NETWORK WORKING GROUP Internet-Draft Expires: July 2, 2005

Namespace Considerations and Registries for GSS-API Extensions draft-ietf-kitten-gssapi-extensions-iana-00.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, I certify that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which I am aware have been disclosed, and any of which I become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with RFC 3668.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 2, 2005.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document describes the ways in which the GSS-API may be extended and directs the creation of IANA registries for various GSS-API namespaces.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Conventions used in this document	•	•	•		•	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	Introduction						<u>3</u>
<u>3</u> .	Extensions to the GSS-API						<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> .	Generic GSS-API Namespaces						<u>3</u>
<u>5</u> .	Language Binding-Specific GSS-API Namespaces .						<u>4</u>
<u>6</u> .	Extension-Specific GSS-API Namespaces						<u>4</u>
<u>7</u> .	Registration Form(s)						<u>4</u>
<u>8</u> .	Initial Namespace Registrations						<u>6</u>
<u>9</u> .	Security Considerations						<u>6</u>
<u>10</u> .	Normative						<u>6</u>
	Author's Address						<u>6</u>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements						<u>7</u>

Expires July 2, 2005 [Page 2]

1. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Introduction

There is a need for generic and mechanism-specific extensions to the Generic Security Services Application Programming Interface (GSS-API). As such extensions are designed and standardized, both at the IETF and elsewhere, there is a non-trivial risk of namespace pollution and conflicts. To avoid this we set out guidelines for extending the GSS-API and create IANA registries of GSS-API namespaces.

The registration of name prefixes and constant value ranges is allowed so as to save the IANA the trouble of registering every GSS-API name and constant, and to allow for reservation of portions of some GSS namespaces for private extensions or extensions which lack IETF Standards-Track extensions.

3. Extensions to the GSS-API

Extensions to the GSS-API can be categorized as follows:

- o Generic
- o Implementation-specific
- o Mechanism-specific
- o Language binding-specific
- o Any combination of two or all three of the last three

Extensions to the GSS-API may be purely semantic, without effect on the GSS-API's namespaces. Or they may introduce new functions, constants, types, etc...; these clearly affect the GSS-API namespaces.

Extensions that affect the GSS-API namespaces should be registered with the IANA.

4. Generic GSS-API Namespaces

All the function, constant and type names, as well as all the constant values specified in the base GSS-API specification for the basic generic GSS-API namespace.

The generic GSS-API namespaces are:

- o Type names
- o Function names

[Page 3]

- o Constant names for each type
- o Constant values for each type
- o Mechanism OIDs
- o Name Type OIDs
- o Mechanism Attribute OIDs (see [EXTENDED-INQUIRY])

5. Language Binding-Specific GSS-API Namespaces

<Add text; discuss header, module, library, class, method namespaces and whatever else comes up that is language-specific and appropriate for registration with the IANA.>

6. Extension-Specific GSS-API Namespaces

Extensions to the GSS-API may create additional namespaces. Instructions to the IANA should included for the handling of such namespaces.

Registration Form(s)

Registrations for GSS-API namespaces SHALL take the following form:

+	+	++
Registration Field	Possible Values	Description
<pre> Registration type </pre>	'Individual', 'Prefix', 'Range' 	<pre> Indicates whether this entry reserves a given symbol name or constant value or whether it reserves an entire sub-namespace (the name is a "prefix") or constant value range.</pre>
Bindings Bindings	'Generic', 'C-bindings', 'Java', 'C#', etc 	Indicates theIndicates thelanguage bindingsthat thisregistration isfor, or, if'Generic', thatthis is an entryfor the genericGSS-API, notspecific to anyprogramminglanguage.
Object Type	'Symbol',	Indicates whether

[Page 4]

 Object Programming Type 	'Constant-Value' 'Data-Type', 'Function', 'Method', 'Integer', 'String', 'OID'	<pre> this registration is for a symbol (e.g., function, constant name(s)) or constant value. Indicates the type of the object(s) whose symbolic name or constant value is this ontry</pre>
 Object Name 	 <symbol name="" name<br="" or=""> prefix> </symbol>	is this entry registers. The name(s) of symbols or values being registered.
 Object Value 	 <constant value=""> or <constant value<br=""> range> </constant></constant>	[Only for Constant-Value registrations.] The value(s) registered.
Description 	<text> </text>	Description of object(s) being registered.
Reference 	<reference> </reference>	Reference to document that describes the object(s) being registered.
Status 	'Standards-Track', 'Informational', 'Experimental', 'Obsolete' +	

The IANA should create a single GSS-API namespace registry, or multiple registries, one for symbolic names and one for constant values, or it may create a registry per-programming language, at its convenience.

Entries in these registries should consist of all the fields from their corresponding registration entries.

Entries SHOULD be sorted by object type, proggamming language, symbol name.

<Add text on guidelines for IANA consideration of registration
applications, particularly with respect to entries lacking normative
references, "magic" entries (e.g., special values of 'time' types
which indicate something other than absolute or relative time, such</pre>

[Page 5]

as GSS_C_INDEFINITE), expert review requirements (if any) for registrations lacking normative references, etc....>

8. Initial Namespace Registrations

<Add registration entries for namespaces (name prefixes) for <u>RFC2743</u>/ <u>RFC2744</u>/RFC2853.>

<Add registration entries for private namespaces (name prefixes) for implementation- and/or platform-specific extensions.>

9. Security Considerations

This document has no security considerations.

10 Normative

[EXTENDED-INQUIRY]

Williams, N., "Extended Generic Security Service Mechanism Inquiry APIs", <u>draft-ietf-kitten-extended-mech-inquiry-00.txt</u> (work in progress).

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2743] Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1", <u>RFC 2743</u>, January 2000.
- [RFC2744] Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2 : C-bindings", <u>RFC 2744</u>, January 2000.

Author's Address

Nicolas Williams Sun Microsystems 5300 Riata Trace Ct Austin, TX 78727 US

EMail: Nicolas.Williams@sun.com

Expires July 2, 2005 [Page 6]

Internet-Draft

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in <u>BCP 78</u>, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

[Page 7]