

IPTEL  
Internet-Draft  
Expires: December 27, 2006

R. Stastny  
Oefeg  
R. Shockey  
Neustar Inc.  
L. Conroy  
Roke Manor Research  
June 25, 2006

**The ENUM Dip Indicator parameter for the "tel" URI**  
**<[draft-ietf-ipitel-tel-enumdi-05.txt](#)>**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 27, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

This document defines a new parameter "enumdi" for the "tel" Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) to support the handling of ENUM queries in VoIP (Voice over Internet Protocol) network elements. A VoIP network element may receive an URI containing an E.164 number, where that URI contains an "enumdi" parameter. The presence of the "enumdi"

parameter indicates that an ENUM query has already been performed on the E.164 number by a previous VoIP network element. Equally, if a VoIP network element sends such an URI, it asserts that an ENUM query has been carried out on this number.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Formal Syntax . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Normative Rules . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	Options for ENUM domain providers . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	Client behaviour for VoIP network elements . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.2.1.</a>	Handling an URI with the "enumdi" parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.2.2.</a>	Adding the "enumdi" parameter to URIs . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.2.3.</a>	Handling an URI retrieved from ENUM . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Examples . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">9.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">9.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>



## **1. Terminology**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#), [RFC2119](#) [1].

## **2. Introduction**

VoIP network elements (including User Agent Servers and User Agent Clients) may be set up in different ways to handle E.164 [3] numbers during call setup, depending on the capabilities provided. One common approach is to query ENUM as defined in [RFC3761](#) [4], and to use the set of NAPTR (Naming Authority Pointer to resource) resource records that is returned.

If the ENUM query leads to a result, the call is set-up accordingly. If the ENUM query does not lead finally to a result, another database may be queried and/or the call may finally be routed to the PSTN (Public Switched Telecommunications Network). In doing so, the call may be routed to another VoIP network element. To indicate in signalling to this next VoIP element that an ENUM query has already been made for the "tel" URI (specified in [RFC3966](#) [5]), the "enumdi" parameter is used, to prevent the next VoIP network element from repeating redundant queries.

## **3. Formal Syntax**

The following syntax specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) as described in [RFC4234](#) [2] to extend the syntax of the "par" production defined in the ABNF of [RFC3966](#) [5].

```
par =/ enum-dip-indicator
```

```
enum-dip-indicator = ";enumdi"
```

The enum-dip-indicator is an optional parameter for the "tel" URI. Note also that enum-dip-indicator can appear at most once in any "tel" URI.

## **4. Normative Rules**

### **4.1. Options for ENUM domain providers**

A domain provider can, at its choosing, populate a NAPTR record with



a tel URI that contains the enum dip indicator. This would, as a consequence of the rules stated below, inform the client that it should not bother performing a query and pass the request on.

#### **4.2. Client behaviour for VoIP network elements**

This section discusses how a VoIP network element handles a received "tel" URI that contains the "enumdi" parameter or has queried ENUM in e164.arpa. for a given E.164 number.

##### **4.2.1. Handling an URI with the "enumdi" parameter**

If a VoIP network element receives a "tel" URI containing the "enumdi" parameter, the VoIP network element SHOULD NOT retrieve the related information for this number from ENUM in e164.arpa. even if it would normally do so.

Note that the recipient network element may reasonably choose to query ENUM if it does not have a trust relationship with the immediate sender of the URI.

If the "tel" URI (received from a trusted entity) is to be passed to the next network element, the VoIP network element MUST pass on the received URI containing the "enumdi" parameter unchanged.

If, however, the URI has been received from an untrusted entity then the recipient entity may either strip it before sending the URI onwards, or instead to carry out its own ENUM query and add the parameter accordingly to the URI (see next).

##### **4.2.2. Adding the "enumdi" parameter to URIs**

When a VoIP network element queries ENUM in e164.arpa. for a given E.164 number and the result of the query is DNS error code 3 (commonly known as "NXDOMAIN"), then if that network element chooses to pass the call to another network element by using a "tel" URI, the "enumdi" parameter MUST be set.

##### **4.2.3. Handling an URI retrieved from ENUM**

When a VoIP network element queries ENUM in e164.arpa. for a given E.164 number and either:

- o the result of the query includes a NAPTR resource record containing a "tel" URI that has the same E.164 number, or
- o the result of the query includes a NAPTR resource record containing a "tel" URI with the "enumdi" parameter set,

then if that retrieved "tel" URI is chosen to be passed to another



network element, the sending VoIP network element MUST pass on the retrieved URI with the "enumdi" parameter set.

