<<u>draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-04.txt</u>>

Robert Herriot (editor) Sun Microsystems Sylvan Butler Hewlett-Packard Paul Moore Microsoft Randy Turner Sharp Labs December 19, 1997

Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C)The Internet Society (1997). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document is one of a set of documents, which together describe all aspects of a new Internet Printing Protocol (IPP). IPP is an application level protocol that can be used for distributed printing using Internet tools and technology. The protocol is heavily influenced by the printing model introduced in the Document Printing Application (ISO/IEC 10175 DPA) standard [dpa]. Although DPA specifies both end user and administrative features, IPP version 1.0 is focused only on end user functionality.

The full set of IPP documents includes:

Requirements for an Internet Printing Protocol [<u>ipp-req</u>] Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics [<u>ipp-mod</u>] Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification (this document)

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 1]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

The requirements document takes a broad look at distributed printing functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. The requirements document calls out a subset of end user requirements that MUST be satisfied in the first version of IPP. Operator and administrator requirements are out of scope for v1.0. The model and semantics document describes a simplified model with abstract objects, their attributes, and their operations. The model introduces a Printer object and a Job object. The Job object supports multiple documents per job. The protocol specification is formal document which incorporates the ideas in all the other documents into a concrete mapping using clearly defined data representations and transport protocol mappings that real implementers can use to develop interoperable client and printer (server) side components.

This document is the ''Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification'' document.

Notice

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 2]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	
<u>2</u> .	Conformance Terminology4
<u>3</u> .	Encoding of the Operation Layer $\underline{4}$
	3.1 Picture of the Encoding5
	3.2 Syntax of Encoding
	<u>3.3</u> Version <u>8</u>
	3.4 Mapping of Operations8
	3.5 Mapping of Status-code8
	<u>3.6</u> Request-id <u>9</u>
	3.7 Tags
	<u>3.7.1</u> Delimiter Tags <u>9</u>
	3.7.2 Value Tags
	3.8 Name-Lengths
	<u>3.9</u> Mapping of Attribute Names <u>12</u>
	3.10 Value Lengths
	3.11 Mapping of Attribute Values
	3.12 Data
4	Encoding of Transport Layer
<u>.</u> .	4.1 General Headers
	4.2 Request Headers
	4.3 Response Headers
	4.4 Entity Headers
5.	Security Considerations
	Security considerations 19 References
<u>6</u> .	Author's Address
<u>7</u> .	
	Other Participants:
<u>9</u> .	Appendix A: Protocol Examples
	<u>9.1</u> Print-Job Request
	<u>9.2</u> Print-Job Response (successful)
	9.3 Print-Job Response (failure)
	9.4 Print-URI Request
	<u>9.5</u> Create-Job Request <u>25</u>
	<u>9.6</u> Get-Jobs Request <u>26</u>
	<u>9.7</u> Get-Jobs Response <u>27</u>
	. <u>Appendix B</u> : Hints to implementors using IPP with SSL3
	. <u>Appendix C</u> : Registration of MIME Media Type Information for
	plication/ipp"
<u>12</u>	. <u>Appendix D</u> : Full Copyright Statement <u>31</u>

Herriot, Butler,December 19, 1997,[Page 3]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

1. Introduction

This document contains the rules for encoding IPP operations and describes two layers: the transport layer and the operation layer.

The transport layer consists of an HTTP/1.1 request or response. RFC <u>2068</u> [rfc2068] describes HTTP/1.1. This document specifies the HTTP headers that an IPP implementation supports.

The operation layer consists of a message body in an HTTP request or response. The document "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics" [<u>ipp-mod</u>] defines the semantics of such a message body and the supported values. This document specifies the encoding of an IPP operation. The aforementioned document [<u>ipp-mod</u>] is henceforth referred to as the "IPP model document"

2. Conformance Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u> [<u>rfc2119</u>].

3. Encoding of the Operation Layer

The operation layer SHALL contain a single operation request or operation response. Each request or response consists of a sequence of values and attribute groups. Attribute groups consist of a sequence of attributes each of which is a name and value. Names and values are ultimately sequences of octets

The encoding consists of octets as the most primitive type. There are several types built from octets, but three important types are integers, character strings and octet strings, on which most other data types are built. Every character string in this encoding SHALL be a sequence of characters where the characters are associated with some charset and some natural language. . A character string MUST be in "reading order" with the first character in the value (according to reading order) being the first character in the encoding. A character string whose associated charset is US-ASCII whose associated natural language is US English is henceforth called a US-ASCII-STRING. A character string whose associated charset and natural language are specified in a request or response as described in the model document is henceforth called a LOCALIZED-STRING. An octet string MUST be in "IPP model document order" with the first octet in the value (according to the IPP model document order) being the first octet in the encoding Every integer in this encoding SHALL be encoded as a signed integer using two's-complement binary encoding with big-endian format (also known as "network order" and "most significant byte first"). The number of octets for an integer SHALL be 1, 2 or 4, depending on usage in the protocol. Such one-octet integers, henceforth called SIGNED-BYTE, are used for the version and tag fields. Such two-byte integers, henceforth

Herriot, Butler,

December 19, 1997,

[Page 4]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

called SIGNED-SHORT are used for the operation, status-code and length fields. Four byte integers, henceforth called SIGNED-INTEGER, are used for values fields and the sequence number.

