Robert Herriot (editor) Sun Microsystems Sylvan Butler Hewlett-Packard Paul Moore Microsoft. Randy Turner Sharp Labs October 23, 1997

Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-02.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

Abstract

This document is one of a set of documents, which together describe all aspects of a new Internet Printing Protocol (IPP). IPP is an application level protocol that can be used for distributed printing using Internet tools and technology. The protocol is heavily influenced by the printing model introduced in the Document Printing Application (ISO/IEC 10175 DPA) standard [dpa]. Although DPA specifies both end user and administrative features, IPP version 1.0 is focused only on end user functionality.

The full set of IPP documents includes:

Internet Printing Protocol: Requirements Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification

The requirements document takes a broad look at distributed printing

functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. The requirements document calls out a subset of end user requirements that MUST be satisfied in the Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 1] Moore and Turner

Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

first version of IPP. Operator and administrator requirements are out of scope for v1.0. The model and semantics document describes a simplified model with abstract objects, their attributes, and their operations. The model introduces a Printer object and a Job object. The Job object supports multiple documents per job. The protocol specification is formal document which incorporates the ideas in all the other documents into a concrete mapping using clearly defined data representations and transport protocol mappings that real implementers can use to develop interoperable client and printer (server) side components.

This document is the ''Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification'' document.

Notice

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Copyright c The Internet Society (date). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implmentation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Herriot, Butler,October 23, 1997,[Page 2]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Table of Contents

1	Introduction4	
_	Conformance Terminology	
	Encoding of the Operation Layer	
<u>s</u> .		
	3.1 Picture of the Encoding	
	3.2 Syntax of Encoding	
	<u>3.3</u> Version <u>8</u>	
	<u>3.4</u> Mapping of Operations <u>8</u>	
	3.5 Mapping of Status-code8	
	<u>3.6</u> Tags	<u>8</u>
	<u>3.6.1</u> Delimiter Tags <u>8</u>	
	<u>3.6.2</u> Value Tags <u>9</u>	
	3.7 Name-Lengths	
	3.8 Mapping of Attribute Names	
	<u>3.9</u> Value Lengths	
	3.10 Mapping of Attribute Values	
	3.11 Data	15
1	Encoding of Transport Layer	<u> 10</u>
4.	4.1 General Headers	
	<u>4.2</u> Request Headers <u>17</u>	
	4.3 Response Headers	
	<u>4.4</u> Entity Headers <u>18</u>	
_	Security Considerations <u>19</u>	
	References	
<u>7</u> .	Author's Address	
<u>8</u> .	Other Participants:	
<u>9</u> .	Appendix A: Protocol Examples	
	<u>9.1</u> Print-Job Request <u>22</u>	
	9.2 Print-Job Response (successful)23	
	9.3 Print-Job Response (failure)	
	9.4 Print-URI Request	
	9.5 Create-Job Request	
	9.6 Get-Jobs Request	
	<u>9.7</u> Get-Jobs Response	
10	Appendix B: Mapping of Each Operation in the Encoding	
	. Appendix C: Hints to implementors using IPP with SSL3	
<u>+</u> +	. Appendix C. LINES TO INDIGUEDIOUS ASTURATED AND SPERIOUS STRATED SPERIOUS SPECIFICATION SPECIFICAT	

Herriot, Butler,October 23, 1997,[Page 3]

Moore and Turner Expires Ap

Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

1. Introduction

This document contains the rules for encoding IPP operations and describes two layers: the transport layer and the operation layer.

The transport layer consists of an HTTP/1.1 request or response. RFC <u>2068</u> [r2068] describes HTTP/1.1. This document specifies the HTTP headers that an IPP implementation supports.

The operation layer consists of a message body in an HTTP request or response. The document "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics" [ipp-m] defines the semantics of such a message body and the supported values. This document specifies the encoding of an IPP operation. The aforementioned document [ipp-m] is henceforth referred to as the "IPP model document"

2. Conformance Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u> [<u>r2119</u>].

3. Encoding of the Operation Layer

The operation layer SHALL contain a single operation request or operation response.

The encoding consists of octets as the most primitive type. There are several types built from octets, but two important types are integers and characters, on which most other data types are built. Every character in this encoding SHALL be a member of the UCS-2 coded character set and SHALL be encoded using UTF-8 which uses 1 to 3 octets per character. Every integer in this encoding SHALL be encoded as a signed integer using two's-complement binary encoding with big-endian format (also known as "network order" and "most significant byte first"). The number of octets for an integer SHALL be 1, 2 or 4, depending on usage in the protocol. Such one-octet integers, henceforth called SIGNED-BYTE, are used for the version and tag fields. Such twobyte integers, henceforth called SIGNED-SHORT are used for the operation, status-code and length fields. Four byte integers, henceforth called SIGNED-INTEGER, are used for values fields.

The following two sections present the operation layer in two ways

- . informally through pictures and description
- . formally through Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF), as specified by draft-ietf-drums-abnf-02.txt [abnf]

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 4]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

3.1 Picture of the Encoding

The encoding for an operation request or response consists of:

-----2 bytes - required version -----|operation (request) or status-code (response)| 2 bytes - required _____ | xxx-attributes-tag 1 byte | -----|-0 or more xxx-attribute-sequence | n bytes | _____ 1 byte - required data-tag _____ data | q bytes - optional -----

The xxx-attributes-tag and xxx-attribute-sequence represents four different values of "xxx", namely, operation, job, printer and unsupported-job. The xxx-attributes-tag and xxx-attribute-sequence may be omitted if the operation has no attributes or it may be repeated with the same or different values of "xxx" in ways that are specific to each operation. The data is omitted from some operations, but the data-tag is present even when the data is omitted. Note, the xxx-attributestags and data-tag are called `delimiter-tags'.

Note: the xxx-attribute-sequence, shown above may consist of 0 bytes, according to the rule below.