When a VoIP network element queries ENUM in e164.arpa. for a given E.164 number and the result is a tel URI with a different E.164 number that lacks the enum dip indicator, the client can either perform another query against that number, or pass the request on, as a matter of local policy.

## 5. Examples

- a. A VoIP network element called server.example.com receives a "tel" URI tel:+441632960038. The VoIP network element queries the DNS for NAPTR resource records in 8.3.0.0.6.9.2.3.6.1.4.4.e164.arpa., and gets an error response with code = 3 (commonly known as "NXDOMAIN"). The VoIP network element decides to route the call to the PSTN via another VoIP network element called gw.example.com.

It therefore signals to the next VoIP network element with:

tel:+441632960038;enumdi

or (using the procedures of [RFC3261](#) [6] [section 19.1.6](#)):

sip:+441632960038;enumdi@gw.example.com;user=phone

- b. A VoIP network element called server.example.com receives a "tel" URI tel:+441632960038. The VoIP network element queries the DNS for NAPTR resource records in 8.3.0.0.6.9.2.3.6.1.4.4.e164.arpa., and receives the same "tel" URI in reply (i.e. tel:+441632960038).

The VoIP network element decides to route the call to the PSTN via another VoIP network element called gw.example.com.

It therefore signals to this next VoIP network element with:

tel:+441632960038;enumdi

or (using the procedures of [RFC3261](#) [6] [section 19.1.6](#)):

sip:+441632960038;enumdi@gw.example.com;user=phone

## 6. Security Considerations

In addition to those security implications discussed in the "tel" URI [\[5\]](#) specification, there are new security implications associated with the defined parameter.





If the "enumdi" is illegally inserted into the "tel" URI when the signalling message carrying the "tel" URI is en route to the destination entity, the call may be routed to the PSTN network, incurring unexpected charges or causing a downstream VoIP network element to reject the call setup. Many network elements that will process URIs containing this parameter will maintain trust relationships with others. If such a URI is received from an entity outside the trust boundary of the recipient, then that recipient entity may reasonably ignore it and make an ENUM query itself. In so doing, it can avoid this potential attack.

It is less a problem if the "enumdi" is illegally removed. An additional ENUM query may be performed to retrieve the routing number information and have the "enumdi" included again.

It is RECOMMENDED that protocols carrying the "tel" URI ensure message integrity during the message transfer between the two communicating network elements so as to detect any unauthorised changes to the content of the "tel" URI and other information.

## **7. IANA Considerations**

This document does not itself require any IANA actions.

It does define a parameter for the "tel" URI. Further information on a registry for such parameters is covered in [draft-ietf-iptel-tel-reg-00](#) [7].

## **8. Acknowledgements**

Many thanks for the thorough review provided by Alex Mayrhofer.

## **9. References**

### **9.1. Normative References**

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), [BCP 14](#), March 1997.
- [2] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", [RFC 4234](#), October 2005.
- [3] ITU-T, "The International Public Telecommunication Number Plan", Recommendation E.164, May 1997.



- [4] Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", [RFC 3761](#), April 2004.
- [5] Schulzrinne, H., "The tel URI for Telephone Numbers", [RFC 3966](#), December 2004.
- [6] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", [RFC 3261](#), June 2002.

## **9.2. Informative References**

- [7] Jennings, C. and V. Gurbani, "The Internet Assigned Number Authority (IANA) tel Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Parameter Registry", [draft-ietf-iptel-tel-reg-00.txt](#) (work in progress), December 2005.



Authors' Addresses

Richard Stastny  
Oefeg  
Postbox 147  
1103 Vienna  
Austria

Phone: +43-664-420-4100  
Email: Richard.stastny@oefeg.at

Richard Shockey  
Neustar Inc.  
46000 Center Oak Plaza  
Sterling, VA 20166  
United States

Phone: +1-571-434-5651  
Email: richard.shockey@neustar.biz

Lawrence Conroy  
Roke Manor Research  
Roke Manor  
Romsey  
United Kingdom

Phone: +44-1794-833666  
Email: lconroy@insensate.co.uk



## Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.



