

JOSE Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: November 28, 2015

M. Jones
Microsoft
N. Sakimura
NRI
May 27, 2015

JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint
draft-ietf-jose-jwk-thumbprint-05

Abstract

This specification defines a method for computing a hash value over a JSON Web Key (JWK). It defines which fields in a JWK are used in the hash computation, the method of creating a canonical form for those fields, and how to convert the resulting Unicode string into a byte sequence to be hashed. The resulting hash value can be used for identifying or selecting the key represented by the JWK that is the subject of the thumbprint.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 28, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Notational Conventions	3
2.	Terminology	3
3.	JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint	3
3.1.	Example JWK Thumbprint Computation	4
3.2.	JWK Members Used in the Thumbprint Computation	5
3.2.1.	JWK Thumbprint of a Private Key	6
3.2.2.	Why Not Include Optional Members?	6
3.3.	Order and Representation of Members in Hash Input	7
3.4.	JWK Thumbprints of Keys Not in JWK Format	8
4.	Practical JSON and Unicode Considerations	8
5.	Relationship to Digests of X.509 Values	9
6.	IANA Considerations	9
7.	Security Considerations	9
8.	References	10
8.1.	Normative References	10
8.2.	Informative References	11
Appendix A.	Acknowledgements	11
Appendix B.	Document History	11
	Authors' Addresses	12

1. Introduction

This specification defines a method for computing a hash value (a.k.a. digest) over a JSON Web Key (JWK) [[JWK](#)]. It defines which fields in a JWK are used in the hash computation, the method of creating a canonical form for those fields, and how to convert the resulting Unicode string into a byte sequence to be hashed. The resulting hash value can be used for identifying or selecting the key represented by the JWK that is the subject of the thumbprint, for instance, by using the base64url-encoded JWK Thumbprint value as a "kid" (key ID) value.

1.1. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [[RFC2119](#)]. The interpretation should only be applied when the terms appear in all capital letters.

2. Terminology

This specification uses the same terminology as the "JSON Web Key (JWK)" [[JWK](#)], "JSON Web Signature (JWS)" [[JWS](#)], and "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)" [[JWA](#)] specifications.

This term is defined by this specification:

JWK Thumbprint

The digest value for a JWK.

3. JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint

The thumbprint of a JSON Web Key (JWK) is computed as follows:

1. Construct a JSON object [[RFC7159](#)] containing only the required members of a JWK representing the key and with no whitespace or line breaks before or after any syntactic elements and with the required members ordered lexicographically by the Unicode [[UNICODE](#)] code points of the member names. (This JSON object is itself a legal JWK representation of the key.)
2. Hash the octets of the UTF-8 representation of this JSON object with a cryptographic hash function H. For example, SHA-256 [[SHS](#)] might be used as H.

The resulting value is the JWK Thumbprint with H of the JWK. The details of this computation are further described in subsequent sections.

[3.1.](#) Example JWK Thumbprint Computation

This section demonstrates the JWK Thumbprint computation for the JWK below (with long lines broken for display purposes only):

```
{
  "kty": "RSA",
  "n": "0vx7agoebGcQSuuPiLJXZptN9nndrQmbXEps2aiAFbWhM78LhWx4cbbfAAt
VT86zwu1RK7aPFFxuhDR1L6tSoc_BJECPEbWKRXjBZCiFV4n3oknjhMstn6
4tZ_2W-5JsGY4Hc5n9yBXArwl93lqt7_RN5w6Cf0h4QyQ5v-65YGjQR0_FD
W2QvzqY368QQMicAtaSqzs8KJZgnYb9c7d0zgdAZHzu6qMQvRL5hajrn1n9
1Cb0pbISD08qNLyrdkt-bFTWhAI4vMQFh6WeZu0fM4lFd2NcRwr3XPksINH
aQ-G_xBniIqbw0Ls1jF44-csFCur-kEgU8awapJzKnqDKgw",
  "e": "AQAB",
  "alg": "RS256",
  "kid": "2011-04-29"
}
```

As defined in "JSON Web Key (JWK)" [[JWK](#)] and "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)" [[JWA](#)], the required members for an RSA public key are:

- o "kty"
- o "n"
- o "e"

Therefore, these are the members used in the thumbprint computation.

