JOSE Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: January 17, 2013

M. Jones Microsoft J. Bradley Ping Identity N. Sakimura NRI July 16, 2012

JSON Web Signature (JWS) draft-ietf-jose-json-web-signature-04

Abstract

JSON Web Signature (JWS) is a means of representing content secured with digital signatures or Message Authentication Codes (MACs) using JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) data structures. Cryptographic algorithms and identifiers for use with this specification are described in the separate JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) specification. Related encryption capabilities are described in the separate JSON Web Encryption (JWE) specification.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 17, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	 4
1.1. Notational Conventions	
<u>2</u> . Terminology	
3. JSON Web Signature (JWS) Overview	
3.1. Example JWS	
4. JWS Header	
4.1. Reserved Header Parameter Names	 <u>7</u>
4.1.1. "alg" (Algorithm) Header Parameter	 <u>7</u>
4.1.2. "jku" (JWK Set URL) Header Parameter	 <u>8</u>
4.1.3. "jwk" (JSON Web Key) Header Parameter	 <u>8</u>
4.1.4. "x5u" (X.509 URL) Header Parameter	 <u>8</u>
4.1.5. "x5t" (X.509 Certificate Thumbprint) Header	
Parameter	 <u>8</u>
4.1.6. "x5c" (X.509 Certificate Chain) Header Parameter .	
4.1.7. "kid" (Key ID) Header Parameter	 <u>9</u>
4.1.8. "typ" (Type) Header Parameter	 9
4.1.9. "cty" (Content Type) Header Parameter	
4.2. Public Header Parameter Names	 <u>10</u>
4.3. Private Header Parameter Names	 <u>10</u>
$\underline{5}$. Rules for Creating and Validating a JWS $\dots \dots \dots$	 <u>10</u>
6. Securing JWSs with Cryptographic Algorithms	 <u>12</u>
7. IANA Considerations	 <u>12</u>
7.1. JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters	
Registry	 <u>13</u>
7.1.1. Registration Template	 <u>13</u>
7.1.2. Initial Registry Contents	 <u>14</u>
7.2. JSON Web Signature and Encryption Type Values Registry	 <u>15</u>
7.2.1. Registration Template	 <u>15</u>
7.2.2. Initial Registry Contents	 <u>16</u>
7.3. Media Type Registration	 <u>16</u>
7.3.1. Registry Contents	 <u>16</u>
8. Security Considerations	 <u>17</u>
8.1. Cryptographic Security Considerations	 <u>17</u>
8.2. JSON Security Considerations	 <u>18</u>
8.3. Unicode Comparison Security Considerations	 <u>18</u>
<u>9</u> . Open Issues	 <u>19</u>
<u>10</u> . References	 <u>19</u>
$\underline{10.1}$. Normative References	 <u>19</u>
10 2 Informative References	21

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 2]

<u>Appendix A</u> .	JWS Examples	1
A.1. JWS	using HMAC SHA-256	1
<u>A.1.1</u> .	Encoding	1
<u>A.1.2</u> .	Decoding	3
<u>A.1.3</u> .	Validating	<u>3</u>
A.2. JWS	using RSA SHA-256	4
<u>A.2.1</u> .	Encoding	4
<u>A.2.2</u> .	Decoding	7
<u>A.2.3</u> .	Validating	7
A.3. JWS	using ECDSA P-256 SHA-256	7
<u>A.3.1</u> .	Encoding	7
<u>A.3.2</u> .	Decoding	9
<u>A.3.3</u> .	Validating	9
A.4. JWS	using ECDSA P-521 SHA-512 $\underline{30}$	
<u>A.4.1</u> .	3	
<u>A.4.2</u> .	Decoding	
<u>A.4.3</u> .	Validating	2
<u>A.5</u> . Exar	nple Plaintext JWS	2
<u>Appendix B</u> .	"x5c" (X.509 Certificate Chain) Example 33	<u>3</u>
<u>Appendix C</u> .	Notes on implementing base64url encoding without	
	padding	<u>5</u>
	Acknowledgements	
<u>Appendix E</u> .	Document History	<u>6</u>
Authoral Ada	draaaaa	\sim

1. Introduction

JSON Web Signature (JWS) is a compact format for representing content secured with digital signatures or Message Authentication Codes (MACs) intended for space constrained environments such as HTTP Authorization headers and URI query parameters. It represents this content using JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) [RFC4627] based data structures. The JWS cryptographic mechanisms provide integrity protection for arbitrary sequences of bytes.

Cryptographic algorithms and identifiers for use with this specification are described in the separate JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification. Related encryption capabilities are described in the separate JSON Web Encryption (JWE) [JWE] specification.

1.1. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels [RFC2119].

2. Terminology

- JSON Web Signature (JWS) A data structure cryptographically securing a JWS Header and a JWS Payload with a JWS Signature value.
- JWS Header A string representing a JSON object that describes the digital signature or MAC operation applied to create the JWS Signature value.
- JWS Payload The bytes to be secured a.k.a., the message. The payload can contain an arbitrary sequence of bytes.
- JWS Signature A byte array containing the cryptographic material that secures the JWS Header and the JWS Payload.
- Base64url Encoding The URL- and filename-safe Base64 encoding described in RFC 4648 [RFC4648], Section 5, with the (non URL-safe) '=' padding characters omitted, as permitted by Section 3.2. (See Appendix C for notes on implementing base64url encoding without padding.)
- Encoded JWS Header Base64url encoding of the bytes of the UTF-8 [RFC3629] representation of the JWS Header.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 4]

Encoded JWS Payload Base64url encoding of the JWS Payload.

Encoded JWS Signature Base64url encoding of the JWS Signature.

JWS Secured Input The concatenation of the Encoded JWS Header, a period ('.') character, and the Encoded JWS Payload.

Header Parameter Name The name of a member of the JSON object representing a JWS Header.

Header Parameter Value The value of a member of the JSON object representing a JWS Header.

JWS Compact Serialization A representation of the JWS as the concatenation of the Encoded JWS Header, the Encoded JWS Payload, and the Encoded JWS Signature in that order, with the three strings being separated by period ('.') characters.

Collision Resistant Namespace A namespace that allows names to be allocated in a manner such that they are highly unlikely to collide with other names. For instance, collision resistance can be achieved through administrative delegation of portions of the namespace or through use of collision-resistant name allocation functions. Examples of Collision Resistant Namespaces include: Domain Names, Object Identifiers (OIDs) as defined in the ITU-T X.660 and X.670 Recommendation series, and Universally Unique IDentifiers (UUIDs) [RFC4122]. When using an administratively delegated namespace, the definer of a name needs to take reasonable precautions to ensure they are in control of the portion of the namespace they use to define the name.

StringOrURI A JSON string value, with the additional requirement that while arbitrary string values MAY be used, any value containing a ":" character MUST be a URI [RFC3986].

3. JSON Web Signature (JWS) Overview

JWS represents digitally signed or MACed content using JSON data structures and base64url encoding. The representation consists of three parts: the JWS Header, the JWS Payload, and the JWS Signature. In the Compact Serialization, the three parts are base64url-encoded for transmission, and represented as the concatenation of the encoded strings in that order, with the three strings being separated by period ('.') characters. (A JSON Serialization for this information is defined in the separate JSON Web Signature JSON Serialization (JWS-JS) [JWS-JS] specification.)

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 5]

The JWS Header describes the signature or MAC method and parameters employed. The JWS Payload is the message content to be secured. The JWS Signature ensures the integrity of both the JWS Header and the JWS Payload.

3.1. Example JWS

The following example JWS Header declares that the encoded object is a JSON Web Token (JWT) $[\underline{\text{JWT}}]$ and the JWS Header and the JWS Payload are secured using the HMAC SHA-256 algorithm:

```
{"typ":"JWT",
    "alg":"HS256"}
```

Base64url encoding the bytes of the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header yields this Encoded JWS Header value: eyJ0eXAi0iJKV1QiLA0KICJhbGci0iJIUzI1NiJ9

The following is an example of a JSON object that can be used as a JWS Payload. (Note that the payload can be any content, and need not be a representation of a JSON object.)

```
{"iss":"joe",
  "exp":1300819380,
  "http://example.com/is_root":true}
```

Base64url encoding the bytes of the UTF-8 representation of the JSON object yields the following Encoded JWS Payload (with line breaks for display purposes only):

eyJpc3Mi0iJqb2UiLA0KICJleHAi0jEzMDA4MTkz0DAsDQogImh0dHA6Ly9leGFtcGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290Ijp0cnVlfQ

Computing the HMAC of the bytes of the ASCII [USASCII] representation of the JWS Secured Input (the concatenation of the Encoded JWS Header, a period ('.') character, and the Encoded JWS Payload) with the HMAC SHA-256 algorithm using the key specified in Appendix A.1 and base64url encoding the result yields this Encoded JWS Signature value:

dBjftJeZ4CVP-mB92K27uhbUJU1p1r_wW1gFWF0EjXk

Concatenating these parts in the order Header.Payload.Signature with period characters between the parts yields this complete JWS representation (with line breaks for display purposes only): eyJ0eXAi0iJKV1QiLA0KICJhbGci0iJIUzI1NiJ9

eyJpc3MiOiJqb2UiLA0KICJleHAiOjEzMDA4MTkzODAsDQogImh0dHA6Ly9leGFtcGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290Ijp0cnVlfQ

dBjftJeZ4CVP-mB92K27uhbUJU1p1r_wW1gFWF0EjXk

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 6]

This computation is illustrated in more detail in Appendix A.1.

