Network Working Group Internet Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: January 7, 2013 Paul E. Jones Gonzalo Salgueiro James Polk Cisco Systems Laura Liess Deutsche Telekom Hadriel Kaplan Acme Packet July 7, 2012

Requirements for an End-to-End Session Identification in IP-Based Multimedia Communication Networks draft-ietf-insipid-session-id-reqts-00.txt

Abstract

This document specifies the requirements for an end-to-end session identifier in IP-based multimedia communication networks. This identifier would enable endpoints, intermediate devices, and management and monitoring systems to identify a session end-to-end, associate multiple endpoints with a given multipoint conference, and track communication sessions when they are redirected.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 7, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to $\frac{\text{BCP }78}{\text{Provisions}}$ and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction2
<u>2</u> .	Terminology
<u>3</u> .	Session Identifier Use Cases <u>3</u>
	<u>3.1</u> . Session Recording <u>4</u>
	3.2. Protocol Interworking4
	3.3. More Use Cases
<u>4</u> .	Requirements for the End-to-End Session Identifier5
<u>5</u> .	Related Work in other Standards Organizations6
	5.1. Coordination with the ITU-T
	5.2. Requirements within 3GPP
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations <u>6</u>
<u>7</u> .	IANA Considerations
<u>8</u> .	Acknowledgments
9.	References
	9.1. Normative References
	9.2. Informative References
Aut	thor's Addresses

1. Introduction

IP-based multimedia communication systems like SIP [1] and H.323 [2]have the concept of a "call identifier" that is globally unique. The identifier is intended to represents an end-to-end communication session from the originating device to the terminating device. Such an identifier is useful for troubleshooting, billing, session tracking, and so forth.

Unfortunately, there are a number of factors that contribute to the fact that the current call identifiers defined in SIP and H.323 are not suitable for end-to-end session identification. Perhaps most significant is the fact that the syntax for the call identifier in SIP and H.323 is different between the two protocols. This important fact makes it impossible for call identifiers to be exchanged end-toend when a network utilizes one or more session protocols.

Another reason why the current call identifiers are not suitable to identify the session end-to-end is that in real-world deployments devices like session border controllers often change the values as

the session signaling passes through. This is true even when a

Jones, et al. Expires January 7, 2013

[Page 2]

single session protocol is employed and not a byproduct of protocol interworking.

Lastly, identifiers that might have been used to identify a session end-to-end fail to meet that need when sessions are manipulated through supplementary service interactions. For example, when a session is transferred or if a PBX joins two communication sessions together locally, the end-to-end properties of currently-defined identifiers are lost.

This draft specifies the requirements for an end-to-end session identifier. With this draft, the authors would like to encourage discussion and progress work in the dispatch working group or working group as designated by the IETF, the outcome of which will be a new RFC that defines a session ID in conformance with these requirements.

2. Terminology

SIP defines additional terms used in this document that are specific to the SIP domain such as "proxy"; "registrar"; "redirect server"; "user agent server" or "UAS"; "user agent client" or "UAC"; "back-toback user agent" or "B2BUA"; "dialog"; "transaction"; "server transaction".

In this document, the word "session" refers to a "communication session" that may exist between two SIP user agents or that might pass through one or more intermediary devices, including B2BUAs or SIP Proxies.

The term "end-to-end" in this document means the communication session from the point of origin, passing through any number of intermediaries, to the ultimate point of termination. It is recognized that legacy devices may not support the "end-to-end" session identifier, though an identifier might be created by an intermediary when it is absent from the session signaling.

3. Session Identifier Use Cases

The Session Identifier is intended to uniquely identify a communication session end-to-end. This document does not specify how the Session Identifier is to be used, but merely defines the identifier in such a way as to enable it to be used for situations encountered in real-world deployments of IP-based multimedia communication systems, including:

- * End-to-end identification of a communication session
- * End-to-end identification of a communication session that includes a plurality of signaling protocol (e.g., SIP, H.323, and

XMPP) wherein a session might originate using one protocol and terminate using a different protocol

- * Identification of devices taking part in the same multipoint conference
- * Tracking sessions transferred from one endpoint to another
- * Facilitate the recording of SIP sessions and correlating those sessions
- * Logging for the purposes of accounting, billing, debugging, communication tracking (such as for security purposes in case of theft of service), etc.

{ NOTE: the above simple use case examples are to be replaced with more elaborate text as we have started to do below. Once all use case text is submitted to the editor, the above simple cases will be removed from the document. }

3.1. Session Recording

A SIP Session is established between UA-A and UA-B with a SIP B2BUA acting as a middlebox. Both UA-A and UA-B establish a recording session with a session recording server (SRS) using the SIPREC protocol. The SRS needs to be able to determine from the metadata provided by UA-A and UA-B that the media streams are associated with the same communication session (CS).

Derived Requirements: REQ1, REQ3, REQ4

3.2. Protocol Interworking

A communication session might originate in an H.323 endpoint and pass through a Session Border Controller before ultimately reaching a terminating SIP user agent. Likewise, a call might originate on a SIP user agent and terminate on an H.323 endpoint. It MUST be possible to identify such sessions end-to-end across the plurality of devices, networks, or administrative domains.

It is expected that the ITU-T will define protocol elements for H.323 to make the end-to-end signaling possible.

Derived Requirements: REQ7, REQ9a.

3.3. More Use Cases

{ Additional use cased will be added as additional sub-sections. }

4. Requirements for the End-to-End Session Identifier

The following requirements are derived from the use cases and additional constraints regarding the construction of the identifier.