An xxx-attributes-sequence consists of zero or more compound-attributes.

| compound-attribute | s bytes - 0 or more

A compound-attribute consists an attribute with a single value followed by zero or more additional values.


Note: a `compound-attribute' represents a single attribute in the model document. The `additional value' syntax is for attributes with 2 or more values.

Each attribute consists of:

Herriot, Butler,October 23, 1997,[Page 5]

Moore and Turner

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997



An additional value consists of:

				· _
	value-tag	Ι	1 byte	1
	name-length (value is 0x0000)		2 bytes	 -0 or more
	value-length (value is w)		2 bytes	
	value		w bytes	

Note: an additional value is like an attribute whose name-length is 0. From the standpoint of a parsing loop, the encoding consists of:

-----2 bytes - required version |operation (request) or status-code (response)| 2 bytes - required tag (delimiter-tag or value-tag) | 1 byte | -----|-0 or more empty or rest of attribute | x bytes | -----2 bytes - required data-tag ----data | y bytes - optional

The value of the tag determines whether the bytes following the tag are:

. attributes

- . data
- . the remainder of a single attribute where the tag specifies the type of the value.

3.2 Syntax of Encoding

The syntax below is ABNF [abnf] except `strings of literals' SHALL be case sensitive. For example `a' means lower case `a' and not upper case

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 6]

```
Moore and Turner
                       Expires April 23, 1998
INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997
      In addition, SIGNED-BYTE and SIGNED-SHORT fields are represented
`Α'.
as `%x' values which show their range of values.
  ipp-message = ipp-request / ipp-response
  ipp-request = version operation
          *(xxx-attributes-tag xxx-attribute-sequence) data-tag data
  ipp-response = version status-code
          *(xxx-attributes-tag xxx-attribute-sequence) data-tag data
  xxx-attribute-sequence = *compound-attribute
       ; where "xxx" in the three rules above stands for any of the
  following
       ; values: "operation", "job", "printer" or "unsupported-job".
  version = major-version minor-version
  major-version = SIGNED-BYTE ; initially %d1
  minor-version = SIGNED-BYTE ; initially %d0
  operation = SIGNED-SHORT ; mapping from model defined below
  status-code = SIGNED-SHORT ; mapping from model defined below
  compound-attribute = attribute *additional-values
  attribute = value-tag name-length name value-length value
  additional-values = value-tag zero-name-length value-length value
  name-length = SIGNED-SHORT ; number of octets of `name'
  name = LALPHA *( LALPHA / DIGIT / "-" / "_" / "." )
  value-length = SIGNED-SHORT ; number of octets of `value'
  value = OCTET-STRING
  data = OCTET-STRING
  zero-name-length = %x00.00 ; name-length of 0
  operation-attributes-tag= %x01
                                          ; tag of 1
  job-attributes-tag = %x02
                                          ; tag of 2
                                          ; tag of 4
  printer-attributes-tag = %x04
  unsupported-job-attributes-tag = %x05
                                          ; tag of 5
  data-tag = \% x 03
                                                             ; tag of
  3
  value-tag = %x10-FF
 SIGNED-BYTE = BYTE
  SIGNED-SHORT = 2BYTE
  DIGIT = %x30-39 ; "0" to "9"
  LALPHA = %x61-7A ; "a" to "z"
```

BYTE = %x00-FF OCTET-STRING = *BYTE

The syntax allows an xxx-attributestag to be present when the xxxattribute-sequence that follows is empty. The syntax is defined this way to allow for the response of Get-Jobs where no attributes are returned for some job-objects. Although it is RECOMMENDED that the sender not send an xxx-attributestag if there are no attributes (except in the Get-

Herriot, Butler,

October 23, 1997,

[Page 7]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Jobs response just mentioned), the receiver MUST be able to decode such syntax.

3.3 Version

The version SHALL consist of a major and minor version, each of which SHALL be represented by a SIGNED-BYTE. The protocol described in this document SHALL have a major version of 1 (0x01) and a minor version of **0** (0x00). The ABNF for these two bytes SHALL be %x01.00.

<u>3.4</u> Mapping of Operations

Operations are defined as enums in the model document. An operations enum value SHALL be encoded as a SIGNED-SHORT

Note: the values 0x4000 to 0xFFFF are reserved for private extensions.

<u>3.5</u> Mapping of Status-code

Status-codes are defined as enums in the model document. A status-code enum value SHALL be encoded as a SIGNED-SHORT

If an IPP status-code is returned, then the HTTP Status-Code MUST be 200 (OK). With any other HTTP Status-Code value, the HTTP response SHALL NOT contain an IPP message-body, and thus no IPP status-code is returned.

<u>3.6</u> Tags

There are two kinds of tags:

- . delimiter tags: delimit major sections of the protocol, namely attributes and data
- . value tags: specify the type of each attribute value

<u>3.6.1</u> Delimiter Tags

The following table specifies the values for the delimiter tags:

Tag Value (Hex) Delimiter

0x00 reserved

0x01	operation-attributes-tag
0x02	job-attributes-tag
0x03	data-tag
0x04	printer-attributes-tag
0x05	unsupported-job-attributes-tag
0x06-0x0F	reserved for future delimiters

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 8]

Moore and Turner

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

When an xxx-attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are xxx attributes as defined in the model document, where xxx is operation, job, printer, unsupported-job.

Doing substitution for xxx in the above paragraph, this means the following. When an operation-attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are operation attributes as defined in the model document. When an job-attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are job attributes as defined in the model document. When an printerattributes as defined in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are printer attributes as defined in the model document. When an unsupported-jobattributes tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are printer attributes as defined in the model document. When an unsupported-jobattributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are unsupported-jobattributes as defined in the model document. When an that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are unsupported-jobattributes as defined in the model document.

Each of the four xxx-attributes-tags defined above is OPTIONAL in an operation and each SHALL occur at most once in an operation, except for job-attributes-tag in a Get-Jobs response which may occur zero or more times. The data-tag SHALL occur exactly once in an operation.