4. JWS Header

The members of the JSON object represented by the JWS Header describe the digital signature or MAC applied to the Encoded JWS Header and the Encoded JWS Payload and optionally additional properties of the JWS. The Header Parameter Names within this object MUST be unique; JWSs with duplicate Header Parameter Names MUST be rejected. Implementations MUST understand the entire contents of the header; otherwise, the JWS MUST be rejected.

There are three classes of Header Parameter Names: Reserved Header Parameter Names, Public Header Parameter Names, and Private Header Parameter Names.

4.1. Reserved Header Parameter Names

The following header parameter names are reserved with meanings as defined below. All the names are short because a core goal of JWSs is for the representations to be compact.

Additional reserved header parameter names MAY be defined via the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters registry Section 7.1. As indicated by the common registry, JWSs and JWEs share a common header parameter space; when a parameter is used by both specifications, its usage must be compatible between the specifications.

4.1.1. "alg" (Algorithm) Header Parameter

The "alg" (algorithm) header parameter identifies the cryptographic algorithm used to secure the JWS. The algorithm specified by the "alg" value MUST be supported by the implementation and there MUST be a key for use with that algorithm associated with the party that digitally signed or MACed the content or the JWS MUST be rejected. "alg" values SHOULD either be registered in the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Algorithms registry [JWA] or be a URI that contains a Collision Resistant Namespace. The "alg" value is a case sensitive string containing a StringOrURI value. This header parameter is REQUIRED.

A list of defined "alg" values can be found in the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Algorithms registry [JWA]; the initial contents of this registry is the values defined in Section 3.1 of the JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 7]

4.1.2. "jku" (JWK Set URL) Header Parameter

The "jku" (JWK Set URL) header parameter is a URI [RFC3986] that refers to a resource for a set of JSON-encoded public keys, one of which corresponds to the key used to digitally sign the JWS. The keys MUST be encoded as a JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set) [JWK]. The protocol used to acquire the resource MUST provide integrity protection; an HTTP GET request to retrieve the certificate MUST use TLS [RFC2818] [RFC5246]; the identity of the server MUST be validated, as per Section 3.1 of HTTP Over TLS [RFC2818]. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

4.1.3. "jwk" (JSON Web Key) Header Parameter

The "jwk" (JSON Web Key) header parameter is a public key that corresponds to the key used to digitally sign the JWS. This key is represented as a JSON Web Key [\underline{JWK}]. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

4.1.4. "x5u" (X.509 URL) Header Parameter

The "x5u" (X.509 URL) header parameter is a URI [RFC3986] that refers to a resource for the X.509 public key certificate or certificate chain [RFC5280] corresponding to the key used to digitally sign the JWS. The identified resource MUST provide a representation of the certificate or certificate chain that conforms to RFC 5280 [RFC5280] in PEM encoded form [RFC1421]. The certificate containing the public key of the entity that digitally signed the JWS MUST be the first certificate. This MAY be followed by additional certificates, with each subsequent certificate being the one used to certify the previous one. The protocol used to acquire the resource MUST provide integrity protection; an HTTP GET request to retrieve the certificate MUST use TLS [RFC2818] [RFC5246]; the identity of the server MUST be validated, as per Section 3.1 of HTTP Over TLS [RFC2818]. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

4.1.5. "x5t" (X.509 Certificate Thumbprint) Header Parameter

The "x5t" (X.509 Certificate Thumbprint) header parameter provides a base64url encoded SHA-1 thumbprint (a.k.a. digest) of the DER encoding of the X.509 certificate [RFC5280] corresponding to the key used to digitally sign the JWS. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

If, in the future, certificate thumbprints need to be computed using hash functions other than SHA-1, it is suggested that additional related header parameters be defined for that purpose. For example, it is suggested that a new "x5t#S256" (X.509 Certificate Thumbprint using SHA-256) header parameter could be defined by registering it in

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 8]

the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters registry Section 7.1.

4.1.6. "x5c" (X.509 Certificate Chain) Header Parameter

The "x5c" (X.509 Certificate Chain) header parameter contains the X.509 public key certificate or certificate chain [RFC5280] corresponding to the key used to digitally sign the JWS. The certificate or certificate chain is represented as an array of certificate values. Each value is a base64 encoded ([RFC4648] Section 4 - not base64url encoded) DER [ITU.X690.1994] PKIX certificate value. The certificate containing the public key of the entity that digitally signed the JWS MUST be the first certificate. This MAY be followed by additional certificates, with each subsequent certificate being the one used to certify the previous one. The recipient MUST verify the certificate chain according to [RFC5280] and reject the JWS if any validation failure occurs. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

See Appendix B for an example "x5c" value.

4.1.7. "kid" (Key ID) Header Parameter

The "kid" (key ID) header parameter is a hint indicating which key was used to secure the JWS. This parameter allows originators to explicitly signal a change of key to recipients. Should the recipient be unable to locate a key corresponding to the "kid" value, they SHOULD treat that condition as an error. The interpretation of the "kid" value is unspecified. Its value MUST be a string. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

When used with a JWK, the "kid" value MAY be used to match a JWK "kid" parameter value.

4.1.8. "typ" (Type) Header Parameter

The "typ" (type) header parameter is used to declare the type of this object. The type value "JWS" MAY be used to indicate that this object is a JWS. The "typ" value is a case sensitive string. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

MIME Media Type [RFC2046] values MAY be used as "typ" values.

"typ" values SHOULD either be registered in the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Type Values registry <u>Section 7.2</u> or be a URI that contains a Collision Resistant Namespace.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 9]

4.1.9. "cty" (Content Type) Header Parameter

The "cty" (content type) header parameter is used to declare the type of the secured content (the Payload). The "cty" value is a case sensitive string. This header parameter is OPTIONAL.

The values used for the "cty" header parameter come from the same value space as the "typ" header parameter, with the same rules applying.

4.2. Public Header Parameter Names

Additional header parameter names can be defined by those using JWSs. However, in order to prevent collisions, any new header parameter name SHOULD either be registered in the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters registry Section 7.1 or be a URI that contains a Collision Resistant Namespace. In each case, the definer of the name or value needs to take reasonable precautions to make sure they are in control of the part of the namespace they use to define the header parameter name.

New header parameters should be introduced sparingly, as they can result in non-interoperable JWSs.

4.3. Private Header Parameter Names

A producer and consumer of a JWS may agree to any header parameter name that is not a Reserved Name <u>Section 4.1</u> or a Public Name <u>Section 4.2</u>. Unlike Public Names, these private names are subject to collision and should be used with caution.

5. Rules for Creating and Validating a JWS

To create a JWS, one MUST perform these steps. The order of the steps is not significant in cases where there are no dependencies between the inputs and outputs of the steps.

- 1. Create the content to be used as the JWS Payload.
- 2. Base64url encode the bytes of the JWS Payload. This encoding becomes the Encoded JWS Payload.
- Create a JWS Header containing the desired set of header parameters. Note that white space is explicitly allowed in the representation and no canonicalization need be performed before encoding.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 10]

Internet-Draft

- 4. Base64url encode the bytes of the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header to create the Encoded JWS Header.
- 5. Compute the JWS Signature in the manner defined for the particular algorithm being used. The JWS Secured Input is always the concatenation of the Encoded JWS Header, a period ('.') character, and the Encoded JWS Payload. The "alg" (algorithm) header parameter MUST be present in the JSON Header, with the algorithm value accurately representing the algorithm used to construct the JWS Signature.
- 6. Base64url encode the representation of the JWS Signature to create the Encoded JWS Signature.
- 7. The three encoded parts, taken together, are the result. The Compact Serialization of this result is the concatenation of the Encoded JWS Header, the Encoded JWS Payload, and the Encoded JWS Signature in that order, with the three strings being separated by period ('.') characters.

When validating a JWS, the following steps MUST be taken. The order of the steps is not significant in cases where there are no dependencies between the inputs and outputs of the steps. If any of the listed steps fails, then the JWS MUST be rejected.

- 1. Parse the three parts of the input (which are separated by period characters when using the JWS Compact Serialization) into the Encoded JWS Header, the Encoded JWS Payload, and the Encoded JWS Signature.
- 2. The Encoded JWS Header MUST be successfully base64url decoded following the restriction given in this specification that no padding characters have been used.
- 3. The resulting JWS Header MUST be completely valid JSON syntax conforming to RFC 4627 [RFC4627].
- 4. The resulting JWS Header MUST be validated to only include parameters and values whose syntax and semantics are both understood and supported.
- 5. The Encoded JWS Payload MUST be successfully base64url decoded following the restriction given in this specification that no padding characters have been used.
- 6. The Encoded JWS Signature MUST be successfully base64url decoded following the restriction given in this specification that no padding characters have been used.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 11]

7. The JWS Signature MUST be successfully validated against the JWS Secured Input (the concatenation of the Encoded JWS Header, a period ('.') character, and the Encoded JWS Payload) in the manner defined for the algorithm being used, which MUST be accurately represented by the value of the "alg" (algorithm) header parameter, which MUST be present.

