```
Workgroup: IDR Working Group
Internet-Draft:
draft-ietf-idr-wide-bgp-communities-08
Published: 11 July 2022
Intended Status: Standards Track
Expires: 12 January 2023
Authors: R. Raszuk, Ed. J. Haas, Ed. A. Lange, Ed.
Individual Juniper Networks Nokia
B. Decraene, Ed. S. Amante
Orange Apple, Inc.
P. Jakma
Huawei Ireland Research Centre
BGP Community Container Attribute
```

Abstract

Route tagging plays an important role in external BGP relations, communicating various routing policies between peers. It is also a very common best practice for operators to propagate various additional route information between internal peers. The most common tool used today to attach various information about routes is through the use of BGP communities.

This document defines a new encoding which will enhance and simplify what can be accomplished today with the use of BGP communities. The most important addition this specification makes over currently defined BGP communities is the ability to specify and advertise an operator's parameters for execution It also provides an extensible platform for any future community encoding requirements.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u> [<u>RFC2119</u>].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 12 January 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. <u>Introduction</u>
- 2. <u>Protocol Summary</u>
 - 2.1. BGP Community Container Common Header
 - <u>2.2</u>. <u>Community Containers</u>
 - 2.2.1. Type 1: BGP Wide Community
 - 2.3. BGP Community Container Atoms
- 3. BGP Community Container Attribute
- 3.1. BGP Community Container Attribute Common Header
- 4. BGP Community Container, Type 1: BGP Wide Community
 - 4.1. Community Value
 - <u>4.2</u>. <u>Source AS Number</u>
 - 4.3. Context AS Number
 - 4.4. BGP Wide Community TLVs
 - 4.4.1. Sub-Type 1, BGP Wide Community Target(s) TLV
 - 4.4.2. Sub-Type 2, BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV
 - 4.4.3. Sub-Type 3, BGP Wide Community Parameter(s) TLV
 - <u>4.4.4</u>. <u>Usage</u>
- 5. BGP Community Container Atoms
 - 5.1. Atom Type 1, The Autonomous System Number List
 - 5.2. Atom Types 2 and 3, The IPv4 and IPv6 Prefix Lists
 - 5.3. Atom Type 4, The Unsigned Integer32 List
 - 5.4. Atom Type 5, The IEEE Floating Point Number List
 - 5.5. Atom Type 6, The Neighbor Class List
 - 5.6. Atom Type 7, The User-defined Class List
 - 5.7. Atom Type 8, the UTF-8 String
- <u>6</u>. <u>Well Known Standard BGP Communities</u>
- 7. Operational Considerations

- 8. Error Handling
 - 8.1. General Error Handling for BGP Community Containers
 - 8.2. BGP Wide Community Container Error Handling
- 9. Example
 - 9.1. Example Type 1 Wide Community Definition
 - 9.2. Example Type 1 BGP Wide Community Encoding
- <u>10</u>. <u>Security considerations</u>
 - <u>10.1.</u> <u>BGP Community Container Security Considerations</u>
 - 10.2. BGP Wide Community Security Considerations
- 11. IANA Considerations
 - <u>11.1.</u> <u>BGP Community Container Attribute</u>
 - <u>11.2</u>. <u>BGP Community Container Atoms Types</u>
 - <u>11.3.</u> <u>BGP Community Container Neighbor Class List Atom Types</u>
 - <u>11.4</u>. <u>BGP Community Container Types</u>
 - <u>11.5</u>. <u>Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Communities Community Types</u>
 - <u>11.6</u>. <u>Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Community Optional Sub-Types</u>
- <u>12</u>. <u>Contributors</u>
- <u>13</u>. <u>Acknowledgments</u>
- <u>14</u>. <u>References</u>
 - <u>14.1</u>. <u>Normative References</u>
 - <u>14.2</u>. <u>Informative References</u>
- <u>Authors' Addresses</u>

1. Introduction

<u>RFC 1997</u> [<u>RFC1997</u>] defines the BGP Community Attribute. This attribute is used as a tool to carry additional information in BGP routes which may help to automate peering administration. The BGP Communities Attribute consists of a set of one or more four-octet values, where each specifies a different community. Except for two reserved ranges, the encoding of community values mandates that the first two octets contain the Autonomous System number, with the next two octets containing some locally defined value.