If an operation contains an operations-attribute-tag, it SHALL be the first tag delimiter. The data-tag SHALL be the last tag delimiter.

The order and presence of delimiter tags for each operation request and each operation response SHALL be that defined in the model document. For further details, see <u>Section 3.8</u> Mapping of Attribute Names and Appendix B: Mapping of Each Operation in the Encoding.

3.6.2 Value Tags

The remaining tables show values for the value-tag, which is the first octet of an attribute. The value-tag specifies the type of the value of the attribute. The value of the value-tag of an attribute SHALL either be a type value specified in the model document or an "out-of-band" value, such as "unsupported" or "default". If the value of value-tag for an attribute is not "out-of-band" and differs from the value type specified by the model document, then a printer receiving such a request MAY reject the attribute or just the value. A client receiving such a response MAY ignore the attribute or just the value.

If ipp-attribute-fidelity is true and a printer rejects a value, it is the same as rejecting the attribute. If ipp-attribute-fidelity is false and a printer rejects a value, or it a client rejects a value, then it is as if the attribute didn't have that value. If after rejecting values, the attribute no longer has any values the attribute is rejected.

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 9]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Note: the intent of the above rule is for servers to be able to understand text and name values when they don't support the naturalLanguage override for the value.

The following table specifies the "out-of-band" values for the valuetag.

Tag Value (Hex) Meaning

0x10	unsupported
0x11	default
0x12	no-value
0x13	compoundValue
0x14-0x1F	reserved for future "out-of-band" values.

The "unsupported" value SHALL be used in the attribute-sequence of an error response for those attributes which the printer does not support. The "default" value is reserved for future use of setting value back to their default value. The "no-value" value is used for the "no-value" value in model, e.g. when a document-attribute is returned as a set of values and an attribute has no specified value for one or more of the documents. The "compoundValue" SHALL be used to form a single value from a collection of values, and its value is the number of members forming the compound value, excluding the compoundValue. For example, a text value with a naturalLanguage override consists of 3 "values": a compoundValue with value 2, a naturalLanguage value and a text value.

The following table specifies the integer values for the value-tag

Tag Value (Hex)Meaning0x20reserved0x21integer0x22boolean0x23enum0x24-0x2Freserved for future integer types

NOTE: 0x20 is reserved for "generic integer" if should ever be needed. The following table specifies the octetString values for the value-tag

Tag Value (Hex) Meaning

0x30	octetString with an unspecified format
0x31	dateTime
0x32	resolution
0x33	rangeOfInteger
0x34	reserved for dictionary (in the future)
0x35-0x3F	reserved for future octetString types

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 10]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

The following table specifies the character-string values for the valuetag

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0.40	
0x40	reserved
0x41	text
0x42	name
0x43	reserved
0x44	keyword
0x45	uri
0x46	uriScheme
0x47	charSet
0x48	naturalLanguage
0x49	mediaType
0x4A-0x5F	reserved for future character string types

NOTE: 0x40 is reserved for "generic character-string" if should ever be needed.

The values 0x60-0xFF are reserved for future types. There are no values allocated for private extensions. A new type must be registered via the type 2 process.

3.7 Name-Lengths

The name-length field SHALL consist of a SIGNED-SHORT. This field SHALL specify the number of octets in the name field which follows the name-length field, excluding the two bytes of the name-length field.

If a name-length field has a value of zero, the following name field SHALL be empty, and the following value SHALL be treated as an additional value for the preceding attribute. Within an attributesequence, if two attributes have the same name, the first occurrence SHALL be ignored. The zero-length name is the only mechanism for multivalued attributes.

3.8 Mapping of Attribute Names

Some attributes are encoded in a special position. These attribute are:

. "printer-uri": The target printer-uri of each operation in the IPP model document SHALL be specified outside of the operation layer

as the request-URI on the Request-Line at the HTTP level.

- . "job-uri": The target job-uri of each operation in the IPP model document SHALL be specified outside of the operation layer as the request-URI on the Request-Line at the HTTP level.
- . "document-content": The attribute named "document-content" in the IPP model document SHALL become the "data" in the operation layer.

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 11]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

. "status-code": The attribute named "status-code" in the IPP model document SHALL become the "status-code" field in the operation layer response.

The model document arranges the remaining attributes into groups for each operation request and response. Each such group SHALL be represented in the protocol by an xxx-attribute-sequence preceded by the appropriate xxx-attributes-tag (See the table below and <u>Appendix B</u>: Mapping of Each Operation in the Encoding). In addition, the order of these xxx-attributes-tags and xxx-attribute-sequences in the protocol SHALL be the same as in the model document, but the order of attributes within each xxx-attribute-sequence SHALL be unspecified. The table below maps the model document group name to xxx-attributes-sequence

Model Document Group xxx-attributes-sequence Operation Attributes operations-attributes-sequence Job Template Attributes job-attributes-sequence Job Object Attributes job-attributes-sequence Unsupported Attributes unsupported-job-attributes-sequence job-attributes-sequence Requested Attributes (Get-Attributes of job object) Requested Attributes (Getprinter-attributes-sequence Attributes of printer object) Document Content in a special position as described above ISSUE: coordinate this with the model document.

If an operation contains attributes from more than one job object (e.g. Get-Jobs response), the attributes from each job object SHALL be in a separate job-attribute-sequence, such that the attributes from the ith job object are in the ith job-attribute-sequence. See <u>Section 10</u> "Appendix B: Mapping of Each Operation in the Encoding" for table showing the application of the rules above.

3.9 Value Lengths

Each attribute value SHALL be preceded by a SIGNED-SHORT which SHALL specify the number of octets in the value which follows this length, exclusive of the two bytes specifying the length.

For any of the types represented by binary signed integers, the sender MUST encode the value in exactly four octets..

For any of the types represented by character-strings, the sender MUST

encode the value with all the characters of the string and without any padding characters.