Their lexicographic order, per [Section 3.3](#), is:

- o "e"
- o "kty"
- o "n"

Therefore the JSON object constructed as an intermediate step in the computation is as follows (with long lines broken for display purposes only):

```
{ "e": "AQAB", "kty": "RSA", "n": "0vx7agoebGcQSuuPiLJXZptN9nndrQmbXEps2aiAFbWhM78LhWx4cbbfAAAtVT86zww1RK7aPFFxuhDR1L6tSoc_BJECPEbWKRXjBZCiFV4n3oknjhMstn64tZ_2W-5JsGY4Hc5n9yBXArwl93lqt7_RN5w6Cf0h4QyQ5v-65YGjQR0_FDW2QvzqY368QQMicAtaSqzs8KJZgnYb9c7d0zgdAZHzu6QMqvRL5hajrn1n91CbOpbISD08qNlyrdkt-bFTWhAI4vMQFh6WeZu0fM4lFd2NcRwr3XPksINHaQ-G_xBniIqbw0Ls1jF44-csFCur-kEgU8awapJzKnqDKgw" }
```

The octets of the UTF-8 representation of this JSON object are:

```
[123, 34, 101, 34, 58, 34, 65, 81, 65, 66, 34, 44, 34, 107, 116, 121, 34, 58, 34, 82, 83, 65, 34, 44, 34, 110, 34, 58, 34, 48, 118, 120, 55, 97, 103, 111, 101, 98, 71, 99, 81, 83, 117, 117, 80, 105, 76, 74, 88, 90, 112, 116, 78, 57, 110, 110, 100, 114, 81, 109, 98, 88, 69, 112, 115, 50, 97, 105, 65, 70, 98, 87, 104, 77, 55, 56, 76, 104, 87, 120, 52, 99, 98, 98, 102, 65, 65, 116, 86, 84, 56, 54, 122, 119, 117, 49, 82, 75, 55, 97, 80, 70, 70, 120, 117, 104, 68, 82, 49, 76, 54, 116, 83, 111, 99, 95, 66, 74, 69, 67, 80, 101, 98, 87, 75, 82, 88, 106, 66, 90, 67, 105, 70, 86, 52, 110, 51, 111, 107, 110, 106, 104, 77, 115, 116, 110, 54, 52, 116, 90, 95, 50, 87, 45, 53, 74, 115, 71, 89, 52, 72, 99, 53, 110, 57, 121, 66, 88, 65, 114, 119, 108, 57, 51, 108, 113, 116, 55, 95, 82, 78, 53, 119, 54, 67, 102, 48, 104, 52, 81, 121, 81, 53, 118, 45, 54, 53, 89, 71, 106, 81, 82, 48, 95, 70, 68, 87, 50, 81, 118, 122, 113, 89, 51, 54, 56, 81, 81, 77, 105, 99, 65, 116, 97, 83, 113, 122, 115, 56, 75, 74, 90, 103, 110, 89, 98, 57, 99, 55, 100, 48, 122, 103, 100, 65, 90, 72, 122, 117, 54, 113, 77, 81, 118, 82, 76, 53, 104, 97, 106, 114, 110, 49, 110, 57, 49, 67, 98, 79, 112, 98, 73, 83, 68, 48, 56, 113, 78, 76, 121, 114, 100, 107, 116, 45, 98, 70, 84, 87, 104, 65, 73, 52, 118, 77, 81, 70, 104, 54, 87, 101, 90, 117, 48, 102, 77, 52, 108, 70, 100, 50, 78, 99, 82, 119,
```

114, 51, 88, 80, 107, 115, 73, 78, 72, 97, 81, 45, 71, 95, 120, 66, 110, 105, 73, 113, 98, 119, 48, 76, 115, 49, 106, 70, 52, 52, 45, 99, 115, 70, 67, 117, 114, 45, 107, 69, 103, 85, 56, 97, 119, 97, 112, 74, 122, 75, 110, 113, 68, 75, 103, 119, 34, 125]