Since the introduction of [RFC1997], numerous additional mechanisms have been introduced to provide BGP Community-like functionality. Each of these mechanisms introduce a new syntax, typically covered by its encoding with the BGP Path Attribute that defines it, and a semantic space.

The authors believe that defining a new BGP Path Attribute, with the ability to contain locally defined parameters will enhance the current level of network policies, as well as simplify BGP policy management. The proposed encoding will also facilitate the delivery of new network services without the need to define a new BGP extension for each new application.

When defining any new type of tool there is always a unique opportunity to specify a subset of well recognized behaviors. Lists of the current most commonly used BGP communities, as well as creation of a new registry for future definitions will be described in an extension-specific document.

2. Protocol Summary

This specification defines a new BGP Path Attribute, the BGP Community Container. It carries a series of BGP Community Container types, each prefaced with the BGP Community Container Common Header.

This specification also defines the BGP Wide Community Container.

2.1. BGP Community Container Common Header

The BGP Community Container Common Header permits Community-like attributes to be grouped under a single BGP Path Attribute. This provides hierarchy for future Community-like features. It permits implementations without knowledge of a specific Community Container's format to address that Community Container by its code point. It also permits common enforcement of the Community Container's transitivity across AS boundaries without requiring the implementation to understand a specific Container's implementation.

The BGP Community Container Common Header is defined in <u>Section 3.1</u> and contains following encoding:

Container Type:

Container Type 1, BGP Wide Community is defined in this document.

Flags:

Flags control common behavior including the transitivity of the Container.

Length:

Length of the Container contents.

2.2. Community Containers

This document defines one Community Container with the following encoding:

2.2.1. Type 1: BGP Wide Community

The container type 1 "BGP Wide Community TLVs" is defined in Section $\underline{4}$.

Community Value:

This section defines the action that an operator wishes a router to take.

Source AS:

This is the AS originating the community.

Context AS:

AS that defines and provides the semantics to interpret this Community.

Target(s):

This is an optional list that encodes where the community's action should be taken.

Exclude Target(s):

This is an optional list that encodes where the community's actions should not be taken.

Parameters:

This is an optional list of Atoms that encodes additional information that the community's action needs to execute properly.

2.3. BGP Community Container Atoms

Atoms provide data types that can be used to encode contents of BGP Community Containers. They are in the format of TLVs and are defined later in this document in <u>Section 5</u>.

3. BGP Community Container Attribute

This document defines a BGP Path Attribute, the BGP Community Container. The attribute type code is 34.

The BGP Community Container attribute is an optional, transitive BGP attribute, and may be present only once in the BGP UPDATE message.

The attribute contains a set of typed containers. Any given container type may appear multiple times, unless that container type's definition specifies otherwise.

3.1. BGP Community Container Attribute Common Header

Containers always start with the following common header:

Figure 1: Common container header

This document defines container type 1. See the <u>Section 11</u> for information on additional type registration policies.

Bit	Value	Meaning
Т	Θ	Not Transitive across administrative boundaries.
	1	Transitive across AS/administrative boundaries.
С	Θ	Not transitive across confederation boundaries.
	1	Transitive across confederation boundaries.
37	-	RESERVED - MUST be zero when originated and SHOULD be ignored upon receipt.

Table 1: Flags

Flags are defined globally and apply to all container types.

Bit 0 (T bit) Transitivity bit:

When not set (value 0), the community in the container is transitive across AS boundaries, but not across an administrative boundary.

