IPv6 Working Group INTERNET DRAFT Expires: January 2005 J-S. Park ETRI M-K. Shin ETRI/NIST H-J. Kim ETRI July 2004

Link Scoped IPv6 Multicast Addresses <<u>draft-ietf-ipv6-link-scoped-mcast-04.txt</u>>

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, I certify that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which I am aware have been disclosed, and any of which I become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>RFC 3668</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 2005.

Abstract

This document specifies an extension to the multicast addressing architecture of the IPv6 protocol. The extension allows for the use

of interface-IDs to allocate multicast addresses. When the linklocal unicast address is configured at each interface of a host, an interface ID is uniquely determined. By delegating multicast addresses at the same time as the interface ID, each host can identify their multicast addresses automatically at Layer 1 without running an intra- or inter-domain allocation protocol in serverless environments. Basically, it is preferred to use this method for the link-local scope rather than Unicast-Prefix-based IPv6 Multicast Addresses [RFC 3306].

Park et al.

Expires January 2005

[Page 1]

Table of Contents:

<u>1</u> .	Introduction
<u>2</u> .	Applicability
<u>3</u> .	Link scoped multicast address format
<u>4</u> .	Examples4
<u>5</u> .	Considerations4
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations5
<u>7</u> .	Acknowledgments5
<u>8</u> .	References5
Aut	hors' Addresses

1. Introduction

This specification defines an extension to the multicast portion of the IPv6 addressing architecture [RFC 3513]. The current architecture does not contain any built-in support for dynamic address allocation. The extension allows for use of interface-IDs to allocate multicast addresses. When the link-local unicast address is configured at each interface of a host, an interface ID is uniquely determined. By delegating multicast addresses at the same time as the interface ID, each host can identify its multicast addresses automatically without running an intra- or inter-domain allocation protocol in serverless environments.

The current multicast address allocation architecture [RFC 2908] is based on a multi-layered, multi-protocol system. The goal of this proposal is to reduce the number of protocols and servers to get dynamic multicast address allocation.

The use of interface ID-based multicast address allocation will, at a minimum, remove the need to run the Multicast Address-Set Claim (MASC) Protocol [RFC 2909] and the Multicast Address Allocation servers [RFC 2908].

Basically, it is preferred to use this method for the link-local scope rather than Unicast-Prefix-based IPv6 Multicast Addresses [RFC 3306]. This document restricts the usage of defined fields such as scope, plen and network prefix field in [RFC 3306]. Therefore, this document specifies encoded information for link-

local scope in the multicast addresses.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

Park et al. Expires January 2005 [Page 2]

2. Applicability

The allocation technique in this document is designed to be used in any environment in which link-local scope IPv6 multicast addresses are assigned or selected. Especially, this method goes well with nodes supplying multicast services in a zeroconf environment. For example, multicast addresses less than or equal to link-local scope are themselves generated by nodes supplying multicast services.

Consequently, this technique MUST be used for link scoped multicast addresses. If you want to use multicast addresses greater than link- local, you need other methods such as [RFC 3306].

3. Link scoped multicast address format

Section 2.7 of [EFC 3513] defines the following operational format of IPv6 multicast addresses:

	8		4	4	112		
+		-+-	+ -	+ -		+	
11111111 flgs scop					group ID	L	
++							

Figure 1: Generic IPv6 multicast address format

This document introduces new formats that incorporate interface ID information in the multicast address. The idea of delegating multicast addresses at the same time as the interface ID can be applicable to link-local.

Figure 2 illustrates the new format for link scoped multicast addresses. That is, if the scope of the multicast address is linklocal scope, it is this format.

	8	4	4		16		64		32	
+		+	-+	-+-		-+-		+		+
11	11111	L1 flg	s sco	p	reserved	Ι	Interface ID		group ID	
+		+	-+	-+-		-+-		+		+

Figure 2: link scoped multicast IPv6 address format

+-+-+-+ flgs is a set of 4 flags: |0|0|P|T| +-+-+-+

o P = 0 indicates a multicast address that is not assigned on the basis of the interface ID.
o P = 1 indicates a multicast address that is assigned on the basis of the interface ID.
o If P = 1, T MUST be set to 1, otherwise the setting of

Park et al. Expires January 2005 [Page 3]

the T bit is defined in <u>Section 2.7 of [RFC 2373]</u>.

flgs should use the same flag defined in section 4 of [RFC 3306]. That is, this document proposes the third bit of 'flqs' field to indicate an Interface ID-based multicast addresses.

scop MUST be <= 2. It is preferred to use this method for the linklocal scope rather than Unicast-Prefix-based IPv6 Multicast Addresses [RFC 3306].

