ISMS
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: July 31, 2010

W. Hardaker
Sparta, Inc.

January 27, 2010

Transport Layer Security (TLS) Transport Model for SNMP
draft-ietf-isms-dtls-tm-06.txt

Abstract

This document describes a Transport Model for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), that uses either the Transport Layer Security protocol or the Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) protocol. The TLS and DTLS protocols provide authentication and privacy services for SNMP applications. This document describes how the TLS Transport Model (TLSTM) implements the needed features of a SNMP Transport Subsystem to make this protection possible in an interoperable way.

This transport model is designed to meet the security and operational needs of network administrators. It supports sending of SNMP messages over TLS/TCP, DTLS/UDP and DTLS/SCTP. The TLS mode can make use of TCP's improved support for larger packet sizes and the DTLS mode provides potentially superior operation in environments where a connectionless (e.g. UDP or SCTP) transport is preferred. Both TLS and DTLS integrate well into existing public keying infrastructures.

This document also defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols. In particular it defines objects for managing the TLS Transport Model for SNMP.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at

http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 31, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

It is important to understand the modular SNMPv3 architecture as defined by [RFC3411] and enhanced by the Transport Subsystem [RFC5590]. It is also important to understand the terminology of the SNMPv3 architecture in order to understand where the Transport Model described in this document fits into the architecture and how it interacts with the other architecture subsystems. For a detailed overview of the documents that describe the current Internet-Standard Management Framework, please refer to Section 7 of [RFC3410].

This document describes a Transport Model that makes use of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) [RFC5246] and the Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Protocol [RFC4347], within a transport subsystem [RFC5590]. DTLS is the datagram variant of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol [RFC5246]. The Transport Model in this document is referred to as the Transport Layer Security Transport Model (TLSTM). TLS and DTLS take advantage of the X.509 public keying infrastructure [RFC5280]. While (D)TLS supports multiple authentication mechanisms, this document only discusses X.509 certificate based authentication. Although other forms of authentication are possible they are outside the scope of this specification. This transport model is designed to meet the security and operational needs of network administrators, operating in both environments where a connectionless (e.g. UDP or SCTP) transport is preferred and in environments where large quantities of data need to be sent (e.g. over a TCP based stream). Both TLS and DTLS integrate well into existing public keying infrastructures. This document supports sending of SNMP messages over TLS/TCP, DTLS/UDP and DTLS/SCTP.

This document also defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols. In particular it defines objects for managing the TLS Transport Model for SNMP.

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. MIB objects are generally accessed through the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP). Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the Structure of Management Information (SMI). This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2, which is described in STD 58: [RFC2578], [RFC2579] and [RFC2580].

The diagram shown below gives a conceptual overview of two SNMP entities communicating using the TLS Transport Model. One entity contains a command responder and notification originator application, and the other a command generator and notification responder application. It should be understood that this particular mix of

application types is an example only and other combinations are equally valid. Note: this diagram shows the Transport Security Model (TSM) being used as the security model which is defined in [RFC5591].





1.1. Conventions

For consistency with SNMP-related specifications, this document favors terminology as defined in STD 62, rather than favoring terminology that is consistent with non-SNMP specifications. This is consistent with the IESG decision to not require the SNMPv3 terminology be modified to match the usage of other non-SNMP specifications when SNMPv3 was advanced to Full Standard.

"Authentication" in this document typically refers to the English meaning of "serving to prove the authenticity of" the message, not data source authentication or peer identity authentication.

The terms "manager" and "agent" are not used in this document because, in the [RFC3411] architecture, all SNMP entities have the capability of acting as manager, agent, or both depending on the SNMP application types supported in the implementation. Where distinction is required, the application names of command generator, command responder, notification originator, notification receiver, and proxy forwarder are used. See "SNMP Applications" [RFC3413] for further information.

Large portions of this document simultaneously refer to both TLS and DTLS when discussing TLSTM components that function equally with either protocol. "(D)TLS" is used in these places to indicate that the statement applies to either or both protocols as appropriate. When a distinction between the protocols is needed they are referred to independently through the use of "TLS" or "DTLS". The Transport Model, however, is named "TLS Transport Model" and refers not to the TLS or DTLS protocol but to the standard defined in this document, which includes support for both TLS and DTLS.

Throughout this document, the terms "client" and "server" are used to refer to the two ends of the (D)TLS transport connection. The client actively opens the (D)TLS connection, and the server passively listens for the incoming (D)TLS connection. An SNMP entity may act as a (D)TLS client or server or both, depending on the SNMP applications supported.

The User-Based Security Model (USM) [RFC3414] is a mandatory-to-implement Security Model in STD 62. While (D)TLS and USM frequently

refer to a user, the terminology preferred in RFC3411 and in this memo is "principal". A principal is the "who" on whose behalf services are provided or processing takes place. A principal can be, among other things, an individual acting in a particular role; a set of individuals, with each acting in a particular role; an application or a set of applications, or a combination of these within an administrative domain.

Throughout this document, the term "session" is used to refer to a secure association between two TLS Transport Models that permits the transmission of one or more SNMP messages within the lifetime of the session. The (D)TLS protocols also have an internal notion of a session and although these two concepts of a session are related, this document (unless otherwise specified) is referring to TLSTM's specific session and not directly to the (D)TLS protocol's session.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. The Transport Layer Security Protocol

(D)TLS provides authentication, data message integrity, and privacy at the transport layer. (See [RFC4347])

The primary goals of the TLS Transport Model are to provide privacy, peer identity authentication and data integrity between two communicating SNMP entities. The TLS and DTLS protocols provide a secure transport upon which the TLSTM is based. An overview of (D)TLS can be found in section Appendix A. Please refer to [RFC5246] and [RFC4347] for complete descriptions of the protocols.

3. How the TLSTM fits into the Transport Subsystem

A transport model is a component of the Transport Subsystem. The TLS Transport Model thus fits between the underlying (D)TLS transport layer and the Message Dispatcher [RFC3411] component of the SNMP engine and the Transport Subsystem.

The TLS Transport Model will establish a session between itself and the TLS Transport Model of another SNMP engine. The sending transport model passes unencrypted and unauthenticated messages from the Dispatcher to (D)TLS to be encrypted and authenticated, and the receiving transport model accepts decrypted and authenticated/ integrity-checked incoming messages from (D)TLS and passes them to the Dispatcher.

After a TLS Transport Model session is established, SNMP messages can conceptually be sent through the session from one SNMP message Dispatcher to another SNMP Message Dispatcher. If multiple SNMP messages are needed to be passed between two SNMP applications they MAY be passed through the same session. A TLSTM implementation engine MAY choose to close a (D)TLS session to conserve resources.

The TLS Transport Model of an SNMP engine will perform the translation between (D)TLS-specific security parameters and SNMP-specific, model-independent parameters.

The diagram below depicts where the TLS Transport Model fits into the architecture described in RFC3411 and the Transport Subsystem:





3.1. Security Capabilities of this Model

3.1.1. Threats

The TLS Transport Model provides protection against the threats identified by the RFC 3411 architecture [RFC3411]:

1. Modification of Information - The modification threat is the danger that an unauthorized entity may alter in-transit SNMP messages generated on behalf of an authorized principal in such a way as to effect unauthorized management operations, including falsifying the value of an object.

   (D)TLS provides verification that the content of each received message has not been modified during its transmission through the network, data has not been altered or destroyed in an unauthorized manner, and data sequences have not been altered to an extent greater than can occur non-maliciously.

2. Masquerade - The masquerade threat is the danger that management operations unauthorized for a given principal may be attempted by assuming the identity of another principal that has the appropriate authorizations.

   The TLSTM verifies of the identity of the (D)TLS server through the use of the (D)TLS protocol and X.509 certificates. The TLS Transport Model MUST support authentication of both the server and the client.

3. Message stream modification - The re-ordering, delay or replay of messages can and does occur through the natural operation of many connectionless transport services. The message stream modification threat is the danger that messages may be maliciously re-ordered, delayed or replayed to an extent which is greater than can occur through the natural operation of connectionless transport services, in order to effect unauthorized management operations.

   (D)TLS provides replay protection with a MAC that includes a sequence number. Since UDP provides no sequencing ability, DTLS uses a sliding window protocol with the sequence number used for replay protection (see [RFC4347]).

4. Disclosure - The disclosure threat is the danger of eavesdropping on the exchanges between SNMP engines.

   (D)TLS provides protection against the disclosure of information to unauthorized recipients or eavesdroppers by allowing for encryption of all traffic between SNMP engines. The TLS Transport Model SHOULD support the message encryption to protect sensitive data from eavesdropping attacks.

5. Denial of Service - the RFC 3411 architecture [RFC3411] states that denial of service (DoS) attacks need not be addressed by an SNMP security protocol. However, datagram-based security protocols like DTLS are susceptible to a variety of denial of service attacks because they are more vulnerable to spoofed messages.

   In order to counter these attacks, DTLS borrows the stateless cookie technique used by Photuris [RFC2522] and IKEv2 [RFC4306] and is described fully in section 4.2.1 of [RFC4347]. This mechanism, though, does not provide any defense against denial of service attacks mounted from valid IP addresses. DTLS Transport Model server implementations MUST support DTLS cookies.

   Implementations are not required to perform the stateless cookie exchange for every DTLS handshake, but in environments where an overload on server side resources is detectable by the implementation it is RECOMMENDED that the cookie exchange is utilized by the implementation.

See Section 9 for more detail on the security considerations associated with the TLSTM and these security threats.

3.1.2. Message Protection

The RFC 3411 architecture recognizes three levels of security:

- without authentication and without privacy (noAuthNoPriv)
- with authentication but without privacy (authNoPriv)
- with authentication and with privacy (authPriv)

The TLS Transport Model determines from (D)TLS the identity of the authenticated principal, the transport type and the transport address associated with an incoming message. The TLS Transport Model provides the identity and destination type and address to (D)TLS for outgoing messages.

When an application requests a session for a message it also requests a security level for that session. The TLS Transport Model MUST ensure that the (D)TLS session provides security at least as high as the requested level of security. How the security level is translated into the algorithms used to provide data integrity and privacy is implementation-dependent. However, the NULL integrity and encryption algorithms MUST NOT be used to fulfill security level requests for authentication or privacy. Implementations MAY choose to force (D)TLS to only allow cipher_suites that provide both authentication and privacy to guarantee this assertion.

If a suitable interface between the TLS Transport Model and the (D)TLS Handshake Protocol is implemented to allow the selection of security level dependent algorithms (for example a security level to cipher_suites mapping table) then different security levels may be utilized by the application.

The authentication, integrity and privacy algorithms used by the (D)TLS Protocols may vary over time as the science of cryptography continues to evolve and the development of (D)TLS continues over time. Implementers are encouraged to plan for changes in operator trust of particular algorithms. Implementations should offer configuration settings for mapping algorithms to SNMPv3 security levels.

3.1.3. (D)TLS Sessions

(D)TLS sessions are opened by the TLS Transport Model during the elements of procedure for an outgoing SNMP message. Since the sender of a message initiates the creation of a (D)TLS session if needed, the (D)TLS session will already exist for an incoming message.

Implementations MAY choose to instantiate (D)TLS sessions in anticipation of outgoing messages. This approach might be useful to ensure that a (D)TLS session to a given target can be established before it becomes important to send a message over the (D)TLS session. Of course, there is no guarantee that a pre-established session will still be valid when needed.

