

INTERNET-DRAFT

<[draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-v11-06.txt](#)>

Robert Herriot (editor)
Xerox Corporation
Sylvan Butler
Hewlett-Packard
Paul Moore
Peerless Systems Networking
Randy Turner
2wire.com
John Wenn
Xerox Corporation
May 30, 2000

Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport
Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of \[RFC2026\]](#). Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed as
<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Abstract

This document is one of a set of documents, which together describe all aspects of a new Internet Printing Protocol (IPP). IPP is an application level protocol that can be used for distributed printing using Internet tools and technologies. This document defines the rules for encoding IPP operations and IPP attributes into a new Internet mime media type called "application/ipp". This document also defines the rules for transporting over HTTP a message body whose Content-Type is

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

"application/ipp". This document defines a new scheme named 'ipp' for identifying IPP printers and jobs.

The full set of IPP documents includes:

- Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol [[RFC2567](#)]
- Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol [[RFC2568](#)]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics [[ipp-mod](#)]
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport (this document)
- Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide [[ipp-iig](#)]
- Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [[RFC2569](#)]

The document, "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol", takes a broad look at distributed printing functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. It calls out a subset of end user requirements that are satisfied in IPP/1.1. A few OPTIONAL operator operations have been added to IPP/1.1.

The document, "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol", describes IPP from a high level view, defines a roadmap for the various documents that form the suite of IPP specification documents, and gives background and rationale for the IETF working group's major decisions.

The document, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", describes a simplified model with abstract objects, their attributes, and their operations that are independent of encoding and transport. It introduces a Printer and a Job object. The Job object optionally supports multiple documents per Job. It also addresses security, internationalization, and directory issues.

The document "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide", gives advice to implementers of IPP clients and IPP objects.

The document "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols" gives some advice to implementers of gateways between IPP and LPD (Line Printer Daemon) implementations.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	5
2.	Conformance Terminology	5
3.	Encoding of the Operation Layer	5
3.1	Picture of the Encoding	6
3.1.1	Request and Response.....	6
3.1.2	Attribute Group.....	7
3.1.3	Attribute.....	8
3.1.4	Picture of the Encoding of an Attribute-with-one-value.....	8
3.1.5	Additional-value.....	9
3.1.6	Alternative Picture of the Encoding of a Request Or a Response.....	9
3.2	Syntax of Encoding	10
3.3	Attribute-group	12
3.4	Required Parameters	13
3.4.1	Version-number.....	13
3.4.2	Operation-id.....	13
3.4.3	Status-code.....	13
3.4.4	Request-id.....	14
3.5	Tags	14
3.5.1	Delimiter Tags.....	14
3.5.2	Value Tags.....	15
3.6	Name-Length	17
3.7	(Attribute) Name	18
3.8	Value Length	18
3.9	(Attribute) Value	18
3.10	Data	20
4.	Encoding of Transport Layer	20
4.1	Printer-uri and job-uri	21
5.	IPP URL Scheme	22
6.	IANA Considerations	24
7.	Internationalization Considerations	24
8.	Security Considerations	24
8.1	Security Conformance Requirements	25
8.1.1	Digest Authentication.....	25
8.1.2	Transport Layer Security (TLS).....	26

8.2	Using IPP with TLS	26
9	Interoperability with IPP/1.0 Implementations	26
9.1	The "version-number" Parameter	27
9.2	Security and URL Schemes	27
10	References	28
11	Author's Address	31
12	Other Participants:	31
13	Appendix A : Protocol Examples	33
13.1	Print-Job Request	33
13.2	Print-Job Response (successful)	34
13.3	Print-Job Response (failure)	35

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 3]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

13.4	Print-Job Response (success with attributes ignored)	36
13.5	Print-URI Request	38
13.6	Create-Job Request	39
13.7	Get-Jobs Request	40
13.8	Get-Jobs Response	41
14	Appendix B : Registration of MIME Media Type Information for "application/ipp".....	42
15	Appendix C : Changes from IPP/1.0	44
16	Full Copyright Statement	45

[1.](#) Introduction

This document contains the rules for encoding IPP operations and describes two layers: the transport layer and the operation layer.

The transport layer consists of an HTTP/1.1 request or response. RFC [2616](#) [RFC2616] describes HTTP/1.1. This document specifies the HTTP headers that an IPP implementation supports.

The operation layer consists of a message body in an HTTP request or response. The document "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics" [[ipp-mod](#)] defines the semantics of such a message body and the supported values. This document specifies the encoding of an IPP operation. The aforementioned document [[ipp-mod](#)] is henceforth referred to as the "IPP model document" or simply "model document."

Note: the version number of IPP (1.1) and HTTP (1.1) are not linked. They both just happen to be 1.1.

[2.](#) Conformance Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be

interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[3.](#) Encoding of the Operation Layer

The operation layer is the message body part of the HTTP request or response and it MUST contain a single IPP operation request or IPP operation response. Each request or response consists of a sequence of values and attribute groups. Attribute groups consist of a sequence of attributes each of which is a name and value. Names and values are ultimately sequences of octets.

The encoding consists of octets as the most primitive type. There are several types built from octets, but three important types are integers, character strings and octet strings, on which most other data types are built. Every character string in this encoding MUST be a sequence of characters where the characters are associated with some charset and some natural language. A character string MUST be in "reading order" with the first character in the value (according to reading order) being the first character in the encoding. A character string whose associated charset is US-ASCII whose associated natural language is US English is henceforth called a US-ASCII-STRING. A character string whose associated charset and natural language are specified in a request or response as

described in the model document is henceforth called a LOCALIZED-STRING. An octet string MUST be in "IPP model document order" with the first octet in the value (according to the IPP model document order) being the first octet in the encoding. Every integer in this encoding MUST be encoded as a signed integer using two's-complement binary encoding with big-endian format (also known as "network order" and "most significant byte first"). The number of octets for an integer MUST be 1, 2 or 4, depending on usage in the protocol. Such one-octet integers, henceforth called SIGNED-BYTE, are used for the version-number and tag fields. Such two-byte integers, henceforth called SIGNED-SHORT are used for the operation-id, status-code and length fields. Four byte integers, henceforth called SIGNED-INTEGER, are used for value fields and the request-id.

The following two sections present the encoding of the operation layer in two ways:

- informally through pictures and description
- formally through Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF), as specified by

An operation request or response MUST use the encoding described in these two sections.

[3.1](#) Picture of the Encoding

[3.1.1](#) Request and Response

An operation request or response is encoded as follows:

	version-number		2 bytes - required
	operation-id (request)		2 bytes - required
	or		
	status-code (response)		
	request-id		4 bytes - required
	attribute-group		n bytes - 0 or more
	end-of-attributes-tag		1 byte - required
	data		q bytes - optional


The first three fields in the above diagram contain the value of attributes described in [section 3.1.1](#) of the Model document.

The fourth field is the "attribute-group" field, and it occurs 0 or more times. Each "attribute-group" field represents a single group of attributes, such as an Operation Attributes group or a Job Attributes group (see the Model document). The IPP model document specifies the required attribute groups and their order for each operation request and response.

The "end-of-attributes-tag" field is always present, even when the "data" is not present. The Model document specifies for each operation request and response whether the "data" field is present or absent.

[3.1.2](#) Attribute Group

Each "attribute-group" field is encoded as follows:




The "begin-attribute-group-tag" field marks the beginning of an "attribute-group" field and its value identifies the type of attribute group, e.g. Operations Attributes group versus a Job Attributes group. The "begin-attribute-group-tag" field also marks the end of the previous attribute group except for the "begin-attribute-group-tag" field in the first "attribute-group" field of a request or response. The "begin-attribute-group-tag" field acts as an "attribute-group" terminator because an "attribute-group" field cannot nest inside another "attribute-group" field.

