KITTEN WG	N. Williams
Internet-Draft	Sun
Updates: <u>rfc2743</u>	April 06, 2009
(if approved)	
Intended status: Standards Track	
Expires: October 8, 2009	

TOC

Clarifications and Extensions to the GSS-API for the Use of Channel Bindings

draft-ietf-kitten-gssapi-channel-bindings-07.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 8, 2009.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Abstract

This document clarifies and generalizes the Generic Security Services Application Programming Interface (GSS-API) "channel bindings"

facility, and imposes requirements on future GSS-API mechanisms and programming language bindings of the GSS-API.

Table of Contents

- 1. Conventions used in this document
- Introduction
- 3. New Requirements for GSS-API Mechanisms
- 4. Generic Structure for GSS-API Channel Bindings
- 5. IANA Considerations
- 6. Security Considerations
- 7. References
 - 7.1. Normative References
 - 7.2. Informative References
- § Author's Address

1. Conventions used in this document

TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] (Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.).

2. Introduction TOC

The base GSS-API v2, update 1 specification [RFC2743] (Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1," January 2000.) provides a facility for channel binding (see also [RFC5056] (Williams, N., "On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels," November 2007.)), but its treatment was incomplete. The C-bindings of the GSS-API [RFC2744] (Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2: C-bindings," January 2000.) expanded a little on this facility in what should have been a generic way, but was a C-specific way, and still, the treatment of this facility was incomplete. This document clarifies the GSS-API's channel binding facility and generalizes the parts of it that are specified in the C-bindings document but which should have been generic from the first.

Given the publication of RFC5056 we now assert that all new GSS-API mechanisms that support channel binding MUST conform to [RFC5056] (Williams, N., "On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels," November 2007.).

4. Generic Structure for GSS-API Channel Bindings

TOC

The base GSS-API v2, update 1 specification [RFC2743] (Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1," January 2000.) provides a facility for channel binding. It models channel bindings as an OCTET STRING and leaves it to the GSS-API v2, update 1 C-Bindings specification to specify the structure of the contents of the channel bindings OCTET STRINGs. The C-Bindings specification [RFC2744] (Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2: C-bindings," January 2000.) then defines, in terms of C, what should have been a generic structure for channel bindings. The Kerberos V GSS mechanism [RFC4121] (Zhu, L., Jaganathan, K., and S. Hartman, "The Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism: Version 2," July 2005.) also defines a method for encoding GSS channel bindings in a way that is independent of the C-Bindings -- otherwise the mechanism's channel binding facility would not be useable with other language bindings. In other words, the structure of GSS channel bindings given in [RFC2744] (Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2 : Cbindings, " January 2000.) is actually generic, rather than specific to the C programming language.

We generalize it as shown below, using the same pseudo-ASN.1 as is used in RFC2743. Although the figure below is, indeed, a valid ASN.1 [CCITT.X680.2002] (International International Telephone and Telegraph Consultative Committee, "Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation," July 2002.) type, we do not provide a full ASN.1 module as none is needed because no standard encoding of this structure is needed -- the definition below is part of an abstract API, not part of a protocol defining bits on the wire. GSS-API mechanisms do need to encode the contents of this structure, but that encoding will be mechanism specific (see below).

```
GSS-CHANNEL-BINDINGS ::= SEQUENCE {
    initiator-address-type INTEGER, -- See RFC2744
    initiator-address OCTET STRING, -- See RFC2744
    acceptor-address-type INTEGER, -- See RFC2744
    acceptor-address OCTET STRING, -- See RFC2744
    application-data OCTET STRING -- See RFC5056
}
```

Abstract GSS-API channel bindings structure

The values for the address fields are described in [RFC2744] (Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2 : C-bindings," January 2000.).

New language-specific bindings of the GSS-API SHOULD specify a language-specific formulation of this structure.

Where a language binding of the GSS-API models channel bindings as OCTET STRINGs (or the language's equivalent), then the implementation MUST assume that the given bindings correspond only to the application-data field of GSS-CHANNEL-BINDINGS as shown above, rather than some encoding of GSS-CHANNEL-BINDINGS.

As mentioned above, [RFC4121] (Zhu, L., Jaganathan, K., and S. Hartman, "The Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism: Version 2," July 2005.) describes an encoding of the above GSS-CHANNEL-BINDINGS structure, and then hashes that encoding. Other GSS-API mechanisms are free to use that encoding.

5. IANA Considerations

TOC

There are no IANA considerations in this document.

6. Security Considerations

TOC

For general security considerations relating to channel bindings see [RFC5056] (Williams, N., "On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels," November 2007.).

Language bindings that use OCTET STRING (or equivalent) for channel bindings will not support the use of network addresses as channel bindings. This should not cause any security problems, as the use of network addresses as channel bindings is not generally secure. However, it is important that "end-point channel bindings" not be modelled as network addresses, otherwise such channel bindings may not be useable with all language bindings of the GSS-API.

7. References <u>TOC</u>

7.1. Normative References

_	_	_	_
	п	n	
		U	u

[RFC2119]	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).	
[RFC2743]	<u>Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1,"</u> RFC 2743, January 2000 (TXT).	
[RFC2744]	Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2 : C-bindings," RFC 2744, January 2000 (TXT).	
[RFC4121]	Zhu, L., Jaganathan, K., and S. Hartman, "The Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism: Version 2," RFC 4121, July 2005 (TXT).	
[RFC5056]	Williams, N., "On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels," RFC 5056, November 2007 (TXT).	

7.2. Informative References

TOC

[CCITT.X680.2002]	International International Telephone and
	Telegraph Consultative Committee, "Abstract
	Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of
	basic notation," CCITT Recommendation X.680,
	July 2002.

Author's Address

TOC

	Nicolas Williams
	Sun Microsystems
	5300 Riata Trace Ct
	Austin, TX 78727
	US
Email:	Nicolas.Williams@sun.com