DTLS sessions, when used over UDP, are uniquely identified within the TLS Transport Model by the combination of transportDomain, transportAddress, tmSecurityName, and requestedSecurityLevel associated with each session. Each unique combination of these parameters MUST have a locally-chosen unique tlstmSessionID for each active session. For further information see Section 5. TLS over TCP and DTLS over SCTP sessions, on the other hand, do not require a unique pairing of address and port attributes since their lower layer protocols (TCP and SCTP) already provide adequate session framing. But they must still provide a unique tlstmSessionID for referencing the session.

As an implementation hint: although the tlstmSessionID may be the same as the (D)TLS internal SessionID caution must be exercised since the (D)TLS internal SessionID may change over the life of the connection as seen by the TLSTM (for example during renegotiation). The tlstmSessionID identifier MUST NOT change during the entire duration of the session from the TLSTM's perspective even if the TLS internal session identifier does change.

3.2. Security Parameter Passing

For the (D)TLS server-side, (D)TLS-specific security parameters (i.e., cipher_suites, X.509 certificate fields, IP address and port) are translated by the TLS Transport Model into security parameters for the TLS Transport Model and security model (e.g., tmSecurityLevel, tmSecurityName, transportDomain, transportAddress). The transport-related and (D)TLS-security-related information, including the authenticated identity, are stored in a cache referenced by tmStateReference.

For the (D)TLS client-side, the TLS Transport Model takes input provided by the Dispatcher in the sendMessage() Abstract Service Interface (ASI) and input from the tmStateReference cache. The (D)TLS Transport Model converts that information into suitable security parameters for (D)TLS and establishes sessions as needed.

The elements of procedure in Section 5 discuss these concepts in much greater detail.

3.3. Notifications and Proxy

(D)TLS sessions may be initiated by (D)TLS clients on behalf of SNMP appplications that initiate communications, such as command generators, notification originators, proxy forwarders. Command generators are frequently operated by a human, but notification originators and proxy forwarders are usually unmanned automated processes. The targets to whom notifications and proxied requests should be sent is typically determined and configured by a network administrator.

The SNMP-TARGET-MIB module [RFC3413] contains objects for defining management targets, including transportDomain, transportAddress, securityName, securityModel, and securityLevel parameters, for notification originator, proxy forwarder, and SNMP-controllable command generator applications. Transport domains and transport addresses are configured in the snmpTargetAddrTable, and the securityModel, securityName, and securityLevel parameters are configured in the snmpTargetParamsTable. This document defines a MIB module that extends the SNMP-TARGET-MIB's snmpTargetParamsTable to specify a (D)TLS client-side certificate to use for the connection.

When configuring a (D)TLS target, the snmpTargetAddrTDomain and snmpTargetAddrTAddress parameters in snmpTargetAddrTable should be set to the snmpTLSTCPDomain, snmpDTLSUDPDomain, or snmpDTLSSCTPDomain object and an appropriate snmpTLSAddress value. When used with the SNMPv3 message processing model, the snmpTargetParamsMPModel column of the snmpTargetParamsTable should be set to a value of 3. The snmpTargetParamsSecurityName should be set to an appropriate securityName value and the tlstmParamsClientFingerprint parameter of the tlstmParamsTable should be set a value that refers to a locally held certificate to be used. Other parameters, for example cryptographic configuration such as which cipher suites to use, must come from configuration mechanisms not defined in this document.

The securityName defined in the snmpTargetParamsSecurityName column will be used by the access control model to authorize any notifications that need to be sent.

4. Elements of the Model

This section contains definitions required to realize the (D)TLS Transport Model defined by this document.

4.1. X.509 Certificates

(D)TLS can make use of X.509 certificates for authentication of both sides of the transport. This section discusses the use of X.509 certificates in the TLSTM. A brief overview of X.509 certificate infrastructure can be found in Appendix B.

While (D)TLS supports multiple authentication mechanisms, this document only discusses X.509 certificate based authentication. Although other forms of authentication are possible they are outside the scope of this specification. TLSTM implementations are REQUIRED to support X.509 certificates.

4.1.1. Provisioning for the Certificate

Authentication using (D)TLS will require that SNMP entities are provisioned with certificates, which are signed by trusted certificate authorities (possibly the certificate itself). Furthermore, SNMP entities will most commonly need to be provisioned with root certificates which represent the list of trusted certificate authorities that an SNMP entity can use for certificate verification. SNMP entities SHOULD also be provisioned with a X.509 certificate revocation mechanism which can be used to verify that a certificate has not been revoked. Trusted public keys from either CA certificates and/or self-signed certificates, MUST be installed into the server through a trusted out of band mechanism and their authenticity MUST be verified before access is granted.

Having received a certificate from a connecting TLSTM client, the authenticated tmSecurityName of the principal is derived using the tlstmCertToTSNTable. This table allows mapping of incoming connections to tmSecurityNames through defined transformations. The transformations defined in the TLSTM-MIB include:

- Mapping a certificate's subjectAltName or CommonName components to a tmSecurityName, or

- Mapping a certificate's fingerprint value to a directly specified tmSecurityName

As an implementation hint: implementations may choose to discard any connections for which no potential tlstmCertToTSNTable mapping exists before performing certificate verification to avoid expending computational resources associated with certificate verification.

Enterprise configurations are encouraged to map a "subjectAltName" component of the X.509 certificate to the TLSTM specific tmSecurityName. The authenticated identity can be obtained by the

TLS Transport Model by extracting the subjectAltName(s) from the peer's certificate. The receiving application will then have an appropriate tmSecurityName for use by other SNMPv3 components like an access control model.

An example of this type of mapping setup can be found in Appendix C.

This tmSecurityName may be later translated from a TLSTM specific tmSecurityName to a SNMP engine securityName by the security model. A security model, like the TSM security model [RFC5591], may perform an identity mapping or a more complex mapping to derive the securityName from the tmSecurityName offered by the TLS Transport Model.

A pictorial view of the complete transformation process (using the TSM security model for the example) is shown below:



4.2. Messages

As stated in Section 4.1.1 of [RFC4347], each DTLS record must fit within a single DTLS datagram. The TLSTM SHOULD prohibit SNMP messages from being sent that exceeds the maximum DTLS message size. The TLSTM implementation SHOULD return an error when the DTLS message size would be exceeded and the message won't be sent.

4.3. SNMP Services

This section describes the services provided by the TLS Transport Model with their inputs and outputs. The services are between the Transport Model and the Dispatcher.

The services are described as primitives of an abstract service interface (ASI) and the inputs and outputs are described as abstract data elements as they are passed in these abstract service primitives.

4.3.1. SNMP Services for an Outgoing Message

The Dispatcher passes the information to the TLS Transport Model using the ASI defined in the transport subsystem:

```
   statusInformation =
   sendMessage(
   IN   destTransportDomain          -- transport domain to be used
   IN   destTransportAddress         -- transport address to be used
   IN   outgoingMessage              -- the message to send
   IN   outgoingMessageLength        -- its length
   IN   tmStateReference             -- reference to transport state
    )
```

The abstract data elements returned from or passed as parameters into the abstract service primitives are as follows:

statusInformation: An indication of whether the sending of the message was successful. If not, it is an indication of the problem.

destTransportDomain: The transport domain for the associated destTransportAddress. The Transport Model uses this parameter to determine the transport type of the associated destTransportAddress. This document specifies the snmpTLSDomain, the snmpDTLSUDPDomain and the snmpDTLSSCTPDomain transport domains.

destTransportAddress: The transport address of the destination TLS Transport Model in a format specified by the SnmpTLSAddress TEXTUAL-CONVENTION.

outgoingMessage: The outgoing message to send to (D)TLS for encapsulation and transmission.

outgoingMessageLength: The length of the outgoingMessage field.

tmStateReference: A reference to tmState to be used when securing outgoing messages.

4.3.2. SNMP Services for an Incoming Message

The TLS Transport Model processes the received message from the network using the (D)TLS service and then passes it to the Dispatcher using the following ASI:

```
statusInformation =
receiveMessage(
IN   transportDomain              -- origin transport domain
IN   transportAddress             -- origin transport address
IN   incomingMessage              -- the message received
IN   incomingMessageLength        -- its length
IN   tmStateReference             -- reference to transport state
 )
```

The abstract data elements returned from or passed as parameters into the abstract service primitives are as follows:

statusInformation: An indication of whether the passing of the message was successful. If not, it is an indication of the problem.

transportDomain: The transport domain for the associated transportAddress. This document specifies the snmpTLSDomain, the snmpDTLSUDPDomain and the snmpDTLSSCTPDomain transport domains.

transportAddress: The transport address of the source of the received message in a format specified by the SnmpTLSAddress TEXTUAL-CONVENTION.

incomingMessage: The whole SNMP message after being processed by (D)TLS and the (D)TLS transport layer data has been removed.

incomingMessageLength: The length of the incomingMessage field.

tmStateReference: A reference to tmSecurityData to be used by the security model.

4.4. Cached Information and References

When performing SNMP processing, there are two levels of state information that may need to be retained: the immediate state linking a request-response pair, and potentially longer-term state relating to transport and security. "Transport Subsystem for the Simple Network Management Protocol" [RFC5590] defines general requirements for caches and references.

4.4.1. TLS Transport Model Cached Information

The TLS Transport Model has specific responsibilities regarding the cached information. See the Elements of Procedure in Section 5 for detailed processing instructions on the use of the tmStateReference fields by the TLS Transport Model.

4.4.1.1. tmSecurityName

The tmSecurityName MUST be a human-readable name (in snmpAdminString format) representing the identity that has been set according to the procedures in Section 5. The tmSecurityName MUST be constant for all traffic passing through an TLSTM session. Messages MUST NOT be sent through an existing (D)TLS session that was established using a different tmSecurityName.

On the (D)TLS server side of a connection the tmSecurityName is derived using the procedures described in Section 5.3 and the TLSTM-MIB's tlstmCertToTSNTable DESCRIPTION clause.

On the (D)TLS client side of a connection the tmSecurityName is presented to the TLS Transport Model by the application (possibly because of configuration specified in the SNMP-TARGET-MIB).

The securityName MAY be derived from the tmSecurityName by a Security Model and MAY be used to configure notifications and access controls in MIB modules. Transport Models SHOULD generate a predictable tmSecurityName so operators will know what to use when configuring MIB modules that use securityNames derived from tmSecurityNames.

4.4.1.2. tmSessionID

The tmSessionID MUST be recorded per message at the time of receipt. When tmSameSecurity is set, the recorded tmSessionID can be used to determine whether the (D)TLS session available for sending a corresponding outgoing message is the same (D)TLS session as was used when receiving the incoming message (e.g., a response to a request).

4.4.1.3. Session State

The per-session state that is referenced by tmStateReference may be saved across multiple messages in a Local Configuration Datastore. Additional session/connection state information might also be stored in a Local Configuration Datastore.

5. Elements of Procedure

Abstract service interfaces have been defined by [RFC3411] and further augmented by [RFC5590] to describe the conceptual data flows between the various subsystems within an SNMP entity. The TLSTM uses some of these conceptual data flows when communicating between subsystems.

To simplify the elements of procedure, the release of state

information is not always explicitly specified. As a general rule, if state information is available when a message gets discarded, the message-state information should also be released. If state information is available when a session is closed, the session state information should also be released. Sensitive information, like cryptographic keys, should be overwritten appropriately prior to being released.

An error indication in statusInformation will typically include the Object Identifier (OID) and value for an incremented error counter. This may be accompanied by the requested securityLevel and the tmStateReference. Per-message context information is not accessible to Transport Models, so for the returned counter OID and value, contextEngine would be set to the local value of snmpEngineID and contextName to the default context for error counters.

