

Internet Engineering Task Force E.
Chen
Internet-Draft Cisco
Systems
Intended status: Standards Track J.
Scudder
Expires: September 27, 2010 Juniper
Networks
March 26,
2010

**Extended Optional Parameters Length for BGP OPEN Message
draft-ietf-idr-ext-opt-param-00**

Abstract

The Optional Parameters in the BGP OPEN message as defined in the base BGP specification are limited to 255 octets due to a one-octet length field. BGP Capabilities are carried in this field and may foreseeably exceed 255 octets in the future, leading to concern about this limitation.

In this document we extend the BGP OPEN length field in a backward-compatible manner. The Parameter Length field of individual Optional Parameters is similarly extended.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 27, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the

Chen & Scudder
1]

Expires September 27, 2010

[Page

document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

1. Introduction

The Optional Parameters Length field in the BGP OPEN message is defined in the base BGP specification [[RFC4271](#)] as one octet, thus limiting the Optional Parameters field in the OPEN message to 255 octets. As BGP Capabilities [[RFC5492](#)] are carried in the Optional Parameters field, and new BGP capabilities continue to be introduced, the limitation is becoming a concern for BGP development.

In this document we extend the BGP OPEN length field in a backward-compatible manner. The Parameter Length field of individual Optional Parameters is similarly extended. This is done by using Optional Parameters Length of 255 combined with Optional Parameter Type 255 as a distinguished value pair, which indicates that an extended Optional Parameters Length field follows. In this case the Parameter Length field of the Optional Parameters in the BGP OPEN message is also extended.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Protocol Extensions

This document reserves Optional Parameter Type code 255 as the "Extended Length" type code.

In the event that the length of Optional Parameters in the BGP OPEN message does not exceed 255, the encodings of the base BGP specification [[RFC4271](#)] MUST be used without alteration.

However, if the length of Optional Parameters is greater than 255,

Chen & Scudder
2]

Expires September 27, 2010

[Page

octet following it to determine the encoding of the Optional Parameters length, as well as the size of the "Parameter Length" field of the Optional Parameters. If both values are 255, then the four-octet encoding described above is used for the Optional Parameters length. Otherwise the encoding defined in [[RFC4271](#)] is

used.

This encoding is chosen for backward compatibility reasons -- a BGP speaker which has not been upgraded to support this specification may legitimately send Optional Parameters whose length equals exactly 255, thus the Optional Parameters Length field alone is insufficient as an indicator. However, such a speaker would never legitimately send an Optional Parameter whose type code is 255, since that value has been reserved by this specification.

3. Errors

If a BGP speaker supporting this specification (a "new speaker") is peering with one which does not (an "old speaker") no interoperability issues arise unless the new speaker needs to encode Optional Parameters whose length exceeds 255. In that case, it will transmit an OPEN message which the old speaker will interpret as containing an Optional Parameter with type code 255. Since by definition the old speaker will not recognize that type code, the old speaker may be expected to close the connection with a NOTIFICATION with an Error Code of OPEN Message Error and an Error Subcode of Unsupported Optional Parameters, according to [Section 6.2 of \[RFC4271\]](#).

Although the above is the most likely error to be sent, it is not impossible that the old speaker might detect some other error first, such as a length error, depending on the details of the implementation. In no case would the peering be expected to establish successfully; the only question is which NOTIFICATION would be generated.

We note that in any case, such a peering could not succeed, since by definition the extended length encoding would not be used by the new speaker unless the basic encoding was insufficient.

4. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to designate BGP OPEN Optional Parameter Type code 255 as the Extended Length type code.

5. Security Considerations

This extension to BGP does not change the underlying security issues.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Yakov Rekhter and Srihari Sangli for discussing various options to enlarge the Optional Parameters field. We would also like to thank Pradosh Mohapatra, Keyur Patel and Hannes Gredler for their valuable comments.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Li, T., and S. Hares, "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", [RFC 4271](#), January 2006.

7.2. Informative References

[RFC5492] Scudder, J. and R. Chandra, "Capabilities Advertisement with BGP-4", [RFC 5492](#), February 2009.

Authors' Addresses

Enke Chen
Cisco Systems

Email: enkechen@cisco.com

John Scudder
Juniper Networks

Email: jgs@juniper.net

