

Intarea Working Group
Internet-Draft
Updates: [2784](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 12, 2015

C. Pignataro
Cisco Systems
R. Bonica
Juniper Networks
S. Krishnan
Ericsson
April 10, 2015

IPv6 Support for Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)
draft-ietf-intarea-gre-ipv6-05

Abstract

Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) can be used to carry any network-layer payload protocol over any network-layer delivery protocol. GRE procedures are specified for IPv4, used as either the payload or delivery protocol. However, GRE procedures are not specified for IPv6.

This document specifies GRE procedures for IPv6, used as either the payload or delivery protocol. It updates the GRE specification, [RFC 2784](#).

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 12, 2015.

Internet-Draft

GRE IPv6

April 2015

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Terminology	3
2.	GRE Header Fields	3
2.1.	Checksum Present	3
3.	IPv6 As GRE Payload	4
3.1.	GRE Protocol Type Considerations	4
3.2.	MTU Considerations	4
3.3.	Fragmentation Considerations	4
4.	IPv6 As GRE Delivery Protocol	5
4.1.	Next Header Considerations	5
4.2.	Checksum Considerations	5
4.3.	MTU Considerations	6
5.	IANA Considerations	6
6.	Security Considerations	6
7.	Acknowledgements	6
8.	Normative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	7

[1.](#) Introduction

Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) [[RFC2784](#)] [[RFC2890](#)] can be used to carry any network-layer payload protocol over any network-layer delivery protocol. GRE procedures are specified for IPv4 [[RFC0791](#)], used as either the payload or delivery protocol. However, GRE procedures are not specified for IPv6 [[RFC2460](#)].

This document specifies GRE procedures for IPv6, used as either the payload or delivery protocol. Like [RFC 2784](#), this document describes GRE how has been implemented by several vendors. It updates [RFC 2784](#).

[1.1.](#) Terminology

The following terms are used in this document:

- o GRE delivery header - an IPv4 or IPv6 header whose source address represents the GRE ingress node and whose destination address represents the GRE egress node. The GRE delivery header encapsulates a GRE header.
- o GRE header - the GRE protocol header. The GRE header is encapsulated in the GRE delivery header and encapsulates GRE payload.
- o GRE payload - a network layer packet that is encapsulated by the GRE header.
- o GRE overhead - the combined size of the GRE delivery header and the GRE header, measured in bytes
- o path MTU (PMTU) - the minimum MTU of all the links in a path between a source node and a destination node. If the source and destination node are connected through equal cost multipath (ECMP), the PMTU is equal to the minimum link MTU of all links contributing to the multipath.
- o Path MTU Discovery (PMTUD) - A procedure for dynamically discovering the PMTU between two nodes on the Internet. PMTUD procedures for IPv6 are defined in [[RFC1981](#)].
- o GRE MTU (GMTU) - the maximum transmission unit, i.e., maximum packet size in bytes, that can be conveyed over a GRE tunnel without fragmentation of any kind. The GMTU is equal to the PMTU associated with the path between the GRE ingress and the GRE egress, minus the GRE overhead

[2.](#) GRE Header Fields

This document does not change the GRE header format or any behaviors specified by [RFC 2784](#) or [RFC 2890](#).

[2.1.](#) Checksum Present

When the delivery protocol is IPv6, the GRE ingress node SHOULD set the Checksum Present field to zero. GRE egress nodes MUST accept either a value of zero or one in this field. If the GRE egress node receives a value of one, it MUST use that information to calculate the GRE header length. It MUST also use the checksum to verify packet integrity.

[3.](#) IPv6 As GRE Payload

The following considerations apply to GRE tunnels that carry IPv6 payload.

[3.1.](#) GRE Protocol Type Considerations

The Protocol Type field in the GRE header MUST be set to Ether Type [[ETYPES](#)] 0x86DD.

[3.2.](#) MTU Considerations

A GRE tunnel MUST be able to carry a 1280-byte IPv6 packet from ingress to egress, without fragmenting the payload packet. All GRE tunnels with a GMTU of 1280 bytes or greater satisfy this requirement. GRE tunnels that can fragment and reassemble delivery packets also satisfy this requirement, regardless of their GMTU. However, the ability to fragment and reassemble delivery packets is not a requirement of this specification. This specification requires only that GRE ingress nodes refrain from activating GRE tunnels that do not satisfy the above-mentioned requirement.

Before activating a GRE tunnel and periodically thereafter, the GRE ingress node MUST execute procedures that verify the tunnel's ability to carry a 1280-byte IPv6 payload packet from ingress to egress, without fragmenting the payload. Having executed those procedures, the GRE ingress node MUST activate or deactivate the tunnel accordingly.

Implementation details regarding the above-mentioned verification procedures are beyond the scope of this document. However, a GRE ingress node can verify tunnel capabilities by sending a 1280-byte IPv6 packet addressed to itself through the tunnel under test.

3.3. Fragmentation Considerations

When the GRE ingress receives an IPv6 payload packet whose length is less than or equal to the GMTU, it can encapsulate and forward the packet without fragmentation of any kind. In this case, the GRE ingress router **MUST NOT** fragment the payload or delivery packets.