5.1. Procedures for an Incoming Message

This section describes the procedures followed by the (D)TLS Transport Model when it receives a (D)TLS protected packet. The required functionality is broken into two different sections.

Section 5.1.1 describes the processing required for de-multiplexing multiple DTLS sessions, which is specifically needed for DTLS over UDP sessions. It is assumed that TLS and DTLS/SCP protocol implementations already provide appropriate message demultiplexing.

Section 5.1.2describes the transport processing required once the (D)TLS processing has been completed. This will be needed for all (D)TLS-based sessions.

5.1.1. DTLS Processing for Incoming Messages

DTLS over UDP is significantly different in terms of session handling than when TLS or DTLS is run over session based streaming protocols like TCP or SCTP. Specifically, the DTLS protocol, when run over UDP, does not have a session identifier that allows implementations to determine through which session a packet arrived. It is critical, however, that implementations are always able to derive a tlstmSessionID from any session demultiplexing process. When establishing a new session implementations MUST use a different UDP source port number for each active connection to a remote destination IP-address/port-number combination to ensure the remote entity can easily disambiguate between multiple sessions from a host to the same port on a server.

A process for demultiplexing multiple DTLS sessions arriving over UDP must be incorporated into the procedures for processing an incoming

message. The steps in this section describe one possible method to accomplish this, although any implementation-dependent method should be suitable as long as the results are deterministic. The important output results from the steps in this process are the transportDomain, the transportAddress, the wholeMessage, the wholeMessageLength, and a unique implementation-dependent session identifier (tlstmSessionID).

This demultiplexing procedure assumes that upon session establishment an entry in a local transport mapping table is created in the Transport Model's Local Configuration Datastore (LCD). The transport mapping table's entry should map a unique combination of the remote address, remote port number, local address and local port number to an implementation-dependent tlstmSessionID.

1) The TLS Transport Model examines the raw UDP message, in an implementation-dependent manner.

2) The TLS Transport Model queries the LCD using the transport parameters (source and destination addresses and ports) to determine if a session already exists.

   If a matching entry in the LCD does not exist then the message is passed to DTLS for processing without a corresponding tlstmSessionID. The incoming packet may result in a new session being established if the receiving entity is acting as a DTLS server. If DTLS returns success then stop processing of this message. If DTLS returns an error then increment the snmpTlstmSessionNoSessions counter and stop processing the message.

   Note that an entry would already exist if the client and server's session establishment procedures had been successfully completed previously (as described both above and in Section 5.3) even if no message had yet been sent through the newly established session. An entry may not exist, however, if a message not intended the SNMP entity was routed to it by mistake. An entry might also be missing because of a "broken" session (see operational considerations).

3) Retrieve the tlstmSessionID from the LCD.

4) The UDP packet and the tlstmSessionID are passed to DTLS for integrity checking and decryption.

   If the message fails integrity checks or other (D)TLS security processing then increment the tlstmDTLSProtectionErrors counter, discard and stop processing the message.

5) DTLS should return an incomingMessage and an incomingMessageLength. These results and the tlstmSessionID are used below in Section 5.1.2 to complete the processing of the incoming message.

5.1.2. Transport Processing for Incoming SNMP Messages

The procedures in this section describe how the TLS Transport Model should process messages that have already been properly extracted from the (D)TLS stream. Note that care must be taken when processing messages originating from either TLS or DTLS to ensure they're complete and single. For example, multiple SNMP messages can be passed through a single DTLS message and partial SNMP messages may be received from a TLS stream. These steps describe the processing of a singular SNMP message after it has been delivered from the (D)TLS stream.

1) Create a tmStateReference cache for the subsequent reference and assign the following values within it:

   tmTransportDomain = snmpTLSTCPDomain, snmpDTLSUDPDomain or snmpDTLSSCTPDomain as appropriate.

   tmTransportAddress = The address the message originated from.

   tmSecurityLevel = The derived tmSecurityLevel for the session, as discussed in Section 3.1.2 and Section 5.3.

   tmSecurityName = The derived tmSecurityName for the session as discussed in Section 5.3. This value MUST be constant during the lifetime of the (D)TLS session.

   tmSessionID = The tlstmSessionID, which MUST be a unique session identifier for this (D)TLS connection. The contents and format of this identifier are implementation-dependent as long as it is unique to the session. A session identifier MUST NOT be reused until all references to it are no longer in use. The tmSessionID is equal to the tlstmSessionID discussed in Section 5.1.1. tmSessionID refers to the session identifier when stored in the tmStateReference and tlstmSessionID refers to the session identifier when stored in the LCD. They MUST always be equal when processing a given session's traffic.

2) The incomingMessage and incomingMessageLength are assigned values from the (D)TLS processing.

3) The TLS Transport Model passes the transportDomain, transportAddress, incomingMessage, and incomingMessageLength to the Dispatcher using the receiveMessage ASI:

```
statusInformation =
receiveMessage(
IN   transportDomain     -- snmpTLSTCPDomain, snmpDTLSUDPDomain,
                         -- or snmpDTLSSCTPDomain
IN   transportAddress    -- address for the received message
IN   incomingMessage        -- the whole SNMP message from (D)TLS
IN   incomingMessageLength  -- the length of the SNMP message
IN   tmStateReference    -- transport info
 )
```

5.2. Procedures for an Outgoing SNMP Message

The Dispatcher sends a message to the TLS Transport Model using the following ASI:

```
statusInformation =
sendMessage(
IN   destTransportDomain          -- transport domain to be used
IN   destTransportAddress         -- transport address to be used
IN   outgoingMessage              -- the message to send
IN   outgoingMessageLength        -- its length
IN   tmStateReference             -- transport info
)
```

This section describes the procedure followed by the TLS Transport Model whenever it is requested through this ASI to send a message.

1) If tmStateReference does not refer to a cache containing values for tmTransportDomain, tmTransportAddress, tmSecurityName, tmRequestedSecurityLevel, and tmSameSecurity, then increment the snmpTlstmSessionInvalidCaches counter, discard the message, and return the error indication in the statusInformation. Processing of this message stops.

2) Extract the tmSessionID, tmTransportDomain, tmTransportAddress, tmSecurityName, tmRequestedSecurityLevel, and tmSameSecurity values from the tmStateReference. Note: The tmSessionID value may be undefined if no session exists yet over which the message can be sent.

3) If tmSameSecurity is true and either tmSessionID is undefined or refers to a session that is no longer open then increment the snmpTlstmSessionNoSessions counter, discard the message and return the error indication in the statusInformation. Processing

of this message stops.

4) If tmSameSecurity is false and tmSessionID refers to a session that is no longer available then an implementation SHOULD open a new session using the openSession() ASI (described in greater detail in step 4b). Instead of opening a new session an implementation MAY return a snmpTlstmSessionNoSessions error to the calling module and stop processing of the message.

5) If tmSessionID is undefined, then use tmTransportDomain, tmTransportAddress, tmSecurityName and tmRequestedSecurityLevel to see if there is a corresponding entry in the LCD suitable to send the message over.

   5a) If there is a corresponding LCD entry, then this session will be used to send the message.

   5b) If there is not a corresponding LCD entry, then open a session using the openSession() ASI (discussed further in Section 5.3). Implementations MAY wish to offer message buffering to prevent redundant openSession() calls for the same cache entry. If an error is returned from openSession(), then discard the message, discard the tmStateReference, increment the snmpTlstmSessionOpenErrors, return an error indication to the calling module and stop processing of the message.

6) Using either the session indicated by the tmSessionID if there was one or the session resulting from a previous step (4 or 5), pass the outgoingMessage to (D)TLS for encapsulation and transmission.

5.3. Establishing a Session

The TLS Transport Model provides the following primitive to establish a new (D)TLS session:

```
statusInformation =          -- errorIndication or success
openSession(
IN   tmStateReference        -- transport information to be used
OUT  tmStateReference        -- transport information to be used
IN   maxMessageSize          -- of the sending SNMP entity
)
```

The following describes the procedure to follow when establishing a SNMP over (D)TLS session between SNMP engines for exchanging SNMP messages. This process is followed by any SNMP engine establishing a

session for subsequent use.

This MAY be done automatically for an SNMP application that initiates a transaction, such as a command generator, a notification originator, or a proxy forwarder.

1) The client selects the appropriate certificate and cipher_suites for the key agreement based on the tmSecurityName and the tmRequestedSecurityLevel for the session. For sessions being established as a result of a SNMP-TARGET-MIB based operation, the certificate will potentially have been identified via the tlstmParamsTable mapping and the cipher_suites will have to be taken from system-wide or implementation-specific configuration. Otherwise, the certificate and appropriate cipher_suites will need to be passed to the openSession() ASI as supplemental information or configured through an implementation-dependent mechanism. It is also implementation-dependent and possibly policy-dependent how tmRequestedSecurityLevel will be used to influence the security capabilities provided by the (D)TLS session. However this is done, the security capabilities provided by (D)TLS MUST be at least as high as the level of security indicated by the tmRequestedSecurityLevel parameter. The actual security level of the session is reported in the tmStateReference cache as tmSecurityLevel. For (D)TLS to provide strong authentication, each principal acting as a command generator SHOULD have its own certificate.

2) Using the destTransportDomain and destTransportAddress values, the client will initiate the (D)TLS handshake protocol to establish session keys for message integrity and encryption.

   If the attempt to establish a session is unsuccessful, then snmpTlstmSessionOpenErrors is incremented, an error indication is returned, and processing stops. If the session failed to open because the presented server certificate was unknown or invalid then the snmpTlstmSessionUnknownServerCertificate or snmpTlstmSessionInvalidServerCertificates MUST be incremented and a tlstmServerCertificateUnknown or tlstmServerInvalidCertificate notification SHOULD be sent as appropriate. Reasons for server certificate invalidation includes, but is not limited to, cryptographic validation failures and an unexpected presented certificate identity.

3) Once a (D)TLS secured session is established and both sides have verified the authenticity of the peer's certificate (e.g. [RFC5280]) then each side will determine and/or check the identity of the remote entity using the procedures described below.

a) The (D)TLS server side of the connection identifies the authenticated identity from the (D)TLS client's principal certificate using configuration information from the tlstmCertToTSNTable mapping table. The (D)TLS server MUST request and expect a certificate from the client and MUST NOT accept SNMP messages over the (D)TLS session until the client has sent a certificate and it has been authenticated. The resulting derived tmSecurityName is recorded in the tmStateReference cache as tmSecurityName. The details of the lookup process are fully described in the DESCRIPTION clause of the tlstmCertToTSNTable MIB object. If any verification fails in any way (for example because of failures in cryptographic verification or because of the lack of an appropriate row in the tlstmCertToTSNTable) then the session establishment MUST fail, the snmpTlstmSessionInvalidClientCertificates object is incremented and processing stops.

b) The (D)TLS client side of the connection MUST verify that the (D)TLS server's presented certificate is the expected certificate. The (D)TLS client MUST NOT transmit SNMP messages until the server certificate has been authenticated and the client certificate has been transmitted.

   If the connection is being established from configuration based on SNMP-TARGET-MIB configuration then the procedures in the tlstmAddrTable DESCRIPTION clause should be followed to determine if the presented identity matches the expectations of the configuration. Validation procedures (like the path validation procedures defined in [RFC5280] or through the use of fingerprints as defined by the tlstmAddrServerIdentity column) MUST be followed. If a server identity name has been configured in the tlstmAddrServerIdentity column then this reference identity must be compared against the presented identity (for example using procedures described in [I-D.saintandre-tls-server-id-check]).