Processing a JWS inevitably requires comparing known strings to values in the header. For example, in checking what the algorithm is, the Unicode string encoding "alg" will be checked against the member names in the JWS Header to see if there is a matching header parameter name. A similar process occurs when determining if the value of the "alg" header parameter represents a supported algorithm.

Comparisons between JSON strings and other Unicode strings MUST be performed as specified below:

- 1. Remove any JSON applied escaping to produce an array of Unicode code points.
- 2. Unicode Normalization [<u>USA15</u>] MUST NOT be applied at any point to either the JSON string or to the string it is to be compared against.
- 3. Comparisons between the two strings MUST be performed as a Unicode code point to code point equality comparison.

6. Securing JWSs with Cryptographic Algorithms

JWS uses cryptographic algorithms to digitally sign or MAC the JWS Header and the JWS Payload. The JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification describes a set of cryptographic algorithms and identifiers to be used with this specification. Specifically, Section 3.1 specifies a set of "alg" (algorithm) header parameter values intended for use this specification. It also describes the semantics and operations that are specific to these algorithms and algorithm families.

Public keys employed for digital signing can be identified using the Header Parameter methods described in <u>Section 4.1</u> or can be distributed using methods that are outside the scope of this specification.

7. IANA Considerations

The following registration procedure is used for all the registries

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 12]

established by this specification.

Values are registered with a Specification Required [RFC5226] after a two week review period on the [TBD]@ietf.org mailing list, on the advice of one or more Designated Experts. However, to allow for the allocation of values prior to publication, the Designated Expert(s) may approve registration once they are satisfied that such a specification will be published.

Registration requests must be sent to the [TBD]@ietf.org mailing list for review and comment, with an appropriate subject (e.g., "Request for access token type: example"). [[Note to RFC-EDITOR: The name of the mailing list should be determined in consultation with the IESG and IANA. Suggested name: jose-reg-review.]]

Within the review period, the Designated Expert(s) will either approve or deny the registration request, communicating this decision to the review list and IANA. Denials should include an explanation and, if applicable, suggestions as to how to make the request successful.

IANA must only accept registry updates from the Designated Expert(s), and should direct all requests for registration to the review mailing list.

7.1. JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters Registry

This specification establishes the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters registry for reserved JWS and JWE header parameter names. The registry records the reserved header parameter name and a reference to the specification that defines it. The same Header Parameter Name may be registered multiple times, provided that the parameter usage is compatible between the specifications.

7.1.1. Registration Template

Header Parameter Name:

The name requested (e.g., "example"). This name is case sensitive. Names that match other registered names in a case insensitive manner SHOULD NOT be accepted.

Change Controller:

For standards-track RFCs, state "IETF". For others, give the name of the responsible party. Other details (e.g., postal address, e-mail address, home page URI) may also be included.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 13]

Specification Document(s):

Reference to the document that specifies the parameter, preferably including a URI that can be used to retrieve a copy of the document. An indication of the relevant sections may also be included, but is not required.

7.1.2. Initial Registry Contents

This specification registers the Header Parameter Names defined in Section 4.1 in this registry.

o Header Parameter Name: "alg" o Change Controller: IETF o Specification Document(s): Section 4.1.1 of [[this document]] o Header Parameter Name: "jku" o Change Controller: IETF o Specification Document(s): Section 4.1.2 of [[this document]] o Header Parameter Name: "jwk" o Change Controller: IETF o Specification document(s): Section 4.1.3 of [[this document]] Header Parameter Name: "x5u" o Change Controller: IETF o Specification Document(s): <u>Section 4.1.4</u> of [[this document]] o Header Parameter Name: "x5t" o Change Controller: IETF o Specification Document(s): <u>Section 4.1.5</u> of [[this document]] Header Parameter Name: "x5c" o Change Controller: IETF o Specification Document(s): <u>Section 4.1.6</u> of [[this document]]

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 14]

```
O Header Parameter Name: "kid"
O Change Controller: IETF
O Specification Document(s): Section 4.1.7 of [[ this document ]]
O Header Parameter Name: "typ"
O Change Controller: IETF
O Specification Document(s): Section 4.1.8 of [[ this document ]]
O Header Parameter Name: "cty"
O Change Controller: IETF
O Specification Document(s): Section 4.1.9 of [[ this document ]]
```

7.2. JSON Web Signature and Encryption Type Values Registry

This specification establishes the IANA JSON Web Signature and Encryption Type Values registry for values of the JWS and JWE "typ" (type) header parameter. It is RECOMMENDED that all registered "typ" values also include a MIME Media Type [RFC2046] value that the registered value is a short name for. The registry records the "typ" value, the MIME type value that it is an abbreviation for (if any), and a reference to the specification that defines it.

MIME Media Type [RFC2046] values MUST NOT be directly registered as new "typ" values; rather, new "typ" values MAY be registered as short names for MIME types.

7.2.1. Registration Template

```
"typ" Header Parameter Value:
The name requested (e.g., "example"). This name is case sensitive. Names that match other registered names in a case insensitive manner SHOULD NOT be accepted.
```

Abbreviation for MIME Type:

The MIME type that this name is an abbreviation for (e.g.,

"application/example").

Change Controller:

For standards-track RFCs, state "IETF". For others, give the name of the responsible party. Other details (e.g., postal address, e-mail address, home page URI) may also be included.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 15]

Specification Document(s):

Reference to the document that specifies the parameter, preferably including a URI that can be used to retrieve a copy of the document. An indication of the relevant sections may also be included, but is not required.

7.2.2. Initial Registry Contents

This specification registers the "JWS" type value in this registry:

- o "typ" Header Parameter Value: "JWS"
- o Abbreviation for MIME type: application/jws
- o Change Controller: IETF
- o Specification Document(s): Section 4.1.8 of [[this document]]

7.3. Media Type Registration

7.3.1. Registry Contents

This specification registers the "application/jws" Media Type [RFC2046] in the MIME Media Type registry [RFC4288] to indicate that the content is a JWS using the Compact Serialization.

- o Type name: application
- o Subtype name: jws
- o Required parameters: n/a
- o Optional parameters: n/a
- o Encoding considerations: JWS values are encoded as a series of base64url encoded values (some of which may be the empty string) separated by period ('.') characters
- o Security considerations: See the Security Considerations section of this document
- o Interoperability considerations: n/a
- o Published specification: [[this document]]
- o Applications that use this media type: OpenID Connect, Mozilla Browser ID, Salesforce, Google, numerous others that use signed JWTs

- o Additional information: Magic number(s): n/a, File extension(s): n/a, Macintosh file type code(s): n/a
- o Person & email address to contact for further information: Michael B. Jones, mbj@microsoft.com
- o Intended usage: COMMON
- o Restrictions on usage: none
- o Author: Michael B. Jones, mbj@microsoft.com
- o Change Controller: IETF

8. Security Considerations

8.1. Cryptographic Security Considerations

All of the security issues faced by any cryptographic application must be faced by a JWS/JWE/JWK agent. Among these issues are protecting the user's private key, preventing various attacks, and helping the user avoid mistakes such as inadvertently encrypting a message for the wrong recipient. The entire list of security considerations is beyond the scope of this document, but some significant concerns are listed here.

All the security considerations in XML DSIG 2.0 [W3C.CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124], also apply to this specification, other than those that are XML specific. Likewise, many of the best practices documented in XML Signature Best Practices [W3C.WD-xmldsig-bestpractices-20110809] also apply to this specification, other than those that are XML specific.

Keys are only as strong as the amount of entropy used to generate them. A minimum of 128 bits of entropy should be used for all keys, and depending upon the application context, more may be required. In particular, it may be difficult to generate sufficiently random values in some browsers and application environments.

When utilizing TLS to retrieve information, the authority providing the resource MUST be authenticated and the information retrieved MUST be free from modification.

When cryptographic algorithms are implemented in such a way that successful operations take a different amount of time than unsuccessful operations, attackers may be able to use the time difference to obtain information about the keys employed. Therefore,

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 17]

such timing differences must be avoided.

A SHA-1 hash is used when computing "x5t" (x.509 certificate thumbprint) values, for compatibility reasons. Should an effective means of producing SHA-1 hash collisions be developed, and should an attacker wish to interfere with the use of a known certificate on a given system, this could be accomplished by creating another certificate whose SHA-1 hash value is the same and adding it to the certificate store used by the intended victim. A prerequisite to this attack succeeding is the attacker having write access to the intended victim's certificate store.

If, in the future, certificate thumbprints need to be computed using hash functions other than SHA-1, it is suggested that additional related header parameters be defined for that purpose. For example, it is suggested that a new "x5t#S256" (X.509 Certificate Thumbprint using SHA-256) header parameter could be defined and used.

8.2. JSON Security Considerations

Strict JSON validation is a security requirement. If malformed JSON is received, then the intent of the sender is impossible to reliably discern. Ambiguous and potentially exploitable situations could arise if the JSON parser used does not reject malformed JSON syntax.

Section 2.2 of the JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) specification [RFC4627] states "The names within an object SHOULD be unique", whereas this specification states that "Header Parameter Names within this object MUST be unique; JWSs with duplicate Header Parameter Names MUST be rejected". Thus, this specification requires that the Section 2.2 "SHOULD" be treated as a "MUST". Ambiguous and potentially exploitable situations could arise if the JSON parser used does not enforce the uniqueness of member names.