If a value-tag contains an "out-of-band" value, such as "unsupported", the value-length SHALL be 0 and the value empty " the value has no meaning when the value-tag has an "out-of-band" value. If a printer or client receives an operation with a nonzero value-length in this case, it SHALL ignore the value field.

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 12]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

<u>3.10</u> Mapping of Attribute Values

The following SHALL be the mapping of attribute values to their IPP encoding in the value field. The syntax types are defined in the IPP model document.

Encoding Syntax of Attribute Value text an octet string whose charset and language is that specified within the operation request or response. The attributes-charset attribute with a value of type `charset' MUST be in the operationsattribute sequence unless the request or response contains no attributes. It specifies the charset for all text and name values of attributes. The attributes-natural-language attribute with a value of type `naturalLanguage' MUST be in the operations-attribute sequence unless the request or response contains no attributes. It specifies the language for all text and name values of attributes unless overridden. The language can be overridden on a per-object or a per-value basis. The language can be overridden on a per-object basis only for a job-sequence within a Get-Jobs response. If the attributes-natural-language attribute is present within such a context, it must have a value of type `naturalLanguage' and it overrides the language for all text and name attributes within the job-attributes sequence containing it, but not for attributes in any other xxx-attribute-sequence. The language can be overridden on a per-value basis by syntactically preceding the text or name value by two values: a value of type compoundValue whose value is 2 and a value of type naturalLanguage whose value is the language override. From a protocol syntax view, the compoundValue, the naturalLanguage value and the text or name value appear as three separate values of a single attribute, but from a semantic view, the Printer treats them as a single value

where the naturalLanguage value overrides the language of the immediately following text or name value in the attribute. Any text or name values in the same or other attributes are not affected by the override. If an attribute consists of a single text or name value, the language value turns it into an attribute with two values from a syntactic view. The text is encoded in "network byte order" with

Herriot, Butler,

October 23, 1997,

[Page 13]

Moore and Turner	Expires April 23, 1998
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997
Syntax of Attribute Value	Encoding
n.2m0	the first character in the text (according to reading order) being the first character in the encoding. same as text
name charset	an octet string of US-ASCII encoded characters specified in <u>RFC 2046</u> [<u>r2046</u>] and contained in the IANA character-set Registry [<u>iana</u>] according to the IANA procedures [<u>char</u>].
naturalLanguage	an octet string of US-ASCII encoded characters and with a syntax specified by <u>RFC 1766</u> [<u>r1766</u>]
mediaType	an octet string of US-ASCII encoded characters defined by <u>RFC 2046</u> [r2046] and registered according to the procedures of <u>RFC 2048</u> [r2048] for identifying a document format. The value MAY include a charset parameter, depending on the specification of the Media Type in the IANA Registry [iana]. Examples:
keyword	an octet string of US-ASCII encoded characters Allowed values are defined in the IPP model document
uri	as specified by <u>RFC 1630</u> [<u>r1630</u>]
uriScheme boolean	same as keyword one binary octet where 0x00 is `false' and 0x01 is `true'
integer	a SIGNED-INTEGER, defined previously as a signed integer using two's-complement binary encoding in four octets with big-endian format (also known as "network order" and "most significant byte first").

same as integer. Allowed integer values are defined in the IPP model document compoundValue has the same representation as an integer, but with a different meaning. If the value of a compoundValue is n, then the n following values of the attribute form a single value. For example, if an attribute has 3 successive values: compoundValue of 2, naturalLanuage of `fr-CA' and name of `bete', then these three "values" form a single value which is a name of `bete' in Canadian French. dateTime eleven octets whose contents are defined by

enum

"DateAndTime" in <u>RFC 1903</u> [r1903]. Although <u>RFC</u> <u>1903</u> also defines an eight octet format which omits the time zone, a value of this type in the IPP protocol MUST use the eleven octet format. nine octets consisting of 2 SIGNED-INTEGERs followed by a SIGNED-BYTE. The values are the same as those specified in <u>RFC 1759</u> (Printer MIB) [<u>r1759</u>]. The first SIGNED-INTEGER contains the value of prtMarkerAddressabilityXFeedDir. The

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 14]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Syntax of Encoding Attribute Value

		<pre>second SIGNED-INTEGER contains the value of prtMarkerAddressabilityFeedDir. The SIGNED-BYTE contains the value of prtMarkerAddressabilityUnit. Note: the latter value is either 3 (tenThousandsOfInches) or 4 (micrometers) and the addressability is in 10,000</pre>
		units of measure. Thus the SIGNED-INTEGERS
		represent integral values in either dots-per-inch
		or dots-per-centimeter.
range0f	integer	Eight octets consisting of 2 SIGNED-INTEGERs. The
		first SIGNED-INTEGERs contains the lowest value
		of the range and the second SIGNED-INTEGERs
		contains the highest value of the range
1set0f	Х	encoding according to the rules for an attribute with more than more value. Each value X is
		encoded according to the rules for encoding its
		type.

The type of the value in the model document determines the encoding in the value and the value of the value-tag.

<u>3.11</u> Data

The data part SHALL include any data required by the operation

<u>4</u>. Encoding of Transport Layer

HTTP/1.1 shall be the transport layer for this protocol.

The operation layer has been designed with the assumption that the transport layer contains the following information:

- . the URI of the target job or printer operation
- . the total length of the data in the operation layer, either as a single length or as a sequence of chunks each with a length.

It is REQUIRED that a printer support HTTP over port 80, though a printer may support HTTP over port 516 or some other port. In addition, a printer may have to support another port for secure connections.

Note: Consistent with <u>RFC 2068</u> (HTTP/1.1), HTTP URI's for IPP implicitly

reference port 80. If a URI references some other port, the port number must be explicitly specified in the URI.