When set (value 1), the community in the container is transitive across all ASes. An administrative boundary, in this sense, is an arbitrary set of connected ASes, possibly under control of a single entity. How such an administrative boundary is determined is out of the scope of this document.

```
Bit 1 (C bit) Confederation bit:
```

The confederation bit is used to manage the propagation scope of a given BGP Wide Community across confederation boundaries.

When not set (value 0) community is not transitive across confederation sub-AS boundary. When set (value of 1) indicates that community in a given container is transitive across confederation boundary.

The Reserved field MUST be set to zero when originated and SHOULD be ignored upon receipt.

The Length field represents the total length in octets of a given container's contents.

4. BGP Community Container, Type 1: BGP Wide Community

The Type 1 BGP Community Container, the BGP Wide Community, is of variable size (but minimum length 12). It is composed of a fixed 12-

octets - containing the Community Value, the Source AS Number, and the Context AS Number - followed by optional TLVs:

0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |I| Community Value Source AS Number Context AS Number TLVs (optional) Т

Figure 2: Type 1, BGP Wide Community

4.1. Community Value

Community Value: 4 octets

The Community Value indicates what set of actions a router is requested to take upon reception of a route containing this community. The semantics of this value depend on whether this is a private/local community or IANA registered.

When the high order bit of the Community Value field - I - is set, the value is IANA Registered and has a well defined meaning with underlying semantics. See the documentation for each Registered BGP Wide Community for its semantics and validation requirements.

When the high order bit of the Community Value field is clear, the value is Locally defined and has semantics solely within the control of the AS defining that community. The Context AS Number provides the namespace in which this Community Value is interpreted. It is that AS's responsibility to provide the semantics and validation requirements for the BGP Wide Community.

See <u>Section 11.5</u> for code point space partitioning.

4.2. Source AS Number

Source Autonomous System Number: 4 octets

The Autonomous System number indicates the AS originating this BGP Wide Community.

Context Autonomous System Number: 4 octets

This identifies the AS that provides the semantics to interpret this Community.

4.4. BGP Wide Community TLVs

Optional type 1 container TLVs are encoded in the following format:

Figure 3: Type 1 Container TLVs

Sub-Type:

The sub-type of the BGP Wide Community TLV. A given Sub-Type MUST NOT appear more than once.

Length:

Length of the "Value" field in octets.

Value:

Specific to the underlying Sub-Type.

4.4.1. Sub-Type 1, BGP Wide Community Target(s) TLV

The value field of the Wide Community Target(s) TLV (Sub-Type 1) is a series of Atom TLVs. The semantics of any given Atom TLV MUST be part of the definition of a given Wide Community.

BGP Wide Community Targets define the matching criteria for the community. A given wide community may have a number of targets to which it applies. The semantics of these targets will vary on a percommunity basis. Depending on the definition of the community, targets may be optional.

Wide Community Targets consist of a series of Atoms that have "match any" semantics. Thus, if any given target matches per the semantics of that Atom for the community, the community is considered to match and the action defined by the community should be executed. When no Target(s) TLV is specified, it is considered "match all".

If the semantics of a given Atom is undefined for the community in question, this Atom MUST be ignored.

When no targets are required by the definition of a given Wide Community, the Wide Community Target(s) TLV SHOULD NOT be encoded in the community. Implementations MUST be prepared to accept a Wide Community Target(s) TLV with an empty value field.

4.4.2. Sub-Type 2, BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV

The BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV (Sub-Type 2) contains a list of Atoms.

Wide Community Exclude Targets define criteria by which the community is considered to NOT match. Depending on the semantics of the BGP Wide Community, Exclude Target(s) may be optional.

The semantic of the BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) is to match all specified Target(s) with the exception of those listed in this TLV.

The value field of the BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV is a series of BGP Wide Community Atom TLVs. The semantics of any given Atom TLV MUST be part of the definition of a given Wide Community.