The following two sections present the operation layer in two ways

- . informally through pictures and description
- . formally through Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF), as specified by <u>RFC 2234</u> [rfc2234]

3.1 Picture of the Encoding

The encoding for an operation request or response consists of:

operation (request) or status-code (response) 2 bytes- required request-id 4 bytes- required xxx-attributes-tag 1 byte xxx-attribute-sequence n bytes end-of-attributes-tag 1 byte- data q bytes- optional		version		2 bytes	- required
xxx-attributes-tag 1 byte xxx-attribute-sequence n bytes end-of-attributes-tag 1 byte - required	operation	(request) or status-code (response)		2 bytes	- required
-0 or more xxx-attribute-sequence n bytes end-of-attributes-tag 1 byte - required		request-id		4 bytes	- required
xxx-attribute-sequence n bytes end-of-attributes-tag 1 byte - required	I	xxx-attributes-tag		1 byte	 -0 or more
	I	xxx-attribute-sequence		n bytes	•
data q bytes - optional		end-of-attributes-tag		1 byte	- required
		data		q bytes	- optional

The xxx-attributes-tag and xxx-attribute-sequence represents four different values of "xxx", namely, operation, job, printer and unsupported. The xxx-attributes-tag and an xxx-attribute-sequence represent attribute groups in the model document. The xxx-attributes-tag identifies the attribute group and the xxx-attribute-sequence contains the attributes.

The expected sequence of xxx-attributes-tag and xxx-attribute-sequence is specified in the IPP model document for each operation request and operation response.

A request or response SHOULD contain each xxx-attributes-tag defined for that request or response even if there are no attributes except for the unsupported-attributes-tag which SHOULD be present only if the unsupported-attribute-sequence is non-empty. A receiver of a request SHALL be able to process as equivalent empty attribute groups:

- a) an xxx-attributes-tag with an empty xxx-attribute-sequence,
- b) an expected but missing xxx-attributes-tag.

The data is omitted from some operations, but the end-of-attributes-tag is present even when the data is omitted. Note, the xxx-attributes-tags

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 5]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

and end-of-attributes-tag are called `delimiter-tags'. Note: the xxxattribute-sequence, shown above may consist of 0 bytes, according to the rule below.

An xxx-attributes-sequence consists of zero or more compound-attributes.

	compound-attribute	s	bytes	-	0	or	more

A compound-attribute consists of an attribute with a single value followed by zero or more additional values.

Note: a `compound-attribute' represents a single attribute in the model document. The `additional value' syntax is for attributes with 2 or more values.

Each attribute consists of:

	value-tag			
n	ame-length	(value is u)		2 bytes
	name			u bytes
va	lue-length	(value is v)		2 bytes
	value			v bytes


An additional value consists of:

	value-tag		1 byte	 	
	name-length (value is 0x0000)		2 bytes	•	~
	value-length (value is w)		2 bytes	-0 or more 	5
	value		w bytes		

Note: an additional value is like an attribute whose name-length is 0. From the standpoint of a parsing loop, the encoding consists of:

Herriot, Butler,December 19, 1997,[Page 6]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997



The value of the tag determines whether the bytes following the tag are:

- . attributes
- . data
- . the remainder of a single attribute where the tag specifies the type of the value.

3.2 Syntax of Encoding

The syntax below is ABNF [<u>rfc2234</u>] except `strings of literals' SHALL be case sensitive. For example `a' means lower case `a' and not upper case `A'. In addition, SIGNED-BYTE and SIGNED-SHORT fields are represented as `%x' values which show their range of values.

operation = SIGNED-SHORT ; mapping from model defined below status-code = SIGNED-SHORT ; mapping from model defined below compound-attribute = attribute *additional-values attribute = value-tag name-length name value-length value additional-values = value-tag zero-name-length value-length value

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 7]

```
Moore and Turner
                       Expires June 19, 1998
INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997
  name-length = SIGNED-SHORT ; number of octets of `name'
 name = LALPHA *( LALPHA / DIGIT / "-" / "_" / "." )
  value-length = SIGNED-SHORT ; number of octets of `value'
  value = OCTET-STRING
  data = OCTET-STRING
  zero-name-length = %x00.00 ; name-length of 0
  operation-attributes-tag = %x01
                                             ; tag of 1
  job-attributes-tag
                     = %x02
                                             ; tag of 2
  printer-attributes-tag = %x04
                                             ; tag of 4
  unsupported- attributes-tag = %x05
                                             ; tag of 5
  end-of-attributes-tag = %x03
                                             ; tag of 3
  value-tag = \% \times 10-FF
 SIGNED-BYTE = BYTE
  SIGNED-SHORT = 2BYTE
  DIGIT = %x30-39 ; "0" to "9"
  LALPHA = %x61-7A ; "a" to "z"
 BYTE = \% \times 00 - FF
  OCTET-STRING = *BYTE
```

The syntax allows an xxx-attributes-tag to be present when the xxxattribute-sequence that follows is empty. The syntax is defined this way to allow for the response of Get-Jobs where no attributes are returned for some job-objects. Although it is RECOMMENDED that the sender not send an xxx-attributes-tag if there are no attributes (except in the Get-Jobs response just mentioned), the receiver MUST be able to decode such syntax.

3.3 Version

The version SHALL consist of a major and minor version, each of which SHALL be represented by a SIGNED-BYTE. The protocol described in this document SHALL have a major version of 1 (0x01) and a minor version of $\underline{0}$ (0x00). The ABNF for these two bytes SHALL be %x01.00.

<u>3.4</u> Mapping of Operations

Operations are defined as enums in the model document. An operations enum value SHALL be encoded as a SIGNED-SHORT

Note: the values 0x4000 to 0xFFFF are reserved for private extensions.

<u>3.5</u> Mapping of Status-code

Status-codes are defined as enums in the model document. A status-code enum value SHALL be encoded as a SIGNED-SHORT

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 8]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

The status-code is an operation attribute in the model document. In the protocol, the status-code is in a special position, outside of the operation attributes.

If an IPP status-code is returned, then the HTTP Status-Code MUST be 200 (OK). With any other HTTP Status-Code value, the HTTP response SHALL NOT contain an IPP message-body, and thus no IPP status-code is returned.

3.6 Request-id

The request-id allows a client to match a response with a request. This mechanism is unnecessary in HTTP, but may be useful when application/ipp entity bodies are used in another context.