8.3. Unicode Comparison Security Considerations

Header parameter names and algorithm names are Unicode strings. For security reasons, the representations of these names must be compared verbatim after performing any escape processing (as per RFC 4627 [RFC4627], Section 2.5). This means, for instance, that these JSON strings must compare as being equal ("sig", "\u00073ig"), whereas these must all compare as being not equal to the first set or to each other ("SIG", "Sig", "si\u0047").

JSON strings MAY contain characters outside the Unicode Basic Multilingual Plane. For instance, the G clef character (U+1D11E) may be represented in a JSON string as "\uD834\uDD1E". Ideally, JWS implementations SHOULD ensure that characters outside the Basic

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 18]

Multilingual Plane are preserved and compared correctly; alternatively, if this is not possible due to these characters exercising limitations present in the underlying JSON implementation, then input containing them MUST be rejected.

9. Open Issues

[[to be removed by the RFC editor before publication as an RFC]]

The following items remain to be considered or done in this draft:

- o Should we define an optional nonce and/or timestamp header parameter? (Use of a nonce is an effective countermeasure to some kinds of attacks.)
- o Some have objected to the language "Implementations MUST understand the entire contents of the header; otherwise, the JWS MUST be rejected" in this spec and the related language in the JWE, JWK, and JWT specs. Others believe that this is essential in a security specification.
- o Finish the Security Considerations section.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

[ITU.X690.1994]

International Telecommunications Union, "Information Technology - ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER)", ITU-T Recommendation X.690, 1994.

- [JWA] Jones, M., "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)", July 2012.
- [JWK] Jones, M., "JSON Web Key (JWK)", July 2012.
- [RFC1421] Linn, J., "Privacy Enhancement for Internet Electronic Mail: Part I: Message Encryption and Authentication Procedures", RFC 1421, February 1993.
- [RFC2046] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types", RFC 2046, November 1996.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 19]

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2818] Rescorla, E., "HTTP Over TLS", RFC 2818, May 2000.
- [RFC3629] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, January 2005.
- [RFC4288] Freed, N. and J. Klensin, "Media Type Specifications and Registration Procedures", <u>BCP 13</u>, <u>RFC 4288</u>, December 2005.
- [RFC4627] Crockford, D., "The application/json Media Type for JavaScript Object Notation (JSON)", RFC 4627, July 2006.
- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", RFC 4648, October 2006.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", <u>BCP 26</u>, <u>RFC 5226</u>, May 2008.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, August 2008.
- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, May 2008.
- [USA15] Davis, M., Whistler, K., and M. Duerst, "Unicode Normalization Forms", Unicode Standard Annex 15, 09 2009.
- [USASCII] American National Standards Institute, "Coded Character Set -- 7-bit American Standard Code for Information Interchange", ANSI X3.4, 1986.
- [W3C.WD-xmldsig-bestpractices-20110809]
 Datta, P. and F. Hirsch, "XML Signature Best Practices",
 World Wide Web Consortium WD WD-xmldsig-bestpractices20110809, August 2011, http://www.w3.org/TR/2011/
 WD-xmldsig-bestpractices-20110809>.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 20]

10.2. Informative References

[CanvasApp]

Facebook, "Canvas Applications", 2010.

- [JSS] Bradley, J. and N. Sakimura (editor), "JSON Simple Sign", September 2010.
- [JWE] Jones, M., Rescorla, E., and J. Hildebrand, "JSON Web Encryption (JWE)", July 2012.
- [JWS-JS] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Signature JSON Serialization (JWS-JS)", July 2012.
- [JWT] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Token (JWT)", July 2012.

[MagicSignatures]

Panzer (editor), J., Laurie, B., and D. Balfanz, "Magic Signatures", January 2011.

[RFC4122] Leach, P., Mealling, M., and R. Salz, "A Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) URN Namespace", RFC 4122, July 2005.

[W3C.CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124]

Reagle, J., Hirsch, F., Cantor, S., Roessler, T., Eastlake, D., Yiu, K., Solo, D., and P. Datta, "XML Signature Syntax and Processing Version 2.0", World Wide Web Consortium CR CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124, January 2012, http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124>.

Appendix A. JWS Examples

This section provides several examples of JWSs. While these examples all represent JSON Web Tokens (JWTs) [JWT], the payload can be any base64url encoded content.

A.1. JWS using HMAC SHA-256

A.1.1. Encoding

The following example JWS Header declares that the data structure is a JSON Web Token (JWT) $[\underline{\text{JWT}}]$ and the JWS Secured Input is secured using the HMAC SHA-256 algorithm.

{"typ":"JWT",
 "alg":"HS256"}

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 21]

The following byte array contains the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header:

[123, 34, 116, 121, 112, 34, 58, 34, 74, 87, 84, 34, 44, 13, 10, 32, 34, 97, 108, 103, 34, 58, 34, 72, 83, 50, 53, 54, 34, 125]

Base64url encoding these bytes yields this Encoded JWS Header value: eyJ0eXAi0iJKV1QiLA0KICJhbGci0iJIUzI1NiJ9

The JWS Payload used in this example is the bytes of the UTF-8 representation of the JSON object below. (Note that the payload can be any base64url encoded sequence of bytes, and need not be a base64url encoded JSON object.)

{"iss":"joe",

"exp":1300819380,

"http://example.com/is_root":true}

The following byte array, which is the UTF-8 representation of the JSON object above, is the JWS Payload:

[123, 34, 105, 115, 115, 34, 58, 34, 106, 111, 101, 34, 44, 13, 10, 32, 34, 101, 120, 112, 34, 58, 49, 51, 48, 48, 56, 49, 57, 51, 56, 48, 44, 13, 10, 32, 34, 104, 116, 116, 112, 58, 47, 47, 101, 120, 97, 109, 112, 108, 101, 46, 99, 111, 109, 47, 105, 115, 95, 114, 111, 111, 116, 34, 58, 116, 114, 117, 101, 125]

Base64url encoding the above yields the Encoded JWS Payload value (with line breaks for display purposes only): eyJpc3MiOiJqb2UiLA0KICJleHAiOjEzMDA4MTkz0DAsDQogImh0dHA6Ly9leGFt cGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290Ijp0cnVlfQ

Concatenating the Encoded JWS Header, a period character, and the Encoded JWS Payload yields this JWS Secured Input value (with line breaks for display purposes only): eyJ0eXAi0iJKV1QiLA0KICJhbGci0iJIUzI1NiJ9

eyJpc3MiOiJqb2UiLAOKICJleHAiOjEzMDA4MTkzODAsDQogImhOdHA6Ly9leGFtcGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290IjpOcnVlfQ

The ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input is the following byte array:

[101, 121, 74, 48, 101, 88, 65, 105, 79, 105, 74, 75, 86, 49, 81, 105, 76, 65, 48, 75, 73, 67, 74, 104, 98, 71, 99, 105, 79, 105, 74, 73, 85, 122, 73, 49, 78, 105, 74, 57, 46, 101, 121, 74, 112, 99, 51, 77, 105, 79, 105, 74, 113, 98, 50, 85, 105, 76, 65, 48, 75, 73, 67, 74, 108, 101, 72, 65, 105, 79, 106, 69, 122, 77, 68, 65, 52, 77, 84, 107, 122, 79, 68, 65, 115, 68, 81, 111, 103, 73, 109, 104, 48, 100,

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 22]

72, 65, 54, 76, 121, 57, 108, 101, 71, 70, 116, 99, 71, 120, 108, 76, 109, 78, 118, 98, 83, 57, 112, 99, 49, 57, 121, 98, 50, 57, 48, 73, 106, 112, 48, 99, 110, 86, 108, 102, 81]

HMACs are generated using keys. This example uses the key represented by the following byte array:

[3, 35, 53, 75, 43, 15, 165, 188, 131, 126, 6, 101, 119, 123, 166, 143, 90, 179, 40, 230, 240, 84, 201, 40, 169, 15, 132, 178, 210, 80, 46, 191, 211, 251, 90, 146, 210, 6, 71, 239, 150, 138, 180, 195, 119, 98, 61, 34, 61, 46, 33, 114, 5, 46, 79, 8, 192, 205, 154, 245, 103, 208, 128, 163]

Running the HMAC SHA-256 algorithm on the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input with this key yields the following byte array:

[116, 24, 223, 180, 151, 153, 224, 37, 79, 250, 96, 125, 216, 173, 187, 186, 22, 212, 37, 77, 105, 214, 191, 240, 91, 88, 5, 88, 83, 132, 141, 121]

Base64url encoding the above HMAC output yields the Encoded JWS Signature value: dBjftJeZ4CVP-mB92K27uhbUJU1p1r_wW1gFWF0EjXk

A.1.2. Decoding

Decoding the JWS requires base64url decoding the Encoded JWS Header, Encoded JWS Payload, and Encoded JWS Signature to produce the JWS Header, JWS Payload, and JWS Signature byte arrays. The byte array containing the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header is decoded into the JWS Header string.

A.1.3. Validating

Next we validate the decoded results. Since the "alg" parameter in the header is "HS256", we validate the HMAC SHA-256 value contained in the JWS Signature. If any of the validation steps fail, the JWS MUST be rejected.