If the semantics of a given Atom is undefined for the community in question, this Atom MUST be ignored.

If the BGP Wide Community Target(s) TLV and the BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV have conflicting semantics, priority MUST be given to the Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV.

When no exclude targets are required by the definition of a given BGP Wide Community, the BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV SHOULD NOT be encoded in the community. Implementations MUST be prepared to accept a BGP Wide Community Exclude Target(s) TLV with an empty value field, which MUST be ignored, if received.

4.4.3. Sub-Type 3, BGP Wide Community Parameter(s) TLV

The BGP Wide Community Parameter(s) TLV (Sub-Type 3) contains a list of Atoms.

A given BGP Wide Community may have parameters that are used as inputs for executing actions defined for that community. These parameters, and any constraints implied by the parameters, MUST be defined by the wide community definition. Parameters consist of an ordered set of Atom sub-TLVs. The semantics of any specific positional instance of an Atom MUST be defined by the wide community.

Care must be taken when using Atoms with list semantics. If the desired behavior is a single or limited number of instances of that type, this should be documented as part of the use case of that BGP Wide Community.

If a parameter for a given community is of an unexpected type or length, the BGP Wide Community MUST be ignored.

If there are too many or too few parameters for a given community, the BGP Wide Community MUST be ignored.

When no parameters are required by the definition of a given Wide Community, the Wide Community Parameters TLV SHOULD NOT be encoded in the community. Implementations MUST be prepared to accept a Wide Community Parameter TLV with an empty value field, which MUST be ignored, if received.

4.4.4. Usage

The detailed interpretation of the targets or parameters SHALL be provided when describing given community type in a separate document or when locally defined by an operator.

5. BGP Community Container Atoms

Some types of BGP Community Containers, for example BGP Wide Communities, will act on and hence need to encode some distinct Atoms of data. The use of Atoms is solely subject to definition of the specific BGP Container type. Atoms are encoded as TLVs, where each TLV has the following format:

Figure 4: Atoms TLVs

The Type field contains a value of 1-254. The values 0 and 255 are reserved for future use. The TLV types are to be assigned and maintained by IANA registry; see <u>Section 11.2</u>.

The Length represents the length of the "Value" field in octets. The Value field contains the TLV value. Supported format of the TLVs can be: Type 1: Autonomous System Number List. Type 2: IPv4 Prefix (1 octet prefix length + prefix) List. Type 3: IPv6 Prefix (1 octet prefix length + prefix) List. Type 4: Unsigned Integer32 List. Type 5: IEEE Floating Point Number List. Type 6: Neighbor Class List. Type 7: User-defined Class List. Type 8: UTF-8 String.

The semantics of a given Atom will depend upon the context in which it is used, as defined by the containing wide community.

In the following sections defining the different Atoms, validation rules for the Length of the Atom will be presented. If the Length of the Atom does not match the rules for that Atom, it SHALL be considered malformed. (See <u>Section 8</u>.)

In general, Atoms of List type have the semantics of sets. Duplicate entries SHOULD NOT be present and MAY be removed by a BGP Speaker propagating the Lists. The presence of duplicate entries have no additional semantics.

5.1. Atom Type 1, The Autonomous System Number List

This Atom represents a list of Autonomous System numbers, each 4 octets in size. When encoding two-octet ASes, the first two octets of this four-octet value MUST be filled with zeros. The minimum Length of this Atom is 4 octets. The Length MUST be a multiple of 4.

Two special values are reserved for the Autonomous System Atoms:

0x00000000 - to indicate "No Autonomous Systems". 0xFFFFFFFF - to indicate "All Autonomous Systems".

5.2. Atom Types 2 and 3, The IPv4 and IPv6 Prefix Lists

This Atom represents a list of IPv4 or IPv6 prefixes. IPv4 and IPv6 Prefix Atom values are encoded in the same format used by BGP NLRI in Section 4.3 of [RFC4271].