The request-id in a response SHALL be the value of the request-id received in the corresponding request. A client can set the request-id in each request to a unique value or a constant value, such as 1, depending on what the client does with the request-id returned in the response.

<u>3.7</u> Tags

There are two kinds of tags:

- . delimiter tags: delimit major sections of the protocol, namely attributes and data
- . value tags: specify the type of each attribute value

<u>3.7.1</u> Delimiter Tags

The following table specifies the values for the delimiter tags:

Tag Value (Hex)	Delimiter		
0×00	reserved		
0x01	operation-attributes-tag		
0x02	job-attributes-tag		
0x03	end-of-attributes-tag		
0x04	printer-attributes-tag		
0x05	unsupported-attributes-tag		
0x06-0x0e	reserved for future delimiters		
0x0F	reserved for future chunking-end-of-attributes-		

When an xxx-attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are attributes belonging to group xxx as defined in the model document, where xxx is operation, job, printer, unsupported.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 9]

tag

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Doing substitution for xxx in the above paragraph, this means the following. When an operation-attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are operation attributes as defined in the model document. When an job-attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are job attributes as defined in the model document. When an printerattributes as defined in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are printer attributes as defined in the model document. When an unsupportedattributes tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are printer attributes-tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are unsupportedattributes tag occurs in the protocol, it SHALL mean that the zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag are unsupported attributes as defined in the model document.

The operation-attributes-tag and end-of-attributes-tag SHALL each occur exactly once in an operation. The operation-attributes-tag SHALL be the first tag delimiter, and the end-of-attributes-tag SHALL be the last tag delimiter. If the operation has a document-content group, the document data in that group SHALL follow the end-of-attributes-tag

Each of the other three xxx-attributes-tags defined above is OPTIONAL in an operation and each SHALL occur at most once in an operation, except for job-attributes-tag in a Get-Jobs response which may occur zero or more times.

The order and presence of delimiter tags for each operation request and each operation response SHALL be that defined in the model document. For further details, see <u>Section 3.9</u> Mapping of Attribute Names and Error! Reference source not found..

A Printer SHALL treat the reserved delimiter tags differently from reserved value tags so that the Printer knows that there is an entire attribute group that it doesn't understand as opposed to a single value that it doesn't understand.

3.7.2 Value Tags

The remaining tables show values for the value-tag, which is the first octet of an attribute. The value-tag specifies the type of the value of the attribute. The following table specifies the "out-of-band" values for the value-tag.

Tag Value (Hex) Meaning

0x10	unsupported
0x11	reserved for future `default'
0x12	unknown
0x13	no-value
0x14-0x1F	reserved for future "out-of-band" values.

The "unsupported" value SHALL be used in the attribute-sequence of an error response for those attributes which the printer does not support.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 10]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

The "default" value is reserved for future use of setting value back to their default value. The "unknown" value is used for the value of a supported attribute when its value is temporarily unknown. . The "novalue" value is used for a supported attribute to which no value has been assigned, e.g. "job-k-octets-supported" has no value if an implementation supports this attribute, but an administrator has not configured the printer to have a limit.

The following table specifies the integer values for the value-tag

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0x20	reserved
0x21	integer
0x22	boolean
0x23	enum
0x24-0x2F	reserved for future integer types

NOTE: 0x20 is reserved for "generic integer" if should ever be needed.

The following table specifies the octetString values for the value-tag

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0×30	octetString with an unspecified format
0x31	dateTime
0x32	resolution
0x33	rangeOfInteger
0x34	reserved for dictionary (in the future)
0x35	textWithLanguage
0x36	nameWithLanguage
0x37-0x3F	reserved for future octetString types

The following table specifies the character-string values for the valuetag

Tag Value (Hex) Meaning 0x40 reserved 0x41 text 0x42 name 0x43 reserved 0x44 keyword

0x45	uri
0x46	uriScheme
0x47	charset
0x48	naturalLanguage
0x49	mimeMediaType
0x4A-0x5F	reserved for future character string types

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 11]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

NOTE: 0x40 is reserved for "generic character-string" if should ever be needed.

The values 0x60-0xFF are reserved for future types. There are no values allocated for private extensions. A new type must be registered via the type 2 process.

3.8 Name-Lengths

The name-length field SHALL consist of a SIGNED-SHORT. This field SHALL specify the number of octets in the name field which follows the name-length field, excluding the two bytes of the name-length field.

If a name-length field has a value of zero, the following name field SHALL be empty, and the following value SHALL be treated as an additional value for the preceding attribute. Within an attributesequence, if two attributes have the same name, the first occurrence SHALL be ignored. The zero-length name is the only mechanism for multivalued attributes.

3.9 Mapping of Attribute Names

Some attributes are encoded in a special position. These attribute are:

- . "printer-uri": When the target is a printer and the transport is HTTP or HTTP (for TLS), the target printer-uri defined in each operation in the IPP model document SHALL be an operation attribute called "printer-uri" and it SHALL also be specified outside of the operation layer as the request-URI on the Request-Line at the HTTP level. This
- . "job-uri": When the target is a job and the transport is HTTP or HTTPS (for TLS), the target job-uri of each operation in the IPP model document SHALL be an operation attribute called "job-uri" and it SHALL also be specified outside of the operation layer as the request-URI on the Request-Line at the HTTP level.
- . "status-code": The attribute named "status-code" in the IPP model document SHALL become the "status-code" field in the operation layer response. It SHALL NOT appear as an operation attribute.

