Network Working Group M. Smith, Editor Request for Comments: DRAFT Netscape Communications Corp.

Obsoletes: RFC 2254

Expires: August 2002

Loudcloud, Inc. 22 February 2002

LDAP: String Representation of Search Filters draft-ietf-ldapbis-filter-02.txt>

1. Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet- Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Discussion of this document should take place on the LDAP (v3) Revision (ldapbis) Working Group mailing list <ietf-ldapbis@openldap.org>. After appropriate review and discussion, this document will be submitted as a Standards Track replacement for RFC 2254.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

2. Abstract

The Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) [Protocol] defines a network representation of a search filter transmitted to an LDAP server. Some applications may find it useful to have a common way of representing these search filters in a human-readable form. This document defines a human-readable string format for representing the

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 1]

full range of possible LDAP version 3 search filters, including extended match filters.

This document is an integral part of the LDAP Technical Specification [ROADMAP].

This document replaces $\underline{\mathsf{RFC}}$ 2254. Changes to $\underline{\mathsf{RFC}}$ 2254 are summarized in $\underline{\mathsf{Appendix}}$ A.

3. LDAP Search Filter Definition

An LDAPv3 search filter is defined in Sections 4.5.1 of [Protocol] as follows:

```
Filter ::= CHOICE {
        and
                           [0] SET SIZE (1..MAX) OF Filter,
                           [1] SET OF SIZE (1..MAX) Filter,
        or
        not
                           [2] Filter,
                           [3] AttributeValueAssertion,
        equalityMatch
        substrings
                           [4] SubstringFilter,
        greaterOrEqual
                           [5] AttributeValueAssertion,
                           [6] AttributeValueAssertion,
        less0rEqual
                           [7] AttributeDescription,
        present
        approxMatch
                           [8] AttributeValueAssertion,
        extensibleMatch
                           [9] MatchingRuleAssertion
}
SubstringFilter ::= SEQUENCE {
        type
                AttributeDescription,
        -- at least one must be present
        -- initial and final can occur at most once
        substrings
                      SEQUENCE OF CHOICE {
                initial
                               [0] AssertionValue,
                any
                               [1] AssertionValue,
                final
                               [2] AssertionValue
        }
}
AttributeValueAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
        attributeDesc
                      AttributeDescription,
        assertionValue AssertionValue
}
MatchingRuleAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
                     [1] MatchingRuleID OPTIONAL,
        matchingRule
                        [2] AttributeDescription OPTIONAL,
        type
        matchValue
                        [3] AssertionValue,
        dnAttributes
                        [4] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE
```

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 2]

```
AttributeDescription ::= LDAPString
AttributeValue ::= OCTET STRING
MatchingRuleID ::= LDAPString
AssertionValue ::= OCTET STRING
LDAPString ::= OCTET STRING
```

where the LDAPString above is limited to the UTF-8 encoding of the ISO 10646 character set [RFC2279]. The AttributeDescription is a string representation of the attribute description and is defined in [Protocol]. The AttributeValue and AssertionValue OCTET STRING have the form defined in [Syntaxes]. The Filter is encoded for transmission over a network using the Basic Encoding Rules defined in [ASN.1], with simplifications described in [Protocol].

4. String Search Filter Definition

The string representation of an LDAP search filter is defined by the following grammar, following the ABNF notation defined in [RFC2234]. The filter format uses a prefix notation.

```
filter
            = "(" filtercomp ")"
filtercomp = and / or / not / item
             = "&" filterlist
and
             = "|" filterlist
or
             = "!" filter
not
filterlist = 1*filter
             = simple / present / substring / extensible
item
simple = attr filtertype assertionvalue
filtertype = equal / approx / greater / less
equal
              = "="
             = "~="
approx
             = ">="
greater
              = "<="
less
              = attr [":dn"] [":" matchingrule] ":=" assertionvalue
extensible
                / [":dn"] ":" matchingrule ":=" assertionvalue
                / ":=" assertionvalue
present
              = attr "=*"
             = attr "=" [initial] any [final]
substring
initial
              = assertionvalue
              = "*" *(assertionvalue "*")
any
final
             = assertionvalue
attr
             = AttributeDescription
```

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 3]

```
; The <AttributeDescription> rule is defined in
                   ; Section 4.1.5 of [Protocol].
matchingrule
              = oid
                   ; The <oid> rule is defined in Section 4.1
                   ; of [Syntaxes] and is used to encode a
                   ; matching rule OBJECT IDENTIFIER.
assertionvalue = valueencoding
                   ; The <valueencoding> rule is used to encode an
                   ; <AssertionValue> from Section 4.1.7 of [Protocol].
valueencoding = 0*(normal / escaped)
normal
              = %x01-27 / %x2b-5b / %x5d-7f
             = "\" hex hex
escaped
hex
              = %x30-39 / %x41-46 / %x61-66
```

Note that although both the <substring> and and productions in the grammar above can produce the "attr=*" construct, this construct is used only to denote a presence filter.