+----+ | Prefix Length (1 octet) | +----+ | Prefix (variable) | +---++

Figure 5: IP prefix atoms

The Prefix Length for IPv4 prefixes MUST be in the range of 0..32.

The Prefix Length for IPv6 prefixes MUST be in the range of 0..128.

The Length field must be able to accommodate the list of prefixes according to the encoding rules. If the Length cannot fully accommodate the required number of octets to encode the Prefix Length and the Prefix, the Atom SHALL be considered malformed. (See section <u>Section 8</u>

5.3. Atom Type 4, The Unsigned Integer32 List

This Atom represents a list of four-octet Unsigned Integers. These Unsigned Integers are stored in network byte order.

The minimum Length of the Unsigned Integer32 list Atom is 4 octets. The Length MUST be a multiple of 4.

5.4. Atom Type 5, The IEEE Floating Point Number List

This Atom represents a list of floating point numbers. Floating point numbers are a fixed Length of 4 octets and are stored in [IEEE.754.1985] format.

The minimum Length of the Floating Point Number list Atom is 4 octets. The Length MUST be a multiple of 4.

5.5. Atom Type 6, The Neighbor Class List

The Neighbor Class list Atom represents a list of Neighbor classes, each 4 octets in size. Neighbor class currently can contain three values:

Peer (1):

This class is typically applied to sessions where a transit-free relationship exists between two providers.

Customer (2):

This class is typically applied to sessions where the remote end of the session is operated by a customer.

Upstream (3):

This class is typically applied to sessions where the remote end of the session is operated by a network from which you receive transit routes.

The minimum Length of the Neighbor Class list Atom is 4 octets. The Length MUST be a multiple of 4.

5.6. Atom Type 7, The User-defined Class List

The User-defined Class list Atom represents a list of user-defined classes, each 4 octets in size. The exact property definition is up to the semantics of the defining Autonomous System. The semantics governing a given User-defined Class list are defined by the Context AS Number and the Community Value.

Examples of User-defined Class properties include geography (East, West), continent (North America, Asia, Europe), etc. Similar to the [<u>RFC1997</u>] BGP Communities, it is necessary that the Context AS provide a registry of the value and the semantics of a given community.

The minimum Length of the User-defined Class list Atom is 4 octets. The Length of this Atom MUST be a multiple of 4.

5.7. Atom Type 8, the UTF-8 String

The UTF-8 String Atom represents an arbitrary Unicode string in UTF-8 [RFC3629] format. The Length is required to be of sufficient size to carry the UTF-8 string in the Value field.

Implementations MUST be prepared for truncated/improperly formed UTF-8 strings. When detecting such a string, the implementation should remove trailing octets of a multi-octet sequence in order to have a well-formed string.

Implementations MUST be prepared to receive empty (zero-length) UTF-8 String Atoms as they may be used as Parameters.

6. Well Known Standard BGP Communities

According to RFC 1997, as well as IANA's Well-Known BGP Communities registry, the following BGP communities are defined to have global significance:

0×FFFF0000	planned-shut	[draft-francois-bgp-gshut]
0xFFFFFF01	NO_EXPORT	[RFC1997]
0xFFFFFF02	NO_ADVERTISE	[RFC1997]
0xFFFFFF03	NO_EXPORT_SUBCONFED	[RFC1997]
0xFFFFFF04	NOPEER	[RFC3765]

This document recommends for simplicity as well as for avoidance of backward compatibility issues that the continued use of BGP Standard Community Path Attribute, type 8, as defined in [RFC1997] and [RFC3765] to distribute non-Autonomous System specific Well-Known BGP Communities.

For the same reason, this document does not intend to obsolete the currently defined and deployed BGP Extended Communities.

