Workgroup: JMAP

Internet-Draft: draft-ietf-jmap-quotas-07

Published: 11 November 2022

Intended Status: Standards Track

Expires: 15 May 2023

Authors: R.C. Cordier, Ed.

Linagora Vietnam

JMAP for Quotas

Abstract

This document specifies a data model for handling quotas on accounts with a server using JMAP.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 15 May 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Notational conventions
 - 1.2. <u>Terminology</u>
 - 1.3. Addition to the capabilities object
 - 1.3.1. urn:ietf:params:jmap:quota
 - 1.4. <u>Data types</u>
 - <u>1.4.1</u>. <u>Scope</u>
 - 1.4.2. ResourceType
 - 1.5. Push
- 2. Quota
 - 2.1. Quota/get
 - 2.2. Quota/changes
 - 2.3. Quota/query
 - 2.4. Quota/queryChanges
 - 2.5. Examples
 - 2.5.1. Fetching quotas
 - 2.5.2. Requesting latest quota changes
- 3. Security considerations
- 4. IANA Considerations
 - 4.1. JMAP Capability Registration for "quota"
- 5. Normative References

Author's Address

1. Introduction

JMAP ([RFC8620] - (U+2013) JSON Meta Application Protocol) is a generic protocol for synchronising data, such as mails, calendars or contacts, between a client and a server. It is optimised for mobile and web environments, and aims to provide a consistent interface to different data types.

This specification defines a data model for handling quotas over JMAP, allowing you to read and explain quota information.

This specification does not address quota administration, which should be handled by other means.

1.1. Notational conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Type signatures, examples and property descriptions in this document follow the conventions established in section 1.1 of [RFC8620]. Data

types defined in the core specification are also used in this document.

Servers MUST support all properties specified for the new data types defined in this document.

1.2. Terminology

The same terminology is used in this document as in the core JMAP specification.

The term Quota (with that specific capitalization) is used to refer to the data type defined in this document and instance of that data type.

1.3. Addition to the capabilities object

The capabilities object is returned as part of the JMAP Session object; see [RFC8620], section 2.

This document defines one additional capability URI.

1.3.1. urn:ietf:params:jmap:quota

This represents support for the Quota data type and associated API methods. Servers supporting this specification MUST add a property called urn:ietf:params:jmap:quota to the capabilities object.

The value of this property is an empty object in both the JMAP session capabilities property and an account' (U+2019)s accountCapabilities property.

1.4. Data types

In addition to the standard JSON data types, a couple of additional data types are common to the definition of Quota objects and properties.

1.4.1. Scope

The **Scope** is a String from an enumeration defined list of values, handled by the server.

It explains the entities this value applies to. Values for the **Scope** are:

*account: Applies for this account

*domain: All accounts of this domain share this part of the quota

*global: All accounts of this server share this part of the quota

1.4.2. ResourceType

The **ResourceType** is a String from an enumeration defined list of values, handled by the server.

A resource type is like an unit of measure for the quota usage. Values for the **ResourceType** are:

- *count: The quota is measured in number of data type objects. For example, a quota can have a limit of 50 Mail objects.
- *octets: The quota is measured in size (in octets). For example, a quota can have a limit of 25000 octets.

1.5. Push

Servers MUST support the JMAP push mechanisms, as specified in $[\mbox{RFC8620}]$ Section 7, to receive notifications when the state changes for the Quota type defined in this specification.

2. Quota

The quota is an object that displays the limit set to an account usage as well as the current usage in regard to that limit.

The quota object MUST contain the following fields:

- *id: "Id" The unique identifier for this object. It should respect the JMAP ID data type defined in section 1.2 of [RFC8620]
- *resourceType: "ResourceType" The resource type of the quota.
- *used: "UnsignedInt" The current usage of the defined quota. Computation of this value is handled by the server.
- *limit: "UnsignedInt" The hard limit set by this quota. Objects in scope may not be created or updated if we reach this limit. It should be higher than the warnLimit and the softLimit.
- *scope: "Scope" The Scope of this quota.
- *name: "String" The name of the quota object. Useful for managing quotas and using queries for searching.
- *dataTypes: "String[]" A list of all the data types values that are applying to this quota. This allows to assign quotas to separated or shared data types. This MAY include data types the client does not recognise. Clients MUST ignore any unknown data type in the list.

The quota object MAY contain the following fields:

*warnLimit: "UnsignedInt|null" The warn limit set by this quota object. It can be used to send a warning to an entity about to reach the hard limit soon, but with no action taken yet. If set, it should be lower than the softLimit and the limit.

*softLimit: "UnsignedInt|null" The soft limit set by this quota object. It can be used to still allow some operations, but refuse some others. What is allowed or not is up to the server. For example, it could be used for blocking outgoing events of an entity (sending emails, creating calendar events, ...) while still receiving incoming events (receiving emails, receiving calendars events, ...). If set, it should be higher than the warnLimit but lower than the limit.

