ISIS WG Internet Draft

Jean-Philippe Vasseur Stefano Previdi Cisco Systems

Proposed Status : Standard Expires: November 2005

May 2005

#### Definition of an IS-IS Link Attribute sub-TLV

draft-ietf-isis-link-attr-01.txt

### Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

### Abstract

This document defines a sub-TLV called "Link-attributes" carried within the TLV 22 and used to flood some link characteristics.

#### Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119 [i].

## Table of contents

1.	Introduction2
2.	Link-attributes sub-TLV format2
	Interoperability with routers non supporting this capability3
<u>4</u> .	Security considerations3
<u>5</u> .	IANA considerations3
<u>6</u> .	Intellectual Property Considerations3
<u>7</u> .	Acknowledgments4
<u>8</u> .	References4
	8.1 Normative references4
	8.2 Informative references4
<u>9</u> .	Authors' Addresses5
Fu.	ll Copyright Statement <u>5</u>

## Introduction

[IS-IS] specifies the IS-IS protocol (ISO 10589) with extensions to support IPv4 in [IS-IS-IP]. A router advertises one or several Link State Protocol data units which are composed of variable length tuples called TLVs (Type-Length-Value).

[IS-IS-TE] defines a set of new TLVs whose aims are to add more information about links characteristics, increase the range of IS-IS metrics and optimize the encoding of IS-IS prefixes.

This document defines a new sub-TLV named "Link-attributes" carried within the extended IS reachability TLV (type 22) specified in [IS-IS-TE].

#### 2. Link-attributes sub-TLV format

The link-attribute sub-TLV is carried within the TLV 22 and has a format identical to the sub-TLV format used by the Traffic Engineering Extensions for IS-IS [IS-IS-TE]: 1 octet of sub-type, 1 octet of length of the value field of the sub-TLV followed by the value field in this case, a 16 bit flags field.

The Link-attribute sub-type is 19 (to be assigned by IANA) and has a length of 2 octets.

This sub-TLV is OPTIONAL and MAY appear at most once for a single IS neighbor.

The following bits are defined:

Local Protection Available (0x01). When set, this indicates that the link is protected by means of some local protection mechanism (e.g [FRR]).

Link excluded from local protection path (0x02). When set, this link SHOULD not be included in any computation of a repair path by any other router in the routing area. The triggers for setting up this bit are out of the scope of this document.

Such link characteristics has several applications such as constrained shortest path computation for a Traffic Engineering Label Switched (TE LSP) path or the triggering of specific actions in the context of IS-IS SPF computation.

Local maintenance required (0x04). When set, this indicates that the link will be put out of service and will consequently be shortly unavailable. The set of actions triggered by other nodes is out of the scope of this document. An example of the usage of this bit is provided in [GR-SHUT].

## 3. Interoperability with routers non supporting this capability

A router not supporting the link-attribute sub-TLV MUST just silently ignore this sub-TLV.

Where the information in the link attributes sub-TLV is used to affect the IS-IS SPF calculation, additional information indicating which routers are using this information is required to insure such usage does not result in loops or black holes. How this additional information is conveyed is outside the scope of this document.

## 4. Security considerations

No new security issues are raised in this document.

### **5**. IANA considerations

IANA will assign a new codepoint for the link-attribute sub-TLV defined in this document and carried within TLV 22. Suggested value is 19 (to be assigned by IANA).

# **6**. Intellectual Property Considerations

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information

on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in  $\underline{BCP}$  78 and  $\underline{BCP}$  79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ipr">http://www.ietf.org/ipr</a>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

## 7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Mike Shand and Les Ginsberg for their useful comments.

#### 8. References

## 8.1 Normative references

[RFC] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119.

[IS-IS] "Intermediate System to Intermediate System Intra-Domain Routeing Exchange Protocol for use in Conjunction with the Protocol for Providing the Connectionless-mode Network Service (ISO 8473)", ISO 10589.

[IS-IS-IP] Callon, R., <u>RFC 1195</u>, "Use of OSI IS-IS for routing in TCP/IP and dual environments", <u>RFC 1195</u>, December 1990.

[IS-IS-TE] H. Smit, T. Li, "IS-IS extensions for traffic engineering", <u>RFC 3784</u>.

## **8.2** Informative references

[FRR] Ping Pan, et al, "Fast Reroute Extensions to RSVP-TE for LSP Tunnels", <u>draft-ietf-mpls-rsvp-lsp-fastreroute-07.txt</u>. Work in progress.

[GR-SHUT], Z. Ali et al, "Graceful Shutdown in MPLS Traffic Engineering Networks", <a href="mailto:draft-ali-ccamp-mpls-graceful-shutdown-01.txt">draft-ali-ccamp-mpls-graceful-shutdown-01.txt</a>. Work in progress.

# 9. Authors' Addresses

Jean-Philippe Vasseur CISCO Systems, Inc. 300 Beaver Brook Boxborough, MA 01719 USA Email: jpv@cisco.com

Stefano Previdi CISCO Systems, Inc. Via Del Serafico 200 00142 - Roma ITALY Email: sprevidi@cisco.com

# Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.