7. Operational Considerations

Having multiple ways to propagate locally assigned BGP Communities via the use of Standard, Extended or Large BGP Communities versus the use of BGP Wide Communities - may seem to potentially cause problems when considering propagation of conflicting actions. However, even at present, an operator may append such Communities with conflicting information.

Implementations SHOULD provide mechanisms to control the order of processing and manipulation of the varying types of BGP communities. With such a mechanism, operators will have the ability to control the outcome of potentially conflicting actions.

8. Error Handling

8.1. General Error Handling for BGP Community Containers

[<u>RFC7606</u>] "treat as withdraw" behavior is expected for any malformed Community Containers or malformation of their contents.

Each Community Container type may have additional validation rules, including permitted length of Atoms. Failure to conform to those additional rules MUST also be treated as a malformed Community Container.

8.2. BGP Wide Community Container Error Handling

If any Atom in a BGP Wide Community container's Exclude Targets TLV is unrecognized, that Wide Community MUST NOT be considered a match and no actions for that community should be processed. While the Targets TLV is meant to be inclusive, the Exclude Targets TLV is meant to be proscriptive of applying the action.

9. Example

9.1. Example Type 1 Wide Community Definition

An operator of an AS 64496, wishes to locally define a Wide Community with the semantics of permitting AS_PATH prepending with targets that include AS numbers of peer ASes and peers who have been marked with a set of enumerated city locations. AS 64496 has selected Community Value 1 to represent this functionality.

AS 64496 has established a registered set of values to use for its User-defined Class:

100 - Amsterdam 101 - New York 102 - San Francisco 103 - Tokyo 104 - Moscow

Target semantics:

The Autonomous System Number list Atom refers to the target peer AS Numbers.

The User-defined Class for AS 64496 has been defined elsewhere and the values 100..104 may be used for this locally defined Wide Community.

The Targets TLV MUST contain at least one entry.

The Exclude Targets TLV MAY contain entries of the above supported Atoms.

The semantics of all other Atoms are undefined for this community.

Parameter semantics:

The parameter TLV, used to represent the number of AS_PATH prepends that will be added by this community, shall consist of exactly one Unsigned Integer32 Atom value that is constrained to have a value of 2..8.

9.2. Example Type 1 BGP Wide Community Encoding

In this example, the BGP Wide Community defined above is used by a BGP Speaker to AS_PATH prepend BGP routes containing this community AS_PATH prepend 4 TIMES when this route is to be distribute to any of AS 2424, AS 8888, to peers marked as User Class Amsterdam (100) or to peers marked User Class Moscow (104). However, such prepending would not be done to peers that have been configured in the User Class of, but not to peers of User Class New York (101), regardless of their AS number.

The T Flag (transitive) is unset to prevent propagation of this community outside of the provider's administrative domain.

Θ	1	2	:	3	
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9012345	678901	L 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Э 1	
+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-				
Type (1,	,		000 Reserved	<i>,</i> .	
+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-	-+	- + - +	
Length: 53		1			
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-			-+		
Community: LOCAL				1	
Source AS 64496				- -	
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-	+_+_+_+_+_+_+_	+-+-+-+-+-+-	.+.+.+.+.+.+.+.+.+.	 -+-+	
Context AS 64496				· ·	
+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+	، +-+-	
Target TLV (1)	Length: 18		1		
+-	-	+ - + - + - + - + - + -	-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-	- + - +	
ASN List (1)	Length: 8				
+-	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + -	+ - + - + - + - + - + -	-+	- + - +	
Target ASN# 2424					
+-	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + -	+ - + - + - + - + - + -	-+	-+-+	
Target ASN# 8888					
+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + -	+ - + - + - + - + - + -	-+	- + - +	
User List(7)	Length: 8				
+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -	- + - +	
Amsterdam (100)					
+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+	- + - +	
Moscow (104)					
+-		+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-++++	-+-+	
ExcTargetTLV(2)	-				
+-		+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+		
User List(7) +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-	-				
	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+	-+-+	
New York (101)	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + -	+-+-+-+-+-	. + . + . + . + . + . + . + . + . + . +	 + - + -	
Param TLV (3)					
+-	-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+		
Integer32 (4)					
+-					
Prepend # 4					
+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+	-+-+	