An "attribute-group" field contains zero or more "attribute" fields.

Note, the values of the "begin-attribute-group-tag" field and the "end-of-attributes-tag" field are called "delimiter-tags".

[3.1.3](#) Attribute

An "attribute" field is encoded as follows:



	additional-value		r bytes		- 0 or more
--	------------------	--	---------	--	-------------

When an attribute is single valued (e.g. "copies" with value of 10) or multi-valued with one value (e.g. "sides-supported" with just the value 'one-sided') it is encoded with just an "attribute-with-one-value" field. When an attribute is multi-valued with n values (e.g. "sides-supported" with the values 'one-sided' and 'two-sided-long-edge'), it is encoded with an "attribute-with-one-value" field followed by n-1 "additional-value" fields.

[3.1.4](#) Picture of the Encoding of an Attribute-with-one-value

Each "attribute-with-one-value" field is encoded as follows:

	value-tag		1 byte
	name-length (value is u)		2 bytes
	name		u bytes
	value-length (value is v)		2 bytes
	value		v bytes

An "attribute-with-one-value" field is encoded with five subfields:

The "value-tag" field specifies the attribute syntax, e.g. 0x44 for the attribute syntax 'keyword'.

The "name-length" field specifies the length of the "name" field in bytes, e.g. u in the above diagram or 15 for the name "sides-supported".

The "name" field contains the textual name of the attribute, e.g. "sides-supported".

The "value-length" field specifies the length of the "value" field in

bytes, e.g. v in the above diagram or 9 for the (keyword) value 'one-sided'.

The "value" field contains the value of the attribute, e.g. the textual value 'one-sided'.

[3.1.5](#) Additional-value

Each "additional-value" field is encoded as follows:

	value-tag		1 byte
	name-length (value is 0x0000)		2 bytes
	value-length (value is w)		2 bytes
	value		w bytes

An "additional-value" is encoded with four subfields:

The "value-tag" field specifies the attribute syntax, e.g. 0x44 for the attribute syntax 'keyword'.

The "name-length" field has the value of 0 in order to signify that it is an "additional-value". The value of the "name-length" field distinguishes an "additional-value" field ("name-length" is 0) from an "attribute-with-one-value" field ("name-length" is not 0).

The "value-length" field specifies the length of the "value" field in bytes, e.g. w in the above diagram or 19 for the (keyword) value 'two-sided-long-edge'.

The "value" field contains the value of the attribute, e.g. the textual value 'two-sided-long-edge'.

[3.1.6](#) Alternative Picture of the Encoding of a Request Or a Response

>From the standpoint of a parser that performs an action based on a "tag" value, the encoding consists of:

	version-number		2 bytes	- required
	operation-id (request)		2 bytes	- required
	or			
	status-code (response)			
	request-id		4 bytes	- required
	tag (delimiter-tag or value-tag)		1 byte	
	empty or rest of attribute		x bytes	-0 or more
	end-of-attributes-tag		1 byte	- required
	data		y bytes	- optional

The following show what fields the parser would expect after each type of "tag":

- "begin-attribute-group-tag": expect zero or more "attribute"s
- "value-tag": expect the remainder of an "attribute-with-one-value" or an "additional-value".
- "end-of-attributes-tag": expect that "attribute"s are complete and there is optional "data"

[3.2](#) Syntax of Encoding

The syntax below is ABNF [[RFC2234](#)] except 'strings of literals' MUST be case sensitive. For example 'a' means lower case 'a' and not upper case 'A'. In addition, SIGNED-BYTE and SIGNED-SHORT fields are represented as '%x' values which show their range of values.

```

ipp-message = ipp-request / ipp-response
ipp-request = version-number operation-id request-id
              *attribute-group end-of-attributes-tag data
ipp-response = version-number status-code request-id
              *attribute-group end-of-attributes-tag data
attribute-group = begin-attribute-group-tag attribute

```

```

version-number = major-version-number minor-version-number
major-version-number = SIGNED-BYTE
minor-version-number = SIGNED-BYTE

```

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

operation-id = SIGNED-SHORT ; mapping from model defined below
status-code = SIGNED-SHORT ; mapping from model defined below
request-id = SIGNED-INTEGER ; whose value is > 0

attribute = attribute-with-one-value *additional-value

attribute-with-one-value = value-tag name-length name
value-length value
additional-value = value-tag zero-name-length value-length value

name-length = SIGNED-SHORT ; number of octets of 'name'
name = LALPHA *(LALPHA / DIGIT / "-" / "_" / ".")
value-length = SIGNED-SHORT ; number of octets of 'value'
value = OCTET-STRING

data = OCTET-STRING

zero-name-length = %x00.00 ; name-length of 0
value-tag = %x10-FF ; see [section 3.7.2](#)
begin-attribute-group-tag = %x00-02 / %04-0F ; see [section 3.7.1](#)
end-of-attributes-tag = %x03 ; tag of 3
; see [section 3.7.1](#)

SIGNED-BYTE = BYTE
SIGNED-SHORT = 2BYTE
SIGNED-INTEGER = 4BYTE
DIGIT = %x30-39 ; "0" to "9"
LALPHA = %x61-7A ; "a" to "z"
BYTE = %x00-FF
OCTET-STRING = *BYTE

The syntax below defines additional terms that are referenced in this document. This syntax provides an alternate grouping of the delimiter tags.

delimiter-tag = begin-attribute-group-tag / ; see [section 3.7.1](#)
end-of-attributes-tag
delimiter-tag = %x00-0F ; see [section 3.7.1](#)

begin-attribute-group-tag = %x00 / operation-attributes-tag /
job-attributes-tag / printer-attributes-tag /
unsupported-attributes-tag / %x06-0F

```

operation-attributes-tag = %x01          ; tag of 1
job-attributes-tag      = %x02          ; tag of 2
printer-attributes-tag = %x04          ; tag of 4
unsupported-attributes-tag = %x05        ; tag of 5

```

3.3 Attribute-group

Each "attribute-group" field MUST be encoded with the "begin-attribute-group-tag" field followed by zero or more "attribute" sub-fields.

The table below maps the model document group name to value of the "begin-attribute-group-tag" field:

Model Document Group	"begin-attribute-group-tag" field values
Operation Attributes	"operations-attributes-tag"
Job Template Attributes	"job-attributes-tag"
Job Object Attributes	"job-attributes-tag"
Unsupported Attributes	"unsupported-attributes-tag"
Requested Attributes (Get-Job-Attributes)	"job-attributes-tag"
Requested Attributes (Get-Printer-Attributes)	"printer-attributes-tag"
Document Content	in a special position as described above

For each operation request and response, the model document prescribes the required and optional attribute groups, along with their order. Within each attribute group, the model document prescribes the required and optional attributes, along with their order.

When the Model document requires an attribute group in a request or response and the attribute group contains zero attributes, a request or response SHOULD encode the attribute group with the "begin-attribute-group-tag" field followed by zero "attribute" fields. For example, if the client requests a single unsupported attribute with the Get-Printer-Attributes operation, the Printer MUST return no "attribute" fields, and

it SHOULD return a "begin-attribute-group-tag" field for the Printer Attributes Group. The Unsupported Attributes group is not such an example. According to the model document, the Unsupported Attributes Group SHOULD be present only if the unsupported attributes group contains at least one attribute.

