium

Email: cfilsfils@cisco.com

Acee Lindem (editor)
Cisco Systems
301 Midenhall Way
Cary, NC 27513
USA

Email: acee@cisco.com

Arjun Sreekantiah

Email: arjunhrs@gmail.com

Hannes Gredler
RtBrick Inc.

Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