Figure 6: Example 1

10. Security considerations

10.1. BGP Community Container Security Considerations

Transitive BGP Community Container communities could unintentionally spread far from their origin. If a router receives many routes from multiple sources on the Internet with different communities, it could cause significant memory usage. To prevent excessive memory usage, routers should be configured to strip unexpected communities from received routes.

All the security considerations for <u>BGP Communities</u> [<u>RFC1997</u>], <u>BGP</u> <u>Extended Communities</u> [<u>RFC4360</u>], and <u>BGP Large Communities</u> [<u>RFC8092</u>] apply to BGP Community Containers.

10.2. BGP Wide Community Security Considerations

For BGP Wide Communities, the Community Value the Source AS may provide sufficient context to strip unwanted or unexpected communities.

Given the flexibility and power offered by BGP Wide communities, it is important to consider the additional possibilities allowed by their definition. In particular, for locally defined BGP Wide Communities, it may be wise to restrict the range of parameters. For registered BGP Wide Communities, the security considerations of the document defining them MUST address issues specific to those newly defined Communities.

11. IANA Considerations

11.1. BGP Community Container Attribute

This document defines a new BGP Path Attribute called the "BGP Community Container Attribute". IANA has assigned the value 34 from the BGP Path Attributes registry for the optional, transitive BGP Community Container Attribute.

11.2. BGP Community Container Atoms Types

This document requests IANA to define and maintain a new registry named: "BGP Community Container Atom Types". The pool of $0 \times 00-0 \times FF$ has been defined for its allocations.

Registration procedures:

0x00: Reserved. 0x01-0x08: Defined in this document. 0x09-0xFE: IETF Review. 0xFF: Reserved. This document makes the following assignments for the BGP Community Container Atom Type values registry:

Name	Type Value
Autonomous System Number List	0×01
IPv4 Prefix list	0x02
IPv6 Prefix list	0×03
Unsigned Integer32 list	0×04
IEEE Floating Point Number list	0x05
Neighbor Class list	0×06
User-defined Class list	0×07
UTF-8 string	0x08

11.3. BGP Community Container Neighbor Class List Atom Types

This document requests IANA to define and maintain a new registry named: "BGP Community Container Neighbor Class List Atom Types". The pool of 0x00000000-0xFFFFFFF has been defined for its allocations.

Registration procedures:

0x000000000 : Reserved. 0x00000001-0x00000003 : Defined in this document. 0x00000004-0xFFFFFFE : IETF Review. 0xFFFFFFFF : Reserved.

This document makes the following assignments for the BGP Community Container Neighbor Class List Atom Types registry:

Name	Type Value
Peer	1
Customer	2
Upstream	3

11.4. BGP Community Container Types

This document requests IANA to define and maintain a new registry named: "BGP Community Container Types".

The pool of: 0x0000..0xFFFF has been defined for its allocations.

Registration procedures:

0x0000 : Reserved. 0x0001 : BGP Wide Community (defined in this document). 0x0002-0x0004 : Reserved. 0x0005-0x00FF : IETF Review. 0x0100-0xFF00 : First Come, First Served. 0xFF01-0xFFFE : Experimental. 0xFFFF : Reserved.

11.5. Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Communities Community Types

This document requests IANA to define and maintain a new registry named: "Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Community Community Types". The pool of 0x00000000..0xFFFFFFF has been defined for its allocation.

Registration procedures:

0x00000000 : Reserved. 0x00000001-0x7FFFFFF : Available for private/local use. 0x80000000 : Reserved. 0x80000001-0xFFFFFFFF : First Come, First Served for registered use. 0xFFFFFF00-0xFFFFFFFE : Experimental. 0xFFFFFFFF : Reserved.