A receiver of a request MUST be able to process the following as equivalent empty attribute groups:

- a) A "begin-attribute-group-tag" field with zero following "attribute" fields.
- b) An expected but missing "begin-attribute-group-tag" field.

When the Model document requires a sequence of an unknown number of attribute groups, each of the same type, the encoding MUST contain one "begin-attribute-group-tag" field for each attribute group even when an "attribute-group" field contains zero "attribute" sub-fields. For example, for the Get-Jobs operation may return zero attributes for some jobs and not others. The "begin-attribute-group-tag" field followed by zero "attribute" fields tells the recipient that there is a job in queue for which no information is available except that it is in the queue.

[3.4](#) Required Parameters

Some operation elements are called parameters in the model document [[ipp-mod](#)]. They MUST be encoded in a special position and they MUST NOT appear as operation attributes. These parameters are described in the subsections below.

[3.4.1](#) Version-number

The "version-number" field MUST consist of a major and minor version-number, each of which MUST be represented by a SIGNED-BYTE. The major version-number MUST be the first byte of the encoding and the minor version-number MUST be the second byte of the encoding. The protocol described in this document MUST have a major version-number of 1 (0x01) and a minor version-number of 1 (0x01). The ABNF for these two bytes MUST be %x01.01.

[3.4.2](#) Operation-id

The "operation-id" field MUST contain an operation-id value defined in the model document. The value MUST be encoded as a SIGNED-SHORT and it MUST be in the third and fourth bytes of the encoding of an operation request.

[3.4.3](#) Status-code

The "status-code" field MUST contain a status-code value defined in the model document. The value MUST be encoded as a SIGNED-SHORT and it MUST be in the third and fourth bytes of the encoding of an operation response.

The status-code is an operation attribute in the model document. In the protocol, the status-code is in a special position, outside of the operation attributes.

If an IPP status-code is returned, then the HTTP Status-Code MUST be 200 (successful-ok). With any other HTTP Status-Code value, the HTTP response MUST NOT contain an IPP message-body, and thus no IPP status-code is returned.

[3.4.4](#) Request-id

The "request-id" field MUST contain a request-id value as defined in the model document. The value MUST be encoded as a SIGNED- INTEGER and it MUST be in the fifth through eighth bytes of the encoding.

[3.5](#) Tags

There are two kinds of tags:

- delimiter tags: delimit major sections of the protocol, namely attributes and data
- value tags: specify the type of each attribute value

[3.5.1](#) Delimiter Tags

The following table specifies the values for the delimiter tags:

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0x00	reserved for definition in a future IETF standards track document
0x01	"operation-attributes-tag"
0x02	"job-attribute-tag"
0x03	"end-of-attributes-tag"
0x04	"printer-attribute-tag"
0x05	"unsupported-attribute-tag"
0x06-0x0f	reserved for future delimiters in IETF standards track documents

When a "begin-attribute-group-tag" field occurs in the protocol, it means that zero or more following attributes up to the next delimiter tag MUST be attributes belonging to the attribute group specified by the value of the "begin-attribute-group-tag". For example, if the value of "begin-attribute-group-tag" is 0x01, the following attributes MUST be members of the Operations Attributes group.

The "end-of-attributes-tag" (value 0x03) MUST occur exactly once in an operation. It MUST be the last "delimiter-tag". If the operation has a document-content group, the document data in that group MUST follow the "end-of-attributes-tag".

The order and presence of "attribute-group" fields (whose beginning is marked by the "begin-attribute-group-tag" subfield) for each operation request and each operation response MUST be that defined in the model document. For further details, see [section 3.7](#) "(Attribute) Name" and 13 "Appendix A: Protocol Examples".

A Printer MUST treat a "delimiter-tag" (values from 0x00 through 0x0F) differently from a "value-tag" (values from 0x10 through 0xFF) so that the Printer knows that there is an entire attribute group that it doesn't understand as opposed to a single value that it doesn't understand.

[3.5.2](#) Value Tags

The remaining tables show values for the "value-tag" field, which is the first octet of an attribute. The "value-tag" field specifies the type of the value of the attribute.

The following table specifies the "out-of-band" values for the "value-tag" field.

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0x10	unsupported
0x11	reserved for 'default' for definition in a future IETF standards track document
0x12	unknown
0x13	no-value
0x14-0x1F	reserved for "out-of-band" values in future IETF standards track documents.

The following table specifies the integer values for the "value-tag" field:

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0x20	reserved for definition in a future IETF standards track document
0x21	integer
0x22	boolean
0x23	enum
0x24-0x2F	reserved for integer types for definition in future IETF standards track documents

NOTE: 0x20 is reserved for "generic integer" if it should ever be needed.

The following table specifies the octetString values for the "value-tag" field:

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0x30	octetString with an unspecified format
0x31	dateTime
0x32	resolution
0x33	rangeOfInteger
0x34	reserved for definition in a future IETF standards track document
0x35	textWithLanguage
0x36	nameWithLanguage
0x37-0x3F	reserved for octetString type definitions in future IETF standards track documents

The following table specifies the character-string values for the "value-tag" field:

Tag Value (Hex)	Meaning
0x40	reserved for definition in a future IETF standards track document

0x41	textWithoutLanguage
0x42	nameWithoutLanguage
0x43	reserved for definition in a future IETF standards track document
0x44	keyword
0x45	uri
0x46	uriScheme
0x47	charset
0x48	naturalLanguage
0x49	mimeMediaType
0x4A-0x5F	reserved for character string type definitions in future IETF standards track documents

NOTE: 0x40 is reserved for "generic character-string" if it should ever be needed.

NOTE: an attribute value always has a type, which is explicitly specified by its tag; one such tag value is "nameWithoutLanguage". An attribute's name has an implicit type, which is keyword.

The values 0x60-0xFF are reserved for future type definitions in IETF standards track documents.

The tag 0x7F is reserved for extending types beyond the 255 values available with a single byte. A tag value of 0x7F MUST signify that the first 4 bytes of the value field are interpreted as the tag value. Note this future extension doesn't affect parsers that are unaware of this special tag. The tag is like any other unknown tag, and the value length specifies the length of a value, which contains a value that the parser treats atomically. Values from 0x00 to 0x37777777 are reserved for definition in future IETF standard track documents. The values 0x40000000 to 0x7FFFFFFF are reserved for vendor extensions.

[3.6](#) Name-Length

The "name-length" field MUST consist of a SIGNED-SHORT. This field MUST specify the number of octets in the immediately following "name" field. The value of this field excludes the two bytes of the "name-length" field. For example, if the "name" field contains "sides", the value of this field is 5.

If a "name-length" field has a value of zero, the following "name" field MUST be empty, and the following value MUST be treated as an additional value for the attribute encoded in the nearest preceding "attribute-with-one-value" field. Within an attribute group, if two or more attributes have the same name, the attribute group is mal-formed (see [\[ipp-mod\] section 3.1.3](#)). The zero-length name is the only mechanism for multi-valued attributes.

[3.7](#) (Attribute) Name

The "name " field MUST contain the name of an attribute. The model document [\[ipp-mod\]](#) specifies such names.

[3.8](#) Value Length

The "value-length" field MUST consist of a SIGNED-SHORT. This field MUST specify the number of octets in the immediately following "value" field. The value of this field excludes the two bytes of the "value-length" field. For example, if the "value" field contains the keyword (text) value 'one-sided', the value of this field is 9.

For any of the types represented by binary signed integers, the sender MUST encode the value in exactly four octets.