   If the connection is being established for other reasons then configuration and procedures outside the scope of this document should be followed.

   (D)TLS provides assurance that the authenticated identity has been signed by a trusted configured certificate authority. If verification of the server's certificate fails in any way (for example because of failures in cryptographic verification or the presented identity did not match the expected named entity) then the session establishment MUST fail, the snmpTlstmSessionInvalidServerCertificates object is

incremented and processing stops.

4) The TLSTM-specific session identifier (tlstmSessionID) is set in the tmSessionID of the tmStateReference passed to the TLS Transport Model to indicate that the session has been established successfully and to point to a specific (D)TLS session for future use. The tlstmSessionID is also stored in the LCD for later lookup during processing of incoming messages (Section 5.1.2).

Servers that wish to support multiple principals at a particular port SHOULD make use of a (D)TLS extension that allows server-side principal selection like the Server Name Indication extension defined in Section 3.1 of [RFC4366]. Supporting this will allow, for example, sending notifications to a specific principal at a given TCP, UDP or SCTP port.

5.4. Closing a Session

The TLS Transport Model provides the following primitive to close a session:

```
statusInformation =
closeSession(
IN  tmSessionID        -- session ID of the session to be closed
)
```

The following describes the procedure to follow to close a session between a client and server. This process is followed by any SNMP engine closing the corresponding SNMP session.

1) Increment the snmpTlstmSessionCloses counter.

2) Look up the session using the tmSessionID.

3) If there is no open session associated with the tmSessionID, then closeSession processing is completed.

4) Have (D)TLS close the specified session. This SHOULD include sending a close_notify TLS Alert to inform the other side that session cleanup may be performed.

6. MIB Module Overview

This MIB module provides management of the TLS Transport Model. It defines needed textual conventions, statistical counters, notifications and configuration infrastructure necessary for session

establishment. Example usage of the configuration tables can be found in Appendix C.

6.1. Structure of the MIB Module

Objects in this MIB module are arranged into subtrees. Each subtree is organized as a set of related objects. The overall structure and assignment of objects to their subtrees, and the intended purpose of each subtree, is shown below.

6.2. Textual Conventions

Generic and Common Textual Conventions used in this module can be found summarized at http://www.ops.ietf.org/mib-common-tcs.html

This module defines the following new Textual Conventions:

- A new TransportAddress format for describing (D)TLS connection addressing requirements.
- A certificate fingerprint allowing MIB module objects to generically refer to a stored X.509 certificate using a cryptographic hash as a reference pointer.

6.3. Statistical Counters

The TLSTM-MIB defines some counters that can provide network managers with information about (D)TLS session usage and potential errors that a MIB-instrumented device may be experiencing.

6.4. Configuration Tables

The TLSTM-MIB defines configuration tables that a manager can use for configuring a MIB-instrumented device for sending and receiving SNMP messages over (D)TLS. In particular, there are MIB tables that extend the SNMP-TARGET-MIB for configuring (D)TLS certificate usage and a MIB table for mapping incoming (D)TLS client certificates to SNMPv3 securityNames.

6.4.1. Notifications

The TLSTM-MIB defines notifications to alert management stations when a (D)TLS connection fails because a server's presented certificate did not meet an expected value (tlstmServerCertificateUnknown) or because cryptographic validation failed (tlstmServerInvalidCertificate).

6.5. Relationship to Other MIB Modules

Some management objects defined in other MIB modules are applicable to an entity implementing the TLS Transport Model. In particular, it is assumed that an entity implementing the TLSTM-MIB will implement the SNMPv2-MIB [RFC3418], the SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB [RFC3411], the SNMP-TARGET-MIB [RFC3413], the SNMP-NOTIFICATION-MIB [RFC3413] and the SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB [RFC3415].

The TLSTM-MIB module contained in this document is for managing TLS Transport Model information.

6.5.1. MIB Modules Required for IMPORTS

The TLSTM-MIB module imports items from SNMPv2-SMI [RFC2578], SNMPv2-TC [RFC2579], SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB [RFC3411], SNMP-TARGET-MIB [RFC3413] and SNMPv2-CONF [RFC2580].

7. MIB Module Definition

```
TLSTM-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS
    MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE,
    OBJECT-IDENTITY, snmpModules, snmpDomains,
    Counter32, Unsigned32, NOTIFICATION-TYPE
      FROM SNMPv2-SMI
    TEXTUAL-CONVENTION, TimeStamp, RowStatus, StorageType,
    AutonomousType
      FROM SNMPv2-TC
    MODULE-COMPLIANCE, OBJECT-GROUP, NOTIFICATION-GROUP
      FROM SNMPv2-CONF
    SnmpAdminString
      FROM SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB
    snmpTargetParamsName, snmpTargetAddrName
      FROM SNMP-TARGET-MIB
    ;

tlstmMIB MODULE-IDENTITY
    LAST-UPDATED "201001270000Z"
    ORGANIZATION "ISMS Working Group"
    CONTACT-INFO "WG-EMail:   isms@lists.ietf.org
                  Subscribe:  isms-request@lists.ietf.org

                  Chairs:
                     Juergen Schoenwaelder
```

Jacobs University Bremen
Campus Ring 1
28725 Bremen
Germany
+49 421 200-3587
j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de

Russ Mundy
SPARTA, Inc.
7110 Samuel Morse Drive
Columbia, MD 21046
USA

Co-editors:
Wes Hardaker
Sparta, Inc.
P.O. Box 382
Davis, CA 95617
USA
ietf@hardakers.net
"

```
    DESCRIPTION  "
        The TLS Transport Model MIB

        Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
        the document authors.  All rights reserved.

        Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
        without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
        to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
        set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
        Relating to IETF Documents
        (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

        This version of this MIB module is part of RFC XXXX;
        see the RFC itself for full legal notices."

-- NOTE to RFC editor: replace XXXX with actual RFC number
--                     for this document and remove this note

       REVISION     "201001270000Z"
       DESCRIPTION  "The initial version, published in RFC XXXX."
-- NOTE to RFC editor: replace XXXX with actual RFC number
--                     for this document and remove this note

    ::= { snmpModules xxxx }
-- RFC Ed.: replace xxxx with IANA-assigned number and
```

```
--          remove this note

-- ************************************************
-- subtrees of the TLSTM-MIB
-- ************************************************

tlstmNotifications OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmMIB 0 }
tlstmIdentities    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmMIB 1 }
tlstmObjects       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmMIB 2 }
tlstmConformance   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmMIB 3 }

-- ************************************************
-- tlstmObjects - Objects
-- ************************************************

snmpTLSTCPDomain OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The SNMP over TLS transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type SnmpTLSAddress.

        The securityName prefix to be associated with the
        snmpTLSTCPDomain is 'tls'.  This prefix may be used by
        security models or other components to identify which secure
        transport infrastructure authenticated a securityName."

    ::= { snmpDomains xx }


-- RFC Ed.: replace xx with IANA-assigned number and
--          remove this note

-- RFC Ed.: replace 'tls' with the actual IANA assigned prefix string
--          if 'tls' is not assigned to this document.

snmpDTLSUDPDomain OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The SNMP over DTLS/UDP transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type SnmpTLSAddress.

        The securityName prefix to be associated with the
        snmpDTLSUDPDomain is 'dudp'.  This prefix may be used by
        security models or other components to identify which secure
        transport infrastructure authenticated a securityName."

    ::= { snmpDomains yy }
```

```
-- RFC Ed.: replace yy with IANA-assigned number and
--          remove this note

-- RFC Ed.: replace 'dudp' with the actual IANA assigned prefix string
--          if 'dudp' is not assigned to this document.

snmpDTLSSCTPDomain OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The SNMP over DTLS/SCTP transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type SnmpTLSAddress.

        The securityName prefix to be associated with the
        snmpDTLSSCTPDomain is 'dsct'.  This prefix may be used by
        security models or other components to identify which secure
        transport infrastructure authenticated a securityName."

    ::= { snmpDomains zz }


-- RFC Ed.: replace zz with IANA-assigned number and
--          remove this note

-- RFC Ed.: replace 'dsct' with the actual IANA assigned prefix string
--          if 'dsct' is not assigned to this document.

SnmpTLSAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "1a"
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Represents a IPv4 address, an IPv6 address or an US-ASCII
        encoded hostname and port number.

        An IPv4 address must be in dotted decimal format followed by a
        colon ':' (US-ASCII character 0x3A) and a decimal port number
        in US-ASCII.

        An IPv6 address must be a colon separated format, surrounded
        by square brackets ('[', US-ASCII character 0x5B, and ']',
        US-ASCII character 0x5D), followed by a colon ':' (US-ASCII
        character 0x3A) and a decimal port number in US-ASCII.

        A hostname is always in US-ASCII (as per RFC1033);
        internationalized hostnames are encoded in US-ASCII as
        specified in RFC 3490.  The hostname is followed by a colon
        ':' (US-ASCII character 0x3A) and a decimal port number in
        US-ASCII.  The name SHOULD be fully qualified whenever
        possible.
```

```
        Values of this textual convention may not be directly usable
        as transport-layer addressing information, and may require
        run-time resolution. As such, applications that write them
        must be prepared for handling errors if such values are not
        supported, or cannot be resolved (if resolution occurs at the
        time of the management operation).

        The DESCRIPTION clause of TransportAddress objects that may
        have SnmpTLSAddress values must fully describe how (and
        when) such names are to be resolved to IP addresses and vice
        versa.

        This textual convention SHOULD NOT be used directly in object
        definitions since it restricts addresses to a specific
        format. However, if it is used, it MAY be used either on its
        own or in conjunction with TransportAddressType or
        TransportDomain as a pair.

        When this textual convention is used as a syntax of an index
        object, there may be issues with the limit of 128
        sub-identifiers specified in SMIv2 (STD 58). It is RECOMMENDED
        that all MIB documents using this textual convention make
        explicit any limitations on index component lengths that
        management software must observe.  This may be done either by
        including SIZE constraints on the index components or by
        specifying applicable constraints in the conceptual row
        DESCRIPTION clause or in the surrounding documentation."
    REFERENCE
      "RFC 1033: DOMAIN ADMINISTRATORS OPERATIONS GUIDE
       RFC 3490: Internationalizing Domain Names in Applications
       RFC 3986: Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax
       RFC 5246: The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2
      "
    SYNTAX       OCTET STRING (SIZE (1..255))

Fingerprint ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "1x:254x"
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
       "A Fingerprint value that can be used to uniquely reference
       other data of potentially arbitrary length.

       A Fingerprint value is composed of a 1-octet hashing algorithm
       identifier followed by the fingerprint value.  The octet value
       encoded is taken from the IANA TLS HashAlgorithm Registry
       (RFC5246).  The remaining octets are filled using the results
       of the hashing algorithm.
```

```
       This TEXTUAL-CONVENTION allows for a zero-length (blank)
       Fingerprint value for use in tables where the fingerprint value
       may be optional.  MIB definitions or implementations may refuse
       to accept a zero-length value as appropriate."
    REFERENCE
      "RFC 5246: The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2
       http://www.iana.org/assignments/tls-parameters/
      "
    SYNTAX       OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..255))

-- Identities for use in the tlstmCertToTSNTable

tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmIdentities 1 }

tlstmCertSpecified OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS        current
    DESCRIPTION  "Directly specifies the tmSecurityName to be used for
                  this certificate.  The value of the tmSecurityName
                  to use is specified in the tlstmCertToTSNData
                  column.  The tlstmCertToTSNData column must contain
                  a non-zero length SnmpAdminString compliant value or
                  the mapping described in this row must be considered
                  a failure."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities 1 }

tlstmCertSANRFC822Name OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS        current
    DESCRIPTION  "Maps a subjectAltName's rfc822Name to a
                  tmSecurityName.  The local part of the rfc822Name is
                  passed unaltered but the host-part of the name must
                  be passed in lower case.

                  Example rfc822Name Field:  FooBar@Example.COM
                  is mapped to tmSecurityName: FooBar@example.com"
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities 2 }

tlstmCertSANDNSName OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS        current
    DESCRIPTION  "Maps a subjectAltName's dNSName to a
                  tmSecurityName by directly passing the value without
                  any transformations."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities 3 }

tlstmCertSANIpAddress OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS        current
    DESCRIPTION  "Maps a subjectAltName's iPAddress to a
                  tmSecurityName by transforming the binary encoded
                  address as follows:
```

```
                  1) for IPv4 the value is converted into a decimal
                     dotted quad address (e.g. '192.0.2.1')

                  2) for IPv6 addresses the value is converted into a
                     32-character hexadecimal string without any colon
                     separators.

                     Note that the resulting length is the maximum
                     length supported by the View-Based Access Control
                     Model (VACM).  Note that using both the Transport
                     Security Model's support for transport prefixes
                     (see the SNMP-TSM-MIB's
                     snmpTsmConfigurationUsePrefix object for details)
                     will result in securityName lengths that exceed
                     what VACM can handle."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities 4 }

tlstmCertSANAny OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION  "Maps any of the following fields using the
                  corresponding mapping algorithms:

                  |------------+------------------------|
                  | Type       | Algorithm              |
                  |------------+------------------------|
                  | rfc822Name | tlstmCertSANRFC822Name |
                  | dNSName    | tlstmCertSANDNSName    |
                  | iPAddress  | tlstmCertSANIpAddress  |
                  |------------+------------------------|

                  The first matching subjectAltName value found in the
                  certificate of the above types MUST be used when
                  deriving the tmSecurityName."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities 5 }

tlstmCertCommonName OBJECT-IDENTITY
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION  "Maps a certificate's CommonName to a
                  tmSecurityName by directly passing the value without
                  any transformations."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNMIdentities 6 }

-- The snmpTlstmSession Group

snmpTlstmSession           OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmObjects 1 }

snmpTlstmSessionOpens  OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
```

```
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
       "The number of times an openSession() request has been
       executed as an (D)TLS client, whether it succeeded or failed."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 1 }

snmpTlstmSessionCloses  OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times a closeSession() request has been
        executed as an (D)TLS client, whether it succeeded or failed."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 2 }

snmpTlstmSessionOpenErrors  OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times an openSession() request failed to open a
        session as a (D)TLS client, for any reason."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 3 }

snmpTlstmSessionNoSessions  OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times an outgoing message was dropped because
        the session associated with the passed tmStateReference was no
        longer (or was never) available."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 4 }

snmpTlstmSessionInvalidClientCertificates OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times an incoming session was not established
        on an (D)TLS server because the presented client certificate was
        invalid.  Reasons for invalidation include, but are not
        limited to, cryptographic validation failures or lack of a
        suitable mapping row in the tlstmCertToTSNTable."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 5 }

snmpTlstmSessionUnknownServerCertificate OBJECT-TYPE
```

```
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times an outgoing session was not established
         on an (D)TLS client because the server certificate presented
         by a SNMP over (D)TLS server was invalid because no
         configured fingerprint or CA was acceptable to validate it.
         This may result because there was no entry in the
         tlstmAddrTable or because no path could be found to a known
         certificate authority."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 6 }

snmpTlstmSessionInvalidServerCertificates OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times an outgoing session was not established
         on an (D)TLS client because the server certificate presented
         by an SNMP over (D)TLS server could not be validated even if
         the fingerprint or expected validation path was known.  I.E.,
         a cryptographic validation error occurred during certificate
         validation processing.

        Reasons for invalidation include, but are not
        limited to, cryptographic validation failures."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 7 }

snmpTlstmSessionInvalidCaches OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of outgoing messages dropped because the
        tmStateReference referred to an invalid cache."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 8 }

snmpTlstmTLSProtectionErrors OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       Counter32
    MAX-ACCESS   read-only
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The number of times (D)TLS processing resulted in a message
        being discarded because it failed its integrity test,
        decryption processing or other (D)TLS processing."
    ::= { snmpTlstmSession 9 }
```

```
-- Configuration Objects

tlstmConfig          OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmObjects 2 }

-- Certificate mapping

tlstmCertificateMapping    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmConfig 1 }

tlstmCertToTSNCount OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Unsigned32
    MAX-ACCESS  read-only
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A count of the number of entries in the tlstmCertToTSNTable"
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 1 }

tlstmCertToTSNTableLastChanged OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      TimeStamp
    MAX-ACCESS  read-only
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The value of sysUpTime.0 when the tlstmCertToTSNTable
        was last modified through any means, or 0 if it has not been
        modified since the command responder was started."
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 2 }

tlstmCertToTSNTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      SEQUENCE OF TlstmCertToTSNEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A table listing the fingerprints of X.509 certificates known
        to the entity and the associated method for determining the
        SNMPv3 security name from a certificate.

        On an incoming (D)TLS/SNMP connection the client's presented
        certificate must be examined and validated based on an
        established trusted path from a CA certificate or self-signed
        public certificate (e.g. RFC5280).  This table provides a
        mapping from a validated certificate to a tmSecurityName.
        This table does not provide any mechanisms for uploading
        trusted certificates; the transfer of any needed trusted
        certificates for path validation is expected to occur through
        an out-of-band transfer.

        Once the authenticity of a certificate has been verified, this
        table is consulted to determine the appropriate tmSecurityName
        to identify with the remote connection.  This is done by
```

