

<WITHIN>

June 2006

Lemonade

Internet Draft: WITHIN

Document: [draft-ietf-lemonade-search-within-02](#)

S. H. Maes

R. Cromwell

Eds.

Expires: December 2006

June 2006

WITHIN Search extension to the IMAP Protocol

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Abstract

WITHIN is an extension to [[RFC3501](#)] SEARCH which returns messages whose internal date is within or outside a specified interval and differs from SINCE in that an interval in days is specified instead of a date. WITHIN is expected to be most useful for persistent searches in combination with mobile devices.

Conventions used in this document

In examples, "C:" and "S:" indicate lines sent by the client and server respectively.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

When describing the general syntax, some definitions are omitted as they are defined in [[RFC3501](#)].

Table of Contents

Status of this Memo.....	1
Abstract.....	1
Conventions used in this document.....	1
Table of Contents.....	2
1. Introduction.....	2
2. Formal Syntax.....	2
3. Examples.....	3
4. Security Considerations.....	3
Normative References.....	3
Informative References.....	3
Future Work.....	3
Version History.....	3
Acknowledgments.....	4
Authors Addresses.....	4
Intellectual Property Statement.....	4
Full Copyright Statement.....	5

[1.](#) Introduction

The WITHIN extension is present in any IMAP4 implementation which returns "WITHIN" as one of the supported capabilities in the CAPABILITY command.

The extension exposes two new search keys, YOUNGER and OLDER, each of which take a non-zero integer argument corresponding to an interval in hours. YOUNGER returns messages deposited in the mailbox after the date calculated by subtracting the interval number of hours from the server's current date. OLDER returns messages deposited before the date calculated as described above.

[2.](#) Formal Syntax

The following syntax specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation. Elements not defined here can be found in the formal syntax of the [[ABNF](#)], [[RFC3501](#)], and [[ABNFEXTEND](#)].

The ABNF grammar in [[RFC3501](#)] is hereby modified with two new search keys: OLDER <interval hours> and YOUNGER <interval hours>

Maes

Expires December 2006

[Page 2]

```
search-key /= "OLDER" SP nz-number / "YOUNGER" SP nz-number
; search-key defined in [RFC3501]
```

3. Examples

```
C: a1 SEARCH UNSEEN YOUNGER 72
S: a1 * SEARCH 4 8 15 16 23 42
```

Search for all unseen messages within the past 3 days (72 hours) according to the server's current time.

4. Security Considerations

The WITHIN extension does not raise any security considerations which are not present in the base protocol. Considerations are the same as for IMAP [RFC 3501].

Normative References

- [ABNF] D. Crocker, et al. "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", [RFC 2234](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234), November 1997.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234>
- [ABNFEXTEND] Melnikov, A., and C. Daboo, "Collected extensions to IMAP4 ABNF", [RFC 4466](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4466), April 2006.
- [RFC3501] Crispin, M. "IMAP4, Internet Message Access Protocol Version 4 rev1", [RFC 3501](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3501), March 2003.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3501>

Informative References

- [P-IMAP] Maes, S.H., Lima R., Kuang, C., Cromwell, R., Ha, V. and Chiu, E., Day, J., Ahad R., Jeong W-H., Rosell G., Sini, J., Sohn S-M., Xiaohui F. and Lijun Z., "Push Extensions to the IMAP Protocol (P-IMAP)", [draft-maes-lemonade-p-imap-xx.txt](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3501), (work in progress).

Future Work

[Note to RFC editor: please delete this section before publication]

- [1] Decide whether other interval units are necessary.

Version History

[Note to RFC editor: please delete this section before publication]

Release 00

Initial release, separated from VFOLDER draft

Release 01

Incorporate feedback and suggestions received from Arnt Gulbrandsen.

Release 02

Interval now defined as hours instead of days as per interim meeting consensus.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank all who have contributed key insight and extensively reviewed and discussed the concepts of LPSEARCH and the authors of its early introduction P-IMAP [[P-IMAP](#)].

We also want to give a special thanks to A. Melnikov and A. Gulbrandsen for their review and suggestions.

Authors Addresses

Stephane H. Maes
Oracle Corporation
500 Oracle Parkway
M/S 40p634
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
USA
Phone: +1-650-607-6296
Email: stephane.maes@oracle.com

Ray Cromwell
Oracle Corporation
500 Oracle Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
USA

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it

Maes

Expires December 2006

[Page 4]

has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in [BCP-11](#). Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights, which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

Maes

Expires December 2006

[Page 5]

<WITHIN>

June 2006

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.