For any of the types represented by character-strings, the sender MUST encode the value with all the characters of the string and without any padding characters.

For "out-of-band" "value-tag"s defined in this document, such as "unsupported", the "value-length" MUST be 0 and the "value" empty; the "value" has no meaning when the "value-tag" has one of these "out-of-band" values. For future "out-of-band" "value-tag"s, the same rule holds unless the definition explicitly states that the "value-length" MAY be non-zero and the "value" non-empty

[3.9](#) (Attribute) Value

The syntax types (specified by the "value-tag" field) and most of the details of the representation of attribute values are defined in the IPP model document. The table below augments the information in the model document, and defines the syntax types from the model document in terms of the 5 basic types defined in [section 3](#) "Encoding of the Operation Layer". The 5 types are US-ASCII-STRING, LOCALIZED-STRING, SIGNED-INTEGER, SIGNED-SHORT, SIGNED-BYTE, and OCTET-STRING.

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Syntax of Attribute Value Encoding

textWithoutLanguage, nameWithoutLanguage LOCALIZED-STRING.

textWithLanguage OCTET_STRING consisting of 4 fields:

- a. a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field
- b. a value of type natural-language,
- c. a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field,
- d. a value of type textWithoutLanguage.

The length of a textWithLanguage value MUST be 4 + the value of field a + the value of field c.

nameWithLanguage OCTET_STRING consisting of 4 fields:

- a. a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field
- b. a value of type natural-language,
- c. a SIGNED-SHORT which is the number of octets in the following field
- d. a value of type nameWithoutLanguage.

The length of a nameWithLanguage value MUST be 4 + the value of field a + the value of field c.

charset, naturalLanguage, mimeType, keyword, uri, and uriScheme US-ASCII-STRING.

boolean SIGNED-BYTE where 0x00 is 'false' and 0x01 is 'true'.

integer and enum a SIGNED-INTEGER.

dateTime OCTET-STRING consisting of eleven octets whose contents are defined by "DateAndTime" in [RFC 1903](#) [[RFC1903](#)].

resolution OCTET_STRING consisting of nine octets of 2

SIGNED-INTEGERS followed by a SIGNED-BYTE. The first SIGNED-INTEGER contains the value of cross feed direction resolution. The second SIGNED-INTEGER contains the value of feed direction resolution. The SIGNED-BYTE contains the units

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 19]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Syntax of Attribute Value Encoding

value.

rangeOfInteger Eight octets consisting of 2 SIGNED-INTEGERS. The first SIGNED-INTEGER contains the lower bound and the second SIGNED-INTEGER contains the upper bound.

1setOf X Encoding according to the rules for an attribute with more than 1 value. Each value X is encoded according to the rules for encoding its type.

octetString OCTET-STRING

The attribute syntax type of the value determines its encoding and the value of its "value-tag".

[3.10](#) Data

The "data" field MUST include any data required by the operation

[4](#). Encoding of Transport Layer

HTTP/1.1 [[RFC2616](#)] is the transport layer for this protocol.

The operation layer has been designed with the assumption that the transport layer contains the following information:

- the URI of the target job or printer operation
- the total length of the data in the operation layer, either as a single length or as a sequence of chunks each with a length.

It is REQUIRED that a printer implementation support HTTP over the IANA assigned Well Known Port 631 (the IPP default port), though a printer implementation may support HTTP over some other port as well.

Each HTTP operation MUST use the POST method where the request-URI is the object target of the operation, and where the "Content-Type" of the message-body in each request and response MUST be "application/ipp". The message-body MUST contain the operation layer and MUST have the syntax described in [section 3.2](#) "Syntax of Encoding". A client implementation

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 20]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

MUST adhere to the rules for a client described for HTTP1.1 [[RFC2616](#)]. A printer (server) implementation MUST adhere the rules for an origin server described for HTTP1.1 [[RFC2616](#)].

An IPP server sends a response for each request that it receives. If an IPP server detects an error, it MAY send a response before it has read the entire request. If the HTTP layer of the IPP server completes processing the HTTP headers successfully, it MAY send an intermediate response, such as "100 Continue", with no IPP data before sending the IPP response. A client MUST expect such a variety of responses from an IPP server. For further information on HTTP/1.1, consult the HTTP documents [[RFC2616](#)].

An HTTP server MUST support chunking for IPP requests, and an IPP client MUST support chunking for IPP responses according to HTTP/1.1[RFC2616]. Note: this rule causes a conflict with non-compliant implementations of HTTP/1.1 that don't support chunking for POST methods, and this rule may cause a conflict with non-compliant implementations of HTTP/1.1 that don't support chunking for CGI scripts

[4.1](#) Printer-uri and job-uri

All Printer and Job objects are identified by a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) [[RFC2396](#)] so that they can be persistently and unambiguously referenced. The notion of a URI is a useful concept, however, until the notion of URI is more stable (i.e., defined more completely and deployed more widely), it is expected that the URIs used for IPP objects will actually be URLs [[RFC1738](#)] [[RFC1808](#)]. Since every URL is a specialized form of a URI, even though the more generic term URI is used throughout the rest of this document, its usage is intended

to cover the more specific notion of URL as well.

Some operation elements are encoded twice, once as the request-URI on the HTTP Request-Line and a second time as a REQUIRED operation attribute in the application/ipp entity. These attributes are the target URI for the operation and are called printer-uri and job-uri. Note: The target URI is included twice in an operation referencing the same IPP object, but the two URIs NEED NOT be literally identical. One can be a relative URI and the other can be an absolute URI. HTTP/1.1 allows clients to generate and send a relative URI rather than an absolute URI. A relative URI identifies a resource with the scope of the HTTP server, but does not include scheme, host or port. The following statements characterize how URLs should be used in the mapping of IPP onto HTTP/1.1:

1. Although potentially redundant, a client MUST supply the target of the operation both as an operation attribute and as a URI at the

HTTP layer. The rationale for this decision is to maintain a consistent set of rules for mapping application/ipp to possibly many communication layers, even where URLs are not used as the addressing mechanism in the transport layer.

2. Even though these two URLs might not be literally identical (one being relative and the other being absolute), they MUST both reference the same IPP object. However, a Printer NEED NOT verify that the two URLs reference the same IPP object, and NEED NOT take any action if it determines the two URLs to be different.
3. The URI in the HTTP layer is either relative or absolute and is used by the HTTP server to route the HTTP request to the correct resource relative to that HTTP server. The HTTP server need not be aware of the URI within the operation request.
4. Once the HTTP server resource begins to process the HTTP request, it might get the reference to the appropriate IPP Printer object from either the HTTP URI (using to the context of the HTTP server for relative URLs) or from the URI within the operation request; the choice is up to the implementation.
5. HTTP URIs can be relative or absolute, but the target URI in the operation MUST be an absolute URI.

[5. IPP URL Scheme](#)

The IPP/1.1 document defines a new scheme 'ipp' as the value of a URL that identifies either an IPP printer object or an IPP job object. The

IPP attributes using the 'ipp' scheme are specified below. Because the HTTP layer does not support the 'ipp' scheme, a client MUST map 'ipp' URLs to 'http' URLs, and then follows the HTTP [\[RFC2616\]](#)^[RFC2617] rules for constructing a Request-Line and HTTP headers. The mapping is simple because the 'ipp' scheme implies all of the same protocol semantics as that of the 'http' scheme [\[RFC2616\]](#), except that it represents a print service and the implicit (default) port number that clients use to connect to a server is port 631.