The model document arranges the remaining attributes into groups for each operation request and response. Each such group SHALL be represented in the protocol by an xxx-attribute-sequence preceded by the appropriate xxx-attributes-tag (See the table below and Error! Reference source not found.). In addition, the order of these xxx-attributes-tags

and xxx-attribute-sequences in the protocol SHALL be the same as in the model document, but the order of attributes within each xxx-attributesequence SHALL be unspecified. The table below maps the model document group name to xxx-attributes-sequence

	Model Document	Group	xxx-attributes-sequence
--	----------------	-------	-------------------------

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 12]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Operation Attributes	operations-attributes-sequence
Job Template Attributes	job-attributes-sequence
Job Object Attributes	job-attributes-sequence
Unsupported Attributes	unsupported- attributes-sequence
Requested Attributes (Get-	job-attributes-sequence
Job-Attributes)	
Requested Attributes (Get-	printer-attributes-sequence
Printer-Attributes)	
Document Content	in a special position as described above

If an operation contains attributes from more than one job object (e.g. Get-Jobs response), the attributes from each job object SHALL be in a separate job-attribute-sequence, such that the attributes from the ith job object are in the ith job-attribute-sequence. See Section Error! Reference source not found. "Error! Reference source not found." for table showing the application of the rules above.

3.10 Value Lengths

Each attribute value SHALL be preceded by a SIGNED-SHORT which SHALL specify the number of octets in the value which follows this length, exclusive of the two bytes specifying the length.

For any of the types represented by binary signed integers, the sender MUST encode the value in exactly four octets..

For any of the types represented by character-strings, the sender MUST encode the value with all the characters of the string and without any padding characters.

If a value-tag contains an "out-of-band" value, such as "unsupported", the value-length SHALL be 0 and the value empty " the value has no meaning when the value-tag has an "out-of-band" value. If a client receives a response with a nonzero value-length in this case, it SHALL ignore the value field. If a printer receives a request with a nonzero value-length in this case, it SHALL reject the request.

3.11 Mapping of Attribute Values

The syntax types and most of the details of their representation are defined in the IPP model document. The table below augments the information in the model document, and defines the syntax types from the model document in terms of the 5 basic types defined in <u>section 3</u> Encoding of the Operation Layer. The 5 types are US-ASCII-STRING, LOCALIZED-STRING, SIGNED-INTEGER, SIGNED-SHORT, SIGNED-BYTE, and OCTET-STRING.

Syntax of Encoding Attribute Value

text, name LOCALIZED-STRING.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 13]

Moore and Turner	Expires June 19, 1998
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997
Syntax of Attribute Value	Encoding
textWithLanguage	 OCTET"STRING consisting of 4 fields: a) a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field b) a value of type natural-language, c) a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field, d) a value of type text. The length of a textWithLanguage value SHALL be 4 + the value of field a + the value of field c.
nameWithLanguage	<pre>OCTET"STRING consisting of 4 fields: a) a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field b) a value of type natural-language, c) a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field d) a value of type name. The length of a nameWithLanguage value SHALL be 4 + the value of field a + the value of field c.</pre>
charset, naturalLanguage, mimeMediaType, keyword, uri, and uriScheme	US-ASCII-STRING
boolean	SIGNED-BYTE where 0x00 is `false' and 0x01 is `true'
integer and enum dateTime	a SIGNED-INTEGER OCTET-STRING consisting of eleven octets whose contents are defined by "DateAndTime" in <u>RFC 1903</u> [rfc1903].
resolution	OCTET"STRING consisting of nine octets of 2 SIGNED-INTEGERS followed by a SIGNED-BYTE. The first SIGNED-INTEGER contains the value of cross feed direction resolution . The second SIGNED- INTEGER contains the value of feed direction resolution. The SIGNED-BYTE contains the units value.
rangeOfInteger	Eight octets consisting of 2 SIGNED-INTEGERs. The first SIGNED-INTEGERs contains the lower bound and the second SIGNED-INTEGERs contains the upper bound

1setOf X encoding according to the rules for an attribute with more than 1 value. Each value X is encoded according to the rules for encoding its type. OCTET-STRING

The type of the value in the model document determines the encoding in the value and the value of the value-tag.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 14]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

<u>3.12</u> Data

The data part SHALL include any data required by the operation

4. Encoding of Transport Layer

HTTP/1.1 shall be the transport layer for this protocol.

The operation layer has been designed with the assumption that the transport layer contains the following information:

- . the URI of the target job or printer operation
- . the total length of the data in the operation layer, either as a single length or as a sequence of chunks each with a length.

It is REQUIRED that a printer support HTTP over port 80, though a printer may support HTTP over port some other port. In addition, a printer may have to support another port for privacy (See <u>Section 5</u> "Security Considerations".

Note: Consistent with <u>RFC 2068</u> (HTTP/1.1), HTTP URI's for IPP implicitly reference port 80. If a URI references some other port, the port number must be explicitly specified in the URI.

Each HTTP operation shall use the POST method where the request-URI is the object target of the operation, and where the "Content-Type" of the message-body in each request and response shall be "application/ipp". The message-body shall contain the operation layer and shall have the syntax described in <u>section 3.2</u> "Syntax of Encoding". A client implementation SHALL adhere to the rules for a client described in RFC <u>2068</u> [<u>rfc2068</u>]. A printer (server) implementation SHALL adhere the rules for an origin server described in RFC 2068.

The IPP layer doesn't have to deal with chunking. In the context of CGI scripts, the HTTP layer removes any chunking information in the received data.

A client SHALL NOT expect a response from an IPP server until after the client has sent the entire response. But a client MAY listen for an error response that an IPP server MAY send before it receives all the data. In this case a client, if chunking the data, can send a premature zero-length chunk to end the request before sending all the data. If the request is blocked for some reason, a client MAY determine the reason by opening another connection to query the server.

In the following sections, there are a tables of all HTTP headers which describe their use in an IPP client or server. The following is an explanation of each column in these tables.

- . the "header" column contains the name of a header
- . the "request/client" column indicates whether a client sends the header.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 15]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

- . the "request/ server" column indicates whether a server supports the header when received.
- . the "response/ server" column indicates whether a server sends the header.
- . the "response /client" column indicates whether a client supports the header when received.
- . the "values and conditions" column specifies the allowed header values and the conditions for the header to be present in a request/response.