When the GRE ingress receives an IPv6 payload packet whose length is greater than the GMTU, and the GMTU is greater than or equal to 1280 bytes, the GRE ingress router **MUST**:

- o discard the IPv6 payload packet

- o send an ICMPv6 Packet Too Big (PTB) [[RFC4443](#)] message to the IPv6 payload packet source. The MTU field in the ICMPv6 PTB message is set to the GMTU.

When the GRE ingress receives an IPv6 payload packet whose length is greater than the GMTU, and the GMTU is less than 1280 bytes, the GRE ingress router **MUST**:

- o encapsulate the entire IPv6 packet in a single GRE header and IP delivery header
- o fragment the delivery header, so that it can be reassembled by the GRE egress

4. IPv6 As GRE Delivery Protocol

The following considerations apply when the delivery protocol is IPv6.

4.1. Next Header Considerations

When the GRE delivery protocol is IPv6, the GRE header **MAY**

immediately follow the GRE delivery header. Alternatively, IPv6 extension headers MAY be inserted between the GRE delivery header and the GRE header.

If the GRE header immediately follows the GRE delivery header, the Next Header field in the IPv6 header of the GRE delivery packet MUST be set to 47. If extension headers are inserted between the GRE delivery header and the GRE header, the Next Header field in the last IPv6 extension header MUST be set to 47.

4.2. Checksum Considerations

As stated in [[RFC2784](#)], the GRE header can contain a checksum. If present, the GRE header checksum can be used to detect corruption of the GRE header and GRE payload.

The GRE header checksum cannot be used to detect corruption of the IPv6 delivery header. Furthermore, the IPv6 delivery header does not contain a checksum of its own. Therefore, no available checksum can be used to detect corruption of the IPv6 delivery header.

In one failure scenario, the destination address in the IPv6 delivery header is corrupted. As a result, the IPv6 delivery packet is delivered to a node other than the intended GRE egress node. Depending upon the state and configuration of that node, it will either:

- a. Drop the packet
- b. De-encapsulate the payload and forward it to its intended destination
- c. De-encapsulate the payload and forward it to a node other than its intended destination. For example, the payload might be intended for a node on one VPN, but delivered to an identically numbered node in another VPN.

Behaviors a) and b) are acceptable. Behavior c) is not acceptable.

Before deploying GRE over IPv6, network operators should consider the likelihood of behavior c) in their network. GRE over IPv6 is deployable only in environments where the network operator deems the

risk associated with behavior c) to be acceptable.

The risk associated with behavior c) could be mitigated with end-to-end authentication of the payload.

4.3. MTU Considerations

By default, the GRE ingress node cannot fragment the IPv6 delivery header. However, implementations MAY support an optional configuration in which the GRE ingress node can fragment the IPv6 delivery header.

Also by default, the GRE egress node cannot reassemble the IPv6 delivery header. However, implementations MAY support an optional configuration in which the GRE egress node can reassemble the IPv6 delivery header.

5. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

6. Security Considerations

The Security Considerations section of [[RFC4023](#)] addresses MPLS in GRE. However, it applies equally to the current specification. Beyond that, the current specification introduces no security considerations beyond those mentioned in [RFC 2784](#).

7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Fred Baker, Stewart Bryant, Dino Farinacci, Tom Herbert, Fred Templin, Joe Touch, Andrew Yourtchenko and Lucy Yong for their thorough review and useful comments.

8. Normative References

[ETYPES] IANA, "ETHER TYPES", 2014,
<<http://www.iana.org/assignments/ieee-802-numbers/ieee-802-numbers.xhtml#ieee-802-numbers-1>>.

[RFC0791] Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", STD 5, [RFC 791](#), September 1981.

- [RFC1981] McCann, J., Deering, S., and J. Mogul, "Path MTU Discovery for IP version 6", [RFC 1981](#), August 1996.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2460] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", [RFC 2460](#), December 1998.
- [RFC2784] Farinacci, D., Li, T., Hanks, S., Meyer, D., and P. Traina, "Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)", [RFC 2784](#), March 2000.
- [RFC2890] Dommety, G., "Key and Sequence Number Extensions to GRE", [RFC 2890](#), September 2000.
- [RFC4023] Worster, T., Rekhter, Y., and E. Rosen, "Encapsulating MPLS in IP or Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)", [RFC 4023](#), March 2005.
- [RFC4443] Conta, A., Deering, S., and M. Gupta, "Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", [RFC 4443](#), March 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Carlos Pignataro
Cisco Systems
7200-12 Kit Creek Road
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709
USA

Email: cpignata@cisco.com

Juniper Networks
2251 Corporate Park Drive
Herndon, Virginia
USA

Email: rbonica@juniper.net

Suresh Krishnan
Ericsson
8400 Decarie Blvd.
Town of Mount Royal, QC
Canada

Phone: +1 514 345 7900 x42871
Email: suresh.krishnan@ericsson.com