INTERNET-DRAFT Editor: Kurt D. Zeilenga
Intended Category: Standard Track OpenLDAP Foundation

Expires in six months 1 March 2002

Obsoletes: 2253

LDAP: String Representation of Distinguished Names <draft-ietf-ldapbis-dn-07.txt>

Status of Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

This document is intended to be, after appropriate review and revision, submitted to the RFC Editor as a Standard Track document replacing RFC 2253. Distribution of this memo is unlimited. Technical discussion of this document will take place on the IETF LDAP Revision Working Group mailing list <ietf-ldapbis@openldap.org>. Please send editorial comments directly to the document editor <Kurt@OpenLDAP.org>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as ``work in progress.''

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt. The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Copyright 2002, The Internet Society. All Rights Reserved.

Please see the Copyright section near the end of this document for more information.

Abstract

The X.500 Directory uses distinguished names as the primary keys to entries in the directory. Distinguished Names are encoded in ASN.1 in the X.500 Directory protocols. In the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol, a string representation of distinguished names is

Zeilenga LDAP: Distinguished Names [Page 1]

transferred. This specification defines the string format for representing names, which is designed to give a clean representation of commonly used distinguished names, while being able to represent any distinguished name.

1. Background

This specification assumes familiarity with X.500 [X.500], and the concept of Distinguished Name (DN). It is important to have a common format to be able to unambiguously represent a distinguished name. The primary goal of this specification is ease of encoding and decoding. A secondary goal is to have names that are human readable. It is not expected that LDAP clients with a human user interface would display these strings directly to the user, but would most likely be performing translations (such as expressing attribute type names in one of the local national languages).

This document is an integral part of the LDAP Technical Specification [Roadmap].

This document obsoletes RFC 2253. Changes since RFC 2253 are summarized in Appendix A.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119].

2. Converting DistinguishedName from ASN.1 to a String

In X.501 [X.501] the ASN.1 structure of distinguished name is defined as:

```
DistinguishedName ::= RDNSequence
RDNSequence ::= SEQUENCE OF RelativeDistinguishedName
RelativeDistinguishedName ::= SET SIZE (1..MAX) OF
    AttributeTypeAndValue
AttributeTypeAndValue ::= SEQUENCE {
    type AttributeType,
    value AttributeValue }
```

The following sections define the RECOMMENDED algorithm for converting from an ASN.1 structured representation to a UTF-8 [RFC2279] string representation.

Zeilenga LDAP: Distinguished Names [Page 2]

2.1. Converting the RDNSequence

If the RDNSequence is an empty sequence, the result is the empty or zero length string.

Otherwise, the output consists of the string encodings of each RelativeDistinguishedName in the RDNSequence (according to 2.2), starting with the last element of the sequence and moving backwards toward the first.

The encodings of adjoining RelativeDistinguishedNames are separated by a comma character ("," ASCII 44).

2.2. Converting RelativeDistinguishedName

When converting from an ASN.1 RelativeDistinguishedName to a string, the output consists of the string encodings of each AttributeTypeAndValue (according to 2.3), in any order.

Where there is a multi-valued RDN, the outputs from adjoining AttributeTypeAndValues are separated by a plus ("+" ASCII 43) character.

2.3. Converting AttributeTypeAndValue

The AttributeTypeAndValue is encoded as the string representation of the AttributeType, followed by an equals character ("=" ASCII 61), followed by the string representation of the AttributeValue. The encoding of the AttributeValue is given in Section 2.4.

If the AttributeType is in the following table of attribute types associated with LDAP [Schema], then the type name string from that table is used, otherwise it is encoded as the dotted-decimal encoding of the AttributeType's OBJECT IDENTIFIER. The dotted-decimal notation (numericoid) is described in [Models].

The type name string is not case sensitive.

```
String X.500 AttributeType

CN commonName (2.5.4.3)

L localityName (2.5.4.7)

ST stateOrProvinceName (2.5.4.8)

O organizationName (2.5.4.10)

OU organizationalUnitName (2.5.4.11)

C countryName (2.5.4.6)
```

[Page 3]

STREET streetAddress (2.5.4.9) DC domainComponent (0.9.2342.19200300.100.1.25) UTD userId (0.9.2342.19200300.100.1.1)

2.4. Converting an AttributeValue from ASN.1 to a String

If the AttributeValue is of a type which does not have a string representation defined for it, then it is simply encoded as an octothorpe character ("#" ASCII 35) followed by the hexadecimal representation of each of the octets of the BER encoding of the X.500 AttributeValue. This form is also be used if the AttributeType is of the dotted-decimal form.

Otherwise, if the AttributeValue is of a type which has a string representation, the value is converted first to a UTF-8 string according to its syntax specification (see for example Section 6 of [Syntaxes]).

If the UTF-8 string does not have any of the following characters which need escaping, then that string can be used as the string representation of the value.