The table for "request headers" does not have columns for responses, and the table for "response headers" does not have columns for requests.

The following is an explanation of the values in the "request/client" and "response/ server" columns.

- . must: the client or server MUST send the header,
- . must-if: the client or server MUST send the header when the condition described in the "values and conditions" column is met,
- . may: the client or server MAY send the header
- . not: the client or server SHOULD NOT send the header. It is not relevant to an IPP implementation.

The following is an explanation of the values in the "response/client" and "request/ server" columns.

- . must: the client or server MUST support the header,
- . may: the client or server MAY support the header
- . not: the client or server SHOULD NOT support the header. It is not relevant to an IPP implementation.

4.1 General Headers

The following is a table for the general headers.

General- Header	Request		Response		Values and	Conditions
	Client	Server	Server	Client		
Cache- Control	must	not	must	not	"no-cache"	only

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 16]

Moore and Turner Ex			ires Jun	e 19, 19	98
INTERNET-DRA	NFT I	PP/1.0:	Protoco	l Specif	ication December 19, 1997
General- Header	Request		Respons	е	Values and Conditions
	Client	Server	Server	Client	
					for the last operation in such a sequence.
Date	may	may	must	may	per <u>RFC 1123</u> [<u>rfc1123]</u> from <u>RFC 2068</u>
Pragma`	must	not	must	not	"no-cache" only
Transfer-	must-if	must	must-	must	"chunked" only .
Encoding			if		Header MUST be present if Content-Length is absent.
Upgrade	not	not	not	not	
Via	not	not	not	not	

4.2 Request Headers

The following is a table for the request headers.

Request-Header	Client	Server	Request Values and Conditions
Accept	may	must	"application/ipp" only. This value is the default if the client omits it
Accept-Charset	not	not	Charset information is within the application/ipp entity
Accept-Encoding	may	must	empty and per <u>RFC 2068</u> [<u>rfc2068</u>] and IANA registry for content- codings
Accept-Language	not	not	. language information is within the application/ipp entity
Authorization	must-if	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . A client MUST send this header when it receives a 401 "Unauthorized" response and does not receive a "Proxy- Authenticate" header.
From	not	not	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . Because RFC recommends sending this header only with the user's approval, it is not very useful

Host	must	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u>	
If-Match	not	not		
If-Modified-	not	not		
Since				
If-None-Match	not	not		
If-Range	not	not		
If-Unmodified-	not	not		
Since				
Herriot, Butler,		Decembe	r 19, 1997,	[Page 17]

Moore and Turner	E	xpires J	une 19, 1998	
INTERNET-DRAFT	IPP/1.	0: Proto	col Specification	December 19, 1997
Request-Header	Client	Server	Request Values and	Conditions
Max-Forwards Proxy- Authorization	not must-if	not not	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . A cl this header when i 401 "Unauthorized" "Proxy-Authenticat	t receives a response and a
Range	not	not		
Referer	not	not		
User-Agent	not	not		

<u>4.3</u> Response Headers

The following is a table for the request headers.

Response- Header	Server	Client	Response Values and Conditions
Accept-Ranges	not	not	
Age	not	not	
Location	must-if	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . When URI needs
			redirection.
Proxy-	not	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u>
Authenticate			
Public	may	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>
Retry-After	may	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>
Server	not	not	
Vary	not	not	
Warning	may	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>
WWW -	must-if	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u> . When a server needs to
Authenticate			authenticate a client.
4.4 Entity He	aders		

The following is a table for the entity headers.

Entity-Header Request Response Values and Conditions

	Client	Server	Server	Client
Allow	not	not	not	not
Content-Base	not	not	not	not

Content-	may	must	must	must	per <u>RFC 2068</u> and IANA
Encoding					registry for content
					codings.
Content-	not	not	not	not	Application/ipp
Language					handles language
Content-	must-if	must	must-if	must	the length of the
Length					message-body per <u>RFC</u>
					2068. Header MUST be
Herriot, Butle	٥r	Dec	ember 19,	1997	[Page 18]
Herrice, Ducie		Dee		1001,	

Moore and Turn	er	Expir	es June 1	9, 1998	
INTERNET-DRAFT	IP	P/1.0: P	rotocol S	pecific	ation December 19, 1997
Entity-Header	Request		Response		Values and Conditions
	Client	Server	Server	Client	
					present if Transfer- Encoding is absent
Content- Location	not	not	not	not	·
Content-MD5	may	may	may	may	per <u>RFC 2068</u>
Content-Range	not	not	not	not	
Content-Type	must	must	must	must	"application/ipp"
					only
ETag	not	not	not	not	
Expires	not	not	not	not	
Last-Modified	not	not	not	not	

<u>5</u>. Security Considerations

The IPP Model document defines an IPP implementation with "privacy" as one that implements Transport Layer Security (TLS) Version 1.0. TLS meets the requirements for IPP security with regards to features such as mutual authentication and privacy (via encryption). The IPP Model document also outlines IPP-specific security considerations and should be the primary reference for security implications with regards to the IPP protocol itself.

The IPP Model document defines an IPP implementation with "authentication" as one that implements the standard way for transporting IPP messages within HTTP 1.1., These include the security considerations outlined in the HTTP 1.1 standard document [<u>rfc2068</u>] and Digest Authentication extension [<u>rfc2069</u>]..

The current HTTP infrastructure supports HTTP over TCP port 80. IPP servers MUST offer IPP services using HTTP over this port. IPP servers are free to advertise services over other ports, in addition to this port, but TCP port 80 MUST minimally be supported for IPP-over-HTTP services.

When IPP-over-HTTP-with-privacy implementations are deployed, these IPP implementations MUST use TCP port 443, and MUST advertise their IPP service URI using an "HTTPS" URI scheme.