Each HTTP operation shall use the POST method where the request-URI is the object target of the operation, and where the "Content-Type" of the message-body in each request and response shall be "application/ipp". The message-body shall contain the operation layer and shall have the syntax described in <u>section 3.2</u> "Syntax of Encoding". A client

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 15]

Moore and Turner

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

implementation SHALL adhere to the rules for a client described in RFC 2068 [r2068]. A printer (server) implementation SHALL adhere the rules for an origin server described in RFC 2068. In the following sections, there are a tables of all HTTP headers which describe their use in an IPP client or server. The following is an explanation of each column in these tables.

- . the "header" column contains the name of a header
- . the "request/client" column indicates whether a client sends the header.
- . the "request/ server" column indicates whether a server supports the header when received.
- . the "response/ server" column indicates whether a server sends the header.
- . the "response /client" column indicates whether a client supports the header when received.
- . the "values and conditions" column specifies the allowed header values and the conditions for the header to be present in a request/response.

The table for "request headers" does not have columns for responses, and the table for "response headers" does not have columns for requests.

The following is an explanation of the values in the "request/client" and "response/ server" columns.

- . must: the client or server MUST send the header,
- . must-if: the client or server MUST send the header when the condition described in the "values and conditions" column is met,
- . may: the client or server MAY send the header
- . not: the client or server SHOULD NOT send the header. It is not relevant to an IPP implementation.

The following is an explanation of the values in the "response/client" and "request/ server" columns.

- . must: the client or server MUST support the header,
- . may: the client or server MAY support the header
- . not: the client or server SHOULD NOT support the header. It is not relevant to an IPP implementation.

4.1 General Headers

The following is a table for the general headers.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ISSUE}}$: an $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HTTP}}$ expert should review these tables for accuracy.

General- Request Response Values and Conditions Header

Client Server Server Client

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 16]

Moore and Turner Exp:			ires Apr	il 23, 1	.998	
INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0:		Protoco	l Specif	ication October 23, 1997		
General- Header			Response		Values and Conditions	
	Client	Server	Server	Client		
Cache- Control	must	not	must	not	"no-cache" only	
Connection Date Pragma` Transfer-	must-if may must must-if	may not	must- if must must must-	must may not must	"close" only. Both client and server SHOULD keep a connection for the duration of a sequence of operations. The client and server MUST include this header for the last operation in such a sequence. per <u>RFC 1123 [r1123]</u> "no-cache" only "chunked" only .	
Encoding Upgrade Via	not not	not not	if not not	not not	Header MUST be present if Content-Length is absent.	

4.2 Request Headers

The following is a table for the request headers.

Request-Header	Client	Server	Request Values and Conditions
Accept	may	must	"application/ipp" only. This value is the default if the client omits it
Accept-Charset	not	not	Charset information is within the application/ipp entity
Accept-Encoding	may	must	empty and per <u>RFC 2068</u> [<u>r2068</u>] and IANA registry for content- codings
Accept-Language	not	not	. language information is within the application/ipp entity

Authorization	must-if	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . A client MUS this header when it receiv 401 "Unauthorized" respons does not receive a "Proxy	es a e and
From	not	not	Authenticate" header. per <u>RFC 2068</u> . Because RFC recommends sending this he only with the user's appro	
Herriot, Butler,		October	23, 1997,	[Page 17]

Moore and Turn	er	Expire	s April 23, 1998						
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP.	/1.0: Pro	otocol Specification October 23, 1997						
Request-Header	Client Serv		er Request Values and Conditions						
			is not very useful						
Host	must	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u>						
If-Match	not	not							
If-Modified- Since	not	not							
If-None-Match	not	not							
If-Range	not	not							
If-Unmodified- Since	not	not							
Max-Forwards	not	not							
Proxy-	must-i	f not	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . A client MUST send						
Authorization			this header when it receives a 401 "Unauthorized" response and a "Proxy-Authenticate" header.						
Range	not	not	·						
Referer	not	not							
User-Agent	not	not							
4.3 Response H	eaders								
The following is a table for the request headers.									
Deenenee	Conver	Oliont	Despense Malues and Conditions						
Response- Header	Server	Client	Response Values and Conditions						
Accept-Ranges	not	not							
Age	not	not							
Location	must-if (may	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . When URI needs redirection.						
Proxy-	not i	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u>						
Authenticate									
Public	may i	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>						
Retry-After	-	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>						
Server		not							
Vary	not	not							
Warning	may i	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>						
WWW-	must-if	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . When a server needs to						
Authenticate			authenticate a client.						

<u>4.4</u> Entity Headers

The following is a table for the entity headers.

Entity-Header	Request		Response		Values	and	Conditions	
	Client	Server	Server	Client				
Allow	not	not	not	not				
Herriot, Butler,			October 23, 1997,				[Page 1	8]

```
Moore and Turner
```

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Entity-Header Values and Conditions Request Response Client Server Server Client Content-Base not not not not Contentmust must per RFC 2068 and IANA may must Encoding registry for content codings. Contentnot Application/ipp not not not handles language Language Contentmust-if must must-if must the length of the message-body per RFC Length 2068. Header MUST be present if Transfer-Encoding is absent.. Contentnot not not not Location Content-MD5 may may may may per <u>RFC 2068</u> Content-Range not not not not Content-Type must must must must "application/ipp" only ETag not not not not not not Expires not not Last-Modified not not not not

<u>5</u>. Security Considerations

When utilizing HTTP 1.1 as a transport of IPP, the security considerations outlined in <u>RFC 2068</u> [r2068] apply. Specifically, IPP servers can generate a 401 "Unauthorized" response code to request client authentication and IPP clients should correctly respond with the proper "Authorization" header. Both Basic Authentication (<u>RFC 2068</u>) and Digest Authentication (<u>RFC 2069</u>) [r2069] flavors of authentication SHALL be supported. The server chooses which type(s) of authentication to accept. Digest Authentication is a more secure method, and is always preferred to Basic Authentication.

For secure communication (privacy in particular), IPP SHOULD be run using a secure communications channel. For this purpose it is the intention to define standardization of IPP in combination with Transport Layer Security (TLS), currently under development in the IETF, when the TLS specifications are agreed and on the IETF standards track.