- a space (" " ASCII 32) or octothorpe ("#" ASCII 35) occurring at the beginning of the string
- a space (" " ASCII 32) character occurring at the end of the string
- one of the characters ",", "+", """, "\", "<", ">" or ";" (ASCII 44, 43, 34, 92, 60, 62, or 59, respectively)
- the null (ASCII 0) character

Implementations MAY escape other characters.

Each octet of the character to be escaped is replaced by a backslash and two hex digits, which form a single octet in the code of the character. Alternatively, if and only if the character to be escaped is one of

```
",", "+", """, "\", "<", ">", ";", "#", "=", or " "
(ASCII 44, 43, 34, 92, 60, 62, 59, 35, 61 or 32 respectively)
```

it can be prefixed by a backslash (" $\$ " ASCII 92).

Examples of the escaping mechanism are shown in <u>Section 4</u>.

[Page 4]

3. Parsing a String back to a Distinguished Name

The structure of the UTF-8 [RFC2279] string is specified using the following Augmented BNF [RFC2234] grammar.

distinguishedName = [name]

; may be empty

= name-component *(COMMA name-component) name

name-component = attributeTypeAndValue *(PLUS attributeTypeAndValue)

attributeTypeAndValue

= attributeType EQUALS attributeValue

attributeType = keyword / oid

keyword = ALPHA 1*keychar

keychar = ALPHA / DIGIT / MINUS

= number *(DOT number) oid

number = (LDIGIT *DIGIT) / DIGIT

attributeValue = string / hexstring

string = *(stringchar / pair)

; the string MUST NOT start with SHARP or SP

; and MUST NOT end with SP

stringchar = <any UTF-8 character (can be multiple octets)

except one of escaped or ESC or NULL>

= ESC (ESC / special / hexpair) pair

special = escaped / SHARP / EQUALS / SP

escaped = COMMA / PLUS / %x22 / %x3C / %x3E / %x3B

; "," / "+" / """ / "<" / ">" / ";"

hexstring = SHARP 1*hexpair

hexpair = HEX HEX

= DIGIT / %x41-46 / %x61-66 HEX

; 0-9 / A-F / a-f

Zeilenga LDAP: Distinguished Names [Page 5]

```
ALPHA
                 = %x41-5A / %x61-7A
                    ; A-Z / a-z
LDIGIT
                 = %x31-39
                    ; 1-9
DIGIT
                = %x30 / LDIGIT
                    ; 0-9
                 = %x20 ; space (" ")
SP
SHARP
               = %x23 ; octothorpe (or sharp sign) ("#")
               = %x2B ; plus sign ("+")
PLUS
               = %x2C ; comma (",")
COMMA
               = %x2D ; minus sign ("-")
MINUS
               = %x2E ; period (".")
D0T
EQUALS
              = %x3D ; equals sign ("=")
               = %x5C; backslash ("\")
ESC
NULL
                 = %x00 ; null (0)
```

Implementations MUST recognize AttributeType string type names (keywords) listed in the Section 2.3 table, but MAY recognize other names. Implementations MAY recognize other DN string representations (such as that described in RFC 1779). As there is no requirement for other names or alternative DN string representations to be recognized, implementations SHOULD only generate DN strings in accordance with Section 2 of this document.

4. Examples

This notation is designed to be convenient for common forms of name. This section gives a few examples of distinguished names written using this notation. First is a name containing three relative distinguished names (RDNs):

```
UID=jsmith, DC=example, DC=net
```

Here is an example name containing three RDNs, in which the first RDN is multi-valued:

```
OU=Sales+CN=J. Smith, DC=example, DC=net
```

This example shows the method of escaping of a comma in a common name:

```
CN=John Smith\, III, DC=example, DC=net
```

An example name in which a value contains a carriage return character:

[Page 6]

CN=Before\OdAfter, DC=example, DC=net

An example name in which an RDN was of an unrecognized type. The value is the BER encoding of an OCTET STRING containing two octets 0x48 and 0x69.

1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.0=#04024869, DC=example, DC=com

Finally, an example of an RDN commonName value consisting of 5 letters:

Unicode Letter Description	10646 code	UTF-8	Quoted
=======================================	========	=====	======
LATIN CAPITAL LETTER L	U+0000004C	0x4C	L
LATIN SMALL LETTER U	U+00000075	0x75	u
LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH CARON	U+0000010D	0xC48D	\C4\8D
LATIN SMALL LETTER I	U+00000069	0x69	i
LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH ACUTE	U+00000107	0xC487	\C4\87

could be written in printable ASCII (useful for debugging purposes):

CN=Lu\C4\8Di\C4\87

5. Security Considerations

The following security considerations are specific to the handling of distinguished names. LDAP security considerations are discussed in [Protocol] and other documents comprising the LDAP Technical Specification [Roadmap].

5.1. Disclosure

Distinguished Names typically consist of descriptive information about the entries they name, which can be people, organizations, devices or other real-world objects. This frequently includes some of the following kinds of information:

- the common name of the object (i.e. a person's full name)
- an email or TCP/IP address
- its physical location (country, locality, city, street address)
- organizational attributes (such as department name or affiliation)

Most countries have privacy laws regarding the publication of information about people.