First, we validate that the JWS Header string is legal JSON.

To validate the HMAC value, we repeat the previous process of using the correct key and the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input as input to the HMAC SHA-256 function and then taking the output and determining if it matches the JWS Signature. If it matches exactly, the HMAC has been validated.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 23]

A.2. JWS using RSA SHA-256

A.2.1. Encoding

The JWS Header in this example is different from the previous example in two ways: First, because a different algorithm is being used, the "alg" value is different. Second, for illustration purposes only, the optional "typ" parameter is not used. (This difference is not related to the algorithm employed.) The JWS Header used is: {"alg":"RS256"}

The following byte array contains the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header:

[123, 34, 97, 108, 103, 34, 58, 34, 82, 83, 50, 53, 54, 34, 125]

Base64url encoding these bytes yields this Encoded JWS Header value: eyJhbGci0iJSUzI1NiJ9

The JWS Payload used in this example, which follows, is the same as in the previous example. Since the Encoded JWS Payload will therefore be the same, its computation is not repeated here. {"iss":"joe",

"exp":1300819380,

"http://example.com/is_root":true}

Concatenating the Encoded JWS Header, a period character, and the Encoded JWS Payload yields this JWS Secured Input value (with line breaks for display purposes only):

eyJhbGciOiJSUzI1NiJ9

eyJpc3Mi0iJqb2UiLA0KICJleHAi0jEzMDA4MTkz0DAsDQogImh0dHA6Ly9leGFtcGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290Ijp0cnVlfQ

The ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input is the following byte array:

[101, 121, 74, 104, 98, 71, 99, 105, 79, 105, 74, 83, 85, 122, 73, 49, 78, 105, 74, 57, 46, 101, 121, 74, 112, 99, 51, 77, 105, 79, 105, 74, 113, 98, 50, 85, 105, 76, 65, 48, 75, 73, 67, 74, 108, 101, 72, 65, 105, 79, 106, 69, 122, 77, 68, 65, 52, 77, 84, 107, 122, 79, 68, 65, 115, 68, 81, 111, 103, 73, 109, 104, 48, 100, 72, 65, 54, 76, 121, 57, 108, 101, 71, 70, 116, 99, 71, 120, 108, 76, 109, 78, 118, 98, 83, 57, 112, 99, 49, 57, 121, 98, 50, 57, 48, 73, 106, 112, 48, 99, 110, 86, 108, 102, 81]

The RSA key consists of a public part (Modulus, Exponent), and a Private Exponent. The values of the RSA key used in this example,

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 24]

presented as the byte arrays representing big endian integers are:

+	+	+
Parameter	Value	ļ
Name		
Name + Modulus		+
 	100, 103, 134, 213, 63, 49, 46, 86, 99, 139, 131, 102, 92, 111, 111, 122, 130, 163, 150, 112, 42, 31, 100, 27, 130, 211, 235, 242, 57, 34, 25, 73, 31, 182, 134, 135, 44, 87, 22, 245, 10, 248, 53, 141, 154,	
 	139, 157, 23, 195, 64, 114, 143, 127, 135, 216, 154, 24, 216, 252, 171, 103, 173, 132, 89, 12, 46, 207, 117, 147, 57, 54, 60, 7, 3, 77, 111, 96, 111, 158,	
 Exponent	33, 224, 84, 86, 202, 229, 233, 161] [1, 0, 1]	

| Private [18, 174, 113, 164, 105, 205, 10, 43, 195, 126, 82, Exponent | 108, 69, 0, 87, 31, 29, 97, 117, 29, 100, 233, 73, 112, 123, 98, 89, 15, 157, 11, 165, 124, 150, 60, 64, 30, 63, 207, 47, 44, 211, 189, 236, 136, 229, 3, 191, | 198, 67, 155, 11, 40, 200, 47, 125, 55, 151, 103, 31, 82, 19, 238, 216, 193, 90, 37, 216, 213, 206, 160, 2, 94, 227, 171, 46, 139, 127, 121, 33, 111, 198, 59, 234, 86, 39, 83, 180, 6, 68, 198, 161, 81, 39, 217, | 178, 149, 69, 64, 160, 187, 225, 163, 5, 86, 152, 45, 78, 159, 222, 95, 100, 37, 241, 77, 75, 113, 52, 65, | 181, 93, 199, 59, 155, 74, 237, 204, 146, 172, 227, 146, 126, 55, 245, 125, 12, 253, 94, 117, 129, 250, 81, 44, 143, 73, 97, 169, 235, 11, 128, 248, 168, 7, 70, 114, 138, 85, 255, 70, 71, 31, 52, 37, 6, 59, | 157, 83, 100, 47, 94, 222, 30, 132, 214, 19, 8, 26, 250, 92, 34, 208, 81, 40, 91, 214, 59, 148, 59, 86, 93, 137, 138, 5, 104, 84, 19, 229, 60, 60, 108, 101, 37, 255, 31, 227, 78, 61, 220, 112, 240, 213, 100, 80, 253, 164, 139, 161, 46, 16, 78, 157, 235, 159, | 184, 24, 129, 225, 196, 189, 242, 93, 146, 71, 244, 80, 200, 101, 146, 121, 104, 231, 115, 52, 244, 65, | 79, 117, 167, 80, 225, 57, 84, 110, 58, 138, 115,

The RSA private key (Modulus, Private Exponent) is then passed to the RSA signing function, which also takes the hash type, SHA-256, and the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input as inputs. The result of the digital signature is a byte array, which represents a big endian integer. In this example, it is:

[112, 46, 33, 137, 67, 232, 143, 209, 30, 181, 216, 45, 191, 120, 69, 243, 65, 6, 174, 27, 129, 255, 247, 115, 17, 22, 173, 209, 113, 125, 131, 101, 109, 66, 10, 253, 60, 150, 238, 221, 115, 162, 102, 62, 81, 102, 104, 123, 0, 11, 135, 34, 110, 1, 135, 237, 16, 115, 249, 69, 229, 130, 173, 252, 239, 22, 216, 90, 121, 142, 232, 198, 109, 219, 61, 184, 151, 91, 23, 208, 148, 2, 190, 237, 213, 217, 217, 112, 7, 16, 141, 178, 129, 96, 213, 248, 4, 12, 167, 68, 87, 98, 184, 31, 190, 127, 249, 217, 46, 10, 231, 111, 36, 242, 91, 51, 187, 230, 244, 74, 230, 30, 177, 4, 10, 203, 32, 4, 77, 62, 249, 18, 142, 212, 1, 48, 121, 91, 212, 189, 59, 65, 238, 202, 208, 102, 171, 101, 25, 129, 253, 228, 141, 247, 127, 55, 45, 195, 139, 159, 175, 221, 59, 239, 177, 139, 93, 163, 204, 60, 46, 176, 47, 158, 58, 65, 214, 18, 202, 173, 21, 145, 18, 115, 160, 95, 35, 185, 232, 56, 250, 175, 132, 157, 105, 132, 41, 239, 90, 30, 136, 121, 130, 54, 195, 212, 14, 96, 69, 34, 165, 68, 200, 242, 122, 122, 45, 184, 6, 99, 209, 108, 247, 202, 234, 86, 222, 64, 92, 178, 33, 90, 69, 178, 194, 85, 102, 181, 90, 193, 167, 72, 160, 112, 223, 200, 163, 42, 70, 149, 67, 208, 25, 238,

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 26]

251, 71]

Base64url encoding the digital signature produces this value for the Encoded JWS Signature (with line breaks for display purposes only): cC4hiUPoj9Eetdgtv3hF80EGrhuB__dzERat0XF9g2VtQgr9PJbu3XOiZj5RZmh7 AAuHIm4Bh-0Qc_lF5YKt_08W2Fp5jujGbds9uJdbF9CUAr7t1dnZcAcQjbKBYNX4 BAynRFdiuB--f_nZLgrnbyTyWz075vRK5h6xBArLIARNPvkSjtQBMHlb1L07Qe7K 0GarZRmB_eSN9383LcOLn6_d0--xi12jzDwusC-eOkHWEsqtFZESc6BfI7no0Pqv hJ1phCnvWh6IeYI2w9Q0YEUipUTI8np6LbgGY9Fs98rqVt5AXLIhWkWywlVmtVrB p0igcN_IoypGlUPQGe77Rw

A.2.2. Decoding

Decoding the JWS requires base64url decoding the Encoded JWS Header, Encoded JWS Payload, and Encoded JWS Signature to produce the JWS Header, JWS Payload, and JWS Signature byte arrays. The byte array containing the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header is decoded into the JWS Header string.

A.2.3. Validating

Since the "alg" parameter in the header is "RS256", we validate the RSA SHA-256 digital signature contained in the JWS Signature. If any of the validation steps fail, the JWS MUST be rejected.

First, we validate that the JWS Header string is legal JSON.

Validating the JWS Signature is a little different from the previous example. First, we base64url decode the Encoded JWS Signature to produce a digital signature S to check. We then pass (n, e), S and the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input to an RSA signature verifier that has been configured to use the SHA-256 hash function.