As an intercept solution for secure communication, the Secure Socket

Layer 3.0 (SSL3) could be used, but be warned that such implementations may not be able to interoperate with a future standardized IPP and TLS solution. Appendix C gives some hints to implementors wanting to use SSL3 as intercept solution.

It is possible to combine the techniques, HTTP 1.1 client authentication (either basic or digest) with a secure communications channel. Together

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 19]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

the two are more secure than client authentication and they perform user authentication.

See further discussion of IPP security concepts in the model document [<u>ipp-m</u>].

<u>6</u>. References

- [822] Crocker, D., "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", <u>RFC 822</u>, August 1982.
- [r1123] Braden, S., "Requirements for Internet Hosts Application and Support", <u>RFC 1123</u>, October, 1989,
- [r1179] McLaughlin, L. III, (editor), "Line Printer Daemon Protocol" <u>RFC 1179</u>, August 1990.
- [r1630] T. Berners-Lee, "Universal Resource Identifiers in WWW: A Unifying Syntax for the Expression of Names and Addresses of Objects on the Network as used in the Word-Wide Web", <u>RFC 1630</u>, June 1994.
- [r1759] Smith, R., Wright, F., Hastings, T., Zilles, S., and Gyllenskog, J., "Printer MIB", <u>RFC 1759</u>, March 1995.
- [r1738] Berners-Lee, T., Masinter, L., McCahill, M. , "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)", <u>RFC 1738</u>, December, 1994.
- [r1543] Postel, J., "Instructions to RFC Authors", <u>RFC 1543</u>, October 1993.
- [r1766] H. Alvestrand, " Tags for the Identification of Languages", <u>RFC 1766</u>, March 1995.
- [r1903} J. Case, et al. "Textual Conventions for Version 2 of the Simple Network Managment Protocol (SNMPv2)", <u>RFC 1903</u>, January 1996.
- [r2046] N. Freed & N. Borenstein, Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types. November 1996. (Obsoletes <u>RFC1521</u>, <u>RFC1522</u>, <u>RFC1590</u>), <u>RFC 2046</u>.
- [r2048] N. Freed, J. Klensin & J. Postel. Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension (MIME) Part Four: Registration Procedures. November 1996. (Format: TXT=45033 bytes) (Obsoletes <u>RFC1521</u>, <u>RFC1522</u>, <u>RFC1590</u>)

(Also <u>BCP0013</u>), <u>RFC 2048</u>.

- [r2068] R Fielding, et al, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol " HTTP/1.1" RFC 2068, January 1997
- [r2069] J. Franks, et al, "An Extension to HTTP: Digest Access Authentication" <u>RFC 2069</u>, January 1997

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 20]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

- INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997
- [r2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997
- [r2184] N. Freed, K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations", <u>RFC</u> 2184, August 1997,
- [abnf] D. Crocker et al., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", <u>draft-ietf-drums-abnf-04.txt</u>.
- [char] N. Freed, J. Postel: IANA CharSet Registration Procedures, Work in Progress (draft-freed-charset-reg-02.txt).
- [dpa] ISO/IEC 10175 Document Printing Application (DPA), June 1996.
- [iana] IANA Registry of Coded Character Sets: <u>ftp://ftp.isi.edu/in-</u> notes/iana/assignments/character-sets
- [ipp-r] Wright, F. D., "Requirements for an Internet Printing Protocol:"
- [ipp-m] Isaacson, S, deBry, R, Hasting, T, Herriot, R, Powell, P, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics"

[ssl] Netscape, The SSL Protocol, Version 3, (Text version 3.02) November 1996.

7. Author's Address

Robert Herriot (editor)	Paul Moore
Sun Microsystems Inc.	Microsoft
<u>901</u> San Antonio.Road, MPK-17	One Microsoft Way
Palo Alto, CA 94303	Redmond, WA 98053
Phone: 650-786-8995	Phone: 425-936-0908
Fax: 650-786-7077	Fax: 425-93MS-FAX
Email: robert.herriot@eng.sun.com	Email: paulmo@microsoft.com
Sylvan Butler	Randy Turner
Hewlett-Packard	Sharp Laboratories
<u>11311</u> Chinden Blvd.	5750 NW Pacific Rim Blvd
Boise, ID 83714	Camas, WA 98607
Phone: 208-396-6000	Phone: 360-817-8456

 Fax:
 208-396-3457
 Fax:
 360-817-8436

 Email:
 sbutler@boi.hp.com
 Email:
 rturner@sharplabs.com

IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org IPP Mailing List Subscription: ipp-request@pwg.org IPP Web Page: <u>http://www.pwg.org/ipp/</u>

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 21]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

8. Other Participants:

Chuck Adams - Tektronix Harry Lewis - IBM Ron Bergman - Data Products Tony Liao - Vivid Image Keith Carter - IBM David Manchala - Xerox Angelo Caruso - Xerox Carl-Uno Manros - Xerox Jeff Copeland - QMS Jay Martin - Underscore Roger Debry - IBM Larry Masinter - Xerox Lee Farrell - Canon Bob Pentecost - Hewlett-Packard Sue Gleeson - Digital Patrick Powell - SDSU Charles Gordon - Osicom Jeff Rackowitz - Intermec Brian Grimshaw - Apple Xavier Riley - Xerox Jerry Hadsell - IBM Gary Roberts - Ricoh Richard Hart - Digital Stuart Rowley - Kyocera Tom Hastings - Xerox Richard Schneider - Epson Stephen Holmstead Shigern Ueda - Canon Zhi-Hong Huang - Zenographics Bob Von Andel - Allegro Software Scott Isaacson - Novell William Wagner - Digital Products Jasper Wong - Xionics Rich Lomicka - Digital David Kellerman - Northlake Don Wright - Lexmark Software Robert Kline - TrueSpectra Rick Yardumian - Xerox Dave Kuntz - Hewlett-Packard Lloyd Young - Lexmark Takami Kurono - Brother Peter Zehler - Xerox Rich Landau - Digital Frank Zhao - Panasonic Greg LeClair - Epson Steve Zilles - Adobe

