

Lemonade

Internet Draft: WITHIN

Document: [draft-ietf-lemonade-search-within-00](#)

S. H. Maes

R. Cromwell

Eds.

Expires: August 2006

February 2006

WITHIN Search extension to the IMAP Protocol

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

WITHIN is an extension to [[RFC3501](#)] SEARCH which returns messages whose internal date is on or within a specified interval and differs from SINCE in that an interval in seconds is specified instead of a date. WITHIN is expected to be most useful for persistent searches in combination with mobile devices.

Conventions used in this document

In examples, "C:" and "S:" indicate lines sent by the client and server respectively.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

An implementation is not compliant if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements for the protocol(s) it implements. An implementation that satisfies all the MUST or REQUIRED level and all the SHOULD level requirements for a protocol is said to be "unconditionally compliant" to that protocol; one that satisfies all the MUST level requirements but not all the SHOULD level requirements is said to be "conditionally compliant." When describing the general syntax, some definitions are omitted as they are defined in [[RFC3501](#)].

Table of Contents

Status of this Memo.....	1
Copyright Notice.....	1
Abstract.....	1
Conventions used in this document.....	1
Table of Contents.....	2
1. Introduction.....	2
2. Formal Syntax.....	2
Security Considerations.....	3
References.....	3
Future Work.....	3
Version History.....	3
Acknowledgments.....	4
Authors Addresses.....	4
Intellectual Property Statement.....	4
Disclaimer of Validity.....	5
Copyright Statement.....	5

[1.](#) Introduction

The WITHIN extension is present in any IMAP4 implementation which returns WITHIN as one of the supported capabilities in the CAPABILITY command.

[2.](#) Formal Syntax

The following syntax specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation. Elements not defined here can be found in the formal syntax of the [[ABNF](#)], [[RFC3501](#)], and [[ABNFEXTEND](#)].

Maes

Expires August 2006

[Page 2]

The create ABNF grammar in [RFC3501] is hereby modified to the grammar defined in [ABNFEXTEND], and the ABNF search-key grammar of [ABNFEXTEND] has been extended with a new search key: WITHIN <interval seconds>

```
search-key /= WITHIN nz-number
              ; defined in [ABNFEXTEND]
```

3. Examples

```
C: a1 SEARCH UNSEEN WITHIN 259200
S: a1 * SEARCH 4 8 15 16 23 42
```

Search for all unseen messages within the past 3 days according to the server's current time.

Security Considerations

The WITHIN extension does not raise any security considerations which are not present in the base protocol. Considerations are the same as for IMAP [RFC 3501].

References

[ABNF] D. Crocker, et al. "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", RFC 2234, November 1997.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234>

[ABNFEXTEND] Melnikov, A., and C. Daboo, "Collected extensions to IMAP4 ABNF", work in progress, [draft-melnikov-imap-ext-abnf-XX.txt](#).

[RFC3501] Crispin, M. "IMAP4, Internet Message Access Protocol Version 4 rev1", RFC 3501, March 2003.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3501>

Future Work

[1] Decide whether seconds is the appropriate unit for specifying the interval.

Version History

Release 00
Initial release, separated from VFOLDER draft

Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank all who have contributed key insight and extensively reviewed and discussed the concepts of LPSEARCH and its early introduction P-IMAP [P-IMAP]. In particular, this includes the authors of the P-IMAP draft: Rafiul Ahad Oracle Corporation, Eugene Chiu Oracle Corporation, Ray Cromwell Oracle Corporation, Jia-der Day Oracle Corporation, Vi Ha Oracle Corporation, Wook-Hyun Jeong Samsung Electronics Co. LTF, Chang Kuang Oracle Corporation, Rodrigo Lima Oracle Corporation, Stephane H. Maes Oracle Corporation, Gustaf Rosell - Sony Ericsson, Jean Sini Symbol Technologies, Sung-Mu Son LG Electronics, Fan Xiaohui - CHINA MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION (CMCC), Zhao Lijun - CHINA MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION (CMCC). We also want to give a special thanks to A. Melnikov for his review and suggestions.

Authors Addresses

Stephane H. Maes
Oracle Corporation
500 Oracle Parkway
M/S 4op634
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
USA
Phone: +1-650-607-6296
Email: stephane.maes@oracle.com

Ray Cromwell
Oracle Corporation
500 Oracle Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
USA

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Maes

Expires August 2006

[Page 4]

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