A.3. JWS using ECDSA P-256 SHA-256

A.3.1. Encoding

The JWS Header for this example differs from the previous example because a different algorithm is being used. The JWS Header used is: ${\text{"alg":"ES256"}}$

The following byte array contains the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header:

[123, 34, 97, 108, 103, 34, 58, 34, 69, 83, 50, 53, 54, 34, 125]

Base64url encoding these bytes yields this Encoded JWS Header value:

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 27]

eyJhbGciOiJFUzI1NiJ9

The JWS Payload used in this example, which follows, is the same as in the previous examples. Since the Encoded JWS Payload will therefore be the same, its computation is not repeated here. {"iss":"joe",

"exp":1300819380,

"http://example.com/is_root":true}

Concatenating the Encoded JWS Header, a period character, and the Encoded JWS Payload yields this JWS Secured Input value (with line breaks for display purposes only): eyJhbGciOiJFUzI1NiJ9

,

eyJpc3MiOiJqb2UiLAOKICJleHAiOjEzMDA4MTkzODAsDQogImhOdHA6Ly9leGFtcGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290IjpOcnVlfQ

The ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input is the following byte array:

[101, 121, 74, 104, 98, 71, 99, 105, 79, 105, 74, 70, 85, 122, 73, 49, 78, 105, 74, 57, 46, 101, 121, 74, 112, 99, 51, 77, 105, 79, 105, 74, 113, 98, 50, 85, 105, 76, 65, 48, 75, 73, 67, 74, 108, 101, 72, 65, 105, 79, 106, 69, 122, 77, 68, 65, 52, 77, 84, 107, 122, 79, 68, 65, 115, 68, 81, 111, 103, 73, 109, 104, 48, 100, 72, 65, 54, 76, 121, 57, 108, 101, 71, 70, 116, 99, 71, 120, 108, 76, 109, 78, 118, 98, 83, 57, 112, 99, 49, 57, 121, 98, 50, 57, 48, 73, 106, 112, 48, 99, 110, 86, 108, 102, 81]

The ECDSA key consists of a public part, the EC point (x, y), and a private part d. The values of the ECDSA key used in this example, presented as the byte arrays representing three 256 bit big endian integers are:

x [127, 205, 206, 39, 112, 246, 196, 93, 65, 131, 203, 238, 111, 219, 75, 123, 88, 7, 51, 53, 123, 233, 239, 19, 186, 207, 110, 60, 123, 209, 84, 69] y [199, 241, 68, 205, 27, 189, 155, 126, 135, 44, 223, 237, 185, 238, 185, 244, 179, 105, 93, 110, 169, 11, 36, 173, 138, 70, 35, 40, 133, 136, 229, 173] d [142, 155, 16, 158, 113, 144, 152, 191, 152, 4, 135,	Parameter Name	+	+ +
223, 31, 93, 119, 233, 203, 41, 96, 110, 190, 210, 38, 59, 95, 87, 194, 19, 223, 132, 244, 178]	 y d	238, 111, 219, 75, 123, 88, 7, 51, 53, 123, 233, 239, 19, 186, 207, 110, 60, 123, 209, 84, 69] [199, 241, 68, 205, 27, 189, 155, 126, 135, 44, 223, 237, 185, 238, 185, 244, 179, 105, 93, 110, 169, 11, 36, 173, 138, 70, 35, 40, 133, 136, 229, 173] [142, 155, 16, 158, 113, 144, 152, 191, 152, 4, 135, 223, 31, 93, 119, 233, 203, 41, 96, 110, 190, 210,	·

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 28]

The ECDSA private part d is then passed to an ECDSA signing function, which also takes the curve type, P-256, the hash type, SHA-256, and the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input as inputs. The result of the digital signature is the EC point (R, S), where R and S are unsigned integers. In this example, the R and S values, given as byte arrays representing big endian integers are:

Result Name	Value
į į	[14, 209, 33, 83, 121, 99, 108, 72, 60, 47, 127, 21, 88, 7, 212, 2, 163, 178, 40, 3, 58, 249, 124, 126, 23, 129, 154, 195, 22, 158, 166, 101]
į į	[197, 10, 7, 211, 140, 60, 112, 229, 216, 241, 45, 175, 8, 74, 84, 128, 166, 101, 144, 197, 242, 147, 80, 154, 143, 63, 127, 138, 131, 163, 84, 213]

Concatenating the S array to the end of the R array and base64url encoding the result produces this value for the Encoded JWS Signature (with line breaks for display purposes only): DtEhU3ljbEg8L38VWAfUAq0yKAM6-Xx-F4GawxaepmXFCgfTjDxw5djxLa8ISlSA pmW0xfKTUJqPP3-Kq6NU10

A.3.2. Decoding

Decoding the JWS requires base64url decoding the Encoded JWS Header, Encoded JWS Payload, and Encoded JWS Signature to produce the JWS Header, JWS Payload, and JWS Signature byte arrays. The byte array containing the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header is decoded into the JWS Header string.

A.3.3. Validating

Since the "alg" parameter in the header is "ES256", we validate the ECDSA P-256 SHA-256 digital signature contained in the JWS Signature. If any of the validation steps fail, the JWS MUST be rejected.

First, we validate that the JWS Header string is legal JSON.

Validating the JWS Signature is a little different from the first example. First, we base64url decode the Encoded JWS Signature as in the previous examples but we then need to split the 64 member byte array that must result into two 32 byte arrays, the first R and the second S. We then pass (x, y), (R, S) and the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input to an ECDSA signature verifier that has been configured to use the P-256 curve with the

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 29]

SHA-256 hash function.

As explained in <u>Section 3.4</u> of the JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification, the use of the K value in ECDSA means that we cannot validate the correctness of the digital signature in the same way we validated the correctness of the HMAC. Instead, implementations MUST use an ECDSA validator to validate the digital signature.

A.4. JWS using ECDSA P-521 SHA-512

A.4.1. Encoding

The JWS Header for this example differs from the previous example because a different ECDSA curve and hash function are used. The JWS Header used is:

{"alg":"ES512"}

The following byte array contains the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header:

[123, 34, 97, 108, 103, 34, 58, 34, 69, 83, 53, 49, 50, 34, 125]

Base64url encoding these bytes yields this Encoded JWS Header value: eyJhbGci0iJFUzUxMiJ9

The JWS Payload used in this example, is the ASCII string "Payload". The representation of this string is the byte array:

[80, 97, 121, 108, 111, 97, 100]

Base64url encoding these bytes yields the Encoded JWS Payload value: UGF5bG9hZA

Concatenating the Encoded JWS Header, a period character, and the Encoded JWS Payload yields this JWS Secured Input value: eyJhbGciOiJFUzUxMiJ9.UGF5bG9hZA

The ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input is the following byte array:

[101, 121, 74, 104, 98, 71, 99, 105, 79, 105, 74, 70, 85, 122, 85, 120, 77, 105, 74, 57, 46, 85, 71, 70, 53, 98, 71, 57, 104, 90, 65]

The ECDSA key consists of a public part, the EC point (x, y), and a private part d. The values of the ECDSA key used in this example, presented as the byte arrays representing three 521 bit big endian integers are:

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 30]

Parameter Name	Value
x 	[1, 233, 41, 5, 15, 18, 79, 198, 188, 85, 199, 213,
y 	[0, 52, 166, 68, 14, 55, 103, 80, 210, 55, 31, 209, 189, 194, 200, 243, 183, 29, 47, 78, 229, 234, 52, 50, 200, 21, 204, 163, 21, 96, 254, 93, 147, 135, 236, 119, 75, 85, 131, 134, 48, 229, 203, 191, 90, 140, 190, 10, 145, 221, 0, 100, 198, 153, 154, 31, 110, 110, 103, 250, 221, 237, 228, 200, 200, 246]
d 	[1, 142, 105, 111, 176, 52, 80, 88, 129, 221, 17, 11, 72, 62, 184, 125, 50, 206, 73, 95, 227, 107, 55, 69, 237, 242, 216, 202, 228, 240, 242, 83, 159, 70, 21, 160, 233, 142, 171, 82, 179, 192, 197, 234, 196, 206, 7, 81, 133, 168, 231, 187, 71, 222, 172, 29, 29, 231, 123, 204, 246, 97, 53, 230, 61, 130]

The ECDSA private part d is then passed to an ECDSA signing function, which also takes the curve type, P-521, the hash type, SHA-512, and the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input as inputs. The result of the digital signature is the EC point (R, S), where R and S are unsigned integers. In this example, the R and S values, given as byte arrays representing big endian integers are:

R	Result Name	+
	 S 	117, 247, 105, 122, 210, 26, 125, 192, 1, 217, 21, 82, 91, 45, 240, 255, 83, 19, 34, 239, 71, 48, 157, 147, 152, 105, 18, 53, 108, 163, 214, 68, 231, 62, 153, 150, 106, 194, 164, 246, 72, 143, 138, 24, 50, 129, 223, 133, 206, 209, 172, 63, 237, 119, 109] [0, 111, 6, 105, 44, 5, 41, 208, 128, 61, 152, 40, 92, 61, 152, 4, 150, 66, 60, 69, 247, 196, 170, 81, 193, 199, 78, 59, 194, 169, 16, 124, 9, 143, 42, 142, 131, 48, 206, 238, 34, 175, 83, 203, 220, 159, 3, 107, 155, 22, 27, 73, 111, 68, 68, 21, 238, 144, 229, 232, 148,

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 31]

Concatenating the S array to the end of the R array and base64url encoding the result produces this value for the Encoded JWS Signature (with line breaks for display purposes only):

AdwMgeerwtHob-1192160bp9wAHZEVJbLfD_UVMi70cwp70YaRT1bKPWR0c-m77g

AdwMgeerwtHoh-l192160hp9wAHZFVJbLfD_UxMi70cwnZOYaRI1bKPWROc-mZZqwqT2SI-KGDKB34X00aw_7XdtAG8GaSwFKdCAPZgoXD2YBJZCPEX3xKpRwcd008KpEHwJjyqOgzD07iKvU8vcnwNrmxYbSW9ERBXuk0XolLzeO_Jn

A.4.2. Decoding

Decoding the JWS requires base64url decoding the Encoded JWS Header, Encoded JWS Payload, and Encoded JWS Signature to produce the JWS Header, JWS Payload, and JWS Signature byte arrays. The byte array containing the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header is decoded into the JWS Header string.