Using SHA-256 [[SHS](#)] as the hash function H, the JWK SHA-256 Thumbprint value is the SHA-256 hash of these octets, specifically:

[55, 54, 203, 177, 120, 124, 184, 48, 156, 119, 238, 140, 55, 5, 197, 225, 111, 251, 158, 133, 151, 21, 144, 31, 30, 76, 89, 177, 17, 130, 245, 123]

The base64url encoding [[JWS](#)] of this JWK SHA-256 Thumbprint value (which might, for instance, be used as a "kid" (key ID) value) is:

NzbLsXh8uDCcd-6MNwXF4W_7noWXFZAfHkxZsRGC9Xs

[3.2.](#) JWK Members Used in the Thumbprint Computation

Only the required members of a key's representation are used when computing its JWK Thumbprint value. As defined in "JSON Web Key (JWK)" [[JWK](#)] and "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)" [[JWA](#)], the required members for an elliptic curve public key for the curves specified in Section 6.2.1.1 of [[JWK](#)], in lexicographic order, are:

Jones & Sakimura Expires November 28, 2015 [Page 5]

Internet-Draft JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint May 2015

- o "crv"
- o "kty"
- o "x"
- o "y"

the required members for an RSA public key, in lexicographic order, are:

- o "e"
- o "kty"
- o "n"

and the required members for a symmetric key, in lexicographic order, are:

- o "k"
- o "kty"

As other "kty" (key type) values are defined, the specifications defining them should be similarly consulted to determine which members, in addition to "kty", are required.

3.2.1. JWK Thumbprint of a Private Key

The JWK Thumbprint of a JWK representing a private key is computed as the JWK Thumbprint of a JWK representing the corresponding public key. This has the intentional benefit that the same JWK Thumbprint value can be computed both by parties using either the public or private key. The JWK Thumbprint can then be used to refer to both keys of the key pair. Application context can be used to determine whether the public or the private key is the one being referred to by the JWK Thumbprint.

This specification defines the method of computing JWK Thumbprints of JWKs representing private keys for interoperability reasons -- so that different implementations computing JWK Thumbprints of private keys will produce the same result.

3.2.2. Why Not Include Optional Members?

Optional members of JWKs are intentionally not included in the JWK Thumbprint computation so that their absence or presence in the JWK does not alter the resulting value. The JWK Thumbprint value is a digest of the members required to represent the key as a JWK -- not of additional data that may also accompany the key.

Optional members are not included so that the JWK Thumbprint refers to a key -- not a key with an associated set of key attributes. This

has the benefit that while in different application contexts different subsets of attributes about the key might or might not be included in the JWK, the JWK Thumbprint of any JWK representing the key remains the same regardless of which optional attributes are present. Different kinds of thumbprints could be defined by other specifications that might include some or all additional JWK members, should use cases arise where such different kinds of thumbprints would be useful. See Section 9.1 of [\[JWK\]](#) for notes on some ways to

cryptographically bind attributes to a key.

[3.3.](#) Order and Representation of Members in Hash Input

The required members in the input to the hash function are ordered lexicographically by the Unicode code points of the member names.

Characters in member names and member values MUST be represented without being escaped. This means that thumbprints of JWKs that require such characters are not defined by this specification. (This is not expected to limit the applicability of this specification, in practice, as the members of JWK representations are not expected to use any of these characters.) The characters specified as requiring escaping by [Section 7 of \[RFC7159\]](#) are quotation mark, reverse solidus (a.k.a. backslash), and the control characters U+0000 through U+001F.

If the JWK key type uses members whose values are themselves JSON objects, the members of those objects MUST likewise be lexicographically ordered. (As of the time of this writing, none are defined that do.)