See further discussion of IPP security concepts in the model document

<u>6</u>. References

- [rfc822] Crocker, D., "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", <u>RFC 822</u>, August 1982.
- [rfc1123] Braden, S., "Requirements for Internet Hosts -Application and Support", <u>RFC 1123</u>, October, 1989,

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 19]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

- INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997
- [rfc1179] McLaughlin, L. III, (editor), "Line Printer Daemon Protocol" RFC 1179, August 1990.
- [rfc1630] T. Berners-Lee, "Universal Resource Identifiers in WWW: A Unifying Syntax for the Expression of Names and Addresses of Objects on the Network as used in the Word-Wide Web", <u>RFC 1630</u>, June 1994.
- [rfc1759] Smith, R., Wright, F., Hastings, T., Zilles, S., and Gyllenskog, J., "Printer MIB", <u>RFC 1759</u>, March 1995.
- [rfc1738] Berners-Lee, T., Masinter, L., McCahill, M., "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)", <u>RFC 1738</u>, December, 1994.
- [rfc1543] Postel, J., "Instructions to RFC Authors", <u>RFC 1543</u>, October 1993.
- [rfc1766] H. Alvestrand, " Tags for the Identification of Languages", <u>RFC 1766</u>, March 1995.
- [rfc1903] J. Case, et al. "Textual Conventions for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", <u>RFC 1903</u>, January 1996.
- [rfc2046] N. Freed & N. Borenstein, Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types. November 1996. (Obsoletes RFC1521, RFC1522, RFC1590), RFC 2046.
- [rfc2048] N. Freed, J. Klensin & J. Postel. Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension (MIME) Part Four: Registration Procedures. November 1996. (Format: TXT=45033 bytes) (Obsoletes <u>RFC1521</u>, <u>RFC1522</u>, <u>RFC1590</u>) (Also <u>BCP0013</u>), <u>RFC 2048</u>.
- [rfc2068] R Fielding, et al, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol " HTTP/1.1" <u>RFC 2068</u>, January 1997
- [rfc2069] J. Franks, et al, "An Extension to HTTP: Digest Access Authentication" <u>RFC 2069</u>, January 1997
- [rfc2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997
- [rfc2184] N. Freed, K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations", <u>RFC 2184</u>, August 1997,

- [rfc2234] D. Crocker et al., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", <u>RFC 2234</u>. November 1997.
- [dpa] ISO/IEC 10175 Document Printing Application (DPA), June 1996.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 20]

Moore and Turner Expires June 19, 1998

- INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997
- [iana] IANA Registry of Coded Character Sets: <u>ftp://ftp.isi.edu/in-</u> notes/iana/assignments/character-sets
- [ipp-req] Wright, F. D., "Requirements for an Internet Printing Protocol:"
- [ssl] Netscape, The SSL Protocol, Version 3, (Text version 3.02) November 1996.

<u>7</u>. Author's Address

Robert Herriot (editor) Sun Microsystems Inc.	Paul Moore Microsoft
901 San Antonio Road, MPK-17	One Microsoft Way
Palo Alto, CA 94303	Redmond, WA 98053
Phone: 650-786-8995	Phone: 425-936-0908
Fax: 650-786-7077	Fax: 425-93MS-FAX
Email: robert.herriot@eng.sun.com	Email: paulmo@microsoft.com
Sylvan Butler	Randy Turner
Sylvan Butler Hewlett-Packard	Randy Turner Sharp Laboratories
-	,
Hewlett-Packard	Sharp Laboratories
Hewlett-Packard <u>11311</u> Chinden Blvd.	Sharp Laboratories 5750 NW Pacific Rim Blvd
Hewlett-Packard <u>11311</u> Chinden Blvd. Boise, ID 83714	Sharp Laboratories 5750 NW Pacific Rim Blvd Camas, WA 98607

IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org
IPP Mailing List Subscription: ipp-request@pwg.org
IPP Web Page: <u>http://www.pwg.org/ipp/</u>

8. Other Participants:

Chuck Adams - Tektronix	Harry Lewis - IBM
Ron Bergman - Data Products	Tony Liao - Vivid Image
Keith Carter - IBM	David Manchala - Xerox
Angelo Caruso - Xerox	Carl-Uno Manros - Xerox

Jeff Copeland - QMS Roger Debry - IBM Lee Farrell - Canon Sue Gleeson - Digital Charles Gordon - Osicom Brian Grimshaw - Apple Jerry Hadsell - IBM Richard Hart - Digital

Jay Martin - Underscore Larry Masinter - Xerox Ira McDonald, Xerox Bob Pentecost - Hewlett-Packard Patrick Powell - SDSU Jeff Rackowitz - Intermec Xavier Riley - Xerox Gary Roberts - Ricoh

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997,

[Page 21]

Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Tom Hastings - Xerox Stephen Holmstead Zhi-Hong Huang - Zenographics Scott Isaacson - Novell Rich Lomicka - Digital David Kellerman - Northlake Software Robert Kline - TrueSpectra Dave Kuntz - Hewlett-Packard Takami Kurono - Brother Rich Landau - Digital Greg LeClair - Epson

Stuart Rowley - Kyocera Richard Schneider - Epson Shigern Ueda - Canon Bob Von Andel - Allegro Software William Wagner - Digital Products Jasper Wong - Xionics Don Wright - Lexmark

Rick Yardumian - Xerox Lloyd Young - Lexmark Peter Zehler - Xerox Frank Zhao - Panasonic Steve Zilles - Adobe

9. Appendix A: Protocol Examples

9.1 Print-Job Request

The following is an example of a Print-Job request with job-name, copies, and sides specified.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0×0100	1.0	version
0×0002	PrintJob	operation
0x01	start operation- attributes	operation-attributestag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0×0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-	attributes-natural-	name
language	language	
0×0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0×42	name type	value-tag
0×0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0×0006		value-length
foobar	foobar	value