A.4.3. Validating

Since the "alg" parameter in the header is "ES512", we validate the ECDSA P-521 SHA-512 digital signature contained in the JWS Signature. If any of the validation steps fail, the JWS MUST be rejected.

First, we validate that the JWS Header string is legal JSON.

Validating the JWS Signature is similar to the previous example. First, we base64url decode the Encoded JWS Signature as in the previous examples but we then need to split the 132 member byte array that must result into two 66 byte arrays, the first R and the second S. We then pass (x, y), (R, S) and the bytes of the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input to an ECDSA signature verifier that has been configured to use the P-521 curve with the SHA-512 hash function.

As explained in <u>Section 3.4</u> of the JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification, the use of the K value in ECDSA means that we cannot validate the correctness of the digital signature in the same way we validated the correctness of the HMAC. Instead, implementations MUST use an ECDSA validator to validate the digital signature.

A.5. Example Plaintext JWS

The following example JWS Header declares that the encoded object is a Plaintext JWS:

{"alg":"none"}

Base64url encoding the bytes of the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Header yields this Encoded JWS Header: eyJhbGci0iJub25lIn0

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 32]

The JWS Payload used in this example, which follows, is the same as in the previous examples. Since the Encoded JWS Payload will therefore be the same, its computation is not repeated here. {"iss":"joe", "exp":1300819380, "http://example.com/is_root":true}

The Encoded JWS Signature is the empty string.

Concatenating these parts in the order Header.Payload.Signature with period characters between the parts yields this complete JWS (with line breaks for display purposes only): eyJhbGciOiJub25lIn0

eyJpc3Mi0iJqb2UiLA0KICJleHAi0jEzMDA4MTkz0DAsDQogImh0dHA6Ly9leGFtcGxlLmNvbS9pc19yb290Ijp0cnVlfQ

Appendix B. "x5c" (X.509 Certificate Chain) Example

The string below is an example of a certificate chain that could be used as the value of an "x5c" (X.509 Certificate Chain) header parameter, per Section 4.1.6.

----BEGIN CERTIFICATE----

MIIE3jCCA8aqAwIBAqICAwEwDQYJKoZIhvcNAQEFBQAwYzELMAkGA1UEBhMCVVM xITAfBgNVBAoTGFRoZSBHbyBEYWRkeSBHcm91cCwgSW5jLjExMC8GA1UECxMoR2 8gRGFkZHkgQ2xhc3MgMiBDZXJ0aWZpY2F0aW9uIEF1dGhvcml0eTAeFw0wNjExM TYWMTU0MzdaFw0yNjExMTYWMTU0MzdaMIHKMQswCQYDVQQGEwJVUzEQMA4GA1UE CBMHOXJpem9uYTETMBEGA1UEBxMKU2NvdHRzZGFsZTEaMBqGA1UEChMRR29EYWR keS5jb20sIEluYy4xMzAxBgNVBAsTKmh0dHA6Ly9jZXJ0aWZpY2F0ZXMuZ29kYW RkeS5jb20vcmVwb3NpdG9yeTEwMC4GA1UEAxMnR28gRGFkZHkgU2VjdXJlIENlc nRpZmljYXRpb24qQXV0aG9yaXR5MREwDwYDVQQFEwqwNzk2OTI4NzCCASIwDQYJ KoZIhvcNAQEBBQADggEPADCCAQoCggEBAMQt1RWMnCZM7DI161+4WQFapmGBWTt wY6vj3D3HKrjJM9N55DrtPDAjhI6zMBS2sofDPZVUBJ7fmd0LJR4h3mUpfjWoqV Tr9vcyOdQmVZWt7/v+WIbXnvQAjYwqDL1CBM6nPwT27oDyqu9SoWlm2r4arV3aL GbqGmu75RpRSgAvSMeYddi5Kcju+GZtCpyz8/x4fKL4o/K1w/05epHBp+YlLpyo 7RJlbmr2EkRTcDCVw5wrWCs9CHRK8r5RsL+H0EwnWGu1NcWdrxcx+AuP7q2BNgW JCJjPOq8lh8BJ6qf9Z/dFjpfMFDniNoW1fho3/Rb2cRGadDAW/hOUoz+EDU8CAw EAAaOCATIwqqEuMB0GA1UdDqQWBBT9rGEyk2xF1uLuhV+auud2mWjM5zAfBqNVH SMEGDAWgBTSxLDSkdRMEXGzYcs9of7dqGrU4zASBgNVHRMBAf8ECDAGAQH/AgEA MDMGCCsGAQUFBwEBBCcwJTAjBggrBgEFBQcwAYYXaHR0cDovL29jc3AuZ29kYWR keS5jb20wRgYDVR0fBD8wPTA7oDmgN4Y1aHR0cDovL2NlcnRpZmljYXRlcy5nb2 RhZGR5LmNvbS9yZXBvc2l0b3J5L2dkcm9vdC5jcmwwSwYDVR0gBEQwQjBABgRVH SAAMDgwNgYIKwYBBQUHAgEWKmh0dHA6Ly9jZXJ0aWZpY2F0ZXMuZ29kYWRkeS5j b20vcmVwb3NpdG9yeTAOBqNVHQ8BAf8EBAMCAQYwDQYJKoZIhvcNAQEFBQADqqE BANKGwOy9+aG2Z+5mC6IGOgRQjhVyrEp01VPLN8tESe8HkGsz2ZbwlFa1EzAFPI UyIXvJxwqoJKSQ3kbTJSMUA2fCENZvD117esyfxVgqwcSeIaha86ykRv0e5GPLL

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 33]

5CkKSkB2XIsKd83ASe8T+5o0yGPwLPk9Qnt0hCqU7S+8MxZC9Y7lhyVJEnfzuz9 p0iRFEU00jZv2kWzRaJBydTXRE4+uXR21aITVSzGh601mawGhId/dQb8vxRMDsx uxN89txJx90jxUUAiKEngHUuHqDTMBqLdElrRhjZkAzVvb3du6/KFUJheqwNTrZ EjYx8WnM25sqVj0uH0aBsXBTWVU+4=

```
-----END CERTIFICATE-----
```

MIIE+zCCBGSgAwIBAgICAQ0wDQYJKoZIhvcNAQEFBQAwgbsxJDAiBgNVBAcTG1Z hbGlDZXJ0IFZhbGlkYXRpb24gTmV0d29yazEXMBUGA1UEChM0VmFsaUNlcnQsIE luYy4xNTAzBqNVBAsTLFZhbGlDZXJ0IENsYXNzIDIqUG9saWN5IFZhbGlkYXRpb 24qQXV0aG9yaXR5MSEwHwYDVQQDExhodHRwOi8vd3d3LnZhbG1jZXJ0LmNvbS8x IDAeBgkghkiG9w0BCQEWEWluZm9AdmFsaWNlcnQuY29tMB4XDTA0MDYy0TE3MDY yMFoXDTI0MDYyOTE3MDYyMFowYzELMAkGA1UEBhMCVVMxITAfBgNVBAoTGFRoZS BHbyBEYWRkeSBHcm91cCwgSW5jLjExMC8GA1UECxMoR28gRGFkZHkgQ2xhc3MgM iBDZXJ0aWZpY2F0aW9uIEF1dGhvcml0eTCCASAwDQYJKoZIhvcNAQEBBQADggEN ADCCAQgCggEBAN6d1+pXGEmhW+vXX0iG6r7d/+TvZxz0ZWizV3GgXne77ZtJ6XC APVYYYwhv2vLM0D9/AlQiVBDYsoHUwHU9S3/Hd8M+eKsaA7Ugay9gK7HFiH7Eux 6wwdhFJ2+qN1j3hybX2C32qRe3H3I2TqYXP2WYktsqbl2i/ojqC95/5Y0V4evL0 tXiEqITLdiOr18SPaAIBQi2XKVlOARFmR6jYGB0xUGlcmIbYsUfb18aQr4CUWWo riMYavx4A61Nf4DD+qta/KFApMoZFv6yy09ecw3ud72a9nmYvLEHZ6IVDd2gWMZ Eewo+YihfukEHU1jPEX44dMX4/7VpkI+EdOqXG68CAQ0jggHhMIIB3TAdBgNVHQ 4EFqQU0sSw0pHUTBFxs2HLPaH+3ahq10MwqdIGA1UdIwSByjCBx6GBwaSBvjCBu zEkMCIGA1UEBxMbVmFsaUNlcnQgVmFsaWRhdGlvbiB0ZXR3b3JrMRcwFQYDVQQK Ew5WYWxpQ2VydCwqSW5jLjE1MDMGA1UECxMsVmFsaUNlcnQqQ2xhc3MqMiBQb2x pY3kgVmFsaWRhdGlvbiBBdXRob3JpdHkxITAfBgNVBAMTGGh0dHA6Ly93d3cudm FsaWNlcnOuY29tLzEqMB4GCSqGSIb3D0EJARYRaW5mb0B2YWxpY2VydC5jb22CA OEwDwYDVR0TAOH/BAUwAwEB/zAzBqqrBqEFB0cBA00nMCUwIwYIKwYBB0UHMAGG F2h0dHA6Ly9vY3NwLmdvZGFkZHkuY29tMEQGA1UdHwQ9MDsw0aA3oDWGM2h0dHA 6Ly9jZXJ0aWZpY2F0ZXMuZ29kYWRkeS5jb20vcmVwb3NpdG9yeS9yb290LmNybD BLBgNVHSAERDBCMEAGBFUdIAAwODA2BggrBgEFBQcCARYqaHR0cDovL2NlcnRpZ mljYXRlcy5nb2RhZGR5LmNvbS9yZXBvc2l0b3J5MA4GA1UdDwEB/wQEAwIBBjAN BgkqhkiG9w0BAQUFAAOBgQC1QPmnHfbq/qQaQlpE9xXUhUaJwL6e4+PrxeNYiY+ Sn1eocSxI0YGyeR+sBjUZsE40WBsUs5iB000eyAfJq594RAoYC5jcdnplD01tqM QLARzLrUc+cb53S8wGd9D0VmsfSxOaFIqII6hR8INMqzW/Rn453HWkrugp++85j 09VZw==

```
-----END CERTIFICATE-----
```
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Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 34]