If the JWK key type uses members whose values are JSON numbers, if the numbers are integers, they MUST be represented as a JSON number as defined in [Section 6 of \[RFC7159\]](#) without including a fraction part or exponent part. For instance, the value "1.024e3" MUST be represented as "1024". This means that thumbprints of JWKs that use numbers that are not integers are not defined by this specification. Also, as noted in "The I-JSON Message Format" [\[RFC7493\]](#), implementations cannot expect an integer whose absolute value is greater than 9007199254740991 (i.e., that is outside the range $[-(2^{53})+1, (2^{53})-1]$) to be treated as an exact value. (As of the time of this writing, none are defined that use JSON numbers.)

See [Section 4](#) for a discussion of further practical considerations pertaining to the representation of the hash input.

[3.4.](#) JWK Thumbprints of Keys Not in JWK Format

Note that a key need not be in JWK format to create a JWK Thumbprint of it. The only prerequisites are that the JWK representation of the key be defined and the party creating the JWK Thumbprint is in possession of the necessary key material. These are sufficient to create the hash input from the JWK representation of the key, as described in [Section 3.3](#).

4. Practical JSON and Unicode Considerations

Implementations will almost certainly use functionality provided by the platform's JSON support when parsing the JWK and emitting the JSON object used as the hash input. As a practical consideration, future JWK member names should be avoided for which different platforms or libraries might emit different representations. As of the time of this writing, currently all defined JWK member names use only printable ASCII characters, which should not exhibit this problem. Note however, that `JSON.stringify()` cannot be counted on to lexicographically sort the members of JSON objects, so while it may be able to be used to emit some kinds of member values, different code is likely to be needed to perform the sorting.

In particular, while the operation of lexicographically ordering member names by their Unicode code points is well defined, different platform sort functions may produce different results for non-ASCII characters, in ways that may not be obvious to developers. If writers of future specifications defining new JWK key type values choose to restrict themselves to ASCII member names (which are for machine and not human consumption anyway), some future interoperability problems might be avoided.

However, if new JWK members are defined that use non-ASCII member names, their definitions should specify the exact Unicode code point sequences used to represent them. This is particularly important in cases in which Unicode normalization could result in the transformation of one set of code points into another under any circumstances.

Use of escaped characters in JWKs for which JWK Thumbprints will be computed should be avoided. Use of escaped characters in the hash input JWKs derived from these original JWKs is prohibited.

There is a natural representation to use for numeric values that are integers. However, this specification does not attempt to define a standard representation for numbers that are not integers or that contain an exponent component. This is not expected to be a problem

in practice, as the required members of JWK representations are expected to use only numbers that are integers.

Use of number representations containing fraction or exponent parts in JWKs for which JWK Thumbprints will be computed should be avoided.

All of these practical considerations are really an instance of Jon Postel's principle: "Be liberal in what you accept, and conservative in what you send."

[5.](#) Relationship to Digests of X.509 Values

JWK Thumbprint values are computed on the JWK members required to represent a key, rather than all members of a JWK that the key is represented in. Thus, they are more analogous to applications that use digests of X.509 Subject Public Key Info (SPKI) values, which are defined in [Section 4.1.2.7 of \[RFC5280\]](#), than to applications that use digests of complete certificate values, as the "x5t" (X.509 certificate SHA-1 thumbprint) [[JWS](#)] value defined for X.509 certificate objects does. While logically equivalent to a digest of the SPKI representation of the key, a JWK Thumbprint is computed over a JSON representation of that key, rather than over an ASN.1 representation of it.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

This specification makes no requests of IANA.

[7.](#) Security Considerations

The JSON Security Considerations and Unicode Comparison Security Considerations described in Sections [10.2](#) and [10.3](#) of "JSON Web Signature (JWS)" [[JWS](#)] also apply to this specification.

Also, as described in [Section 4](#), some implementations may produce incorrect results if esoteric or escaped characters are used in the member names. The security implications of this appear to be limited for JWK Thumbprints of public keys, since while it may result in implementations failing to identify the intended key, it should not leak information, since the information in a public key is already public in nature, by definition.