11.6. Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Community Optional Sub-Types

This document requests IANA to define and maintain a new registry named: "Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Community Optional Sub-Types". The pool of 0x00..0xFF has been defined for its allocation.

Registration procedures:

0 : Reserved. 1..3 : Defined in this document. 4..254 : IETF Review. 255 : Reserved.

This document makes the following assignments for the Registered Type 1 BGP Wide Community Optional Sub-Types registry:

Name	Type Value
Targets	1
Exclude Targets	2
Parameters	3

12. Contributors

The following people contributed significantly to the content of the document:

Shintaro Kojima OTEMACHI 1st. SQUARE EAST TOWER, 3F 1-5-1, Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0004 Japan Email: koji@mfeed.ad.jp

Juan Alcaide Cisco Systems Research Triangle Park, NC United States Email: jalcaide@cisco.com

Burjiz Pithawala Cisco Systems 170 West Tasman Dr San Jose, CA United States Email: bpithaw@cisco.com

Saku Ytti TDC Oy Mechelininkatu 1a 00094 TDC Finland Email: ytti@tdc.net

13. Acknowledgments

This document owes draft-lange-flexible-bgp-communities a debt for the inspiration of many features contained herein.

The authors would like to thank Enke Chen, Pedro Marques, Alton Lo, Igor Gashinsky and Job Snijders for their valuable input.

14. References

14.1. Normative References

[IEEE.754.1985] Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, "Standard for Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic", IEEE Standard 754, August 1985.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/ RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/</u> rfc2119>.

- [RFC3629] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of IS0 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, DOI 10.17487/RFC3629, November 2003, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3629</u>>.
- [RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Ed., Li, T., Ed., and S. Hares, Ed., "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", RFC 4271, DOI 10.17487/RFC4271, January 2006, <<u>https://www.rfc-</u> editor.org/info/rfc4271>.
- [RFC7606] Chen, E., Ed., Scudder, J., Ed., Mohapatra, P., and K. Patel, "Revised Error Handling for BGP UPDATE Messages", RFC 7606, DOI 10.17487/RFC7606, August 2015, <<u>https://</u> www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7606>.

14.2. Informative References

- [RFC1997] Chandra, R., Traina, P., and T. Li, "BGP Communities Attribute", RFC 1997, DOI 10.17487/RFC1997, August 1996, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1997>.
- [RFC3765] Huston, G., "NOPEER Community for Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Route Scope Control", RFC 3765, DOI 10.17487/ RFC3765, April 2004, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/</u> rfc3765>.
- [RFC4360] Sangli, S., Tappan, D., and Y. Rekhter, "BGP Extended Communities Attribute", RFC 4360, DOI 10.17487/RFC4360, February 2006, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4360</u>>.
- [RFC8092] Heitz, J., Ed., Snijders, J., Ed., Patel, K., Bagdonas, I., and N. Hilliard, "BGP Large Communities Attribute", RFC 8092, DOI 10.17487/RFC8092, February 2017, <<u>https://</u> www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8092>.

Authors' Addresses

Robert Raszuk (editor) Individual

Email: robert@raszuk.net

Jeffrey Haas (editor) Juniper Networks 1194 N.Mathilda Ave Sunnyvale, CA 94089 United States of America Email: jhaas@juniper.net

Andrew Lange (editor) Nokia 777 E. Middlefield Road Mountain View, CA 94043 United States of America

Email: andrew.lange@nokia.com

```
Bruno Decraene (editor)
Orange
```

Email: bruno.decraene@orange.com

Shane Amante Apple, Inc. 1 Infinite Loop Cupertino, CA 95014 United States of America

Email: shane@castlepoint.net

Paul Jakma Huawei Ireland Research Centre Georges Court, Townsend St Dublin D02 R156 Ireland

Email: paul@jakma.org