P7RfZHM047QSv4dk+NoS/zcnwbNDu+97bi5p9wIDAQABMA0GCSqGSIb3DQEBBQU AA4GBADt/UG9vUJSZSWI40B9L+KXIPqeCgfYrx+jFzug6EILLGACOTb2oWH+heQ C1u+mNr0HZDzTuIYEZoDJJKPTEjlbVUjP9UNV+mWwD5MlM/Mtsq2azSiGM5bUMM j4QssxsodyamEwCW/P0uZ6lcg5Ktz885hZo+L7tdEy8W9ViH0Pd ----END CERTIFICATE----

Appendix C. Notes on implementing base64url encoding without padding

This appendix describes how to implement base64url encoding and decoding functions without padding based upon standard base64 encoding and decoding functions that do use padding.

```
To be concrete, example C# code implementing these functions is shown
below. Similar code could be used in other languages.
static string base64urlencode(byte [] arg)
 string s = Convert.ToBase64String(arg); // Standard base64 encoder
 s = s.Split('=')[0]; // Remove any trailing '='s
 s = s.Replace('+', '-'); // 62nd char of encoding
 s = s.Replace('/', '_'); // 63rd char of encoding
 return s;
}
static byte [] base64urldecode(string arg)
 string s = arg;
 s = s.Replace('-', '+'); // 62nd char of encoding
 s = s.Replace('_', '/'); // 63rd char of encoding
  switch (s.Length % 4) // Pad with trailing '='s
   case 0: break; // No pad chars in this case
   case 2: s += "=="; break; // Two pad chars
   case 3: s += "="; break; // One pad char
   default: throw new System.Exception(
     "Illegal base64url string!");
 }
 return Convert.FromBase64String(s); // Standard base64 decoder
}
```

As per the example code above, the number of '=' padding characters that needs to be added to the end of a base64url encoded string without padding to turn it into one with padding is a deterministic function of the length of the encoded string. Specifically, if the length mod 4 is 0, no padding is added; if the length mod 4 is 2, two '=' padding characters are added; if the length mod 4 is 3, one '=' padding character is added; if the length mod 4 is 1, the input is malformed.

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 35]

An example correspondence between unencoded and encoded values follows. The byte sequence below encodes into the string below, which when decoded, reproduces the byte sequence. 3 236 255 224 193 A-z_4ME

Appendix D. Acknowledgements

Solutions for signing JSON content were previously explored by Magic Signatures [MagicSignatures], JSON Simple Sign [JSS], and Canvas Applications [CanvasApp], all of which influenced this draft. Dirk Balfanz, Yaron Y. Goland, John Panzer, and Paul Tarjan all made significant contributions to the design of this specification.

Thanks to Axel Nennker for his early implementation and feedback on the JWS and JWE specifications.

<u>Appendix E</u>. Document History

[[to be removed by the RFC editor before publication as an RFC]]

- o Completed JSON Security Considerations section, including considerations about rejecting input with duplicate member names.
- o Completed security considerations on the use of a SHA-1 hash when computing "x5t" (x.509 certificate thumbprint) values.
- o Refer to the registries as the primary sources of defined values and then secondarily reference the sections defining the initial contents of the registries.
- o Normatively reference XML DSIG 2.0 [$\underline{\text{W3C.CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124}}$] for its security considerations.
- o Added this language to Registration Templates: "This name is case sensitive. Names that match other registered names in a case insensitive manner SHOULD NOT be accepted."
- o Reference <u>draft-jones-jose-jws-json-serialization</u> instead of <u>draft-jones-json-web-signature-json-serialization</u>. to
- o Described additional open issues.

o Applied editorial suggestions.

-03

- o Added the "cty" (content type) header parameter for declaring type information about the secured content, as opposed to the "typ" (type) header parameter, which declares type information about this object.
- o Added "Collision Resistant Namespace" to the terminology section.
- o Reference ITU.X690.1994 for DER encoding.
- o Added an example JWS using ECDSA P-521 SHA-512. This has particular illustrative value because of the use of the 521 bit integers in the key and signature values. This is also an example in which the payload is not a base64url encoded JSON object.
- o Added an example "x5c" value.
- o No longer say "the UTF-8 representation of the JWS Secured Input (which is the same as the ASCII representation)". Just call it "the ASCII representation of the JWS Secured Input".
- o Added Registration Template sections for defined registries.
- o Added Registry Contents sections to populate registry values.
- o Changed name of the JSON Web Signature and Encryption "typ" Values registry to be the JSON Web Signature and Encryption Type Values registry, since it is used for more than just values of the "typ" parameter.
- o Moved registries JSON Web Signature and Encryption Header Parameters and JSON Web Signature and Encryption Type Values to the JWS specification.
- o Numerous editorial improvements.

-02

- o Clarified that it is an error when a "kid" value is included and no matching key is found.
- o Removed assumption that "kid" (key ID) can only refer to an asymmetric key.

- o Clarified that JWSs with duplicate Header Parameter Names MUST be rejected.
- o Clarified the relationship between "typ" header parameter values and MIME types.
- o Registered application/jws MIME type and "JWS" typ header parameter value.
- o Simplified JWK terminology to get replace the "JWK Key Object" and "JWK Container Object" terms with simply "JSON Web Key (JWK)" and "JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set)" and to eliminate potential confusion between single keys and sets of keys. As part of this change, the header parameter name for a public key value was changed from "jpk" (JSON Public Key) to "jwk" (JSON Web Key).
- o Added suggestion on defining additional header parameters such as "x5t#S256" in the future for certificate thumbprints using hash algorithms other than SHA-1.
- o Specify <u>RFC 2818</u> server identity validation, rather than <u>RFC 6125</u> (paralleling the same decision in the OAuth specs).
- o Generalized language to refer to Message Authentication Codes (MACs) rather than Hash-based Message Authentication Codes (HMACs) unless in a context specific to HMAC algorithms.
- o Reformatted to give each header parameter its own section heading.

-01

- o Moved definition of Plaintext JWSs (using "alg":"none") here from the JWT specification since this functionality is likely to be useful in more contexts that just for JWTs.
- o Added "jpk" and "x5c" header parameters for including JWK public keys and X.509 certificate chains directly in the header.
- o Clarified that this specification is defining the JWS Compact Serialization. Referenced the new JWS-JS spec, which defines the JWS JSON Serialization.
- o Added text "New header parameters should be introduced sparingly since an implementation that does not understand a parameter MUST reject the JWS".
- o Clarified that the order of the creation and validation steps is not significant in cases where there are no dependencies between

Jones, et al. Expires January 17, 2013 [Page 38]

the inputs and outputs of the steps.

- o Changed "no canonicalization is performed" to "no canonicalization need be performed".
- o Corrected the Magic Signatures reference.
- o Made other editorial improvements suggested by JOSE working group participants.

-00

- o Created the initial IETF draft based upon <u>draft-jones-json-web-signature-04</u> with no normative changes.
- o Changed terminology to no longer call both digital signatures and HMACs "signatures".

Authors' Addresses

Michael B. Jones Microsoft

Email: mbj@microsoft.com

URI: http://self-issued.info/

John Bradley Ping Identity

Email: ve7jtb@ve7jtb.com

Nat Sakimura Nomura Research Institute

Email: n-sakimura@nri.co.jp