The reserved field MUST be zero which maps to a plen of zero in RFC 3306.

Interface ID field is used to distinguish each host from others. And this value is obtained from the IEEE EUI-64 based interface identifier of the link-local unicast IPv6 address. Given the use of this method for link-local scope, the interface ID embedded in the multicast address SHOULD come from the interface ID of the link-local unicast address on the interface after DAD has completed. That is, the creation of the multicast address MUST occur after DAD has completed as part of the auto-config process.

Group ID is generated to indicate multicast application and is used to guarantee its uniqueness only in the host. It may also be set on the basis of the guidelines outlined in [RFC 3307].

The lifetime of an Interface ID-based multicast address has no dependency on the Valid Lifetime field in the Prefix Information option, corresponding to the unicast address being used, contained in the Router Advertisement message [RFC 2461].

4. Examples

This is an example of an interface ID-based multicast address with link-local scope. For example in an Ethernet environment, if the link-local unicast address is FE80::a12:34ff:fe56:7890, the multicast prefix of the host is FF32:0:a12:34ff:fe56:7890::/96.

<u>5</u>. Considerations

It is preferred to use this method for scop <= 2 rather than Unicast-Prefix-based IPv6 Multicast Addresses [<u>RFC 3306</u>]. This document considers only link scoped multicast addresses. For this purpose, scop field is used shown in figure 2.

The link scoped multicast address format supports source-specific multicast addresses by the same method, as defined by [RFC 3306].

Note that if an SSM implementation checks for FF3x::/32, not FF3x::/96, the other nodes not implementing this specification will

Park et al.

Expires January 2005

[Page 4]

interpret the link-local multicast addresses generated using this specification as SSM addresses, since the document uses the reserved field in such a fashion that plen=0 [RFC 3306]. In order to avoid this conflict, we recommend SSM implementations must check for FF3x::/96, as described in Allocation Guidelines for IPv6 Multicast Addresses [RFC 3307] section 3.

6. Security Considerations

[RFC 3041] describes the privacy extension to IPv6 stateless address autoconfiguration for an interface ID. The interface ID, generated by [RFC 3041], is also used in this method since the uniqueness is verified by DAD procedure as part of the secure autoconfig process.

Using source-specific multicast addresses can sometimes aid in the prevention of denial-of-service attacks by arbitrary sources, although no guarantee is provided. A more in-depth discussion of the security considerations for SSM can be found in [SSM ARCH].

7. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dave Thaler and Brian Haberman for his comments related to the consistency between the unicast prefixbased multicast draft and this one. Special thanks are due to Erik Nordmark and Pekka Savola for valuable comments.

8. References

Normative

- [RFC 2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC 3041] T. Narten and R. Draves, "Privacy Extensions for Stateless Address Autoconfiguration in IPv6," <u>RFC 3041</u>, April 2001.

- [RFC 3306] B. Haberman and D. Thaler, "Unicast-Prefix-based IPv6 Multicast Addresses," <u>RFC 3306</u>, August 2002.
- [RFC 3307] B. Haberman, "Allocation Guidelines for IPv6 Multicast Addresses," <u>RFC 3307</u>, August 2002.
- [RFC 3513] R. Hinden and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", <u>RFC 3513</u>, April 2003.

Informative

Park et al.Expires January 2005[Page 5]

- [RFC 2461] T. Narten, E. Nordmark and W. Simpson, "Neighbor Discovery for IP Version 6 (IPv6)", RFC 2461, December 1998.
- [RFC 2908] D. Thaler, M. Handley and D. Estrin, "The Internet Multicast Address Allocation Architecture," RFC 2908, September 2000.
- [RFC 2909] P. Radoslavov, D. Estrin, R. Govindan, M. Handley, S. Kumar, and D. Thaler, "The Multicast Address-Set Claim (MASC) Protocol", <u>RFC 2909</u>, September 2000.
- [SSM ARCH] H. Holbrook and B. Cain, "Source-Specific Multicast for IP", Work In Progress, October 2003.

Authors' Addresses

Jung-Soo Park ETRI PEC 161 Gajeong-Dong, Yuseong-Gu, Daejon 305-600, Korea Phone: +82 42 860 6514 Email: jspark@pec.etri.re.kr

Myung-Ki Shin ETRI/NIST 820 West Diamond Avenue Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA Tel : +1 301 975-3613 Fax : +1 301 590-0932 E-mail : mshin@nist.gov

Hyoung-Jun Kim ETRI PEC 161 Gajeong-Dong, Yuseong-Gu, Daejon 305-600, Korea Phone: +82 42 860 6576 Email: khj@etri.re.kr

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Park et al. Expires January 2005

[Page 6]

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietfipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Park et al. Expires January 2005

[Page 7]