0x02	start job- attributes	job-attributes-tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0×0005		name-length
copies	copies	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000014	20	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 22]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0005		name-length
sides	sides	name
0×0013		value-length
two-sided-long-edge	two-sided-long-edge	value
0×03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag
%!PS	<postscript></postscript>	data

9.2 Print-Job Response (successful)

Here is an example of a Print-Job response which is successful:

Symbolic Value **O**ctets Protocol field 0x0100 1.0 version 0x0000 OK (successful) status-code 0x01 start operation- operation-attributes-tag attributes 0x47 charset type value-tag 0x0012 name-length attributesattributesname charset charset 0x0008 value-length US-ASCII US-ASCII value 0x48 natural-language value-tag type 0x001B name-length attributesattributesname naturalnatural-language language 0x0005 value-length en-US en-US value 0x41 text type value-tag 0x000E name-length name status-message status-message 0x0002 value-length 0K 0K value 0x02 start jobjob-attributes-tag attributes 0x21 integer value-tag 0x0007 name-length job-id job-id name 0x0004 value-length

<u>147</u>	147	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-uri	job-uri	name
0x000E		value-length
<u>http://foo/123</u>	<u>http://foo/123</u>	value
0x25	name type	value-tag
0×0008		name-length

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 23]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Octets Symbolic Value Protocol field

job-state	job-state	name
0x0001		value-length
0x03	pending	value
0x03	end-of-	end-of-attributes-tag
	attributes	

<u>9.3</u> Print-Job Response (failure)

Here is an example of a Print-Job response which fails because the printer does not support sides and because the value 20 for copies is not supported:

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0×0100	1.0	version
0x0400	client-error-bad-request	status-code
0x01	start operation- attributes	operation-attribute tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-	attributes-charset	name
charset		
0×0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-		name
	3	
natural-	language	attributes-natural-
language	Language	
	Language	value-length
language 0x0005 en-US	en-US	value-length value
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41		value-length value value-tag
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E	en-US text type	value-length value
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message	en-US	value-length value value-tag name-length name
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D	en-US text type status-message	value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D bad-request	en-US text type status-message bad-request	value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length value
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D	en-US text type status-message bad-request start unsupported-	value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length value unsupported- attributes-
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D bad-request 0x04	en-US text type status-message bad-request start unsupported- attributes	value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length value unsupported- attributes- tag
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D bad-request 0x04	en-US text type status-message bad-request start unsupported-	<pre>value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length value unsupported- attributes- tag value-tag</pre>
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D bad-request 0x04 0x21 0x000C	en-US text type status-message bad-request start unsupported- attributes integer type	<pre>value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length value unsupported- attributes- tag value-tag name-length</pre>
language 0x0005 en-US 0x41 0x000E status-message 0x000D bad-request 0x04	en-US text type status-message bad-request start unsupported- attributes	<pre>value-length value value-tag name-length name value-length value unsupported- attributes- tag value-tag</pre>

0x001000000	16777216	value
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
copies	copies	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000014	20	value
0x10	unsupported (type)	value-tag
0x0005		name-length

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 24]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
sides 0x0000	sides	name value-length
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

9.4 Print-URI Request

The following is an example of Print-URI request with copies and jobname parameters.

Octets 0x0100	Symbolic Value 1.0	Protocol field version
0x0003	Print-URI	operation
0x01	start operation-	operation-attributes-tag
	attributes	
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0×0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language	value-tag
	type	
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-		name
language	natural-language	
0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x000A		name-length
document-uri	document-uri	name
0x11		value-length
<pre>ftp://foo.com/foo</pre>	<pre>ftp://foo.com/foo</pre>	value
0x42	name type	value-tag
0×0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0x0006	f h	value-length
foobar	foobar	value
0x02	start job-	job-attributes-tag
0.401	attributes	
0x21 0x0005	integer type	value-tag
copies	copies	name-length name
0x0004	cohtes	value-length
0,0004		varue-rengen

0×0000001	1	value
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag
%!PS	<postscript></postscript>	data

9.5 Create-Job Request

The following is an example of Create-Job request with no parameters and no attributes

Herriot, Butler,December 19, 1997,[Page 25]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Octets 0x0100 0x0005 0x01	Symbolic Value 1.0 Create-Job start operation- attributes	Protocol field version operation operation-attributes-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-	attributes-	name
charset	charset	
0x0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-	value-tag
	language type	
0x001B		name-length
attributes-	attributes-	name
natural-	natural-	
language	language	
0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0x03	end-of-	end-of-attributes-tag
	attributes	-

9.6 Get-Jobs Request

The following is an example of Get-Jobs request with parameters but no attributes.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0100	1.0	version
0×000A	Get-Jobs	operation
0×01	start operation-	operation-attributes-
	attributes	tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
US-ASCII	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language	value-tag
	type	
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-	attributes-natural-	name
language	language	
0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value

0x21	integer type	value-tag	
0×0005		name-length	
limit	limit	name	
0×0004		value-length	
0×0000032	50	value	
0x44	keyword type	value-tag	
0x0014		name-length	
requested-attributes	requested-attributes	name	
0×0006		value-length	
Herriot, Butler,	December 19, 19	97,	[Page 26]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

Octets job-id	Symbolic Value job-id	Protocol field value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0×0000	additional value	name-length
0×0008		value-length
job-name	job-name	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0×0000	additional value	name-length
0×000F		value-length
document-format	document-format	value
0×03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