[Page 7]

5.2. Use of Distinguished Names in Security Applications

The transformations of an AttributeValue value from its X.501 form to an LDAP string representation are not always reversible back to the same BER or DER form. An example of a situation which requires the DER form of a distinguished name is the verification of an X.509 certificate.

For example, a distinguished name consisting of one RDN with one AVA, in which the type is commonName and the value is of the TeletexString choice with the letters 'Sam' would be represented in LDAP as the string CN=Sam. Another distinguished name in which the value is still 'Sam' but of the PrintableString choice would have the same representation CN=Sam.

Applications which require the reconstruction of the DER form of the value SHOULD NOT use the string representation of attribute syntaxes when converting a distinguished name to the LDAP format. they SHOULD use the hexadecimal form prefixed by the octothorpe ('#') as described in the first paragraph of <u>Section 2.3</u>.

5.3. Use of Other Names

Attribute type names are not unique. A string representation generated with names other than those in the Section 2.3 table is ambiguous. That is, two applications may recognize the string as representing two different DNs possibly associated with two different entries. This may lead to a wide range of unexpected behaviors which can have both direct and indirect impacts upon security.

For example, a distinguished name consisting of one RDN with one AVA of the known locally attribute type FOO and the value "BAR" (an octetString) could be represented in LDAP as the string FOO=BAR. As the name FOO does not uniquely identify an attribute type, the DN FOO=BAR is ambiguous. That is, FOO could be recognized as the attribute type 1.1.1 by one application and 1.2.3.4 in another and not recognized by another. This may lead to operations not behaving as intended.

Applications desiring to generate an unambiguous string representation of a DN SHOULD generate string representation per section 2, not use names other than those in the <u>Section 2.3</u> table, and while taking 5.2 into consideration.

6. Acknowledgment

[Page 8]

This document is an update to <u>RFC 2253</u>, by Mark Wahl, Tim Howes, and Steve Kille. <u>RFC 2253</u> was a product of the IETF ASID Working Group.

This document is a product of the IETF LDAPbis Working Group.

7. Document Editor's Address

Kurt D. Zeilenga
OpenLDAP Foundation
<Kurt@OpenLDAP.org>

8. Normative References

- [X.501] "The Directory -- Models," ITU-T Rec. X.501(1993).
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 2119</u>.
- [RFC2234] Crocker, D., and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", <u>RFC 2234</u>, November 1997.
- [RFC2279] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", RFC 2279, January 1998.
- [Models] K. Zeilenga (editor), "LDAP: Directory Information Models", <u>draft-ietf-ldapbis-models-xx.txt</u>, a work in progress.
- [Roadmap] K. Zeilenga (editor), "LDAP: Technical Specification Road Map", <u>draft-ietf-ldapbis-roadmap-xx.txt</u>, a work in progress.
- [Protocol] J. Sermersheim (editor), "LDAP: The Protocol", <u>draft-ietf-ldapbis-protocol-xx.txt</u>, a work in progress.
- [Syntaxes] K. Dally (editor), "LDAP: Syntaxes", draft-ietf-ldapbis-syntaxes-xx.txt, a work in progress.
- [Schema] K. Dally (editor), "LDAP: User Schema", draft-ietf-ldapbis-user-schema-xx.txt, a work in progress.

9. Informative References

[X.500] "The Directory -- overview of concepts, models and

Zeilenga LDAP: Distinguished Names [Page 9]

services," ITU-T Rec. X.500(1993).

Appendix A. Changes made since RFC 2253

This appendix is provided for informational purposes only, it is not a normative part of this specification.

The following substantive changes were made to RFC 2253:

- Removed IESG Note. The IESG Note is addressed by RFC 2829.
- Replaced specification of additional requirements for LDAPv2 implementations which also support LDAPv3 (<u>Section 4</u>) with a statement (in <u>Section 3</u>) allowing recognition of alternative string representations.
- Updated 2.3 to clarify which table is the published table of names which may be appear in DNs. Remove "as an example" language. Added statement (in <u>Section 3</u>) allowing recognition of additional names. Added security consideration (<u>Section 5.3</u>) regarding the use of other names.
- Updated 2.3 to indicate attribute type name strings are not case sensitive.
- Updated 2.4 to allow hex pair escaping of all characters and clarified escaping for when multiple octet UTF-8 characters are present.
- Rewrote Section 3 to use ABNF as defined in RFC 2234.
- Rewrote Section 3 ABNF to be consistent with 2.4.
- Rewrote examples.
- Added reference to documentations containing LDAP-specific security considerations.

In addition, numerous editorial changes were made.

Copyright 2002, The Internet Society. All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

Zeilenga LDAP: Distinguished Names [Page 10]

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE AUTHORS, THE INTERNET SOCIETY, AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Zeilenga LDAP: Distinguished Names [Page 11]