considering each active row from this table in prioritized order according to its tlstmCertToTSNID value. Each row's tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint value determines whether the row is a match for the incoming connection:

1) If the row's tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint value identifies the presented certificate then consider the row as a successful match.

2) If the row's tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint value identifies a locally held copy of a trusted CA certificate and that CA certificate was used to validate the path to the presented certificate then consider the row as a successful match.

Once a matching row has been found, the tlstmCertToTSNMapType value can be used to determine how the tmSecurityName to associate with the session should be determined. See the tlstmCertToTSNMapType column's DESCRIPTION for details on determining the tmSecurityName value. If it is impossible to determine a tmSecurityName from the row's data combined with the data presented in the certificate then additional rows MUST be searched looking for another potential match. If a resulting tmSecurityName mapped from a given row is not compatible with the needed requirements of a tmSecurityName (e.g., VACM imposes a 32-octet-maximum length and the certificate derived securityName could be longer) then it must be considered an invalid match and additional rows MUST be searched looking for another potential match.

Missing values of tlstmCertToTSNID are acceptable and implementations should continue to the next highest numbered row. E.G., the table may legally contain only two rows with tlstmCertToTSNID values of 10 and 20.

Users are encouraged to make use of certificates with subjectAltName fields that can be used as tmSecurityNames so that a single root CA certificate can allow all child certificate's subjectAltName to map directly to a tmSecurityName via a 1:1 transformation. However, this table is flexible to allow for situations where existing deployed certificate infrastructures do not provide adequate subjectAltName values for use as tmSecurityNames. Certificates may also be mapped to tmSecurityNames using the CommonName portion of the Subject field. However, the usage of the CommonName field is deprecated and thus this usage is NOT RECOMMENDED. Direct mapping from each individual certificate fingerprint to a tmSecurityName is also possible

```
        but requires one entry in the table per tmSecurityName and
        requires more management operations to completely configure a
        device."
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 3 }

tlstmCertToTSNEntry OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      TlstmCertToTSNEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A row in the tlstmCertToTSNTable that specifies a mapping for
        an incoming (D)TLS certificate to a tmSecurityName to use for a
        connection."
    INDEX   { tlstmCertToTSNID }
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNTable 1 }

TlstmCertToTSNEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    tlstmCertToTSNID           Unsigned32,
    tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint  Fingerprint,
    tlstmCertToTSNMapType      AutonomousType,
    tlstmCertToTSNData         OCTET STRING,
    tlstmCertToTSNStorageType  StorageType,
    tlstmCertToTSNRowStatus    RowStatus
}

tlstmCertToTSNID OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Unsigned32 (1..4294967295)
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A unique, prioritized index for the given entry.  Lower
        numbers indicate a higher priority."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNEntry 1 }

tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Fingerprint (SIZE(1..255))
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A cryptographic hash of a X.509 certificate.  The results of
        a successful matching fingerprint to either the trusted CA in
        the certificate validation path or to the certificate itself
        is dictated by the tlstmCertToTSNMapType column."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNEntry 2 }

tlstmCertToTSNMapType OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      AutonomousType
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
```

```
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Specifies the mapping type for deriving a tmSecurityName from a
        certificate.  Details for mapping of a particular type SHALL
        be specified in the DESCRIPTION clause of the OBJECT-IDENTITY
        that describes the mapping.  If a mapping succeeds it will
        return a tmSecurityName for use by the TLSTM model and
        processing stops.

        If the resulting mapped value is not compatible with the
        needed requirements of a tmSecurityName (e.g., VACM imposes a
        32-octet-maximum length and the certificate derived
        securityName could be longer) then future rows MUST be
        searched for additional tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint matches to
        look for a mapping that succeeds."
    DEFVAL { tlstmCertSpecified }
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNEntry 3 }

tlstmCertToTSNData OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..1024))
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Auxiliary data used as optional configuration information for
        a given mapping specified by the tlstmCertToTSNMapType column.
        Only some mapping systems will make use of this column.  The
        value in this column MUST be ignored for any mapping type that
        does not require data present in this column."
    DEFVAL { "" }
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNEntry 4 }

tlstmCertToTSNStorageType OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       StorageType
    MAX-ACCESS   read-create
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The storage type for this conceptual row. Conceptual rows
        having the value 'permanent' need not allow write-access to
        any columnar objects in the row."
    DEFVAL      { nonVolatile }
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNEntry 5 }

tlstmCertToTSNRowStatus OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      RowStatus
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The status of this conceptual row.  This object may be used
```

```
        to create or remove rows from this table.

        To create a row in this table, a manager must set this object
        to either createAndGo(4) or createAndWait(5).

        Until instances of all corresponding columns are appropriately
        configured, the value of the corresponding instance of the
        tlstmParamsRowStatus column is 'notReady'.

        In particular, a newly created row cannot be made active until
        the corresponding tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint,
        tlstmCertToTSNMapType, and tlstmCertToTSNData columns have been
        set.

        The following objects may not be modified while the
        value of this object is active(1):
            - tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint
            - tlstmCertToTSNMapType
            - tlstmCertToTSNData
        An attempt to set these objects while the value of
        tlstmParamsRowStatus is active(1) will result in
        an inconsistentValue error."
    ::= { tlstmCertToTSNEntry 6 }

-- Maps tmSecurityNames to certificates for use by the SNMP-TARGET-MIB

tlstmParamsCount OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Unsigned32
    MAX-ACCESS  read-only
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A count of the number of entries in the tlstmParamsTable"
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 4 }

tlstmParamsTableLastChanged OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      TimeStamp
    MAX-ACCESS  read-only
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The value of sysUpTime.0 when the tlstmParamsTable
        was last modified through any means, or 0 if it has not been
        modified since the command responder was started."
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 5 }

tlstmParamsTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      SEQUENCE OF TlstmParamsEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
```

```
    DESCRIPTION
        "This table is used by a (D)TLS client when a (D)TLS session is
        being set up using an entry in the SNMP-TARGET-MIB.  It
        extends the SNMP-TARGET-MIB's snmpTargetParamsTable with a
        fingerprint of a certificate to use when establishing such a
        (D)TLS connection."
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 6 }

tlstmParamsEntry OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      TlstmParamsEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A conceptual row containing a fingerprint hash of a locally
        held certificate for a given snmpTargetParamsEntry.  The
        values in this row should be ignored if the connection that
        needs to be established, as indicated by the SNMP-TARGET-MIB
        infrastructure, is not a certificate and (D)TLS based
        connection.  The connection SHOULD NOT be established if the
        certificate fingerprint stored in this entry does not point to
        a valid locally held certificate or if it points to an unusable
        certificate (such as might happen when the certificate's
        expiration date has been reached)."
    INDEX    { IMPLIED snmpTargetParamsName }
    ::= { tlstmParamsTable 1 }

TlstmParamsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    tlstmParamsClientFingerprint Fingerprint,
    tlstmParamsStorageType       StorageType,
    tlstmParamsRowStatus         RowStatus
}

tlstmParamsClientFingerprint OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Fingerprint
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A cryptographic hash of a X.509 certificate.  This object
        should store the hash of a locally held X.509 certificate that
        should be used when initiating a (D)TLS connection as a (D)TLS
        client."
    ::= { tlstmParamsEntry 1 }

tlstmParamsStorageType OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       StorageType
    MAX-ACCESS   read-create
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
```

```
        "The storage type for this conceptual row.  Conceptual rows
        having the value 'permanent' need not allow write-access to
        any columnar objects in the row."
    DEFVAL      { nonVolatile }
    ::= { tlstmParamsEntry 2 }


tlstmParamsRowStatus OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      RowStatus
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The status of this conceptual row.  This object may be used
        to create or remove rows from this table.

        To create a row in this table, a manager must set this object
        to either createAndGo(4) or createAndWait(5).

        Until instances of all corresponding columns are appropriately
        configured, the value of the corresponding instance of the
        tlstmParamsRowStatus column is 'notReady'.

        In particular, a newly created row cannot be made active until
        the corresponding tlstmParamsClientFingerprint column has
        been set.

        The tlstmParamsClientFingerprint object may not be modified
        while the value of this object is active(1).

        An attempt to set these objects while the value of
        tlstmParamsRowStatus is active(1) will result in