*description: "String|null" Arbitrary free, human readable, description of this quota. Might be used to explain where the limit comes from and explain the entities and data types this quota applies to.

2.1. Quota/get

Standard " (U+201C)/get" (U+201D) method as described in [RFC8620] section 5.1. The ids argument may be null to fetch all at once.

2.2. Quota/changes

Standard " (U+201C)/changes" (U+201D) method as described in [RFC8620] section 5.2 but with one extra argument to the response:

*updatedProperties: "String[]|null" If only the

" (U+201C)used" (U+201D) Quota properties has changed since the
old state, this will be the list of properties that may have
changed. If the server is unable to tell if only "used" has
changed, it MUST just be null.

Since "used" frequently changes but other properties are generally only changed rarely, the server can help the client optimise data transfer by keeping track of changes to Quota usage separate from other state changes. The updatedProperties array may be used directly via a back-reference in a subsequent Quota/get call in the same request, so only these properties are returned if nothing else has changed.

Servers MAY decide to add other properties to the list that they judge changing frequently.

2.3. Quota/query

This is a standard " (U+201C)/query" (U+201D) method as described in [RFC8620], Section 5.5.

A **FilterCondition** object has the following properties, any of which may be omitted:

- *name: "String" The Quota name property contains the given string.
- *scopes: "Scope[]" The Quota *scope* property must be in this list to match the condition.
- *resourceTypes: "ResourceType[]" The Quota resourceType property must be in this list to match the condition.
- *dataTypes: "String[]" The Quota dataTypes property must contain the elements in this list to match the condition.

A Quota object matches the FilterCondition if and only if all of the given conditions match. If zero properties are specified, it is automatically true for all objects.

The following Quota properties MUST be supported for sorting:

*name

*used

2.4. Quota/queryChanges

This is a standard " (U+201C)/queryChanges" (U+201D) method as described in [RFC8620], Section 5.6.

2.5. Examples

2.5.1. Fetching quotas

Request fetching all quotas related to an account :

```
[[ "Quota/get", {
   "accountId": "u33084183",
   "ids": null
}, "0" ]]
```

With response :

```
[[ "Quota/get", {
  "accountId": "u33084183",
  "state": "78540",
  "list": [{
    "id": "2a06df0d-9865-4e74-a92f-74dcc814270e",
    "resourceType": "count",
    "used": 1056,
    "warnLimit": 1600,
    "softLimit": 1800,
    "limit": 2000,
    "scope": "account",
    "name": "bob@example.com",
    "description": "Personal account usage",
    "dataTypes" : [ "Mail", "Calendar", "Contact" ]
 }, {
    "id": "3b06df0e-3761-4s74-a92f-74dcc963501x",
    "resourceType": "octets",
   . . .
  }, ...],
  "notFound": []
}, "0" ]]
2.5.2. Requesting latest quota changes
   Request fetching the changes for a specific quota:
[[ "Quota/changes", {
  "accountId": "u33084183",
  "sinceState": "10824",
  "maxChanges": 20,
  "updatedProperties": ["used"]
}, "0" ],
[ "Quota/get", {
  "accountId": "u33084183",
  "#ids": {
    "resultOf": "0",
    "name": "Quota/changes",
    "path": "/updated"
  "#properties": {
    "resultOf": "0",
    "name": "Quota/changes",
    "path": "/updatedProperties"
 }
}, "1" ]]
  With response:
```

```
[[ "Quota/changes", {
  "accountId": "u33084183",
  "oldState": "10824",
  "newState": "10826",
  "hasMoreChanges": false,
  "created": [],
  "updated": ["2a06df0d-9865-4e74-a92f-74dcc814270e"],
  "destroyed": []
}, "0" ],
[ "Quota/get", {
  "accountId": "u33084183",
  "state": "10826",
  "list": [{
    "id": "2a06df0d-9865-4e74-a92f-74dcc814270e",
   "used": 1246
 }],
 "notFound": []
}, "1" ]]
```

3. Security considerations

All security considerations of JMAP ([${\tt RFC8620}$]) apply to this specification.

Implementors should be careful to make sure the implementation of that extension does not violate the site's security policy. The resource usage of other users is likely to be considered confidential information and should not be divulged to unauthorized persons.

As for any resource shared across users (for example, a quota with the domain or global scope), a user that can consume the resource can affect the resources available to the other users. For example, a user could spam himself with events and make the shared resource hit the limit and unusable for others (implementors could mitigate that with some rate limiting implementation on the server).

4. IANA Considerations

Change Controller: IETF

4.1. JMAP Capability Registration for "quota"

```
IANA will register the "quota" JMAP Capability as follows:
Capability Name: urn:ietf:params:jmap:quota
Specification document: this document
Intended use: common
```

Security and privacy considerations: this document, section 4.

5. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/
RFC2119, March 1997, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

Author's Address

René Cordier (editor) Linagora Vietnam 5 Dien Bien Phu Hanoi 10000 Vietnam

Email: rcordier@linagora.com
URI: https://linagora.vn