A hash of a symmetric key has the potential to leak information about

the key value. Thus, the JWK Thumbprint of a symmetric key should be typically be concealed from parties not in possession of the

symmetric key, unless in the application context, the cryptographic hash used, such as SHA-256, is known to provide sufficient protection against disclosure of the key value.

A JWK Thumbprint will only uniquely identify a particular key if a single unambiguous JWK representation for that key is defined and used when computing the JWK Thumbprint. (Such representations are defined for all the key types defined in "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)" [JWA].) For example, if an RSA key were to use "e":"AAEAAQ" (representing [0, 1, 0, 1]) rather than the specified correct representation of "e":"AQAB" (representing [1, 0, 1]), a different thumbprint value would be produced for what could be effectively the same key, at least for implementations that are lax in validating the JWK values that they accept. Thus, JWK Thumbprint values can only be relied upon to be unique for a given key if the implementation also validates that the correct representation of the key is used.

Even more insidious is that an attacker may supply a key that is a transformation of a legal key in order to have it appear to be a different key. For instance, if a legitimate RSA key uses a modulus value N and an attacker supplies a key with modulus $3*N$, the modified key would still work about 1/3 of the time, but would appear to be a different key. Thus, while thumbprint values are valuable for identifying legitimate keys, comparing thumbprint values is not a reliable means of excluding (blacklisting) the use of particular keys (or transformations thereof).

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [JWA] Jones, M., "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)", [RFC 7518](#), May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7518>>.
- [JWK] Jones, M., "JSON Web Key (JWK)", [RFC 7517](#), May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7517>>.
- [JWS] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web

Signature (JWS)", [RFC 7515](#), May 2015,
<<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7515>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[RFC7159] Bray, T., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", [RFC 7159](#), March 2014.

Jones & Sakimura

Expires November 28, 2015

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft

JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint

May 2015

[SHS] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", FIPS PUB 180-4, March 2012, <<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-4/fips-180-4.pdf>>.

[UNICODE] The Unicode Consortium, "The Unicode Standard", <<http://www.unicode.org/versions/latest/>>.

[8.2.](#) Informative References

[RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", [RFC 5280](#), May 2008.

[RFC7493] Bray, T., "The I-JSON Message Format", [RFC 7493](#), March 2015.

[Appendix A.](#) Acknowledgements

James Manger and John Bradley participated in discussions that led to the creation of this specification. Jim Schaad also contributed to this specification.

[Appendix B.](#) Document History

[[to be removed by the RFC editor before publication as an RFC]]

-05

o Addressed comments in Kathleen Moriarty's AD review.

-04

- o Addressed additional review comments by Jim Schaad, which resulted in several clarifications and some corrections to the case of [RFC 2119](#) keywords.

-03

- o Addressed review comments by James Manger and Jim Schaad, including adding a section on the relationship to digests of X.509 values.

-02

Jones & Sakimura

Expires November 28, 2015

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint

May 2015

- o No longer register the new JSON Web Signature (JWS) and JSON Web Encryption (JWE) Header Parameters and the new JSON Web Key (JWK) member name "jkt" (JWK SHA-256 Thumbprint) for holding these values.
- o Added security considerations about the measures needed to ensure that a unique JWK Thumbprint value is produced for a key.
- o Added text saying that the base64url encoded JWK Thumbprint value could be used as a "kid" (key ID) value.
- o Broke a sentence up that used to be way too long.

-01

- o Addressed issues pointed out by Jim Schaad, including defining the JWK Thumbprint computation in a manner that allows different hash functions to be used over time.
- o Added Nat Sakimura as an editor.

-00

- o Created [draft-ietf-jose-jwk-thumbprint-00](#) from [draft-jones-jose-jwk-thumbprint-01](#) with no normative changes.

Authors' Addresses

Michael B. Jones
Microsoft

Email: mbj@microsoft.com

URI: <http://self-issued.info/>

Nat Sakimura
Nomura Research Institute

Email: n-sakimura@nri.co.jp

URI: <http://nat.sakimura.org/>