        an inconsistentValue error."
    ::= { tlstmParamsEntry 3 }

tlstmAddrCount OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Unsigned32
    MAX-ACCESS  read-only
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A count of the number of entries in the tlstmAddrTable"
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 7 }

tlstmAddrTableLastChanged OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      TimeStamp
    MAX-ACCESS  read-only
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The value of sysUpTime.0 when the tlstmAddrTable
```

```
        was last modified through any means, or 0 if it has not been
        modified since the command responder was started."
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 8 }

tlstmAddrTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      SEQUENCE OF TlstmAddrEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "This table is used by a (D)TLS client when a (D)TLS session
        is being set up using an entry in the SNMP-TARGET-MIB.  It
        extends the SNMP-TARGET-MIB's snmpTargetAddrTable so that the
        client can validate the certificate that the server presents.

        If there is a row in this table corresponding to the entry in
        the SNMP-TARGET-MIB that was used to establish the session
        (and that row is active), then the fingerprint of the server's
        presented certificate is compared with the value of the
        tlstmAddrServerFingerprint column. If fingerprint does not
        match, then the connection MUST NOT be established.

        If the row exists with a zero-length
        tlstmAddrServerFingerprint value and the certificate can be
        validated through another certificate validation path (such as
        the path validation procedures defined in [RFC5280]) then the
        server's presented identity should be checked against the
        value of the tlstmAddrServerIdentity column. If the server's
        identity does not match the reference identity found in the
        tlstmAddrServerIdentity column then the connection MUST NOT be
        established.

        A tlstmAddrServerIdentity may contain a single ASCII '*'
        character (ASCII code 0x2a) to match any server's identity if
        the tlstmAddrServerFingerprint column is not blank.  A row
        MUST NOT contain both a blank tlstmAddrServerFingerprint
        column and a '*' in the tlstmAddrServerIdentity column since
        this would insecurely accept any presented certificate.

        If there is no row in this table corresponding to an entry in
        the SNMP-TARGET-MIB and another certificate validation path
        algorithm (such as the path validation procedures defined in
        [RFC5280]) can be used, then the connection SHOULD still
        proceed."
    ::= { tlstmCertificateMapping 9 }

tlstmAddrEntry OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      TlstmAddrEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
```

```
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A conceptual row containing a copy of a certificate's
        fingerprint for a given snmpTargetAddrEntry.  The values in
        this row should be ignored if the connection that needs to be
        established, as indicated by the SNMP-TARGET-MIB
        infrastructure, is not a (D)TLS based connection.  If an
        tlstmAddrEntry exists for a given snmpTargetAddrEntry then the
        presented server certificate MUST match or the connection MUST
        NOT be established.  If a row in this table does not exist to
        match a snmpTargetAddrEntry row then the connection SHOULD
        still proceed if some other certificate validation path
        algorithm (e.g. RFC5280) can be used."
    INDEX    { IMPLIED snmpTargetAddrName }
    ::= { tlstmAddrTable 1 }

TlstmAddrEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    tlstmAddrServerFingerprint    Fingerprint,
    tlstmAddrServerIdentity       SnmpAdminString,
    tlstmAddrStorageType          StorageType,
    tlstmAddrRowStatus            RowStatus
}

tlstmAddrServerFingerprint OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      Fingerprint
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A cryptographic hash of a public X.509 certificate.  This
        object should store the hash of the public X.509 certificate
        that the remote server should present during the (D)TLS
        connection setup.  The fingerprint of the presented
        certificate and this hash value MUST match exactly or the
        connection MUST NOT be established."
    DEFVAL { "" }
    ::= { tlstmAddrEntry 1 }

tlstmAddrServerIdentity OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      SnmpAdminString
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The reference identity to check against the identity
        presented by the remote system.  A single ASCII '*' character
        (ASCII code 0x2a) may be used as a wildcard string and will
        match any presented server identity."
    REFERENCE "draft-saintandre-tls-server-id-check"
    DEFVAL { "*" }
```

```
    ::= { tlstmAddrEntry 2 }

tlstmAddrStorageType OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX       StorageType
    MAX-ACCESS   read-create
    STATUS       current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The storage type for this conceptual row. Conceptual rows
        having the value 'permanent' need not allow write-access to
        any columnar objects in the row."
    DEFVAL      { nonVolatile }
    ::= { tlstmAddrEntry 3 }


tlstmAddrRowStatus OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      RowStatus
    MAX-ACCESS  read-create
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The status of this conceptual row.  This object may be used
        to create or remove rows from this table.

        To create a row in this table, a manager must
        set this object to either createAndGo(4) or
        createAndWait(5).

        Until instances of all corresponding columns are
        appropriately configured, the value of the
        corresponding instance of the tlstmAddrRowStatus
        column is 'notReady'.

        In particular, a newly created row cannot be made active until
        the corresponding tlstmAddrServerFingerprint column has been
        set.

        Rows MUST NOT be active if the tlstmAddrServerFingerprint
        column is blank and the tlstmAddrServerIdentity is set to '*'
        since this would insecurely accept any presented certificate.

        The tlstmAddrServerFingerprint object may not be modified
        while the value of this object is active(1).

        An attempt to set these objects while the value of
        tlstmAddrRowStatus is active(1) will result in
        an inconsistentValue error."
    ::= { tlstmAddrEntry 4 }
```

```
-- ************************************************
--  tlstmNotifications - Notifications Information
-- ************************************************

tlstmServerCertificateUnknown NOTIFICATION-TYPE
    OBJECTS { snmpTlstmSessionUnknownServerCertificate }
    STATUS  current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Notification that the server certificate presented by a SNMP
         over (D)TLS server was invalid because no configured
         fingerprint or CA was acceptable to validate it.  This may
         be because there was no entry in the tlstmAddrTable or
         because no path could be found to known certificate
         authority.

         To avoid notification loops, this notification MUST NOT be
         sent to servers that themselves have triggered the
         notification."
    ::= { tlstmNotifications 1 }

tlstmServerInvalidCertificate NOTIFICATION-TYPE
    OBJECTS { tlstmAddrServerFingerprint,
              snmpTlstmSessionInvalidServerCertificates}
    STATUS  current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Notification that the server certificate presented by an SNMP
         over (D)TLS server could not be validated even if the
         fingerprint or expected validation path was known.  I.E., a
         cryptographic validation occurred during certificate
         validation processing.

         To avoid notification loops, this notification MUST NOT be
         sent to servers that themselves have triggered the
         notification."
    ::= { tlstmNotifications 2 }

-- ************************************************
-- tlstmCompliances - Conformance Information
-- ************************************************

tlstmCompliances OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmConformance 1 }

tlstmGroups OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { tlstmConformance 2 }



-- ************************************************
-- Compliance statements
```

```
-- ************************************************

tlstmCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The compliance statement for SNMP engines that support the
        TLSTM-MIB"
    MODULE
        MANDATORY-GROUPS { tlstmStatsGroup,
                           tlstmIncomingGroup,
                           tlstmOutgoingGroup,
                           tlstmNotificationGroup }
    ::= { tlstmCompliances 1 }

-- ************************************************
-- Units of conformance
-- ************************************************
tlstmStatsGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS {
        snmpTlstmSessionOpens,
        snmpTlstmSessionCloses,
        snmpTlstmSessionOpenErrors,
        snmpTlstmSessionNoSessions,
        snmpTlstmSessionInvalidClientCertificates,
        snmpTlstmSessionUnknownServerCertificate,
        snmpTlstmSessionInvalidServerCertificates,
        snmpTlstmSessionInvalidCaches,
        snmpTlstmTLSProtectionErrors
    }
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A collection of objects for maintaining
        statistical information of an SNMP engine which
        implements the SNMP TLS Transport Model."
    ::= { tlstmGroups 1 }

tlstmIncomingGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS {
        tlstmCertToTSNCount,
        tlstmCertToTSNTableLastChanged,
        tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint,
        tlstmCertToTSNMapType,
        tlstmCertToTSNData,
        tlstmCertToTSNStorageType,
        tlstmCertToTSNRowStatus
    }
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
```

```
        "A collection of objects for maintaining
        incoming connection certificate mappings to
        tmSecurityNames of an SNMP engine which implements the
        SNMP TLS Transport Model."
    ::= { tlstmGroups 2 }

tlstmOutgoingGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS {
        tlstmParamsCount,
        tlstmParamsTableLastChanged,
        tlstmParamsClientFingerprint,
        tlstmParamsStorageType,
        tlstmParamsRowStatus,
        tlstmAddrCount,
        tlstmAddrTableLastChanged,
        tlstmAddrServerFingerprint,
        tlstmAddrServerIdentity,
        tlstmAddrStorageType,
        tlstmAddrRowStatus
    }
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A collection of objects for maintaining
        outgoing connection certificates to use when opening
        connections as a result of SNMP-TARGET-MIB settings."
    ::= { tlstmGroups 3 }

tlstmNotificationGroup NOTIFICATION-GROUP
    NOTIFICATIONS {
        tlstmServerCertificateUnknown,
        tlstmServerInvalidCertificate
    }
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Notifications"
    ::= { tlstmGroups 4 }

END
```

8. Operational Considerations

This section discusses various operational aspects of deploying TLSTM.