The <valueencoding> rule provides that the octets that represent the ASCII characters "*" (ASCII 0x2a), "(" (ASCII 0x28), ")" (ASCII 0x29), "\" (ASCII 0x5c), NUL (ASCII 0x00), and all octets greater than 0x7f are represented as a backslash "\" (ASCII 0x5c) followed by the two hexadecimal digits representing the value of the encoded octet.

This simple escaping mechanism eliminates filter-parsing ambiguities and allows any filter that can be represented in LDAP to be represented as a NUL-terminated string. Other octets that are part of the <normal> set may be escaped using this mechanism, for example, non-printing ASCII characters.

For AssertionValues that contain UTF-8 character data, each octet of the character to be escaped is replaced by a backslash and two hex digits, which form a single octet in the code of the character.

For example, the filter checking whether the "cn" attribute contained a value with the character "*" anywhere in it would be represented as " $(cn=*\2a*)$ ".

5. Examples

This section gives a few examples of search filters written using this notation.

```
(cn=Babs Jensen)
(!(cn=Tim Howes))
```

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 4]

```
(&(objectClass=Person)(|(sn=Jensen)(cn=Babs J*)))
(o=univ*of*mich*)
(seeAlso=)
```

The following examples illustrate the use of extensible matching.

```
(cn:1.2.3.4.5:=Fred Flintstone)
(cn:=Betty Rubble)
(sn:dn:2.4.6.8.10:=Barney Rubble)
(o:dn:=Ace Industry)
(:1.2.3:=Wilma Flintstone)
(:dn:2.4.6.8.10:=Dino)
(:=Fred Flintstone)
```

The first example shows use of the matching rule "1.2.3.4.5".

The second example demonstrates use of a MatchingRuleAssertion form without a matchingRule.

The third example illustrates the use of the ":dn" notation to indicate that matching rule "2.4.6.8.10" should be used when making comparisons, and that the attributes of an entry's distinguished name should be considered part of the entry when evaluating the match.

The fourth example denotes an equality match, except that DN components should be considered part of the entry when doing the match.

The fifth example is a filter that should be applied to any attribute supporting the matching rule given (since the attr has been omitted).

The sixth example is also a filter that should be applied to any attribute supporting the matching rule given. Attributes supporting the matching rule contained in the DN should also be considered.

The seventh and final example is a filter that should be applied to any attribute (since both the attr and matching rule have been omitted).

The following examples illustrate the use of the escaping mechanism.

```
(o=Parens R Us \28for all your parenthetical needs\29)
(cn=*\2A*)
(filename=C:\5cMyFile)
(bin=\00\00\00\04)
(sn=Lu\c4\8di\c4\87)
(1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.0;binary=\04\02\48\69)
```

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 5]

The first example shows the use of the escaping mechanism to represent parenthesis characters. The second shows how to represent a "*" in an assertion value, preventing it from being interpreted as a substring indicator. The third illustrates the escaping of the backslash character.

The fourth example shows a filter searching for the four-byte value 0x00000004, illustrating the use of the escaping mechanism to represent arbitrary data, including NUL characters.

The fifth example illustrates the use of the escaping mechanism to represent various non-ASCII UTF-8 characters.

The sixth and final example demonstrates assertion of a BER encoded value.

6. Security Considerations

This memo describes a string representation of LDAP search filters. While the representation itself has no known security implications, LDAP search filters do. They are interpreted by LDAP servers to select entries from which data is retrieved. LDAP servers should take care to protect the data they maintain from unauthorized access.

Please refer to the Security Considerations sections of [Protocol] and [AuthMeth] for more information.

7. References

[ASN.1] Specification of ASN.1 encoding rules: Basic, Canonical, and Distinguished Encoding Rules, ITU-T Recommendation X.690, 1994.

[AuthMeth] R. Harrison (editor), "LDAP: Authentication Methods and Connection Level Security Mechanisms", draft-ietf-ldapbis-authmeth- xx.txt, a work in progress.

[Protocol] J. Sermersheim (editor), "LDAP: The Protocol", <u>draftietf-ldapbis-protocol-xx.txt</u>, a work in progress.

[RFC2234] Crocker, D., Overell, P., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", RFC 2234, November 1997.

[RFC2279] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", RFC 2279, January 1998.

[Roadmap] K. Zeilenga (editor), "LDAP: Technical Specification Road Map", draft-ietf-ldapbis-roadmap-xx.txt, a work in progress.

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 6]

[Syntaxes] K. Dally (editor), "LDAP: Syntaxes", <u>draft-ietf-ldapbis-syntaxes-xx.txt</u>, a work in progress.

8. Acknowledgments

This document replaces RFC 2254 by Tim Howes. Changes included in this revised specification are based upon discussions among the authors, discussions within the LDAP (v3) Revision Working Group (ldapbis), and discussions within other IETF Working Groups. The contributions of individuals in these working groups is gratefully acknowledged.