REQ1: It must be possible for an administrator or an external device which monitors the SIP-traffic to use the identifier to identify those dialogs which were at some point in time components of a single end-to-end SIP session (e.g., parts of the same call).

REQ1a: { Requirement 1 for non-dialog creating transactions. Text
solicited. }

REQ2: It must be possible to correlate two end-to-end sessions when a session is transferred or if two different sessions are joined together via an intermediary (e.g., a PBX). This might result in a change in the value of the end-to-end Session-Identifier.

REQ3: It must be possible for a device other than the conference focus to correlate sessions participating in a multipoint or multiparty conference on a single focus by observing the end-to-end session identifiers of each session.

REQ4: It must be possible to pass the identifier unchanged through SIP B2BUAs or other intermediaries.

REQ5: The identifier must not reveal any information related to any SIP user, device or domain identity. This includes any IP Address, port, hostname, domain name, username, Address-of-Record, MAC address, IP address family, transport type, subscriber ID, Call-ID, tags, or other SIP header or body parts.

REQ7: It must be possible to identity SIP traffic with an end-to-end session identifier from and to end devices that do not support this new identifier, such as by allowing an intermediary to inject an identifier into the session signaling.

REQ8: The identifier should be unique in time and space, similar to the Call-ID.

REQ9a: The identifier should be constructed in such a way as to make it suitable for transmission in SIP and H.323.

REQ9b: The identifier should be constructed in such a way as to make it suitable for transmission in SIP and RSVP $[\underline{3}]$.

REQ9c: The identifier should be constructed in such a way as to make it suitable for transmission in SIP and RTCP $[\underline{4}]$.

5. Related Work in other Standards Organizations

<u>5.1</u>. Coordination with the ITU-T

IP multimedia networks are often comprised of a mix of session protocols like SIP and H.323. A benefit of the Session Identifier is that it uniquely identifies a communication session end-to-end across session protocol boundaries. Therefore, the need for coordinated standardization activities across Standards Development Organizations (SDOs) is imperative.

To facilitate this, a parallel effort is underway in the ITU-T to introduce the Session Identifier for the H.323 protocol. The ITU-T SG16 has approved contribution C.552 [5] as a work item with the intent that it be a coordinated and synchronized effort between the ITU-T and the IETF.

5.2. Requirements within 3GPP

3GPP identified in their Release 9 the need for a Session Identifier for O&M purposes to correlate flows in an end-to-end communication session. TS24.229 (IP multimedia call control protocol based on Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Session Description Protocol (SDP)) [6] points to the fact that the Session Identifier can be used to correlate SIP messages belonging to the same session. In the case where signaling passes through SIP entities like B2BUAs, the end-toend session identifier indicates that these dialogs belong to the same end-to-end SIP communication session.

<u>6</u>. Security Considerations

An end-to-end identifier, if not properly constructed, could provide information that would allow one to identify the individual, device, or domain initiating or terminating a communication session. In adherence with REQ5, the solution produced in accordance with these requirements MUST NOT provide any information that allow one to identify a person, device, or domain. This means that information elements such as the MAC address or IP address MUST NOT be used when constructing the end-to-end session identifier.

7. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA considerations associated with this document.

8. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Chris Pearce for his contribution and collaboration in developing this document.

This document was prepared using 2-Word-v2.0.template.dot.

9. References

<u>9.1</u>. Normative References

- [1] Rosenberg, J., et al., "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", <u>RFC</u> <u>3261</u>, June 2002.
- [2] Recommendation ITU-T H.323, "Packet-based multimedia communications systems", December 2009.

<u>9.2</u>. Informative References

- [3] Braden, R., et al., "Resource ReSerVation Protocol (RSVP) --Version 1 Functional Specification", <u>RFC 2205</u>, September 1997.
- [4] Schulzrinne, H., et al., "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", <u>RFC 3550</u>, July 2003.
- [5] International Telecommunications Union, "End-to-End Session Identifier for IP-based Multimedia Communication Systems", March 2011, ITU-T Contribution C.552, <u>http://ftp3.itu.int/av-arch/avc-site/2009-2012/1103_Gen/SessionID.zip</u>.
- [6] 3GPP, "IP multimedia call control protocol based on Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Session Description Protocol (SDP); Stage 3", 3GPP TS 24.229 10.3.0, April 2011.

July 2012

Author's Addresses Roland Jesske Deutsche Telekom NP 64295 Darmstadt Heinrich-Hertz-Str. 3-7 Germany Phone: +49 6151 628 2766 Email: R.Jesske@telekom.de Paul E. Jones Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Rd. Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA Phone: +1 919 476 2048 Email: paulej@packetizer.com IM: xmpp:paulej@packetizer.com Hadriel Kaplan Acme Packet 71 Third Ave. Burlington, MA 01803, USA Email: hkaplan@acmepacket.com Laura Liess Deutsche Telekom NP 64295 Darmstadt Heinrich-Hertz-Str. 3-7 Germany Phone: +49 6151 268 2761 Email: laura.liess.dt@gmail.com Salvatore Loreto Ericsson Hirsalantie 11 Jorvas 02420 Finland

Email: salvatore.loreto@ericsson.com

July 2012

James Polk Cisco Systems, Inc. 3913 Treemont Circle Colleyville, Texas, USA

Phone: +1 817 271 3552
Email: jmpolk@cisco.com
IM: xmpp:jmpolk@cisco.com

Parthasarathi Ravindran Sonus Networks, Inc. Prestige Shantiniketan - Business Precinct Whitefield Road Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

Email: pravindran@sonusnet.com

Gonzalo Salgueiro Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Rd. Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA

Phone: +1 919 392 3266 Email: gsalguei@cisco.com IM: xmpp:gsalguei@cisco.com