8.1. Sessions

A session is discussed throughout this document as meaning a security association between the (D)TLS client and the (D)TLS server. State information for the sessions are maintained in each TLSTM implementation and this information is created and destroyed as sessions are opened and closed. A "broken" session (one side up and one side down) can result if one side of a session is brought down abruptly (i.e., reboot, power outage, etc.). Whenever possible, implementations SHOULD provide graceful session termination through the use of disconnect messages. Implementations SHOULD also have a system in place for detecting "broken" sessions through the use of heartbeats [I-D.seggelmann-tls-dtls-heartbeat] or other detection mechanisms.

Implementations SHOULD limit the lifetime of established sessions depending on the algorithms used for generation of the master session secret, the privacy and integrity algorithms used to protect messages, the environment of the session, the amount of data transferred, and the sensitivity of the data.

8.2. Notification Receiver Credential Selection

When an SNMP engine needs to establish an outgoing session for notifications, the snmpTargetParamsTable includes an entry for the snmpTargetParamsSecurityName of the target. Servers that wish to support multiple principals at a particular port SHOULD make use of the Server Name Indication extension defined in Section 3.1 of [RFC4366]. Without the Server Name Indication the receiving SNMP engine (Server) will not know which (D)TLS certificate to offer to the Client so that the tmSecurityName identity-authentication will be successful.

Another solution is to maintain a one-to-one mapping between certificates and incoming ports for notification receivers. This can be handled at the notification originator by configuring the snmpTargetAddrTable (snmpTargetAddrTDomain and snmpTargetAddrTAddress) and requiring the receiving SNMP engine to monitor multiple incoming static ports based on which principals are capable of receiving notifications.

Implementations MAY also choose to designate a single Notification Receiver Principal to receive all incoming notifications or select an implementation specific method of selecting a server certificate to present to clients.

8.3. contextEngineID Discovery

Most command responders have contextEngineIDs that are identical to the USM securityEngineID. USM provides a discovery service that allows command generators to determine a securityEngineID and thus a default contextEngineID to use. Because the TLS Transport Model does not make use of a securityEngineID, it may be difficult for command generators to discover a suitable default contextEngineID. Implementations should consider offering another engineID discovery mechanism to continue providing Command Generators with a suitable contextEngineID mechanism. A recommended discovery solution is documented in [RFC5343].

8.4. Transport Considerations

This document defines how SNMP messages can be transmitted over the TLS and DTLS based protocols. Each of these protocols are additionally based on other transports (TCP, UDP and SCTP). These three protocols also have operational considerations that must be taken into consideration when selecting a (D)TLS based protocol to use such as its performance in degraded or limited networks. It is beyond the scope of this document to summarize the characteristics of these transport mechanisms. Please refer to the base protocol documents for details on messaging considerations with respect to MTU size, fragmentation, performance in lossy-networks, etc.

9. Security Considerations

This document describes a transport model that permits SNMP to utilize (D)TLS security services. The security threats and how the (D)TLS transport model mitigates these threats are covered in detail throughout this document. Security considerations for DTLS are covered in [RFC4347] and security considerations for TLS are described in Section 11 and Appendices D, E, and F of TLS 1.2 [RFC5246]. DTLS adds to the security considerations of TLS only because it is more vulnerable to denial of service attacks. A random cookie exchange was added to the handshake to prevent anonymous denial of service attacks. RFC 4347 recommends that the cookie exchange is utilized for all handshakes. It is also RECOMMENDED by this specification that users enable this cookie exchange.

9.1. Certificates, Authentication, and Authorization

Implementations are responsible for providing a security certificate installation and configuration mechanism. Implementations SHOULD support certificate revocation lists.

(D)TLS provides for authentication of the identity of both the (D)TLS server and the (D)TLS client. Access to MIB objects for the authenticated principal MUST be enforced by an access control subsystem (e.g. the VACM).

Authentication of the command generator principal's identity is important for use with the SNMP access control subsystem to ensure that only authorized principals have access to potentially sensitive data. The authenticated identity of the command generator principal's certificate is mapped to an SNMP model-independent securityName for use with SNMP access control.

The (D)TLS handshake only provides assurance that the certificate of the authenticated identity has been signed by an configured accepted Certificate Authority. (D)TLS has no way to further authorize or reject access based on the authenticated identity. An Access Control Model (such as the VACM) provides access control and authorization of a command generator's requests to a command responder and a notification responder's authorization to receive Notifications from a notification originator. However to avoid man-in-the-middle attacks both ends of the (D)TLS based connection MUST check the certificate presented by the other side against what was expected. For example, command generators must check that the command responder presented and authenticated itself with a X.509 certificate that was expected. Not doing so would allow an impostor, at a minimum, to present false data, receive sensitive information and/or provide a false belief that configuration was actually received and acted upon. Authenticating and verifying the identity of the (D)TLS server and the (D)TLS client for all operations ensures the authenticity of the SNMP engine that provides MIB data.

The instructions found in the DESCRIPTION clause of the tlstmCertToTSNTable object must be followed exactly. It is also important that the rows of the table be searched in prioritized order starting with the row containing the lowest numbered tlstmCertToTSNID value.

9.2. Use with SNMPv1/SNMPv2c Messages

The SNMPv1 and SNMPv2c message processing described in [RFC3584] (BCP 74) always selects the SNMPv1 or SNMPv2c Security Models, respectively. Both of these and the User-based Security Model typically used with SNMPv3 derive the securityName and securityLevel from the SNMP message received, even when the message was received over a secure transport. Access control decisions are therefore made based on the contents of the SNMP message, rather than using the authenticated identity and securityLevel provided by the TLS Transport Model.

9.3. MIB Module Security

There are a number of management objects defined in this MIB module with a MAX-ACCESS clause of read-write and/or read-create. Such objects may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. The support for SET operations in a non-secure environment without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the tables and objects and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- The tlstmParamsTable can be used to change the outgoing X.509 certificate used to establish a (D)TLS connection. Modification to objects in this table need to be adequately authenticated since modification to values in this table will have profound impacts to the security of outbound connections from the device. Since knowledge of authorization rules and certificate usage mechanisms may be considered sensitive, protection from disclosure of the SNMP traffic via encryption is also highly recommended.

- The tlstmAddrTable can be used to change the expectations of the certificates presented by a remote (D)TLS server. Modification to objects in this table need to be adequately authenticated since modification to values in this table will have profound impacts to the security of outbound connections from the device. Since knowledge of authorization rules and certificate usage mechanisms may be considered sensitive, protection from disclosure of the SNMP traffic via encryption is also highly recommended.

- The tlstmCertToTSNTable is used to specify the mapping of incoming X.509 certificates to tmSecurityNames which eventually get mapped to a SNMPv3 securityName. Modification to objects in this table need to be adequately authenticated since modification to values in this table will have profound impacts to the security of incoming connections to the device. Since knowledge of authorization rules and certificate usage mechanisms may be considered sensitive, protection from disclosure of the SNMP traffic via encryption is also highly recommended.

Some of the readable objects in this MIB module (i.e., objects with a MAX-ACCESS other than not-accessible) may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control even GET and/or NOTIFY access to these objects and possibly to even encrypt the values of these objects when sending them over the network via SNMP. These are the tables and objects and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- This MIB contains a collection of counters that monitor the (D)TLS connections being established with a device. Since knowledge of

connection and certificate usage mechanisms may be considered sensitive, protection from disclosure of the SNMP traffic via encryption is also highly recommended.

SNMP versions prior to SNMPv3 did not include adequate security. Even if the network itself is secure (for example by using IPsec), even then, there is no control as to who on the secure network is allowed to access and GET/SET (read/change/create/delete) the objects in this MIB module.

It is RECOMMENDED that implementers consider the security features as provided by the SNMPv3 framework (see [RFC3410], section 8), including full support for the SNMPv3 cryptographic mechanisms (for authentication and privacy).

Further, deployment of SNMP versions prior to SNMPv3 is NOT RECOMMENDED. Instead, it is RECOMMENDED to deploy SNMPv3 and to enable cryptographic security. It is then a customer/operator responsibility to ensure that the SNMP entity giving access to an instance of this MIB module is properly configured to give access to the objects only to those principals (users) that have legitimate rights to indeed GET or SET (change/create/delete) them.

10. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign:

1. a TCP port number above 1023 in the http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers registry which will be the default port for receipt of SNMP command messages over a TLS Transport Model as defined in this document,

2. a TCP port number above 1023 in the http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers registry which will be the default port for receipt of SNMP notification messages over a TLS Transport Model as defined in this document,

3. a UDP port number above 1023 in the http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers registry which will be the default port for receipt of SNMP command messages over a DTLS/UDP connection as defined in this document,

4. a UDP port number above 1023 in the http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers registry which will be the default port for receipt of SNMP notification messages over a DTLS/UDP connection as defined in this document,

5. a SCTP port number above 1023 in the http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers registry which will be the default port for receipt of SNMP command messages over a DTLS/SCTP connection as defined in this document,

6. a SCTP port number above 1023 in the http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers registry which will be the default port for receipt of SNMP notification messages over a DTLS/SCTP connection as defined in this document,

7. an SMI number under snmpDomains for the snmpTLSTCPDomain object identifier,

8. an SMI number under snmpDomains for the snmpDTLSUDPDomain object identifier,

9. an SMI number under snmpDomains for the snmpDTLSSCTPDomain object identifier,

10. a SMI number under snmpModules, for the MIB module in this document,

11. "tls" as the corresponding prefix for the snmpTLSTCPDomain in the SNMP Transport Model registry,

12. "dudp" as the corresponding prefix for the snmpDTLSUDPDomain in the SNMP Transport Model registry,

13. "dsct" as the corresponding prefix for the snmpDTLSSCTPDomain in the SNMP Transport Model registry;

If possible, IANA is requested to use matching port numbers for all assignments for SNMP Commands being sent over TLS, DTLS/UDP, DTLS/SCTP.

If possible, IANA is requested to use matching port numbers for all assignments for SNMP Notifications being sent over TLS, DTLS/UDP, DTLS/SCTP.

Editor's note: this section should be replaced with appropriate descriptive assignment text after IANA assignments are made and prior to publication.

11. Acknowledgements

This document closely follows and copies the Secure Shell Transport Model for SNMP defined by David Harrington and Joseph Salowey in

[RFC5292].

This document was reviewed by the following people who helped provide useful comments (in alphabetical order): Andy Donati, Pasi Eronen, David Harrington, Jeffrey Hutzelman, Alan Luchuk, Tom Petch, Randy Presuhn, Ray Purvis, Joseph Salowey, Jurgen Schonwalder, Dave Shield, Robert Story.

This work was supported in part by the United States Department of Defense. Large portions of this document are based on work by General Dynamics C4 Systems and the following individuals: Brian Baril, Kim Bryant, Dana Deluca, Dan Hanson, Tim Huemiller, John Holzhauer, Colin Hoogeboom, Dave Kornbau, Chris Knaian, Dan Knaul, Charles Limoges, Steve Moccaldi, Gerardo Orlando, and Brandon Yip.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.

[RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.

[RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., and J. Schoenwaelder, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.

[RFC3411] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R., and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Management Frameworks", STD 62, RFC 3411, December 2002.

[RFC3413] Levi, D., Meyer, P., and B. Stewart, "Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Applications", STD 62, RFC 3413, December 2002.

[RFC3414] Blumenthal, U. and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", STD 62, RFC 3414, December 2002.

[RFC3415] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R., and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3415, December 2002.