9. <u>Appendix A</u>: Protocol Examples

9.1 Print-Job Request

The following is an example of a Print-Job request with job-name, copies, and sides specified.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0100 0x0002	1.0 PrintJob	version operation
0×01	start operation- attributes	operation-attributes tag
0x47 0x0012	charset type	value-tag name-length
attributes-charset 0x0008	attributes-charset	name value-length

US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value	
0x48	natural-language	value-tag	
	type		
0×001B		name-length	
attributes-natural-	attributes-natural-	name	
language	language		
0×0005		value-length	
en-US	en-US	value	
Herriot, Butler,	October 23, 199)7,	[Page 22]

2 0

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x42 0x0008	name type	value-tag name-length
job-name 0x0006	job-name	name value-length
foobar	foobar	value
0x02	start job- attributes	job-attributes tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0×0005		name-length
copies	copies	name
0×0004		value-length
0x00000014	20	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0×0005		name-length
sides	sides	name
0x0013		value-length
two-sided-long-edge	two-sided-long-edge	value
0×03	start-data	data-tag
%!PS	<postscript></postscript>	data

<u>9.2</u> Print-Job Response (successful)

Here is an example of a Print-Job response which is successful:

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0100	1.0	version
0×0000	OK (successful)	status-code
0x01	start operation- attributes	operation-attributes tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-	attributes-	name
charset	charset	
0×0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes- natural- language	attributes- natural-language	name

0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0x41	text type	value-tag
0x000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x0002		value-length
OK	ОК	value
0x02	start job-	job-attributes tag

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 23]

Moore and Turner

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
	attributes	
0x21	integer	value-tag
0x0007		name-length
job-id	job-id	name
0x0004		value-length
<u>147</u>	147	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-uri	job-uri	name
0×000E		value-length
<u>http://foo/123</u>	<u>http://foo/123</u>	value
0x25	name type	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-state	job-state	name
0x0001		value-length
0x03	pending	value
0x03	start-data	data-tag

<u>9.3</u> Print-Job Response (failure)

Here is an example of a Print-Job response which fails because the printer does not support sides and because the value 20 for copies is not supported:

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0100 0x0400 0x01	1.0 client-error-bad-request start operation- attribures	version status-code operation-attribute tag
0x47 0x0012	charset type	value-tag name-length
attributes- charset	attributes-charset	name
0×0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-	attributes-natural-	name
natural- language	language	
0x0005		value-length

en-US	en-US	value
0x41	text type	value-tag
0×000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x000D		value-length
bad-request	bad-request	value
0x04	start unsupported-job-	unsupported-job-
	attributes	attributes tag

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 24]

Moore and Turner

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x21 0x0005	integer type	value-tag name-length
copies 0x0004	copies	name value-length
0x00000014 0x10 0x0005	20 unsupported (type)	value value-tag name-length
sides 0x0000	sides	name value-length
0×03	start-data	data-tag

9.4 Print-URI Request

The following is an example of Print-URI request with copies and jobname parameters.

0x0100 1.0 version
0x0003 Print-URI operation
0x01 start operation- operation-attributes tag
attributes
0x47 charset type value-tag
0x0012 name-length
attributes-charset attributes-charset name
0x0008 value-length
US-ASCII US-ASCII value
0x48 natural-language value-tag
type
0x001B name-length
attributes-natural- attributes- name
language natural-language
0x0005 value-length
en-US en-US value
0x45 uri type value-tag
0x000A name-length
document-uri name
0x11 value-length
<u>ftp://foo.com/foo ftp://foo.com/foo</u> value
0x42 name type value-tag
0x0008 name-length
job-name name
0x0006 value-length

foobar	foobar	value
0x02	start job- attributes	job-attributes tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
copies	copies	name
0×0004		value-length
0x00000001	1	value
0x03	start-data	data-tag

Herriot, Butler,October 23, 1997,[Page 25]

Moore and Turner	Expires April	23, 1998	
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0: Protocol S	pecification	October 23, 1997
Octets %!PS	Symbolic Value <postscript></postscript>	Protocol field data	

9.5 Create-Job Request

The following is an example of Create-Job request with no parameters and no attributes

Octets	Symbolic	Protocol field
	Value	
0x0100	1.0	version
0x0005	Create-Job	operation
0x03	start-data	data-tag

9.6 Get-Jobs Request

The following is an example of Get-Jobs request with parameters but no attributes.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0100	1.0	version
0×000A	Get-Jobs	operation
0x01	start operation-	operation-attributes-
	attributes	tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0×0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0×48	natural-language	value-tag
	type	
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-	attributes-natural-	name
language	language	
0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
limit	limit	name
0×0004		value-length
0x0000032	50	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0x0014		name-length
requested-attributes	requested-attributes	name
0×0006		value-length
job-id	job-id	value

0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0×0000	additional value	name-length
0×0008		value-length
job-name	job-name	value
0×03	start-data	data-tag

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 26]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

9.7 Get-Jobs Response

The following is an of Get-Jobs response from previous request with 3 jobs. The Printer returns no information about the second job.

