

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Updates: [6514](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: December 31, 2014

L. Andersson
Huawei Technologies
G. Swallow
Cisco Systems
June 29, 2014

**IANA registry for PMSI Tunnel Type code points
draft-ietf-l3vpn-pmsi-registry-03**

Abstract

[RFC 6514](#) created a space of Tunnel Type code points for a new BGP attribute called the "P-Multicast Service Interface Tunnel (PMSI Tunnel) attribute". However the RFC did not create an IANA registry for these.

There now is need to make further code point allocations from this name space. This document serves to update [RFC 65124](#) in that it creates an IANA registry for that purpose.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 31, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Code points in RFC 6514	2
3.	Security Considerations	3
4.	IANA Considerations	3
5.	Acknowledgements	3
6.	References	3
6.1.	Normative References	4
6.2.	Informative References	4
	Authors' Addresses	4

[1.](#) Introduction

In [RFC 6514](#) 'BGP Encodings and Procedures for Multicast in MPLS/BGP IP VPNs' [[RFC6514](#)], an optional transitive BGP attribute called the "P-Multicast Service Interface Tunnel (PMSI Tunnel) attribute" is specified. This BGP attribute uses an octet field to specify the PMSI tunnel type. [RFC 6514](#) allocates the values 0-7.

There now is need to make further code point allocations from this name space. In particular, [draft-ietf-mpls-seamless-mcast](#) [[I-D.ietf-mpls-seamless-mcast](#)] needs to make such an allocation. However the RFC did not create an IANA registry for these codepoints.

This document creates a new IANA registry called "P-Multicast Service Interface Tunnel (PMSI Tunnel) Tunnel Types" for these code points. The registry is created in the "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Parameters" registry.

Creating this registry is an update of [RFC 6514](#) [[RFC6514](#)].

[2.](#) Code points in [RFC 6514](#)

[RFC 6514](#) allocated the values 0 to 7 as follows:

- + 0 - No tunnel information present
- + 1 - RSVP-TE P2MP LSP
- + 2 - mLDP P2MP LSP
- + 3 - PIM-SSM Tree
- + 4 - PIM-SM Tree
- + 5 - BIDIR-PIM Tree
- + 6 - Ingress Replication

+ 7 - mLDP MP2MP LSP

3. Security Considerations

This document simply creates an IANA registry from a table in [RFC 6514](#). Thus, there are no security concerns.

4. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create a new registry called "P-Multicast Service Interface Tunnel (PMSI Tunnel) Tunnel Types" in the "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Parameters" registry.

The allocation policy for values 0x00 to 0xFA is IETF Review. Values 0xFB to 0xFE are experimental and are not to be assigned. 0xFF is reserved.

The initial registry should appear as:

Value	Meaning	Reference
0x00	no tunnel information present	[RFC 6514]
0x01	RSVP-TE P2MP LSP	[RFC 6514]
0x02	mLDP P2MP LSP	[RFC 6514]
0x03	PIM-SSM Tree	[RFC 6514]
0x04	PIM-SM Tree	[RFC 6514]
0x05	BIDIR-PIM Tree	[RFC 6514]
0x06	Ingress Replication	[RFC 6514]
0x07	mLDP MP2MP LSP	[RFC 6514]
0x08 - 0xFA	Unassigned	
0xFB - 0xFE	Experimental	[This RFC]
0xFF	Reserved	[This RFC]

Figure 1

5. Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank Adrian Farrel for unwavering support and our L3VPN, MPLS and IDR co-chairs for swift processing of this document.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[RFC6514] Aggarwal, R., Rosen, E., Morin, T., and Y. Rekhter, "BGP Encodings and Procedures for Multicast in MPLS/BGP IP VPNs", [RFC 6514](#), February 2012.

6.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-mpls-seamless-mcast]
Rekhter, Y. and R. Aggarwal, "Inter-Area P2MP Segmented LSPs", [draft-ietf-mpls-seamless-mcast-13](#) (work in progress), June 2014.

Authors' Addresses

Loa Andersson
Huawei Technologies

Email: loa@mail01.huawei.com

George Swallow
Cisco Systems

Email: swallow@cisco.com