In the remainder of this section the term 'ipp-URL' means a URL whose scheme is 'ipp' and whose implicit (default) port is 631. The term 'http-URL' means a URL whose scheme is 'http', and the term 'https-URL' means a URL whose scheme is 'https',

A client and an IPP object (i.e. the server) MUST support the ipp-URL value in the following IPP attributes.

```
job attributes:
    job-uri
    job-printer-uri
printer attributes:
    printer-uri-supported
operation attributes:
    job-uri
```

printer-uri

Each of the above attributes identifies a printer or job object. The ipp-URL is intended as the value of the attributes in this list, and for no other attributes. All of these attributes have a syntax type of 'uri', but there are attributes with a syntax type of 'uri' that do not use the 'ipp' scheme, e.g. 'job-more-info'.

If a printer registers its URL with a directory service, the printer MUST register an ipp-URL.

User interfaces are beyond the scope of this document. But if software exposes the ipp-URL values of any of the above five attributes to a human user, it is REQUIRED that the human see the ipp-URL as is.

When a client sends a request, it MUST convert a target ipp-URL to a target http-URL for the HTTP layer according to the following rules:

1. change the 'ipp' scheme to 'http'
2. add an explicit port 631 if the URL does not contain an explicit port. Note: port 631 is the IANA assigned Well Known Port for the

'ipp' scheme.

The client MUST use the target http-URL in both the HTTP Request-Line and HTTP headers, as specified by HTTP[RFC2616][[RFC2617](#)]. However, the client MUST use the target ipp-URL for the value of the "printer-uri" or "job-uri" operation attribute within the application/ipp body of the request. The server MUST use the ipp-URL for the value of the "printer-uri", "job-uri" or "printer-uri-supported" attributes within the application/ipp body of the response.

For example, when an IPP client sends a request directly (i.e. no proxy) to an ipp-URL "ipp://myhost.com/myprinter/myqueue", it opens a TCP connection to port 631 (the ipp implicit port) on the host "myhost.com" and sends the following data:

```
POST /myprinter/myqueue HTTP/1.1
Host: myhost.com:631
Content-type: application/ipp
Transfer-Encoding: chunked
...
"printer-uri" "ipp://myhost.com/myprinter/myqueue"
               (encoded in application/ipp message body)
...
```

As another example, when an IPP client sends the same request as above via a proxy "myproxy.com", it opens a TCP connection to the proxy port [8080](#) on the proxy host "myproxy.com" and sends the following data:

```
POST http://myhost.com:631/myprinter/myqueue HTTP/1.1
```

```
Host: myhost.com:631
Content-type: application/ipp
Transfer-Encoding: chunked
...
"printer-uri" "ipp://myhost.com/myprinter/myqueue"
               (encoded in application/ipp message body)
...
```

The proxy then connects to the IPP origin server with headers that are the same as the "no-proxy" example above.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

This section describes the procedures for allocating encoding for the following IETF standards track extensions and vendor extensions to the IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport document:

1. attribute syntaxes - see [[ipp-mod](#)] [section 6.3](#)
2. attribute groups - see [[ipp-mod](#)] [section 6.5](#)
3. out-of-band attribute values - see [[ipp-mod](#)] [section 6.7](#)

These extensions follow the "type2" registration procedures defined in [[ipp-mod](#)] [section 6](#). Extensions registered for use with IPP/1.1 are OPTIONAL for client and IPP object conformance to the IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport document.

These extension procedures are aligned with the guidelines as set forth by the IESG [IANA-CON]. The [[ipp-mod](#)] [Section 11](#) describes how to propose new registrations for consideration. IANA will reject registration proposals that leave out required information or do not follow the appropriate format described in [[ipp-mod](#)] [Section 11](#). The IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport document may also be extended by an appropriate RFC that specifies any of the above extensions.

[7](#). Internationalization Considerations

See the section on "Internationalization Considerations" in the document "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics" [[ipp-mod](#)] for information on internationalization. This document adds no additional issues.

[8](#). Security Considerations

The IPP Model and Semantics document [[ipp-mod](#)] discusses high level security requirements (Client Authentication, Server Authentication and

Operation Privacy). Client Authentication is the mechanism by which the client proves its identity to the server in a secure manner. Server Authentication is the mechanism by which the server proves its identity to the client in a secure manner. Operation Privacy is defined as a mechanism for protecting operations from eavesdropping.

[8.1](#) Security Conformance Requirements

This section defines the security requirements for IPP clients and IPP objects.

[8.1.1](#) Digest Authentication

IPP clients MUST support:

Digest Authentication [[RFC2617](#)].

MD5 and MD5-sess MUST be implemented and supported.

The Message Integrity feature NEED NOT be used.

IPP Printers SHOULD support:

Digest Authentication [[RFC2617](#)].

MD5 and MD5-sess MUST be implemented and supported.

The Message Integrity feature NEED NOT be used.

The reasons that IPP Printers SHOULD (rather than MUST) support Digest Authentication are:

- 1.While Client Authentication is important, there is a certain class of printer devices where it does not make sense. Specifically, a low-end device with limited ROM space and low paper throughput may not need Client Authentication. This class of device typically requires firmware designers to make trade-offs between protocols and functionality to arrive at the lowest-cost solution possible. Factored into the designer's decisions is not just the size of the code, but also the testing, maintenance, usefulness, and time-to-market impact for each feature delivered to the customer. Forcing such low-end devices to provide security in order to claim IPP/1.1 conformance would not make business sense and could potentially stall the adoption of the standard.

2. Print devices that have high-volume throughput and have available ROM space have a compelling argument to provide support for Client Authentication that safeguards the device from unauthorized access. These devices are prone to a high loss of consumables and paper if unauthorized access should occur.

[8.1.2](#) Transport Layer Security (TLS)

IPP Printers SHOULD support Transport Layer Security (TLS) [[RFC2246](#)] for Server Authentication and Operation Privacy. IPP Printers MAY also support TLS for Client Authentication. If an IPP Printer supports TLS, it MUST support the TLS_DHE_DSS_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA cipher suite as mandated by [RFC 2246](#) [[RFC2246](#)]. All other cipher suites are OPTIONAL. An IPP Printer MAY support Basic Authentication (described in HTTP/1.1 [[RFC2617](#)]) for Client Authentication if the channel is secure. TLS with the above mandated cipher suite can provide such a secure channel.

If a IPP client supports TLS, it MUST support the TLS_DHE_DSS_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA cipher suite as mandated by [RFC 2246](#) [[RFC2246](#)]. All other cipher suites are OPTIONAL.

The IPP Model and Semantics document defines two printer attributes ("uri-authentication-supported" and "uri-security-supported") that the client can use to discover the security policy of a printer. That document also outlines IPP-specific security considerations and should be the primary reference for security implications with regard to the IPP protocol itself. For backward compatibility with IPP version 1.0, IPP clients and printers may also support SSL3 [ssl]. This is in addition to the security required in this document.

[8.2](#) Using IPP with TLS

IPP/1.1 uses the "Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1" mechanism [[RFC2817](#)]. An initial IPP request never uses TLS. The client requests a secure TLS connection by using the HTTP "Upgrade" header, while the server agrees in the HTTP response. The switch to TLS occurs either because the server grants the client's request to upgrade to TLS, or a server asks to switch to TLS in its response. Secure communication begins with a server's response to switch to TLS.

[9](#). Interoperability with IPP/1.0 Implementations

It is beyond the scope of this specification to mandate conformance with previous versions. IPP/1.1 was deliberately designed, however, to make

supporting previous versions easy. It is worth noting that, at the time of composing this specification (1999), we would expect IPP/1.1 Printer implementations to:

understand any valid request in the format of IPP/1.0, or 1.1;

respond appropriately with a response containing the same "version-number" parameter value used by the client in the request.