Octets 0x0100	Symbolic Value	Protocol field version
0x0000 0x01	OK (successful) start operation- attributes	status-code operation-attribute-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes- charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
ISO-8859-1	ISO-8859-1	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-	attributes-natural-	name
natural-language	language	
0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0x41	text type	value-tag
0×000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x0002		value-length
OK	ОК	value
0x02	start job-attributes (1st object)	job-attributes-tag
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-	attributes-natural-	name
natural-language	language	
0x0005		value-length
fr-CA	fr-CA	value
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
job-id	job-id	name
0x0004		value-length
<u>147</u>	147	value
0x42	name type	value-tag
0×0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name

0×0003		name-length	
fou	fou	name	
0x02	start job-attributes (2nd object)	job-attributes-tag	
0x02	start job-attributes (3rd object)	job-attributes-tag	
0x21	interger type	value-tag	
0×0006		name-length	
job-id	job-id	name	
Herriot, Butler,	October 23,	1997,	[Page 27]

Moore and Turner

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

Octets 0x0004	Symbolic Value	Protocol field value-length
<u>148</u>	148	value
0x13	compoundValue	value-tag
0×0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0x0004		value-length
0x0002	2	value (number of values)
0x48	naturalLanguage	value-tag
0×0000	muli-value marker	name-length
0x0005		value-length
de-CH	de-CH	value
0x42	name type	value-tag
0×0000	muli-value marker	name-length
0x0003		name-length
bar	bar	name
0x03	start-data	data-tag

10. Appendix B: Mapping of Each Operation in the Encoding

The next three tables show the results of applying the rules above to the operations defined in the IPP model document. There is no information in these tables that cannot be derived from the rules presented in <u>Section 3.8</u> "Mapping of Attribute Names".

The following table shows the mapping of all IPP model-document request attributes to an appropriate xxx-attribute-sequence or special position in the protocol.

The table below shows the attributes for operations sent to a Printer URI.

Operation	operation attributes	job attributes	special position
Print-Job	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language job-name document-name ipp-attribute- fidelity document-	job-template attributes	document-content

	charset	
	document-	
	natural-	
	language	
Create-Job or	attributes-	job-template
Validate-Job	charset	attributes
	attributes-	
	natural-	

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 28]

Moore and Turner	Expires	April 23, 1998		
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0: Pro	tocol Specificat	ion Octobe	r 23, 1997
Operation	operation attributes	job attributes	special posi	tion
Print-URI	language job- name ipp-attribute- fidelity attributes- charset attributes- natural- language job- name	job-template attributes		
Send-Document	<pre>ipp-attribute- fidelity document-uri document- charset document- natural- language attributes- charset attributes- natural- language job-id last-document document-name document- charset document-</pre>			
natural- content				document-
Send-URI	language attributes- charset attributes- natural- language job-id last-document document-name document-uri document-			

Cancel-Job	charset document- natural- language attributes- charset attributes- natural- language job-id message	
Get-Attributes	attributes-	
Herriot, Butler,	October 23, 1997,	[Page

29]

Moore and Turner	Expires	April 23,	1998		
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0: Pro	tocol Spec	ificati	on October 23, S	1997
Operation	operation attributes	job attri	butes	special position	
(for a Printer)	charset attributes- natural- language requested- attributes document-format				
Get-Attributes (for a Job)	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language job-id requested- attributes				
Get-Jobs	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language limit requested- attributes which-jobs				
The table below	shows the attrib	utes for c	operatio	ns sent to a Job U	RI.
Operation	operation attributes	job attri	butes	special position	
Send-Document	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language last- document document-name document- charset document- natural- language attributes-			document-content	
-					

charset attributesnaturallanguage lastdocument document-name document-uri document-

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997,

[Page 30]

Moore and Turner	Expires April 23, 1998						
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0:	Protocol Specification	October 23, 1997				
Operation	operation attributes	job attributes spe	ecial position				
	charset document- natural- language						
Cancel-Job	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language message						
Get-Attributes (for a Job)	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language requested- attributes						

The following two tables shows the mapping of all IPP model-document response attributes to an appropriate xxx-attribute-sequence or special position in the protocol.

Operation	operation attributes	job- attributes	unsupported-job- attributes	special position
Print-Job, Print-URI, Create-Job, Send-Document or Send-URI	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language status- message	job-id job-uri job-state job-state- reasons job-state- message number-of- intervening -jobs	unsupported attributes	status- code
Validate-Job	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language		unsupported attributes	status- code

statusmessage

Note: the unsupported-job-attributes are present only if the client included some job attributes that the Printer doesn't support.

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 31]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998							
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0:	Protocol Spe	cification	October 23, 19	97		
Note: the job-attributes are present only if the server returns the status code of successful-ok or successful-ok-ignored-or-substituted-attributes.							
Operation	operation attributes	job- attributes	printer- attributes	special position			
Cancel-Job	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language						
status- code					status-		
	message						
Get-Attributes (of a job)	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language status- message	requested attributes		status-code			
Get-Attributes (of a printer)			requested attributes	status-code			
Get-Jobs	attributes- charset attributes- natural- language status- message	requested attributes (see the Note below)		status-code			

Note for Get-Jobs: there is a separate job-attribute-sequence containing requested-attributes for each job object in the response

<u>11</u>. <u>Appendix C</u>: Hints to implementors using IPP with SSL3

WARNING: Clients and IPP objects using intermediate secure connection protocol solutions such as IPP in combination with Secure Socket Layer Version 3 (SSL3), which are developed in advance of IPP and TLS standardization, might not be interoperable with IPP and TLS standardsconforming clients and IPP objects.

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 32]

Moore and Turner Expires April 23, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification October 23, 1997

An assumption is that the URI for a secure IPP Printer object has been found by means outside the IPP printing protocol, via a directory service, web site or other means.

IPP provides a transparent connection to SSL by calling the corresponding URL (a https URI connects by default to port 443). However, the following functions can be provided to ease the integration of IPP with SSL during implementation.

connect (URI), returns a status.

"connect" makes an https call and returns the immediate status of the connection as returned by SSL to the user. The status values are explained in <u>section 5.4.2</u> of the SSL document [<u>ssl</u>].

A session-id may also be retained to later resume a session. The SSL handshake protocol may also require the cipher specifications supported by the client, key length of the ciphers, compression methods, certificates, etc. These should be sent to the server and hence should be available to the IPP client (although as part of administration features).

disconnect (session)

to disconnect a particular session.

The session-id available from the "connect" could be used.

resume (session)

to reconnect using a previous session-id.

The availability of this information as administration features are left for implementors, and need not be standardized at this time

Herriot, Butler, October 23, 1997, [Page 33]