9. Authors' Address

Mark Smith (document editor)
Netscape Communications Corp.
447 Marlpool Drive
Saline, MI 48176
USA
+1 650 937-3477
mcs@netscape.com

Tim Howes Loudcloud, Inc. 599 N. Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94086 USA +1 408 744-7509 howes@loudcloud.com

10. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 7]

English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

11. Appendix A: Changes Since RFC 2254

11.1. Technical Changes

"String Search Filter Definition" section: replaced the "value" rule with a new "assertionvalue" rule within the "simple", "extensible", and "substring" ("initial", "any", and "final") rules. This matches a change made in [Syntaxes].

Revised the "attr", "matchingrule", and "assertionvalue" ABNF to more precisely reference productions from the [Protocol] and [Syntaxes] documents.

Introduced the "valueencoding" and associated "normal" and "escaped" rules to reduce the dependence on descriptive text.

Added a third option to the "extensible" production to allow creation of a MatchingRuleAssertion that only has a matchValue.

11.2. Editorial Changes

Changed document title to include "LDAP:" prefix.

IESG Note: removed note about lack of satisfactory mandatory authentication mechanisms.

Header and "Authors' Addresses" sections: added Mark Smith as the document editor and updated Tim's affiliation and contact information.

Copyright: changed the year to 2002.

"Abstract" section: updated second paragraph to indicate that RFC 2254 is replaced by this document (instead of RFC 1960). Added reference to the [Roadmap] document.

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 8]

"LDAP Search Filter Definition" section: made corrections to the LDAPv3 search filter ABNF so it matches that used in [Protocol].

"String Search Filter Definition" section: clarified the definition of 'value' (now 'assertionvalue') to take into account the fact that it is not precisely an AttributeAssertion from [Protocol] section 4.1.6 (special handling is required for some characters). Added a note that each octet of a character to be escaped is replaced by a backslash and two hex digits, which represent a single octet.

"Examples" section: added five additional examples: (seeAlso=), (cn:=Betty Rubble), (:1.2.3:=Wilma Flintstone), (:=Fred Flintstone), and (1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.0; binary=\04\02\48\69). Replaced one occurrence of "a value" with "an assertion value".

"Security Considerations" section: added references to $[\underline{Protocol}]$ and $[\underline{AuthMeth}]$.

"References" section: changed from [1] style to [Protocol] style throughout the document. Added entries for [AuthMeth] and updated UTF-8 reference to RFC 2279. Replaced RFC 822 reference with a reference to RFC 2234.

"Acknowledgments" section: added.

"Appendix A: Changes Since RFC 2254" section: added.

"Appendix B: Changes Since Previous Document Revision" section: added.

"Table of Contents" section: added.

12. Appendix B: Changes Since Previous Document Revision

This appendix lists all changes relative to the last published revision, draft-ietf-ldapbis-filter-01.txt. Note that these changes are also included in Appendix A, but are included here for those who have already reviewed draft-ietf-ldapbis-filter-01.txt. This section will be removed before this document is published as an RFC.

12.1. Technical Changes

"String Search Filter Definition" section: Added a third option to the "extensible" production to allow creation of a MatchingRuleAssertion that only has a "assertionvalue". Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 9]

12.2. Editorial Changes

Changed document title to include "LDAP:" prefix.

"LDAP Search Filter Definition" section: updated the ASN.1 definition of the Filter to match that used in [Protocol].

"String Search Filter Definition" section: Revised "attr", "matchingrule", and "assertionvalue" ABNF to directly reference productions from the [Protocol] and [Syntaxes] documents. Revised the text on hexadecimal escaping to be less UTF-8 centric.

"Examples" section: added a new example (:=Fred Flintstone) that only has an "assertionvalue."

References: replaced [RFC2251bis] references with [Protocol]; similar changes for other LDAP documents. Added reference to [Roadmap]. "Authors' Addresses" section: updated Mark Smith's postal address.

This Internet Draft expires in August 2002.

Smith & Howes Intended Category: Standards Track [Page 10]

1.	Status of this Memo1
<u>2</u> .	Abstract <u>1</u>
<u>3</u> .	LDAP Search Filter Definition2
<u>4</u> .	String Search Filter Definition3
<u>5</u> .	${\sf Examples$
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations $\underline{6}$
<u>7</u> .	References $\underline{6}$
<u>8</u> .	Acknowledgments
<u>9</u> .	Authors' Address <u>7</u>
<u>10</u> .	Full Copyright Statement
<u>11</u> .	Appendix A: Changes Since RFC 22548
<u>11.1</u> .	Technical Changes <u>8</u>
<u>11.2</u> .	Editorial Changes <u>8</u>
<u>12</u> .	<u>Appendix B</u> : Changes Since Previous Document Revision <u>9</u>
<u>12.1</u> .	Technical Changes <u>9</u>
<u>12.2</u> .	Editorial Changes <u>10</u>