And we would expect IPP/1.1 clients to:

understand any valid response in the format of IPP/1.0, or 1.1.

[9.1](#) The "version-number" Parameter

The following are rules regarding the "version-number" parameter (see [section 3.3](#)):

1. Clients MUST send requests containing a "version-number" parameter with a '1.1' value and SHOULD try supplying alternate version numbers if they receive a 'server-error-version-not-supported' error return in a response.
2. IPP objects MUST accept requests containing a "version-number" parameter with a '1.1' value (or reject the request for reasons other than 'server-error-version-not-supported').
3. It is recommended that IPP objects accept any request with the major version '1' (or reject the request for reasons other than 'server-error-version-not-supported'). See [[ipp-mod](#)] "versions" sub-section.
4. In any case, security MUST NOT be compromised when a client supplies a lower "version-number" parameter in a request. For example, if an IPP/1.1 conforming Printer object accepts version '1.0' requests and is configured to enforce Digest Authentication, it MUST do the same for a version '1.0' request.

[9.2](#) Security and URL Schemes

The following are rules regarding security, the "version-number" parameter, and the URL scheme supplied in target attributes and responses:

1. When a client supplies a request, the "printer-uri" or "job-uri"

target operation attribute MUST have the same scheme as that

indicated in one of the values of the "printer-uri-supported" Printer attribute.

2. When the server returns the "job-printer-uri" or "job-uri" Job Description attributes, it SHOULD return the same scheme ('ipp', 'https', 'http', etc.) that the client supplied in the "printer-uri" or "job-uri" target operation attributes in the Get-Job-Attributes or Get-Jobs request, rather than the scheme used when the job was created. However, when a client requests job attributes using the Get-Job-Attributes or Get-Jobs operations, the jobs and job attributes that the server returns depends on: (1) the security in effect when the job was created, (2) the security in effect in the query request, and (3) the security policy in force.
3. It is recommended that if a server registers a non-secure ipp-URL with a directory service (see [IPP-MOD] "Generic Directory Schema" Appendix), then it also register an http-URL for interoperability with IPP/1.0 clients (see [section 9](#)).
4. In any case, security MUST NOT be compromised when a client supplies an 'http' or other non-secure URL scheme in the target "printer-uri" and "job-uri" operation attributes in a request.

[10](#). References

[dpa] ISO/IEC 10175 Document Printing Application (DPA), June 1996.

[iana] IANA Registry of Coded Character Sets: <ftp://ftp.isi.edu/in-notes/iana/assignments/character-sets>.

[ipp-iig] Hastings, Tom, et al., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide", [draft-ietf-ipp-implementers-guide-v11-00.txt](#), work in progress, September 27, 1999.

[ipp-mod] R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", <[draft-ietf-ipp-model-v11-07.txt](#)>, May 22, 2000.

[ipp-pro] Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., "Internet

Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", [draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-v11-06.txt](#), May 30, 2000.

[RFC822] Crocker, D., "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", [RFC 822](#), August 1982.

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 28]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

[RFC1123] Braden, S., "Requirements for Internet Hosts - Application and Support", [RFC 1123](#), October, 1989.

[RFC1179] McLaughlin, L. III, (editor), "Line Printer Daemon Protocol" [RFC 1179](#), August 1990.

[RFC1543] Postel, J., "Instructions to RFC Authors", [RFC 1543](#), October 1993.

[RFC1738] Berners-Lee, T., Masinter, L., McCahill, M. , "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)", [RFC 1738](#), December, 1994.

[RFC1759] Smith, R., Wright, F., Hastings, T., Zilles, S., and Gyllenskog, J., "Printer MIB", [RFC 1759](#), March 1995.

[RFC1766] H. Alvestrand, " Tags for the Identification of Languages", [RFC 1766](#), March 1995.

[RFC1808] R. Fielding, "Relative Uniform Resource Locators", [RFC1808](#), June 1995.

[RFC1903] J. Case, et al. "Textual Conventions for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1903](#), January 1996.

[RFC2046] N. Freed & N. Borenstein, Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types. November 1996, [RFC 2046](#).

[RFC2048] N. Freed, J. Klensin & J. Postel. Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension (MIME) Part Four: Registration Procedures. November 1996 (Also [BCP0013](#)), [RFC 2048](#).

[RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#) , March 1997.

- [RFC2184] N. Freed, K. Moore, "MIME Parameter Value and Encoded Word Extensions: Character Sets, Languages, and Continuations", [RFC 2184](#), August 1997.
- [RFC2234] D. Crocker et al., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", [RFC 2234](#). November 1997.
- [RFC2246] T. Dierks et al., "The TLS Protocol", [RFC 2246](#). January 1999.
- [RFC2396] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., Masinter, L., "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax", [RFC 2396](#), August 1998.

Herriot, et al. Expires November 30, 2000 [Page 29]

INTERNET-DRAFT IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport May 30, 2000

- [RFC2565] Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Encoding and Transport", [RFC 2565](#), April 1999.
- [RFC2566] R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", [RFC 2566](#), April, 1999.
- [RFC2567] Wright, D., "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol", [RFC2567](#), April 1999.
- [RFC2568] Zilles, S., "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol", RC 2568, April 1999.
- [RFC2569] Herriot, R., Hastings, T., Jacobs, N., Martin, J., "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [RFC 2569](#), April 1999.
- [RFC2616]
R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol - HTTP/1.1", [RFC 2616](#), June 1999.
- [RFC2617]
J. Franks, P. Hallam-Baker, J. Hostetler, S. Lawrence, P. Leach, A. Luotonen, L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication", [RFC 2617](#), June 1999.
- [RFC2817] R. Khare, S. Lawrence, "Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1",

[SSL]

Netscape, The SSL Protocol, Version 3, (Text version 3.02),
November 1996.

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 30]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

[11](#). Author's Address

Robert Herriot (editor)
Xerox Corporation
[3400](#) Hillview Ave., Bldg #1
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Phone: 650-813-7696
Fax: 650-813-6860
Email:
robert.herriot@pahv.xerox.com

Sylvan Butler
Hewlett-Packard
[11311](#) Chinden Blvd.
Boise, ID 83714

Phone: 208-396-6000
Fax: 208-396-3457
Email: sbutler@boi.hp.com

Paul Moore
Peerless Systems Networking
10900 NE 8th St #900
Bellevue, WA 98004

Phone: 425-462-5852
Email: pmoore@peerless.com

Randy Turner
2Wire, Inc.
694 Tasman Dr.
Milpitas, CA 95035

Phone: 408-546-1273

John Wenn
Xerox Corporation

737 Hawaii St
El Segundo, CA 90245

IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org
IPP Mailing List Subscription:
ipp-request@pwg.org
IPP Web Page:
<http://www.pwg.org/ipp/>

Phone: 310-333-5764
Fax: 310-333-5514

Email: jwenn@cp10.es.xerox.com

12. Other Participants:

Chuck Adams - Tektronix
Stefan Andersson - Axis
Ron Bergman - Hitachi Koki Imaging Systems
Keith Carter - IBM
Rajesh Chawla - TR Computing Solutions
Josh Cohen - Microsoft
Andy Davidson - Tektronix
Maulik Desai - Auco
Lee Farrell - Canon Information Systems
Steve Gebert - IBM