9.7 Get-Jobs Response

The following is an of Get-Jobs response from previous request with 3 jobs. The Printer returns no information about the second job.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0100	1.0	version
0×0000	OK (successful)	status-code
0x01	start operation- attributes	operation-attribute-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-	attributes-charset	name
charset		
0x0008		value-length
ISO-8859-1	ISO-8859-1	value
0x48	natural-language	value-tag
	type	
0x001B		name-length
attributes-	attributes-natural-	name
natural-language	language	
0x0005		value-length
en-US	en-US	value
0x41	text type	value-tag
0×000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x0002		value-length
OK	OK	value
0x02	start job-attributes	job-attributes-tag
	(1st object)	
0x48	natural-language	value-tag
	type	
0x001B		name-length

attributes-	attributes-natural-	name
natural-language	language	
0x0005		value-length
fr-CA	fr-CA	value
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
job-id	job-id	name
0x0004		value-length
<u>147</u>	147	value

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 27]

INTERNET-DRAFT

isch guet

0x03

IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification

December 19, 1997

Protocol field **Octets** Symbolic Value 0x42 name type value-tag 0x0008 name-length job-name job-name name 0x0003 name-length fou fou name start job-attributes job-attributes-tag 0x02 (2nd object) 0x02 start job-attributes job-attributes-tag (3rd object) 0x21 integer type value-tag 0x0006 name-length job-id job-id name 0x0004 value-length **148** 148 value 0x35 nameWithLanguage value-tag name-length 0x0008 job-name job-name name value-length 0x0012 0x0005 sub-value-length de-CH de-CH value 0x0009 sub-value-length

10. Appendix B: Hints to implementors using IPP with SSL3

end-of-attributes

isch guet

WARNING: Clients and IPP objects using intermediate secure connection protocol solutions such as IPP in combination with Secure Socket Layer Version 3 (SSL3), which are developed in advance of IPP and TLS standardization, might not be interoperable with IPP and TLS standardsconforming clients and IPP objects.

name

end-of-attributes-tag

An assumption is that the URI for a secure IPP Printer object has been found by means outside the IPP printing protocol, via a directory service, web site or other means.

IPP provides a transparent connection to SSL by calling the corresponding URL (a https URI connects by default to port 443). However, the following functions can be provided to ease the integration of IPP with SSL during implementation.

connect (URI), returns a status.

"connect" makes an https call and returns the immediate status of the connection as returned by SSL to the user. The status values are explained in <u>section 5.4.2</u> of the SSL document [ssl].

A session-id may also be retained to later resume a session. The SSL handshake protocol may also require the cipher specifications supported by the client, key length of the ciphers, compression methods, certificates, etc. These should be sent to the server and hence should be available to the IPP client (although as part of administration features).

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 28]

Moore and Turner Exp:

Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

disconnect (session)

to disconnect a particular session.

The session-id available from the "connect" could be used.

resume (session)

to reconnect using a previous session-id.

The availability of this information as administration features are left for implementors, and need not be standardized at this time

<u>11</u>. <u>Appendix C</u>: Registration of MIME Media Type Information for "application/ipp"

This appendix contains the information that IANA requires for registering a MIME media type. The information following this paragraph will be forwarded to IANA to register application/ipp whose contents are defined in <u>Section 3</u> "Encoding of the Operation Layer" in this document.

MIME type name: application

MIME subtype name: ipp

A Content-Type of "application/ipp" indicates an Internet Printing Protocol message body (request or response). Currently there is one version: IPP/1.0, whose syntax is described in <u>Section 3</u> "Encoding of the Operation Layer" of [<u>IPP-PRO</u>], and whose semantics are described in [<u>IPP-MOD</u>]

Required parameters: none

Optional parameters: none

Encoding considerations:

IPP/1.0 protocol requests/responses MAY contain long lines and ALWAYS contain binary data (for example attribute value lengths).

Security considerations:

IPP/1.0 protocol requests/responses do not introduce any security risks not already inherent in the underlying transport protocols. Protocol mixed-version interworking rules in [IPP-MOD] as well as protocol encoding rules in [<u>IPP-PRO</u>] are complete and unambiguous.

Interoperability considerations:

IPP/1.0 requests (generated by clients) and responses (generated by servers) MUST comply with all conformance requirements imposed by the normative specifications [IPP-MOD] and [IPP-PRO]. Protocol encoding

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 29]

Expires June 19, 1998

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

rules specified in [IPP-PRO] are comprehensive, so that interoperability between conforming implementations is guaranteed (although support for specific optional features is not ensured). Both the "charset" and "natural-language" of all IPP/1.0 attribute values of syntax "text" or "name" are explicit within IPP protocol requests/responses (without recourse to any external information in HTTP, SMTP, or other message transport headers).

Published specification:

[IPP-MOD] R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", work in progress <<u>draft-ietf-ipp-model-08.txt</u>>, December 1997.

[IPP-PR0] R. Herriot , S. Butler, P. Moore, R. Turner, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Protocol Specification", work in progress <draftietf-ipp-protocol-04.txt>, December 1997.

Applications which use this media type:

Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) print clients and print servers, communicating using HTTP/1.1 (see [<u>IPP-PRO</u>]), SMTP/ESMTP, FTP, or other transport protocol. Messages of type "application/ipp" are selfcontained and transport-independent, including "charset" and "naturallanguage" context for any "text" or "name" attributes.

Person & email address to contact for further information:

Scott A. Isaacson Novell, Inc. <u>122</u> E 1700 S Provo, UT 84606

Phone: 801-861-7366 Fax: 801-861-4025 Email: sisaacson@novell.com

or

Robert Herriot Sun Microsystems Inc. <u>901</u> San Antonio Road, MPK-17 Palo Alto, CA 94303

Phone: 650-786-8995 Fax: 650-786-7077 Email: robert.herriot@eng.sun.com

Intended usage:

COMMON

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997,

[Page 30]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification December 19, 1997

<u>12</u>. <u>Appendix D</u>: Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C)The Internet Society (1997). All Rights Reserved

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Herriot, Butler, December 19, 1997, [Page 31]