[RFC3418] Presuhn, R., "Management Information Base (MIB) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3418, December 2002.

[RFC3584] Frye, R., Levi, D., Routhier, S., and B. Wijnen, "Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", BCP 74, RFC 3584, August 2003.

[RFC4347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security", RFC 4347, April 2006.

[RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, August 2008.

[RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, May 2008.

[RFC5590] Harrington, D. and J. Schoenwaelder, "Transport Subsystem for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 5590, June 2009.

[RFC5591] Harrington, D. and W. Hardaker, "Transport Security Model for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 5591, June 2009.

12.2. Informative References

[RFC2522] Karn, P. and W. Simpson, "Photuris: Session-Key Management Protocol", RFC 2522, March 1999.

[RFC3410] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart, "Introduction and Applicability Statements for Internet-Standard Management Framework", RFC 3410, December 2002.

[RFC4306] Kaufman, C., "Internet Key Exchange (IKEv2) Protocol", RFC 4306, December 2005.

[RFC4366] Blake-Wilson, S., Nystrom, M., Hopwood, D., Mikkelsen, J., and T. Wright, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions", RFC 4366, April 2006.

[RFC5292] Chen, E. and S. Sangli, "Address-Prefix-Based Outbound Route Filter for BGP-4", RFC 5292, August 2008.

[RFC5343] Schoenwaelder, J., "Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Context EngineID Discovery", RFC 5343, September 2008.

[I-D.saintandre-tls-server-id-check] Saint-Andre, P., Zeilenga, K., Hodges, J., and B. Morgan, "Best Practices for Checking of Server Identities in the Context of Transport Layer Security (TLS)".

[I-D.seggelmann-tls-dtls-heartbeat] Seggelmann, R., Tuexen, M., and M. Williams, "Transport Layer Security and Datagram Transport Layer Security Heartbeat Extension".

[AES] National Institute of Standards, "Specification for the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)".

[DES] National Institute of Standards, "American National Standard for Information Systems-Data Link Encryption".

[DSS] National Institute of Standards, "Digital Signature Standard".

[RSA] Rivest, R., Shamir, A., and L. Adleman, "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key Cryptosystems".

[X509] , ITU., "INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OPEN SYSTEMS INTERCONNECTION THE DIRECTORY: PUBLIC-KEY AND ATTRIBUTE CERTIFICATE FRAMEWORKS".

Appendix A. (D)TLS Overview

The (D)TLS protocol is composed of two layers: the (D)TLS Record Protocol and the (D)TLS Handshake Protocol. The following subsections provide an overview of these two layers. Please refer to [RFC4347] for a complete description of the protocol.

A.1. The (D)TLS Record Protocol

At the lowest layer, layered on top of the transport control protocol or a datagram transport protocol (e.g. UDP or SCTP) is the (D)TLS Record Protocol.

The (D)TLS Record Protocol provides security that has three basic properties:

- The session can be confidential. Symmetric cryptography is used for data encryption (e.g., [AES], [DES] etc.). The keys for this symmetric encryption are generated uniquely for each session and are based on a secret negotiated by another protocol (such as the (D)TLS Handshake Protocol). The Record Protocol can also be used without encryption.

- Messages can have data integrity. Message transport includes a message integrity check using a keyed MAC. Secure hash functions (e.g., SHA, MD5, etc.) are used for MAC computations. The Record Protocol can operate without a MAC, but is generally only used in this mode while another protocol is using the Record Protocol as a transport for negotiating security parameters.

- Messages are protected against replay. (D)TLS uses explicit sequence numbers and integrity checks. DTLS uses a sliding window to protect against replay of messages within a session.

(D)TLS also provides protection against replay of entire sessions. In a properly-implemented keying material exchange, both sides will generate new random numbers for each exchange. This results in different encryption and integrity keys for every session.

A.2. The (D)TLS Handshake Protocol

The (D)TLS Record Protocol is used for encapsulation of various higher-level protocols. One such encapsulated protocol, the (D)TLS Handshake Protocol, allows the server and client to authenticate each other and to negotiate an integrity algorithm, an encryption algorithm and cryptographic keys before the application protocol transmits or receives its first octet of data. Only the (D)TLS client can initiate the handshake protocol. The (D)TLS Handshake Protocol provides security that has four basic properties:

- The peer's identity can be authenticated using asymmetric (public key) cryptography (e.g., RSA [RSA], DSS [DSS], etc.). This authentication can be made optional, but is generally required by at least one of the peers.

  (D)TLS supports three authentication modes: authentication of both the server and the client, server authentication with an unauthenticated client, and total anonymity. For authentication of both entities, each entity provides a valid certificate chain leading to an acceptable certificate authority. Each entity is responsible for verifying that the other's certificate is valid

and has not expired or been revoked. See [I-D.saintandre-tls-server-id-check] for further details on standardized processing when checking server certificate identities.

- The negotiation of a shared secret is secure: the negotiated secret is unavailable to eavesdroppers, and for any authenticated handshake the secret cannot be obtained, even by an attacker who can place himself in the middle of the session.

- The negotiation is not vulnerable to malicious modification: it is infeasible for an attacker to modify negotiation communication without being detected by the parties to the communication.

- DTLS uses a stateless cookie exchange to protect against anonymous denial of service attacks and has retransmission timers, sequence numbers, and counters to handle message loss, reordering, and fragmentation.

Appendix B. PKIX Certificate Infrastructure

Users of a public key from a PKIX / X.509 certificate can be be confident that the associated private key is owned by the correct remote subject (person or system) with which an encryption or digital signature mechanism will be used. This confidence is obtained through the use of public key certificates, which are data structures that bind public key values to subjects. The binding is asserted by having a trusted CA digitally sign each certificate. The CA may base this assertion upon technical means (i.e., proof of possession through a challenge-response protocol), presentation of the private key, or on an assertion by the subject. A certificate has a limited valid lifetime which is indicated in its signed contents. Because a certificate's signature and timeliness can be independently checked by a certificate-using client, certificates can be distributed via untrusted communications and server systems, and can be cached in unsecured storage in certificate-using systems.

ITU-T X.509 (formerly CCITT X.509) or ISO/IEC/ITU 9594-8 [X509], which was first published in 1988 as part of the X.500 Directory recommendations, defines a standard certificate format which is a certificate which binds a subject (principal) to a public key value. This was later further expanded and documented in [RFC5280].

A X.509 certificate is a sequence of three required fields:

tbsCertificate: The tbsCertificate field contains the names of the subject and issuer, a public key associated with the subject, a validity period, and other associated information. This field may also contain extension components.

signatureAlgorithm: The signatureAlgorithm field contains the identifier for the cryptographic algorithm used by the certificate authority (CA) to sign this certificate.

signatureValue: The signatureValue field contains a digital signature computed by the CA upon the ASN.1 DER encoded tbsCertificate field. The ASN.1 DER encoded tbsCertificate is used as the input to the signature function. This signature value is then ASN.1 DER encoded as a BIT STRING and included in the Certificate's signature field. By generating this signature, the CA certifies the validity of the information in the tbsCertificate field. In particular, the CA certifies the binding between the public key material and the subject of the certificate.

The basic X.509 authentication procedure is as follows: A system is initialized with a number of root certificates that contain the public keys of a number of trusted CAs. When a system receives a X.509 certificate, signed by one of those CAs, the certificate has to be verified. It first checks the signatureValue field by using the public key of the corresponding trusted CA. Then it compares the digest of the received certificate with a digest of the tbsCertificate field. If they match, then the subject in the tbsCertificate field is authenticated.

Appendix C. Target and Notification Configuration Example

Configuring the SNMP-TARGET-MIB and NOTIFICATION-MIB along with access control settings for the SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB can be a daunting task without an example to follow. The following section describes an example of what pieces must be in place to accomplish this configuration.

The isAccessAllowed() ASI requires configuration to exist in the following SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB tables:

vacmSecurityToGroupTable
vacmAccessTable
vacmViewTreeFamilyTable

The only table that needs to be discussed as particularly different here is the vacmSecurityToGroupTable. This table is indexed by both the SNMPv3 security model and the security name. The security model,

when TLSTM is in use, should be set to the value of 4, corresponding to the TSM [RFC5591]. An example vacmSecurityToGroupTable row might be filled out as follows (using a single SNMP SET request):

```
vacmSecurityModel              = 4 (TSM)
vacmSecurityName               = "blueberry"
vacmGroupName                  = "administrators"
vacmSecurityToGroupStorageType = 3 (nonVolatile)
vacmSecurityToGroupStatus      = 4 (createAndGo)
```

This example will assume that the "administrators" group has been given proper permissions via rows in the vacmAccessTable and vacmViewTreeFamilyTable.

Depending on whether this VACM configuration is for a Command Responder or a command generator the security name "blueberry" will come from a few different locations.

C.1. Configuring the Notification Originator

For notification originators performing authorization checks, the server's certificate must be verified against the expected certificate before proceeding to send the notification. The expected certificate from the server may be listed in the tlstmAddrTable or may be determined through other X.509 path validation mechanisms. The securityName to use for VACM authorization checks is set by the SNMP-TARGET-MIB's snmpTargetParamsSecurityName column.

The certificate that the notification originator should present to the server is taken from the tlstmParamsClientFingerprint column from the appropriate entry in the tlstmParamsTable table.

C.2. Configuring the Command Responder

For command responder applications, the vacmSecurityName "blueberry" value is a value that derived from an incoming (D)TLS session. The mapping from a recevied (D)TLS client certificate to a tmSecurityName is done with the tlstmCertToTSNTable. The certificates must be loaded into the device so that a tlstmCertToTSNEntry may refer to it. As an example, consider the following entry which will provide a mapping from a client's public X.509's hash fingerprint directly to the "blueberry" tmSecurityName:

```
  tlstmCertToTSNID          = 1      (chosen by ordering preference)
  tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint  = HASH   (appropriate fingerprint)
  tlstmCertToTSNMapType      = 1      (specified)
  tlstmCertToTSNSecurityName = "blueberry"
  tlstmCertToTSNStorageType  = 3      (nonVolatile)
  tlstmCertToTSNRowStatus    = 4      (createAndGo)
```

The above is an example of how to map a particular certificate to a particular tmSecurityName. It is recommended, however, that users make use of direct subjectAltName or CommonName mappings where possible as it provides a more scalable approach to certificate management. This entry provides an example of using a subjectAltName mapping:

```
  tlstmCertToTSNID          = 1       (chosen by ordering preference)
  tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint = HASH    (appropriate fingerprint)
  tlstmCertToTSNMapType     = 2       (bySubjectAltName)
  tlstmCertToTSNSANType     = 1       (any)
  tlstmCertToTSNStorageType = 3       (nonVolatile)
  tlstmCertToTSNRowStatus   = 4       (createAndGo)
```

The above entry indicates the subjectAltName field for certificates created by an issuing certificate with a corresponding fingerprint will be trusted to always produce common names that are directly one-to-one mappable into tmSecurityNames. This type of configuration should only be used when the certificate authorities naming conventions are carefully controlled.

In the example, if the incoming (D)TLS client provided certificate contained a subjectAltName where the first listed subjectAltName in the extension is the rfc822Name of "blueberry@example.com", the certificate was signed by a certificate matching the tlstmCertToTSNFingerprint value and the CA's certificate was properly installed on the device then the string "blueberry@example.com" would be used as the tmSecurityName for the session.

Author's Address

Wes Hardaker
Sparta, Inc.
P.O. Box 382
Davis, CA 95617
USA

Phone: +1 530 792 1913
Email: ietf@hardakers.net