Shivaun Albright - HP
Jeff Barnett - IBM
Dennis Carney - IBM
Angelo Caruso - Xerox
Nancy Chen - Okidata
Jeff Copeland - QMS
Roger deBry - IBM
Mabry Dozier - QMS
Satoshi Fujitami - Ricoh
Sue Gleeson - Digital

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 31]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Charles Gordon - Osicom
Jerry Hadsell - IBM
Tom Hastings - Xerox
Stephen Holmstead
Scott Isaacson - Novell
Sven Johnson - Xerox

Robert Kline - TrueSpectra
Carl Kugler - IBM
Takami Kurono - Brother
Scott Lawrence - Agranot Systems
Dwight Lewis - Lexmark
Tony Liao - Vivid Image
Pete Loya - HP
Mike MacKay - Novell, Inc.
Carl-Uno Manros - Xerox
Stan McConnell - Xerox

Brian Grimshaw - Apple
Richard Hart - Digital
Henrik Holst - I-data
Zhi-Hong Huang - Zenographics
Babek Jahromi - Microsoft
David Kellerman - Northlake Software
Charles Kong - Panasonic
Dave Kuntz - Hewlett-Packard
Rick Landau - Digital
Greg LeClair - Epson
Harry Lewis - IBM
Roy Lomicka - Digital
Ray Lutz - Cognisys
David Manchala - Xerox
Jay Martin - Underscore
Larry Masinter - Xerox

Sandra Matts - Hewlett Packard
Ira McDonald - High North Inc.
Tetsuya Morita - Ricoh
Pat Nogay - IBM
Hugo Parra, Novell
Patrick Powell - Astart
Technologies
Eric Random - Peerless
Xavier Riley - Xerox
David Roach - Unisys
Yuji Sasaki - Japan Computer
Industry
Kris Schoff - HP

Bob Setterbo - Adobe
Hideki Tanaka - Cannon Information
Systems
Mike Timperman - Lexmark
Shigeru Ueda - Canon
William Wagner - NetSilicon/DPI
Chris Wellens - Interworking Labs
Craig Whittle - Sharp Labs
Jasper Wong - Xionics
Michael Wu - Heidelberg Digital
Michael Yeung - Canon Information
Systems
Atsushi Yuki - Kyocera
William Zhang- Canon Information
Systems
Steve Zilles - Adobe

Peter Michalek - Shinesoft
Mike Moldovan - G3 Nova
Yuichi Niwa - Ricoh
Ron Norton - Printronics
Bob Pentecost - Hewlett-Packard
Jeff Rackowitz - Intermec

Rob Rhoads - Intel
Gary Roberts - Ricoh
Stuart Rowley - Kyocera
Richard Schneider - Epson

Katsuaki Sekiguchi - Canon
Information Systems
Gail Songer - Peerless
Devon Taylor - Novell, Inc.

Atsushi Uchino - Epson
Bob Von Andel - Allegro Software
Jim Walker - DAZEL
Trevor Wells - Hewlett Packard
Rob Whittle - Novell, Inc.
Don Wright - Lexmark
Rick Yardumian - Xerox
Lloyd Young - Lexmark

Peter Zehler - Xerox
Frank Zhao - Panasonic

Rob Zirnstein - Canon Information
Systems

[13. Appendix A](#): Protocol Examples

[13.1](#) Print-Job Request

The following is an example of a Print-Job request with job-name, copies, and sides specified. The "ipp-attribute-fidelity" attribute is set to 'true' so that the print request will fail if the "copies" or the "sides" attribute are not supported or their values are not supported.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x0002	Print-Job	operation-id
0x00000001	1	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attributes-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x000B		name-length
printer-uri	printer-uri	name
0x0015		value-length
ipp://forest/pinetree	printer pinetree	value
0x42	nameWithoutLanguage type	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0x0006		value-length
foobar	foobar	value
0x22	boolean type	value-tag
0x0016		name-length
ipp-attribute-fidelity	ipp-attribute-fidelity	name
0x0001		value-length
0x01	true	value

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x02	start job-attributes	job-attributes-tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag

0x0006		name-length
copies	copies	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000014	20	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
sides	sides	name
0x0013		value-length
two-sided- long-edge	two-sided-long-edge	value
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag
%!PS...	<PostScript>	data

[13.2](#) Print-Job Response (successful)

Here is an example of a successful Print-Job response to the previous Print-Job request. The printer supported the "copies" and "sides" attributes and their supplied values. The status code returned is 'successful-ok'.

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x0000	successful-ok	status-code
0x00000001	1	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attributes-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes- charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes- natural-language	attributes-natural- language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x41	textWithoutLanguage type	value-tag
0x000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x000D		value-length

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
successful-ok	successful-ok	value
0x02	start job-attributes	job-attributes-tag
0x21	integer	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
job-id	job-id	name
0x0004		value-length
147	147	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x0007		name-length
job-uri	job-uri	name
0x0019		value-length
ipp://forest/pin etree/123	job 123 on pinetree	value
0x23	enum type	value-tag
0x0009		name-length
job-state	job-state	name
0x0004		value-length
0x0003	pending	value
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[13.3](#) Print-Job Response (failure)

Here is an example of an unsuccessful Print-Job response to the previous Print-Job request. It fails because, in this case, the printer does not support the "sides" attribute and because the value '20' for the "copies" attribute is not supported. Therefore, no job is created, and neither a "job-id" nor a "job-uri" operation attribute is returned. The error code returned is 'client-error-attributes-or-values-not-supported' (0x040B).

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x040B	client-error-attributes-or-values-not-supported	status-code
0x00000001	1	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attribute tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x41	textWithoutLanguage type	value-tag
0x000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x002F		value-length
client-error-attributes-or-values-not-supported	values-not-supported client-error-attributes-or-	value
0x05	start unsupported-attributes	unsupported-attributes tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
copies	copies	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000014	20	value
0x10	unsupported (type)	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
sides	sides	name
0x0000		value-length
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[13.4](#) Print-Job Response (success with attributes ignored)

Here is an example of a successful Print-Job response to a Print-Job request like the previous Print-Job request, except that the value of 'ipp-attribute-fidelity' is false. The print request succeeds, even though, in this case, the printer supports neither the "sides" attribute nor the value '20' for the "copies" attribute. Therefore, a job is created, and both a "job-id" and a "job-uri" operation attribute are returned. The unsupported attributes are also returned in an Unsupported Attributes Group. The error code returned is 'successful-ok-ignored-or-substituted-attributes' (0x0001).

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x0001	successful-ok-ignored-or-	status-code

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 36]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
	substituted-attributes	
0x00000001	1	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attributes-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x41	textWithoutLanguage type	value-tag
0x000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x002F		value-length
successful-ok-ignored-or-substituted-attributes	successful-ok-ignored-or-substituted-attributes	value
0x05	start unsupported-attributes	unsupported-attributes tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
copies	copies	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000014	20	value
0x10	unsupported (type)	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
sides	sides	name
0x0000		value-length

0x02	start job-attributes	job-attributes-tag
0x21	integer	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
job-id	job-id	name
0x0004		value-length
147	147	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x0007		name-length
job-uri	job-uri	name
0x0019		value-length
ipp://forest/pin	job 123 on pinetree	value

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 37]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
etree/123		
0x23	enum type	value-tag
0x0009		name-length
job-state	job-state	name
0x0004		value-length
0x0003	pending	value
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[13.5](#) Print-URI Request

The following is an example of Print-URI request with copies and job-name parameters:

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x0003	Print-URI	operation-id
0x00000001	1	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attributes-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag

0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x000B		name-length
printer-uri	printer-uri	name
0x0015		value-length
ipp://forest/pinetree	printer pinetree	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x000C		name-length
document-uri	document-uri	name
0x0011		value-length
ftp://foo.com	ftp://foo.com/foo	value

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 38]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
/foo		
0x42	nameWithoutLanguage type	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0x0006		value-length
foobar	foobar	value
0x02	start job-attributes	job-attributes-tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
copies	copies	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000001	1	value
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[13.6](#) Create-Job Request

The following is an example of Create-Job request with no parameters and no attributes:

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
--------	----------------	----------------

0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x0005	Create-Job	operation-id
0x00000001	1	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attributes-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x000B		name-length
printer-uri	printer-uri	name
0x0015		value-length
ipp://forest/p printer pinetree		value

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 39]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
inetree		
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[13.7](#) Get-Jobs Request

The following is an example of Get-Jobs request with parameters but no attributes:

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x000A	Get-Jobs	operation-id
0x000000123	0x123	request-id
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attributes-tag

0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x0008		value-length
us-ascii	US-ASCII	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x45	uri type	value-tag
0x000B		name-length
printer-uri	printer-uri	name
0x0015		value-length
ipp://forest/pinetre	printer pinetree	value
netree		
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0005		name-length
limit	limit	name
0x0004		value-length
0x00000032	50	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0x0014		name-length
requested-attributes	requested-attributes	name
0x0006		value-length

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
job-id	job-id	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0x0000	additional value	name-length
0x0008		value-length
job-name	job-name	value
0x44	keyword type	value-tag
0x0000	additional value	name-length
0x000F		value-length
document-format	document-format	value
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[13.8](#) Get-Jobs Response

The following is an of Get-Jobs response from previous request with 3 jobs. The Printer returns no information about the second job (because of security reasons):

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
0x0101	1.1	version-number
0x0000	successful-ok	status-code
0x00000123	0x123	request-id (echoed back)
0x01	start operation-attributes	operation-attribute-tag
0x47	charset type	value-tag
0x0012		name-length
attributes-charset	attributes-charset	name
0x000A		value-length
ISO-8859-1	ISO-8859-1	value
0x48	natural-language type	value-tag
0x001B		name-length
attributes-natural-language	attributes-natural-language	name
0x0005		value-length
en-us	en-US	value
0x41	textWithoutLanguage type	value-tag
0x000E		name-length
status-message	status-message	name
0x000D		value-length
successful-ok	successful-ok	value
0x02	start job-attributes (1st	job-attributes-tag

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field
	object)	
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
job-id	job-id	name

0x0004		value-length
147	147	value
0x36	nameWithLanguage	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0x000C		value-length
0x0005		sub-value-length
fr-ca	fr-CA	value
0x0003		sub-value-length
fou	fou	name
0x02	start job-attributes (2nd object)	job-attributes-tag
0x02	start job-attributes (3rd object)	job-attributes-tag
0x21	integer type	value-tag
0x0006		name-length
job-id	job-id	name
0x0004		value-length
148	149	value
0x36	nameWithLanguage	value-tag
0x0008		name-length
job-name	job-name	name
0x0012		value-length
0x0005		sub-value-length
de-CH	de-CH	value
0x0009		sub-value-length
isch guet	isch guet	name
0x03	end-of-attributes	end-of-attributes-tag

[14. Appendix B](#): Registration of MIME Media Type Information for "application/ipp"

This appendix contains the information that IANA requires for registering a MIME media type. The information following this paragraph will be forwarded to IANA to register application/ipp whose contents are defined in [Section 3](#) "Encoding of the Operation Layer" in this document:

MIME type name: application

MIME subtype name: ipp

Protocol message body (request or response). Currently there is one version: IPP/1.1, whose syntax is described in [Section 3](#) "Encoding of the Operation Layer" of [\[ipp-pro\]](#), and whose semantics are described in [\[ipp-mod\]](#).

Required parameters: none

Optional parameters: none

Encoding considerations:

IPP/1.1 protocol requests/responses MAY contain long lines and ALWAYS contain binary data (for example attribute value lengths).

Security considerations:

IPP/1.1 protocol requests/responses do not introduce any security risks not already inherent in the underlying transport protocols. Protocol mixed-version interworking rules in [\[ipp-mod\]](#) as well as protocol encoding rules in [\[ipp-pro\]](#) are complete and unambiguous.

Interoperability considerations:

IPP/1.1 requests (generated by clients) and responses (generated by servers) MUST comply with all conformance requirements imposed by the normative specifications [\[ipp-mod\]](#) and [\[ipp-pro\]](#). Protocol encoding rules specified in [\[ipp-pro\]](#) are comprehensive, so that interoperability between conforming implementations is guaranteed (although support for specific optional features is not ensured). Both the "charset" and "natural-language" of all IPP/1.1 attribute values which are a LOCALIZED-STRING are explicit within IPP protocol requests/responses (without recourse to any external information in HTTP, SMTP, or other message transport headers).

Published specifications:

[ipp-mod] Isaacson, S., deBry, R., Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Powell, P., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics" [draft-ietf-ipp-model-v11-07.txt](#), May 22, 2000.

[ipp-pro] Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", [draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-v11-06.txt](#), May 30, 2000.

Applications which use this media type:

Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) print clients and print servers, communicating using HTTP/1.1 (see [IPP-PRO]), SMTP/ESMTP, FTP, or other transport protocol. Messages of type "application/ipp" are self-contained and transport-independent, including "charset" and "natural-language" context for any LOCALIZED-STRING value.

Person & email address to contact for further information:

Tom Hastings
Xerox Corporation
737 Hawaii St. ESAE-231
El Segundo, CA

Phone: 310-333-6413
Fax: 310-333-5514
Email: hastings@cp10.es.xerox.com

or

Robert Herriot
Xerox Corporation
[3400](#) Hillview Ave., Bldg #1
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Phone: 650-813-7696
Fax: 650-813-6860
Email: robert.herriot@pahv.xerox.com

Intended usage:

COMMON

[15. Appendix C](#): Changes from IPP/1.0

IPP/1.1 is identical to IPP/1.0 [[RFC2565](#)] with the follow changes:

- 1.Attributes values that identify a printer or job object use a new 'ipp' scheme. The 'http' and 'https' schemes are supported only for backward compatibility. See [section 5](#).
- 2.Clients MUST support of Digest Authentication, IPP Printers SHOULD support Digest Authentication. See [Section 8.1.1](#)
- 3.TLS is recommended for channel security. In addition, SSL3 may be supported for backward compatibility. See [Section 8.1.2](#)

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

4. It is recommended that IPP/1.1 objects accept any request with major version number '1'. See [section 9.1](#).
5. IPP objects SHOULD return the URL scheme requested for "job-printer-uri" and "job-uri" Job Attributes, rather than the URL scheme used to create the job. See [section 9.2](#).
6. The IANA and Internationalization sections have been added. The terms "private use" and "experimental" have been changed to "vendor extension". The reserved allocations for attribute group tags, attribute syntax tags, and out-of-band attribute values have been clarified as to which are reserved to future IETF standards track documents and which are reserved to vendor extension. Both kinds of extensions use the type2 registration procedures as defined in [ipp-mod].
7. Clarified that future "out-of-band" value definitions may use the value field if additional information is needed.

[16](#). Full Copyright Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in [BCP-11](#) [BCP-11]. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind,

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 45]

INTERNET-DRAFT

IPP/1.1: Encoding and Transport

May 30, 2000

provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Herriot, et al.

Expires November 30, 2000

[Page 46]