

NETWORK WORKING GROUP
Internet-Draft
Updates: [4121](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: July 9, 2012

S. Emery
Oracle
January 6, 2012

**Kerberos Version 5 GSS-API Channel Binding Hash Agility
draft-ietf-krb-wg-gss-cb-hash-agility-10.txt**

Abstract

Currently, channel bindings are implemented using a MD5 hash in the Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Services Application Programming Interface (GSS-API) mechanism [[RFC4121](#)]. This document updates [RFC4121](#) to allow channel bindings using algorithms negotiated based on Kerberos crypto framework as defined in [RFC3961](#). In addition, because this update makes use of the last extensible field in the Kerberos client-server exchange message, extensions are defined to allow future protocol extensions.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 9, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Conventions Used in This Document	4
3.	Channel Binding Hash Agility	5
3.1.	Structure of the Exts Field	5
3.2.	The Channel Binding Extension	6
4.	Security Considerations	7
5.	IANA Considerations	8
6.	Acknowledgments	9
7.	References	10
7.1.	Normative References	10
7.2.	Informative References	10
	Author's Address	11

Emery

Expires July 9, 2012

[Page 2]

1. Introduction

With the recently discovered weaknesses in the MD5 hash algorithm, see [[RFC6151](#)], there is a need to use stronger hash algorithms. Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Services Application Programming Interface (GSS-API) mechanism [[RFC4121](#)] uses MD5 to calculate channel binding verifiers. This document specifies an update to the mechanism that allows it to create channel binding information based on negotiated algorithms. This will allow deploying new algorithms incrementally without breaking interoperability with older implementations, when new attacks arise in the future.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

The term "little endian order" is used for brevity to refer to the least-significant-octet-first encoding, while the term "big endian order" is for the most-significant-octet-first encoding.

3. Channel Binding Hash Agility

When generating a channel binding verifier, Bnd, a hash is computed from the channel binding fields. Initiators MUST populate the Bnd field in order to maintain interoperability with existing acceptors. In addition, initiators MUST populate the extension field, Exts, defined below.

3.1. Structure of the Exts Field

The 0x8003 GSS checksum has the same structure described in [\[RFC4121\]](#) except that the "Exts" field is now defined; the entire structure of the 0x8003 checksum including the now defined "Exts" field follows:

Octet	Name	Description

0..3	Lgth	Number of octets in Bnd field; Represented in little-endian order; Currently contains hex value 10 00 00 00 (16).
4..19	Bnd	Channel binding information, as described in section 4.1.1.2 [RFC4121] .
20..23	Flags	Four-octet context-establishment flags in little-endian order as described in section 4.1.1.1 [RFC4121] .
24..25	DlgOpt	The delegation option identifier (=1) in little-endian order [optional]. This field and the next two fields are present if and only if GSS_C_DELEG_FLAG is set as described in section 4.1.1.1 [RFC4121] .
26..27	Dlgth	The length of the Deleg field in little-endian order [optional].
28..(n-1)	Deleg	KRB_CRED message (n = Dlgth + 28) [optional].
n..last	Exts	Extensions

where Exts is the concatenation of zero, one or more individual extensions, each of which consists of, in order:

```

type -- big endian order unsigned integer, 32-bits, which
       contains the type of extension
length -- big endian order unsigned integer, 32-bits, which
         contains the length, in octets, of the extension data
         encoded as an array of octets immediately following this
         field
data -- octet string of extension information

```

If multiple extensions are present then there MUST be at most one instance of a given extension type.

Emery

Expires July 9, 2012

[Page 5]

3.2. The Channel Binding Extension

When channel binding is used the Exts MUST include the following extension:

data-type 0x00000000

data-value

The output obtained by applying the Kerberos V `get_mic` operation [[RFC3961](#)] with key usage number 43, to the channel binding data as described in [[RFC4121](#)], [section 4.1.1.2](#) (using `get_mic` instead of MD5). The key used is the sub-session key from the authenticator, if it is present, otherwise the key used is the session key from the ticket. The `get_mic` algorithm is chosen as the "required checksum mechanism" for the encryption type of the key used.

Initiators that are unwilling to use a MD5 hash of the channel bindings MUST set the Bnd field to sixteen octets of hex value FF.

Emery

Expires July 9, 2012

[Page 6]

4. Security Considerations

With this mechanism initiators get no indication as to whether the acceptors check or ignore channel bindings.

It is up to the application whether to enforce the use of channel bindings or not. [[RFC5056](#)] and [[RFC5554](#)] give guidance for application developers on channel bindings usage.

5. IANA Considerations

The IANA is hereby requested to create a new top-level registry titled "Kerberos V GSS-API Mechanism Parameters," separate from the existing Kerberos parameters registry. Within this registry, IANA is requested to create a sub-registry of "Kerberos V GSS-API mechanism extension types" with four-field entries (type number, type name, description, and normative reference) and, initially, a single registration: 0x00000000, "Channel Binding MIC," "Extension for the verifier of the channel bindings," <this RFC>.

Using the guidelines for allocation as described in [[RFC5226](#)], type number assignments are as follows:

0x00000000 - 0x000003FF IETF Review

0x00000400 - 0xFFFFF3FF Specification Required

0xFFFFF400 - 0xFFFFFFFF Private Use

6. Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Larry Zhu, Nicolas Williams, Sam Hartman, Jeffrey Hutzelman, and Simon Josefsson for their help in reviewing and providing valuable feed-back of the draft.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3961] Raeburn, K., "Encryption and Checksum Specifications for Kerberos 5", [RFC 3961](#), February 2005.
- [RFC4121] Zhu, L., Jaganathan, K., and S. Hartman, "The Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism: Version 2", [RFC 4121](#), July 2005.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.

7.2. Informative References

- [RFC5056] Williams, N., "On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels", [RFC 5056](#), November 2007.
- [RFC5554] Williams, N., "Clarifications and Extensions to the Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) for the Use of Channel Bindings", [RFC 5554](#), May 2009.
- [RFC6151] Turner, S. and L. Chen, "Updated Security Considerations for the MD5 Message-Digest and the HMAC-MD5 Algorithms", [RFC 6151](#), March 2011.

Author's Address

Shawn Emery
Oracle
500 Eldorado Blvd
Building 1
Broomfield, CO 80021
USA

Email: shawn.emery@oracle.com